

Collection of a debtor's \$2,000 debt to a creditor was barred by the applicable statute of limitations. The debtor sold and delivered his car to a buyer under a written agreement, signed by the buyer, in which the buyer promised to pay the \$2,000 purchase price to the creditor "in satisfaction of [the debtor's] debt to [the creditor]."

Can the creditor recover the \$2,000 from the buyer?

- A. No, because payment of the \$2,000 to the creditor would undermine the statutory public policy against enforcement of stale claims.
- B. No, because the creditor's rights as an intended beneficiary are subject to any defenses available to the contracting parties between themselves.
- C. Yes, because the buyer's promise to pay \$2,000 to the creditor is enforceable by the creditor regardless of whether the debtor was legally obligated to pay the creditor anything.
- D. Yes, because the buyer's promise to pay \$2,000 to the creditor revived the uncollectible debt.

Explanation:

Third-party beneficiaries

(ie, nonparties who benefit from contract)

Type	Definition	Right to enforce
Intended	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Receive <i>direct</i> benefit from contract because contracting parties intended to benefit themEg, A promises to paint B's house if B promises to pay \$500 to C	Yes
Incidental	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Receive <i>indirect</i> benefit from contract even though there was no contractual intent to benefit themEg, A promises to buy B a car manufactured by C	No

A **third-party beneficiary** is a nonparty to a contract who receives some advantage or benefit from that contract. There are two types of third-party beneficiaries:

- **Intended** – who receive a ***direct benefit*** from the contract because the **contracting parties so intended** (eg, the contract provides that payment will go directly to a third party)
- **Incidental** – who receive some ***indirect benefit*** from the contract even though there was **no contractual intent** to benefit them (ie, all third-party beneficiaries who are not intended beneficiaries)

Only **intended beneficiaries**—not incidental beneficiaries—**have contractual rights** and **may sue to enforce** them.

Here, the debtor and the buyer agreed that the buyer would pay the \$2,000 purchase price directly to the creditor in satisfaction of the debtor's debt to the creditor. This made the creditor an *intended* third-party beneficiary of the debtor-buyer agreement. Therefore, the creditor can enforce the buyer's promise to pay the \$2,000. This is true regardless of whether the *debtor* was legally obligated to pay the creditor anything (given that the applicable statute of limitations had run) **(Choice D)**.

(Choice A) There is no statutory public policy against enforcement of stale claims. And here, the buyer's promise to pay the creditor the \$2,000 is enforceable even if the creditor was time-barred from collecting the debt from the debtor.

(Choice B) The promisor (eg, the buyer) can raise any defense against the third-party beneficiary (eg, the creditor) that the promisor had against the original promisee (eg, the

debtor). But there is no indication that the buyer has a defense against the debtor that could be raised against the creditor in this case.

(Choice D) The buyer's promise to pay \$2,000 to the creditor did *not* revive the uncollectible debt—ie, a suit by the creditor to collect the \$2,000 from the *debtor* would still be barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

Educational objective:

Third-party beneficiaries are nonparties who receive some advantage or benefit from a contract. But only *intended* beneficiaries have contractual rights and may sue to enforce them.

References

- 17A Am. Jur. 2d Contracts § 426 (2020) (intended versus incidental beneficiaries).
- Restatement (Second) of Contracts § 302 (Am. Law Inst. 1981) (intended and incidental beneficiaries).

Copyright © 2019 by the National Conference of Bar Examiners. All rights reserved.

Copyright © UWorld. All rights reserved.