```
--CS381 HW1
--Yaonan Zhong
--Xiaowei Zhang
--Xiangyu Wang
--April 19, 2014
-----
--Exercise 1. Mini Logo
--(a) Define the abstract syntax for Mini Logo as a Haskell data type.
type Name = String
type Numb = Int
data Pos = PI Numb | PS Name deriving Show
type PP = (Pos, Pos)
data Pars = Pa [Name] deriving Show
data Vals = Va [Numb] deriving Show
data Mode = Up | Down deriving Show
data Cmd = Pen Mode
                | Moto PP
                | Def Name Pars Cmds
                | Call Name Vals
                deriving Show
type Cmds = [Cmd]
--(b) Write a Mini Logo marco vector that draws a line from a given position (x1,y1) to a given position (x2,y2) and
--represent the marco in abstract syntax, that is, as a Haskell data type value.
-- Concrete syntax:
--def vector (x1,y1,x2,y2) [(pen up),(moveto (x1,y1)),(pen down),(moveto (x2,y2))]
-- Abstract syntax:
vector = Def "vector" (Pa ["x1", "y1", "x2", "y2"]) [(Pen Up), (Moto (PS "x1", PS "y1")), (Pen Down), (Moto (PS "x2", PS "y2"))]
callVec = Call "vector" (Va [1,1,2,2])
--(c) Define a Haskell function steps :: Int -> Cmds that constructs a Mini Logo program which draws a stair of n steps.
steps :: Int -> Cmds
steps 0 = []
-- Exercise 2. Digital Circuit Design Language
--(a) Define the abstract syntax for the above language as a Haskell data type.
data Circuit = GL Gates Links deriving Show
type Numgafn = (Int, Gafn)
data Gates = GG Numgafn Gates | Nogate deriving Show
data Gafn = And | Or | Xor | Not deriving Show
type Gaport = (Int, Int)
data Links = From Gaport Gaport Links | Nolink deriving Show
--(b) Represent the half adder circuit in abstract syntax, that is, as a Haskell data type value.
li = From (1,1) (2,1) (From (1,2) (2,2) Nolink)
ga = GG (1,Xor) (GG (2,And) Nogate)
halfAdder = GL ga li
--(c) Define a Haskell function that implements a pretty printer for the abstract syntax.
ppGafn :: Gafn -> String
ppGafn And = "and"
ppGafn Or = "or"
ppGafn Xor = "xor"
```

```
ppGafn Not = "not"
ppGates :: Gates -> String
ppGates Nogate = ""
ppGates (GG (a,b) c) = (show a)++":"++ppGafn b++";\n"++ppGates c
ppLinks :: Links -> String
ppLinks Nolink = ""
pplinks (From (a,b) (c,d) e) = "from "++(show a)++"."++(show b)++" to "++(show c)++"."++(show d)++";\n"++pplinks e
ppCircuit :: Circuit -> String
ppCircuit (GL a b) = ppGates a++ppLinks b
--Exercise 3. Design Abstract Syntax
data Expr = N Int
          | Plus Expr Expr
          | Neg Expr
          deriving Show
data Op = Add | Multiply | Negate deriving Show
data Exp = Num Int | Apply Op [Exp] deriving Show
--(a) Represent the expression -(3+4)*7 in the alternative abstract syntax.
t = Apply Multiply [Apply Negate [Apply Add [Num 3, Num 4]], Num 7]
--(b) What are the advantages and disadvantages of either representation?
-- The definition of Expr is simpler than the combination of Op and Exp,
-- but we need to take care of the number of arguments for each operation.
-- The Op and Exp give us more freedom. For example, Op can be reused in
-- other definitions and [Exp] let us have arbitrary number of arguments.
--(c) Define a function translate :: Expr -> Exp that translates expressions
--given in the first abstract syntax into equivalent expressions in the second
--abstract syntax.
translate :: Expr -> Exp
translate (N \ a) = Num \ a
translate (Plus a b) = Apply Add [translate a, translate b]
translate (Neg a) = Apply Negate [translate a]
ta = translate (N 5)
tb = translate (Plus (N 3) (Neg (N 8)))
```