

# EECS3311 Software Design Fall 2018

## Project

### Building a Tool for an Expression Language

**Due: 11:59PM, Wednesday, December 5**

**This project has similarity with a previous project. It is considered as violating academic honesty if you use code posted online by students who completed this course. We will run an automated check on your final submission; do not take chances.**

## 1 Policies

- **Your (submitted or un-submitted) solution to this lab exercise (which is not revealed to the public) remains the property of the EECS department. Do not distribute or share your code in any public media (e.g., a non-private Github repository) in any way, shape, or form. The department reserves the right to take necessary actions upon found violations of this policy.**
- You are required to **work as a team of 2 or 3** for this lab. Group members may be from different sections.
- When you submit your lab, you claim that it is **solely** the work of your group. Therefore, it is considered as **an violation of academic integrity** if you copy or share **any** parts of your Eiffel code during **any** stages of your development.
- When assessing your submission, the instructor and TA may examine your code, and suspicious submissions will be reported to the department if necessary. **We do not tolerate academic dishonesty**, so please obey this policy strictly.
- You are entirely responsible for making your submission in time. Back up your work **periodically**, so as to minimize the damage should any sort of computer failures occur. **Follow this tutorial series on setting up a private Github repository for your Eiffel projects.**
- The deadline is **strict** with no excuses: you receive **0** for not making your electronic submission in time. Emailing your solutions to the instruction or TAs will not be acceptable.
- You are free to work on this lab on your own machine(s), but you are responsible for testing your code at a Prims lab machine before the submission.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Policies</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Required Readings</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Working as a Group of Two or Three</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Working from Home</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Problems</b>	<b>4</b>
5.1	An Expression Language . . . . .	4
5.2	Operations on the Expression Language . . . . .	5
5.2.1	Pretty Printing . . . . .	5
5.2.2	Analysis (Type Checking) . . . . .	5
5.2.3	Simplification (Evaluation) . . . . .	7
<b>6</b>	<b>Abstract User Interface</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Outputting the Abstract State</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Getting Started</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Modification of the Cluster Structure</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Submission</b>	<b>13</b>
10.1	Checklist before Submission . . . . .	13
10.2	Submitting Your Work . . . . .	14
<b>11</b>	<b>Questions</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Amendments</b>	<b>15</b>

## 2 Required Readings

- **Tutorial Videos on ETF**

We no longer distribute executables of the **etf** tool (for generating a starter project). You can get access to the ETF generator via either the Prism Lab machines, or the virtual box image.

- Tutorial on ETF: a Bank Application
- You may find the composite/visitor patterns useful, for which there is a tutorial: [https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL5dxAmCmjv\\_4z5eXGW-ZBgsS2WZTyBHY2](https://www.youtube.com/playlist?list=PL5dxAmCmjv_4z5eXGW-ZBgsS2WZTyBHY2).
- You can also find an abundance of resources on DbC, TDD, ESPEC tests, and Eiffel code examples from these two sites:
  - <http://eiffel.eecs.yorku.ca>
  - <https://wiki.eecs.yorku.ca/project/eiffel/>

## 3 Working as a Group of Two or Three

You **must** work with one or two partners. That is, only teams with two or three members are allowed. Members of a team may come from different sections.

## 4 Working from Home

- To generate the ETF project, you must use the **etf** command that is available on either the Prism Lab machines or the virtual box image.
- For a generated ETF project to compile on your machine, you need to first download a library called **MATHMODELS** (available as a zip from the **course moodle** page), and then set an environment variable **MATHMODELS** which points to the location of its download.

## 5 Problems

In this project, you are asked to complete, under the Eiffel Testing Framework (ETF), the design and implementation of: **1)** a small expression language; and **2)** its three associated functionalities (i.e., pretty printing, analysis, and simplification).

### 5.1 An Expression Language

The context-free grammar of the small expression language that you will design and implement is defined as follows:

<i>Expression</i>	::=	<i>IntegerConstant</i>   <i>BooleanConstant</i>   <b>LPAREN</b> <i>BinaryOp</i> <b>RPAREN</b>   <b>LPAREN</b> <i>UnaryOp</i> <b>RPAREN</b>   <i>SetEnumeration</i>
<i>IntegerConstant</i>	::=	<b>DIGIT</b> <sup>+</sup>
<i>BooleanConstant</i>	::=	<b>TRUE</b>   <b>FALSE</b>
<i>BinaryOp</i>	::=	<i>Expression</i> <b>PLUS</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>MINUS</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>TIMES</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>QUOTIENT</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>MOD</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>AND</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>OR</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>XOR</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>IMPLIES</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>EQUAL</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>GT</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>LT</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>UNION</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>INTERSECT</b> <i>Expression</i>   <i>Expression</i> <b>DIFFERENCE</b> <i>Expression</i>
<i>UnaryOp</i>	::=	<b>NEGATIVE</b> <i>Expression</i>   <b>NEGATION</b> <i>Expression</i>   <b>SIGMA</b> <i>Expression</i>   <b>PRODUCT</b> <i>Expression</i>   <b>COUNTING</b> <i>Expression</i>   <b>FORALL</b> <i>Expression</i>   <b>EXISTS</b> <i>Expression</i>
<i>SetEnumeration</i>	::=	<b>LBRACE</b> <i>Expression</i> ( <b>COMMA</b> <i>Expression</i> ) <sup>*</sup> <b>RBRACE</b>

Italic words that start with a capital letter such as *Expression* are *non-terminals*. We use \* and + to denote, respectively, zero-or-more and one-or-more repetitions of a non-terminal or a terminal. The use of vertical bars (|) allows us to specify alternatives of a non-terminal. All-capital words are *terminals*. Table 1 (p6) defines the corresponding ASCII character(s) and meaning for each terminal.

Here are some syntactically correct expressions that can be produced by the above grammar:

- 1
- (- 10)
- (2 + 4)
- {1, 2}
- (+ {1, 2})
- ({True, False} \ / {2+3, 4})

Notice that empty set enumeration (i.e., {}) is **not** allowed by the grammar. Of course, the above grammar can produce expressions that we would consider as:

- Not type-correct, e.g. ({True, False} \ / {2+3, 4})
- Not simplifiable, e.g., (7 / 0)

## Task 1

Your first task is to design and implement Eiffel classes and features to represent this expression language.

## 5.2 Operations on the Expression Language

### Task 2

Your second task is to design and implement Eiffel classes and features to support three operations on the expression language: **1)** pretty printing; **2)** analysis; and **3)** simplification.

#### 5.2.1 Pretty Printing

Given an expression that is syntactically correct, with respect to the above grammar, your implemented pretty printer should write it out as a string. Pay attention to the following details:

- Each unary or binary operation is surrounded by a matching pair of round parentheses
- Each set enumeration is not surrounded by a matching pair of round parentheses.
- Each unary operator is followed by a single space.
- Each binary operator is preceded by a single space and followed by a single space.

For example: (- 10), (+ {1}), ({True} \ {False}), *etc.*

#### 5.2.2 Analysis (Type Checking)

Given an expression that is syntactically correct, with respect to the above grammar, your implemented tool should decide whether or not it is type-correct. An expression is considered as type-correct if and only if each (unary or binary) operator is applied to operand(s) of the right type.

Here are example expressions that are both syntactically correct and type-correct:

- (1 + 2)
- (+ {1, 2, 3})
- (&& {True, False})
- (|| {True, False})

Terminal	ASCII Character(s)	Meaning
<b>LPAREN</b>	(	starting delimiter for an expression
<b>RPAREN</b>	)	ending delimiter for an expression
<b>LBRACE</b>	{	starting delimiter for a set enumeration
<b>RBRACE</b>	}	ending delimiter for a set enumeration
<b>COMMA</b>	,	delimiter between two members in a set enumeration
<b>DIGIT</b>	0 .. 9	numerical digit
<b>TRUE</b>	True	
<b>FALSE</b>	False	
<b>PLUS</b>	+	addition
<b>MINUS</b>	-	subtraction
<b>TIMES</b>	*	multiplication
<b>QUOTIENT</b>	/	division, which returns the integer quotient
<b>MOD</b>	mod	modulo, which returns the integer remainder
<b>AND</b>	&&	logical conjunction
<b>OR</b>		logical disjunction
<b>XOR</b>	xor	logical exclusive or, returning <b>true</b> only if exactly one operand is <b>true</b>
<b>IMPLIES</b>	=>	logical implication
<b>EQUAL</b>	=	equality
<b>GT</b>	>	greater than
<b>LT</b>	<	less than
<b>UNION</b>	\	set union
<b>INTERSECT</b>	/\	set intersection
<b>DIFFERENCE</b>	\	set difference
<b>NEGATIVE</b>	-	negative sign
<b>NEGATION</b>	!	logical negation
<b>SIGMA</b>	+	summation (over a set of integer expressions)
<b>PRODUCT</b>	*	product (over a set of integer expressions)
<b>FORALL</b>	&&	generalized conjunction (over a set of Boolean expressions)
<b>EXISTS</b>		generalized disjunction (over a set of Boolean expressions)
<b>COUNTING</b>	#	counting quantifier (over a set of Boolean expressions), which returns the number of expressions that simplify to <b>true</b>

Table 1: Terminals: ASCII Representations and Meanings

- $(\{1, 2, 3\} \cup \{2\})$
- $(\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{2\})$

Here are example expressions that are syntactically correct but not type-correct:

- $(1 + \text{True})$
- $(3 + \{1, 2, 3\})$
- $(+ \{1, 2, \text{True}\})$
- $(\&\& \{1, 2\})$
- $(|| \text{True})$
- $(\{1, 2, 3\} \cup \{\text{True}, \text{False}, \text{True}\})$

### 5.2.3 Simplification (Evaluation)

Given an expression that is both syntactically and type-correct, with respect to the above grammar, your implemented simplifier should aim to simplify the expression by simplifying parts that can be simplified.

Here are example of simplifying type-correct expressions:

- $(1 + 2)$  simplifies to 3
- $(+ \{1, 2, 3\})$  simplifies to 6
- $(\&\& \{\text{True}, \text{False}\})$  simplifies to **False** [ generalized and ]
- $(\|\| \{\text{True}, \text{False}\})$  simplifies to **True** [ generalized or ]
- $(\# \{\text{True}, \text{False}\})$  simplifies to 1 [ counting quantifier ]
- $(\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{2\})$  simplifies to  $\{1, 2, 3\}$
- $(\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{2\})$  simplifies to  $\{1, 3\}$
- $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  simplifies to  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

In the above last example, there are no members in the set enumeration that can be further simplified, so the simplification result is simply the original set enumeration.

## 6 Abstract User Interface

Customers need not know details of your design of classes and features. Instead, there is an agreed interface for customers to specify the expressions they wish to print, analyze, and simplify. This is why we are using ETF: customers only need to be familiar with the list of *events*, defined in the plain text file below that is used to generate the customized ETF for your project. We assume the following abstract user interface:

```
-- The abstract user interface specifies the construction of an expression language.

system expression

-- Events of users requesting for processing the expression (entered so far)
analyze
simplify
restart

-- Events of users adding constants
bool_value (c: BOOLEAN)
int_value (c: INTEGER)

-- Events of users adding binary arithmetic operations
addition
subtraction
multiplication
quotient
modulo

-- Events of users adding binary logical operations
conjunction
disjunction
implication
exclusive_or
```

```

-- Events of users adding binary relational operations
equals
greater_than
less_than

-- Events of users adding binary set operations
union
intersection
difference

-- Events of users adding unary numerical or logical operations
numerical_negation
logical_negation

-- Events of users adding unary composite operations
sigma
product
counting
forall
exists

-- Events of users starting/finishing entering set enumerations
start_of_set_enumeration
end_of_set_enumeration

```

We also assume that given a syntactically correct expression, customers know about its corresponding parse tree. For example, the parse tree for the expression  $((1 + 2) * 3)$  looks like:

```

      MULTIPLICATION
    +---^---+
  ADDITION   3
+---^---+
1       2

```

Notice that delimiters (i.e., left and right parentheses) are not necessary to be included in the parse tree, but they are useful in disambiguating the order of simplification.

Assuming that customers have the above parse tree in mind, they will specify the expression, using the agreed abstract user interface, by gradually specifying sub-expressions via a *pre-order* traversal of the tree<sup>1</sup>:

```

multiplication
addition
int_value (1)
int_value (2)
int_value (3)

```

In-between the events, since the expression being specified is not completed yet, your software must warn the users that both **analyze** (which performs type checking) and **simplify** (which attempts to evaluate) are not allowed. On the other hand, after all 5 events above have occurred, users would expect: **1**) that the occurrence of event **analyze** reports that the specified expression is type-correct; and **2**) that the occurrence of event **simplify** reports that the result is 9. All these small details are related to how your software should inform users of the current state of the tool, before and after the occurrence of each event. We will discuss how you should display the state of your tool in the next section.

<sup>1</sup>A pre-order traversal of the tree first visits the root, then recursively visits the left subtree, and then recursively visits the right subtree.



## 7 Outputting the Abstract State

For the purpose of using your implemented tool, users need to be informed of: **1)** the expression currently being specified; and **2)** whether the last event that occurred was a success, or resulted in an error. These two pieces of information constitute the *abstract*<sup>2</sup> state of your tool.

As an example, consider the following use case where the user attempts to analyze and simplify the expression  $((1 + 2) * 3)$ :

```
Expression currently specified: ?
Report: Expression is initialized.
->multiplication
Expression currently specified: (? * nil)
Report: OK.
->addition
Expression currently specified: ((? + nil) * nil)
Report: OK.
->int_value(1)
Expression currently specified: ((1 + ?) * nil)
Report: OK.
->analyze
Expression currently specified: ((1 + ?) * nil)
Report: Error (Expression is not yet fully specified).
->int_value(2)
Expression currently specified: ((1 + 2) * ?)
Report: OK.
->int_value(3)
Expression currently specified: ((1 + 2) * 3)
Report: OK.
->analyze
Expression currently specified: ((1 + 2) * 3)
Report: ((1 + 2) * 3) is type-correct.
->simplify
Expression currently specified: ((1 + 2) * 3)
Report: 9
```

In the above expected use case of your tool, the occurrence of each event is preceded by “dash-greater-than” (->). The initial state of the tool (before the first event occurs) is one where the expression object is initialized. After the occurrence of each event, your software is also expected to display its post-state. Observe that for any two consecutive event occurrences, the post-state of the earlier event occurrence is at the same time the pre-state of the later event occurrence.

The string representation of the abstract state consists of two lines:

- The first line starts with the header “Expression currently specified: ” and is followed by the pretty-printing of the expression that has so far been input by the user.

The pretty printing of the expression should display both operators (see Table 1) and their operands. For operands that have not yet been specified, your software should print each one of them as `nil`. However, as a special case, to help users keep track of their progress, the next (sub-)expression that your software expects to be entered, as far as the assumed pre-order is concerned, should be printed as `?` instead. That is, at any one time, the pretty printing of the expression contains at most one `?`, but may contain multiple `nil`’s.

---

<sup>2</sup>The term “abstract” here suggests that we show only the relevant information to users, by filtering out all other (implementation-related) details of your software.

Message	Context
Expression is initialized.	When the tool is first started.
OK.	When the tool is successfully reset, or when the last expression input by the user was a success.
Error (Expression is not yet fully specified).	When the user attempts to analyze or simplify, but the expression being specified has not yet been completed.
Error (Expression is already fully specified).	When the user attempts to add a new sub-expression, or to close a set enumeration, but the expression being specified has been completed already.
Error (Expression is not type-correct).	When the user attempts to simplify the expression that has been completely specified but is not type-correct.
Error (Initial expression cannot be reset).	When the user attempts to reset the expression immediately after it is initialized or re-initialized.
Error (Divisor is zero).	When the user attempts to simplify a division where the divisor is zero.
Error (Set enumeration is not being specified).	When the user attempts to close a set enumeration, but there is currently not a pending set enumeration.
Error: (Set enumeration must be non-empty).	When the user attempts to close a pending set enumeration, but no member expressions have been specified for that set enumeration.

Table 2: Messages: String Values and When to Report Them

- The second line starts with the header “Report: ” and is followed by a message of either: **1)** a success, if the event occurrence that resulted in the current state was a *legitimate* one; or **2)** an error. Table 2 summarizes the list of messages that your software, when appropriate, must report.

All possible errors should be reflected as feature preconditions of your tool (in the *model* cluster). However, reporting the violations of these preconditions (as errors) must be done on the side of the abstract user interface (i.e., the corresponding descendant class of *ETF\_COMMAND*). When an error occurs, only the corresponding error message is reported back to the user, whereas the state of your tool *must* remain unchanged.

## 8 Getting Started

First of all, make sure you have already acquired the basic knowledge about the Eiffel Testing Framework (ETF) as detailed in Section 2. Download the **expression.zip** file from the course moodle page and unzip it. The text file **expression\_events.txt** is for you to generate the ETF project for your tool application. The input files (e.g., **at1.txt**, **at2.txt**, *etc.*) are **simple** use cases for you to test your software. The corresponding expected output files (e.g., **at1.expected.txt**, **at2.expected.txt**, *etc.*) contain outputs that your software must produce to match. You are advised to, before start coding, study the given expected output files carefully, in order to obtain certain reasonable sense of how your tool is supposed to behaviour.

All your development will go into this downloaded directory, and when you make the submission, you must submit this directory. To begin your development, follow these steps:

1. Open a new command-line terminal. Change the current directory into this downloaded directory, type the following command to generate the ETF project:

```
etf -new expression_events.txt .
```

Notice that there is a dot (.) at the end to denote the current directory.

2. There are two **expression** directories: one is the top-level directory that contains all generated ETF code and your development; the other is the sub-directory that contains the code of your model of tool. **When you submit, make sure that you submit the top-level **expression** directory.**
3. Open the generated project in Eiffel Studio by typing:

```
estudio18.07 expression.ecf &
```

4. Once the generated project compiles successfully in Eiffel Studio, go to the *ROOT* class in the *root* cluster. Change the implementation of the *switch* feature as:

```
switch: INTEGER
  -- Running mode of ETF application
  do
  -- Result := etf_gui_show_history -- GUI mode
    Result := etf_cl_show_history
  -- Result := unit_test -- Unit Testing mode
  end
```

This overrides the default GUI mode of the generated ETF. To make it take effect, re-compile the project in Eiffel Studio.

5. Switch back to the terminal and type the following command:

```
EIFGENs/expression/W_code/expression
```

Then you should see this output (rather than launching the default GUI of ETF):

```
Error: a mode is not specified
Run 'EIFGENs/expression/W_code/expression -help' to see more details
```

6. As you develop your ETF project for the expression, launch the batch mode of the executable. For example:

```
EIFGENs/expression/W_code/expression -b at1.txt
```

This prints the output to the terminal. To redirect the output to a file, type:

```
EIFGENs/expression/W_code/expression -b at1.txt > at1.actual.txt
```

The **at1.actual.txt** file stores the *actual* output from your current software, and your goal is to make sure that **at1.actual.txt** is identical to **at1.expected.txt** by typing:

```
diff at1.expected.txt at1.actual.txt
```

or typing:

```
meld at1.expected.txt at1.actual.txt
```

Of course, the actual output file produced by the default project is far from being identical to the expected output file.

7. You should first aim to have your software produce outputs that are identical to those of the expected output files (i.e., `at1.expected.txt`, `at2.expected.txt`, *etc.*).
8. Then, as you develop further for your ETF project, create as many acceptance test files of your own as possible. Examine the outputs and make sure that they are consistent with the requirements as stated in this document.
9. About one week before the project due date, you will be given an *oracle* program for you to test if your software and the oracle produce identical outputs on all of your acceptance test files. You may want to write a shell script program to automate the workflow of generating and comparing outputs. **You certainly want to finish all your development before the oracle program is made available to you, so that if you find any inconsistencies of outputs, you still have sufficient time to debug and fix.**

## 9 Modification of the Cluster Structure

You must not change signatures of any of the classes or features that are generated by the ETF tool (the only exception is the `ETF_MODEL` and `ETF_MODEL_CLASS` in the *model* cluster, for which you may make any modifications). You may only add your own clusters or classes to the *model* cluster as you consider necessary. However, when you add a new cluster, it is absolutely critical for you to make sure that a **relative path** (i.e., a path that is relative to the current project directory . and does not start with `/`) is specified to add that cluster in the project setting. **Specifying an absolute path in your project will make your submitted project fail to compile when being graded, and this will result in an immediate zero for your marks with no excuses.** So please, make sure you pay extra attention to all clusters that you add to the project.

## 10 Submission

### 10.1 Checklist before Submission

1. Similar to the assignment, put a **team.txt** file in the **docs** directory by including the CSE login names of yourself, and of your team partner(s) (if you choose to work as a group of two or three). Here is an example of the contents of **team.txt** (with two members in the team):

```
cse123456
cse654321
```

2. Make sure the *ROOT* class in the *root* cluster has its *switch* feature defined as:

```
switch: INTEGER
  -- Running mode of ETF application
do
-- Result := etf_gui_show_history -- GUI mode
  Result := etf_cl_show_history
-- Result := unit_test -- Unit Testing mode
end
```

3. Compile and print off a report including:

- A cover page that clearly indicates: 1) course; 2) semester; 3) names; 4) CSE logins of the team member(s); and 5) CSE login of the submitting account;
- Three BON diagrams for your design, which should contain exactly 3 pages:
  - Page 1 details the relationships between all relevant classes. All classes are shown in the concise view.
  - Page 2 details the architecture of your design that models the expression language structure. You must show the critical class(es) in the expanded view (with contracts).
  - Page 3 details the architecture of your design that models the three language operations. You must show the critical class(es) in the expanded view (with contracts).
- With no page limit, explain in details how your design (not the design of the generated ETF project) for the expression obeys the following design principles:
  - Information Hiding (what is hidden and may be changed? what is not hidden and stable?)
  - Single Choice Principle
  - Open-Close Principle
  - Uniform Access Principle
  - Any other design principle that we discussed in lectures
- You must also include the draw.io XML source file of your bon diagram and its exported PDF in the **docs** directory when you make your electronic submission. **If the TA cannot find, in the docs directory, the draw.io XML source and PDF files of your BON diagrams, you will immediately lose 50% of your marks for that part of the project.**

## 10.2 Submitting Your Work

Both hard-copy and electronic submissions are required.

- **Hard-Copy Submission**

1. By the due date, drop the print-out of the report into the EECS3311 dropbox. **You will receive zero mark for the report if the TA cannot collect it from the dropbox.**

- **Electronic Submission**

1. You are expected to submit from a Prism lab terminal.
2. Produced outputs by your program must be **identical** to those produced by the oracle. You are responsible for testing enough input cases with the oracle give to you.
3. Each team must make their submission from only a single CSE account.
4. There are two **expression** directories: one is the top-level directory that contains all generated ETF code and your development; the other is the sub-directory that contains the code of your model of expression. **When you submit, make sure you submit the top-level **expression** directory.**
5. Go to the directory containing the top-level **expression** project directory:
  - 5.1 Run the following command to remove the **EIFGENs** directory:

```
eclean expression
```

- 5.2 Run the following command to make your submission:

```
submit 3311 project expression
```

A check program will be run on your submission to make sure that you pass the basic checks (e.g., the code compiles, passes the given tests, *etc*). After the check is completed, feedback will be printed on the terminal, or you can type the following command to see your feedback:

```
feedback 3311 project
```

In case the check feedback tells you that your submitted project has errors, you must fix them and re-submit. Therefore, you may submit for as many times as you want before the submission deadline, to at least make sure that you pass all basic checks.

**Note.** You will receive zero for submitting a project that cannot be compiled.

## 11 Questions

There might be unclarity, typos, or even errors in this document. It is **your responsibility** to bring them up, early enough, for discussion. Questions related to the project requirements are expected to be posted on the on-line course forum. It is also **your responsibility** to frequently check the forum for any clarifications/changes on the project requirements.

## 12 Amendments