

Typed Semantics for λ_i

1. Syntax

$e ::=$	Expression
n	Integer Literal
$e_1 + e_2$	Add
x	Variable
$\lambda x. e$	Abstraction
$e_1 e_2$	Application
$e_1 , , e_2$	Merge
$(e : A)$	Annotation

2. Semantics

$\boxed{v \Rightarrow A}$ Typed Values (Inf)

$$\frac{}{n \Rightarrow \text{Int}} \text{VI_INT} \quad \frac{v_1 \Rightarrow A \quad v_2 \Rightarrow B}{v_1 , , v_2 \Rightarrow A \& B} \text{VI_MERGE} \quad \frac{v \Leftarrow A}{(v : A) \Rightarrow A} \text{VI_ANN}$$

$\boxed{v \Leftarrow A}$ Typed Values (Chk)

$$\frac{}{\lambda x. e \Leftarrow A \rightarrow B} \text{VC_ABS}$$

$\boxed{e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_2}$ Reduction

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_3}{e_1 + e_2 \rightsquigarrow e_3 + e_2} \text{R_ADD1} \quad \frac{e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_2}{n + e_1 \rightsquigarrow n + e_2} \text{R_ADD2} \quad \frac{r = n + m}{n + m \rightsquigarrow r} \text{R_ADD3} \\ \\ \frac{e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_3}{e_1 e_2 \rightsquigarrow e_3 e_2} \text{R_APP1} \quad \frac{e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_2}{v e_1 \rightsquigarrow v e_2} \text{R_APP2} \quad \frac{v \Rightarrow B \quad A \neq B \quad v \rightsquigarrow e \Leftarrow A}{(\lambda x. e : A \rightarrow C) v \rightsquigarrow (\lambda x. e : A \rightarrow C) e} \text{R_APPSUB} \\ \\ \frac{v \Leftarrow A}{(\lambda x. e : A \rightarrow B) v \rightsquigarrow (\lambda x. e : A \rightarrow B) (v : A)} \text{R_APP4} \quad \frac{v \Rightarrow A}{(\lambda x. e : A \rightarrow B) v \rightsquigarrow e[x \mapsto v]} \text{R_APP5} \\ \\ \frac{e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_3}{e_1 , , e_2 \rightsquigarrow e_3 , , e_2} \text{R_MERGE1} \quad \frac{e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_2}{v , , e_1 \rightsquigarrow v , , e_2} \text{R_MERGE2} \quad \frac{e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_2}{(e_1 : A) \rightsquigarrow (e_2 : A)} \text{R_ANN1} \\ \\ \frac{v \Rightarrow B \quad A \neq B \quad v \rightsquigarrow e \Leftarrow A}{(v : A) \rightsquigarrow (e : A)} \text{R_ANNSUB} \quad \frac{v \Rightarrow A}{(v : A) \rightsquigarrow v} \text{R_ANN3} \end{array}$$

$\boxed{v \rightsquigarrow e \Leftarrow A}$ Reduction (Sub)

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{v \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B}{v \rightsquigarrow (\lambda y. (v y : D) : C \rightarrow D) \Leftarrow C \rightarrow D} \text{RSUB_FUN} \quad \frac{}{v \rightsquigarrow (v : A) , , (v : B) \Leftarrow A \& B} \text{RSUB_ANDR} \\ \\ \frac{\text{ord } A \quad v_1 \Rightarrow B \quad B \leq A}{v_1 , , v_2 \rightsquigarrow v_1 \Leftarrow A} \text{RSUB_ANDL1} \quad \frac{\text{ord } A \quad v_2 \Rightarrow C \quad C \leq A}{v_1 , , v_2 \rightsquigarrow v_2 \Leftarrow A} \text{RSUB_ANDL2} \end{array}$$

Remark 1: R_AppSub and R_AnnSub's condition $A \neq B$ prevents looping (subtyping is reflexive).

Remark 2: If there are no assumptions over v , then assume $\exists A. v \Rightarrow A$.

3. Metatheory

Lemma 3.1 (Subject reduction (sub)). `[safety_sub]` *If $\Gamma \vdash v \Rightarrow A$ and $v \rightsquigarrow e \Leftarrow B$ and $A \leq B$, then $\Gamma \vdash e \Leftarrow B$.*

Lemma 3.2 (Subject reduction (inf)). `[safety_inf]` *If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow A$ and $e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_2$, then $\Gamma \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow A$.*

Lemma 3.3 (Subject reduction (chk)). `[safety_chk]` *If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 \Leftarrow A$ and $e_1 \rightsquigarrow e_2$, then $\Gamma \vdash e_2 \Leftarrow A$.*