


---

# Ve492: Introduction to Artificial Intelligence

## Multi-agent search; Games with Chance; Decision Theory

---



Paul Weng

UM-SJTU Joint Institute

Slides adapted from <http://ai.berkeley.edu>, AIMA, UM, CMU

---

# Announcements

---

- ❖ Project 1: Search

- ❖ Due Mon. May 31 at 11:59pm.
- ❖ Solo or in group of two. For groups of two, both of you need to submit your code into JOJ!

- ❖ Homework 2: Multi-agent search

- ❖ Release Wed., May 26, due Wed, June 2 at 11:59pm.

- ❖ Make-up classes


- ❖ May 31 => June 18, 2pm-3:40pm, E2-206
- ❖ June 2 => July 16, 2pm-3:40pm, E2-206

---


# Outline

---

- ❖ Multi-agent search
- ❖ Games with chance
- ❖ Decision Theory




# Single-Agent Trees




# Value of a State


Value of a state: The best achievable outcome (utility) from that state




# Multi-Agent Applications



Collaborative Maze Solving



Adversarial




Team: Collaborative  
Competition: Adversarial

❖ How could we tackle multi-agent problems?

❖ Depends on problem assumptions

# Idea 1: Independent Decision-making

- ❖ Each agent plans their own actions separately from others => Many single-agent trees



# Idea 2: Joint State/Action Spaces


- ❖ Combine the states and actions of the N agents
- ❖ Search looks through all combinations of all agents' states and actions
- ❖ Think of one brain controlling many agents

What is the size of the state space?

What is the size of the action space?

What is the size of the search tree?

$$S_K = (S_K^A, S_K^B)$$





# Idea 3: Coordinated Decision Making

- ❖ Each agent proposes their actions and computer confirms the joint plan
- ❖ Example: Autonomous driving through intersections



# Idea 4: Alternate Searching One Agent at a Time


- ❖ Search one agent's actions from a state, search the next agent's actions from those resulting states, etc...

Choose the best  
cascading combination  
of actions

What is the size of  
the state space?


What is the size of  
the action space?

What is the size of  
the search tree?



# Minimax Search with Two Teams

- ❖ Joint State / Action space and search for our team
- ❖ Adversarial search to predict the opponent team
- ❖ Example: Small Size Robot Soccer



# Generalized minimax

❖ What if the game is not zero-sum, or has multiple players?


❖ Generalization of minimax:

❖ Terminals have **utility tuples**

❖ Node values are also utility tuples

❖ **Each player maximizes its own component**

❖ Can give rise to cooperation and competition dynamically...



# Three-Person Chess




From Wikipedia



# Games with Chance

Search with Random Outcomes




*Games with Chance*

---


# Probabilities

---



# Reminder: Probabilities


- ❖ A **random variable** represents an event whose outcome is unknown
- ❖ A **probability distribution** is an assignment of weights to outcomes
- ❖ Example: Traffic on freeway
  - ❖ Random variable:  $T$  = whether there's traffic
  - ❖ Outcomes:  $T$  in {none, light, heavy}
  - ❖ Distribution:  $P(T=\text{none}) = 0.25$ ,  $P(T=\text{light}) = 0.50$ ,  $P(T=\text{heavy}) = 0.25$
- ❖ Some laws of probability (more later):
  - ❖ Probabilities are always non-negative
  - ❖ Probabilities over all possible outcomes sum to one
- ❖ As we get more evidence, probabilities may change:
  - ❖  $P(T=\text{heavy}) = 0.25$ ,  $P(T=\text{heavy} \mid \text{Hour}=8\text{am}) = 0.60$
  - ❖ We'll talk about methods for reasoning and updating probabilities later






# Reminder: Expectations


- ❖ The expected value of a random variable is the average, weighted by the probability distribution over outcomes







- ❖ Example: How long to get to the airport?

Time:	20 min		30 min		60 min		
	x	+	x	+	x		
Probability:	0.25		0.50		0.25		35 min






# Different Game Trees




Tictactoe, chess

**Minimax**



Tetris, investing

**Expectimax**



Backgammon, Monopoly

**Expectiminimax**

# Minimax

function decision(s) returns an action

return the action  $a$  in  $\text{Actions}(s)$  with the highest  $\text{value}(\text{Succ}(s,a))$



function value(s) returns a value

if Terminal-Test(s) then return Utility(s)

if Player(s) = MAX then return  $\max_{a \in \text{Actions}(s)} \text{value}(\text{Succ}(s,a))$

if Player(s) = MIN then return  $\min_{a \in \text{Actions}(s)} \text{value}(\text{Succ}(s,a))$

# Expectimax

function decision(s) returns an action

return the action  $a$  in  $\text{Actions}(s)$  with the highest  $\text{value}(\text{Succ}(s,a))$



function value(s) returns a value


if Terminal-Test(s) then return Utility(s)

if Player(s) = MAX then return  $\max_{a \in \text{Actions}(s)} \text{value}(\text{Succ}(s,a))$

if Player(s) = CHANCE then return  $\sum_{a \in \text{Actions}(s)} \text{Pr}(a) * \text{value}(\text{Succ}(s,a))$


# Expectimax Pseudocode

$$\text{sum}_{a \text{ in Outcome}(s)} \text{Pr}(a) * \text{value}(\text{Succ}(s,a))$$





$$v = (1/2) (8) + (1/3) (24) + (1/6) (-12) = 10$$

# Depth-Limited Expectimax



# Expectimax Pruning?



# Expectiminimax

function decision(s) returns an action

return the action  $a$  in  $\text{Actions}(s)$  with the highest  $\text{value}(\text{Succ}(s,a))$



function value(s) returns a value

if Terminal-Test(s) then return Utility(s)


if Player(s) = MAX then return  $\max_{a \in \text{Actions}(s)} \text{value}(\text{Succ}(s,a))$

if Player(s) = MIN then return  $\min_{a \in \text{Actions}(s)} \text{value}(\text{Succ}(s,a))$

if Player(s) = CHANCE then return  $\sum_{a \in \text{Actions}(s)} \text{Pr}(a) * \text{value}(\text{Succ}(s,a))$



# What Values to Use?




$$x > y \Rightarrow f(x) > f(y)$$

$$f(x) = Ax + B \text{ where } A > 0$$

- ❖ For worst-case minimax reasoning, evaluation function scale doesn't matter
  - ❖ We just want better states to have higher evaluations (get the ordering right)
  - ❖ Minimax decisions are ***invariant with respect to monotonic transformations on values***
- ❖ Expectiminimax decisions are ***invariant with respect to positive affine transformations***
- ❖ Expectiminimax evaluation functions have to be aligned with actual win probabilities!

# Decision Theory



# Decision Theory

---

- ❖ Decision problem:
  - ❖ Choose  $a \in A$  assuming given **preference relation**  $\succsim$  over  $A$
- ❖ Often, choice has uncertain outcomes
  - ❖ Probability distribution over outcomes
  - ❖ Called **lottery** in decision theory
- ❖ Here, we assume single-agent decision-making
- ❖ Which decision criterion should we choose?
  - ❖ Descriptive
  - ❖ Normative

# Maximum Expected Utility

---


❖ MEU principle:

❖  $\max_p \sum_o p(o) \times U(o)$

- ❖ Why is the MEU principle considered rational?
- ❖ Where do the utilities come from?
- ❖ Where do the probabilities come from?

# St Petersburg Paradox

- ❖ Game:



- ❖ How much would you pay to play this game?

- ❖ Expectation:

- ❖ 
$$EU(L) = \sum_{o \in O} p_L(o) \log(o)$$

# Axiomatization of MEU

---

- ❖ Decision under uncertainty
  - ❖ Outcomes: any consequences from a choice
  - ❖ Lotteries: distributions over outcomes
  - ❖ Preference relation over lotteries:  $\succsim$
- ❖ Two decision models seen so far: EU and minimax
- ❖ Axiomatization of decision model C:
  - ❖ If set of conditions on  $>$  are satisfied,  $L \succsim L' \Leftrightarrow C(L) \geq C(L')$

# Transitivity


❖ For any three lotteries,  $L$ ,  $L'$ , and  $L''$ :

❖  $(L \succsim L') \text{ and } (L' \succsim L'') \Rightarrow (L \succsim L'')$

❖ Is it a reasonable axiom?

❖ Money pump argument:

- ❖ If  $L' > L''$ , then an agent with  $L''$  would pay (say) 1 cent to get  $L'$
- ❖ If  $L > L'$ , then an agent with  $L'$  would pay (say) 1 cent to get  $L$
- ❖ If  $L'' > L$ , then an agent with  $L$  would pay (say) 1 cent to get  $L''$



# Axioms of MEU

---

## ❖ Completeness

$$\diamond L \succsim L' \text{ or } L' \succsim L$$

## ❖ Transitivity

$$\diamond (L \succsim L') \text{ and } (L' \succsim L'') \Rightarrow (L \succsim L'')$$

## ❖ Independence

$$\diamond (L \succsim L') \Rightarrow [p, L; 1-p, L''] \succsim [p, L'; 1-p, L'']$$

## ❖ Continuity

$$\diamond (L \succsim L' \succsim L'') \Rightarrow \exists p, [p, L; 1-p, L''] \sim L'$$



# Characterization of MEU

- ❖ Theorem [Ramsey, 1931; von Neumann & Morgenstern, 1944; Machina, 1988]
  - ❖  $\succsim$  satisfies the 4 previous axioms iff there exists a utility function  $U : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that
    - ❖  $L \succsim L' \Leftrightarrow EU(L) \geq EU(L')$
    - ❖  $EU(L) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} p_L(o) U(o)$
- ❖ If we agree with the 4 axioms, we should apply MEU
- ❖ However, most axioms are debatable
- ❖ More general axiomatization where probabilities are not assumed to be given (Savage, 1954)
- ❖ Decision theory moved to more general notion of rationality

# Risk-Sensitive Decision-Making

- ❖ Certainty equivalent of lottery L
  - ❖ Outcome  $o_L$  such that  $U(o_L) = EU(L)$
- ❖ Risk-neutral decision-making
  - ❖  $o_L = \sum_{o \in O} p_L(o) \times o$
  - ❖ This is the case if U linear
- ❖ Risk-averse decision-making
  - ❖  $o_L < \sum_{o \in O} p_L(o) \times o$
  - ❖ This is the case if U concave
- ❖ Risk-seeking decision-making
  - ❖  $o_L > \sum_{o \in O} p_L(o) \times o$
  - ❖ This is the case if U convex

# Preference Elicitation

- ❖ Utility function is unique up to a positive affine transformation
- ❖ How to specify a utility function for a given decision problem?
  - ❖ Assume  $U$  is normalized  $U(o^+) = 1$  and  $U(o^-) = 0$
  - ❖ Compare any outcome with binary lotteries:
    - ❖ For which  $p$ , is this true:  $o \sim [p, o^+; 1-p, o^-]$ ?
    - ❖ The answer gives  $U(o) = p$
  - ❖ Extend to all lotteries

# Uncertainty Elicitation

---

- ❖ How to specify a probability distribution for a given decision problem, if unknown?
  - ❖ For which  $o$ , is this true:  $[E, o^+; E^c, o^-] \sim [1, o; 0, o^-]$
  - ❖ The answer gives  $P(E) = U(o)$

# Allais Paradox (1953)

- ❖ What do you prefer?
  - ❖ A: [0.8, \$4k; 0.2; \$0]
  - ❖ B: [1.0, \$3k; 0.0; \$0]
- ❖ What do you prefer?
  - ❖ C: [0.2, \$4k; 0.8; \$0]
  - ❖ D: [0.25, \$3k; 0.75; \$0]
- ❖ Usually,  $B > A$  and  $C > D$
- ❖ However, incompatible with MEU! Assuming  $U(\$0)=0$ :
  - ❖  $B > A \Rightarrow U(\$3k) > 0.8 U(\$4k)$
  - ❖  $C > D \Rightarrow U(\$4k) > U(\$3k)$

# Ellsberg Paradox

- ❖ Urn with 30 red balls and 60 other balls, which are either black or yellow.
- ❖ What do you prefer?
  - ❖ A: [R, \$100; B or Y; \$0]
  - ❖ B: [B, \$100; R or Y; \$0]
- ❖ What do you prefer?
  - ❖ C: [R or Y, \$100; B; \$0]
  - ❖ D: [B or Y, \$100; R; \$0]
- ❖ Usually,  $A > B$  and  $D > C$
- ❖ However, incompatible with MEU!

# Summary

---

- ❖ Multi-agent problems can require more space or deeper trees to search
  - ❖ Bounded-depth search and approximate evaluation functions
  - ❖ Alpha-beta pruning
- ❖ Game playing has produced important research ideas
  - ❖ Reinforcement learning (checkers)
  - ❖ Iterative deepening (chess)
  - ❖ Monte Carlo tree search (Go)
  - ❖ Solution methods for partial-information games in economics (poker)
- ❖ Video games present much greater challenges – lots to do!
  - ❖  $b = 10^{500}$ ,  $|S| = 10^{4000}$ ,  $m = 10,000$
- ❖ Basics of decision theory