```
--CS381 HW1
_____
--Yaonan Zhong
--Xiaowei Zhang
--Xiangyu Wang
_____
--April 19, 2014
_____
--Exercise 1. Mini Logo
_____
--(a) Define the abstract syntax for Mini Logo as a Haskell data type.
type Name = String
type Numb = Int
data Pos = Pl Numb | PS Name deriving Show
type PP = (Pos, Pos)
data Pars = Pa [Name] deriving Show
data Vals = Va [Numb] deriving Show
data Mode = Up | Down deriving Show
data Cmd = Pen Mode
            I Moto PP
            Def Name Pars Cmds
            | Call Name Vals
            deriving Show
type Cmds = [Cmd]
--(b) Write a Mini Logo marco vector that draws a line from a given position (x1,y1) to a given
position (x2,y2) and
--represent the marco in abstract syntax, that is, as a Haskell data type value.
--Concrete syntax:
--def vector (x1,y1,x2,y2) [(pen up),(moveto (x1,y1)),(pen down),(moveto (x2,y2))]
-- Abstract syntax:
vector = Def "vector" (Pa ["x1","y1","x2","y2"]) [(Pen Up), (Moto (PS "x1",PS "y1")), (Pen
Down), (Moto (PS "x2", PS "y2"))]
callVec = Call "vector" (Va [1,1,2,2])
--(c) Define a Haskell function steps :: Int -> Cmds that constructs a Mini Logo program which
draws a stair of n steps.
```

```
steps :: Int -> Cmds
steps 0 = []
steps n = steps (n-1)++[Call "vector" (Va [n-1,n-1,n-1,n]), Call "vector" (Va [n-1,n,n,n])]
--Exercise 2. Digital Circuit Design Language
--(a) Define the abstract syntax for the above language as a Haskell data type.
data Circuit = GL Gates Links deriving Show
type Numgafn = (Int, Gafn)
data Gates = GG Numgafn Gates | Nogate deriving Show
data Gafn = And Or Xor Not deriving Show
type Gaport = (Int, Int)
data Links = From Gaport Gaport Links | Nolink deriving Show
--(b) Represent the half adder circuit in abstract syntax, that is, as a Haskell data type value.
li = From (1,1) (2,1) (From (1,2) (2,2) Nolink)
ga = GG(1,Xor)(GG(2,And)Nogate)
halfAdder = GL ga li
--(c) Define a Haskell function that implements a pretty printer for the abstract syntax.
ppGafn :: Gafn -> String
ppGafn And = "and"
ppGafn Or = "or"
ppGafn Xor = "xor"
ppGafn Not = "not"
ppGates :: Gates -> String
ppGates Nogate = ""
ppGates(GG(a,b)c) = (show a)++":"++ppGafn b++";\n"++ppGates c
ppLinks :: Links -> String
ppLinks Nolink = ""
ppLinks (From (a,b) (c,d) e) = "from "++(show a)++"."++(show b)++" to "++(show c)++"."+
+(\text{show d})++";\n"++ppLinks e
ppCircuit :: Circuit -> String
ppCircuit (GL a b) = ppGates a++ppLinks b
--Exercise 3. Design Abstract Syntax
```

```
data Expr = N Int
| Plus Expr Expr
| Neg Expr
| deriving Show
```

data Op = Add | Multiply | Negate deriving Show data Exp = Num Int | Apply Op [Exp] deriving Show

--(a) Represent the expression -(3+4)*7 in the alternative abstract syntax.

t = Apply Multiply [Apply Negate [Apply Add [Num 3, Num 4]], Num 7]

- --(b) What are the advantages and disadvantages of either representation?
- -- The definition of Expr is simpler than the combination of Op and Exp,
- -- but we need to take care of the number of arguments for each operation.
- -- The Op and Exp give us more freedom. For example, Op can be reused in
- -- other definitions and [Exp] let us have arbitrary number of arguments.
- --(c) Define a function translate :: Expr -> Exp that translates expressions --given in the first abstract syntax into equivalent expressions in the second --abstract syntax.

```
translate :: Expr -> Exp

translate (N a) = Num a

translate (Plus a b) = Apply Add [translate a, translate b]

translate (Neg a) = Apply Negate [translate a]

ta = translate (N 5)

tb = translate (Plus (N 3) (Neg (N 8)))
```