Bohan Zhou

Learning Diverse Bimanual Dexterous Manipulation Skills from Human Demonstrations

Bohan Zhou, Haoqi Yuan, Yuhui Fu, Zongqing Lu

01/22/2026

Motivation

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

Bimanual manipulation is fundamental for everyday tasks.

- **“symmetry" collaborative tasks** (*e.g.* lifting a heavy box)
- **“asymmetry” tasks** (*e.g.* twisting a bottle cap)

Bimanual robotic dexterous manipulation is largely unexplored.

- **High DOF** (*e.g.* Shadow Dexterous Hand DOF=5+4*4+6=27)
- **Coordination** (*e.g.* wringing towels, sewing clothes, playing the piano, tying shoelaces, assembling parts)
- **Few Benchmarks** (*e.g.* Bi-DexHands [1], more focus on single hand / grippers)

We would ask:

“Can we learn diverse bimanual dexterous manipulation skills in a unified and scalable way?”

[1] Chen, Yuanpei, et al. Towards human-level bimanual dexterous manipulation with reinforcement learning. NeurIPS 2022.

Introduction

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

Our answer:

A unified and scalable framework, generally learning diverse bimanual dexterous manipulation skills from human demonstrations

Feature	BiDexHD	Related Work
Task	Automatically construct diverse bimanual tasks from human demonstrations	Focus on existing benchmarks or a limited range of tasks
Solution	Solving tasks using a generally-designed two-stage reward function	Tailor specific reward function to specific tasks

BiDexHD is evaluated across **141** constructed tool-using tasks over **6** categories from **TACO** dataset and **11** collaborative tasks from **ARCTIC** dataset, demonstrating zero-shot capabilities and scalability.

Formulation

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

Bimanual Dataset	$\mathcal{D} = \{\tau^1, \tau^2, \ldots, \tau^M\}$
Trajectory Representation	$\tau^i = \{\mathbf{h}^{\text{tool}}, \mathbf{h}^{\text{object}}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t^{\text{tool}}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t^{\text{tool}}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}_t^{\text{object}}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t^{\text{object}}, \Theta_t^{\text{left}}, \Theta_t^{\text{right}}\}_{t:1..N}^i$ $\mathbf{h}^{\text{tool}}, \mathbf{h}^{\text{object}} \in \mathcal{H}$ object mesh; $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ position; $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^4$ orientation; MANO parameters; trajectory length
Triplet (action, tool, object)	$\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V} \times \Omega \times \Omega$ task verb object set
MANO Model	$\{V_t^{\text{side}}, J_t^{\text{side}}\} = \text{MANO}(\Theta_t^{\text{side}}),\ \text{side} \in \{\text{left}, \text{right}\}$ $V \in \mathbb{R}^{778\times 3}\ J \in \mathbb{R}^{21\times 3}$
MANO Parameters	$\Theta = \{\alpha, \beta, \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{\text{w}}\}\quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{48}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{10}$ (PCA)

Methodology

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

Phase 1: Constructing single bimanual task from a human demonstration (parallelly)

Phase 2: Learning diverse state-based policies via multi-task reinforcement learning

Phase 3: Distill a group of learned policies into a vision-based policy for deployment



Phase 1: Task Construction

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

Phase 1: Constructing single bimanual task from a human demonstration (parallelly)

Phase 2: Learning diverse state-based policies via multi-task reinforcement learning

Phase 3: Distill a group of learned policies into a vision-based policy for deployment



Phase 1: Task Construction

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

arm-hand joint angles & velocities | wrist poses | fingertip positions | object positions, orientations, linear & angular velocities, unique identifier

$$o_t^{\text{side}} = \{(\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{v})^{\text{side}}, (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q})^{\text{side,w}}, \mathbf{x}^{\text{side,ft}}, (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \text{id})^{\text{obj}}\}_t^{\text{side}}$$

$$\text{where} \quad \text{side, obj} \in \{(\text{left, object}), (\text{right, tool})\}$$

- Joint angles & velocities are set to **zero**. States of wrist and fingertips are calculated from forward kinematics.
- All objects are initialized to poses sampled from a fixed Gaussian distribution $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}^{\text{side}}, \sigma)$.
- Hand joint angles are optimized from human hand motions via **AnyTeleop** and arm joint angles are calculated via **inverse kinematics (IK)** based on the robot's palm base pose.



Methodology

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

Phase 1: Constructing single bimanual task from a human demonstration (parallelly)

Phase 2: Learning diverse state-based policies via multi-task reinforcement learning

Phase 3: Distill a group of learned policies into a vision-based policy for deployment



Phase 2: Multi-Task RL

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

- Target: learning a multi-task state-based policy for tasks that require similar behaviors via reinforcement learning
- Insight: **object-centric**, generalizable skill learning from the **object poses, without additional pre-grasp poses** estimated upon manipulated objects



Phase 2: Multi-Task RL

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

Stage 1. Simulation Alignment

Align the state of simulation to the first step in a trajectory by moving the tool or the target object from the initial pose to τ_0

- The left hand: approach, grasp or stabilize the target object
- The right hand: approach, grasp and hold the tool
- Success Detection: both objects reach the specified pose for a **sustained u-step duration**

Stage 2. Trajectory Tracking

Both hands are expected to maintain their hold and follow the pre-defined trajectory derived from the human demonstration dataset to perform the manipulations in sync.



Phase 2: Simulation Alignment

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

1. **Approaching reward**: encourages both dexterous hands to approach and remain close to the object

$$r_{\text{appro}}^{\text{side}} = -\|\mathbf{x}_t^{\text{side,w}} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{gc}}^{\text{obj}}\|_2 - w_r \sum^{m} \|\mathbf{x}_t^{\text{side,ft}} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{gc}}^{\text{obj}}\|_2$$

$$\text{where} \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{gc}}^{\text{obj}} = \frac{1}{L} \sum \text{NN}\left(\mathcal{P}, L, \frac{\hat{\mathbf{x}}_0^{\text{side,w}} + \sum^{m} \hat{\mathbf{x}}_0^{\text{side,ft}}}{m+1}\right)$$

Grasp center: first compute the mean of wrist & fingertip position at τ_0 as an anchor, then uniformly sample 1,024 surface points from the object mesh and take the centroid of the top-L nearest samples

Phase 2: Simulation Alignment

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

2. **Lifting reward**: encourages holding objects tightly in hands and lifting to desired reference poses

$$r_{\text{pos}}^{\text{side}} = \max\left(1 - \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_t^{\text{obj}} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_0^{\text{obj}}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}_0^{\text{obj}} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_0^{\text{obj}}\|_2}, 0\right)$$

$$r_{\text{quat}}^{\text{side}} = -\mathbb{D}_{\text{quat}}\left(\mathbf{q}_t^{\text{obj}}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}_0^{\text{obj}}\right)$$

$$r_{\text{lift}}^{\text{side}} = \left(r_{\text{pos}}^{\text{side}} + w_q r_{\text{quat}}^{\text{side}}\right) \cdot \mathbb{I}\left(\|\mathbf{x}_t^{\text{side,w}} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{gc}}^{\text{obj}}\|_2 \leq \lambda_{\text{w}}\right) \cdot \mathbb{I}\left(\sum^{m} \|\mathbf{x}_t^{\text{side,ft}} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{gc}}^{\text{obj}}\|_2 \leq \lambda_{\text{ft}}\right)$$



Phase 2: Simulation Alignment

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

3. **Bonus reward**: incentivizes the target object or the tool to keep staying at their reference poses

$$r_{\text{bonus}}^{\text{side}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1+\|\mathbf{x}_t^{\text{obj}} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_0^{\text{obj}}\|_2} & \text{if } \mathbb{I}\left(\|\mathbf{x}_t^{\text{obj}} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_0^{\text{obj}}\|_2 \leq \varepsilon_{\text{succ}}\right) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Stage one is considered successful only if both $r_{\text{bonus}}^{\text{left}}$ & $r_{\text{bonus}}^{\text{right}}$ are positive for at least u consecutive steps

The total alignment reward is the linear weighted sum

$$r_{\text{align}}^{\text{side}} = w_1 r_{\text{appro}}^{\text{side}} + w_2 r_{\text{lift}}^{\text{side}} + w_3 r_{\text{bonus}}^{\text{side}}$$

Stage 1: Simulation Alignment



Phase 2: Trajectory Tracking

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

Tracking reward: encourages the dexterous hands to precisely track the desired positions at each timestep in a trajectory starting from the reference timestep

$$r_{\text{track}}^{\text{side}} = \begin{cases} \exp\left(-w_t \|\mathbf{x}_{t_i}^{\text{obj}} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_i^{\text{obj}}\|_2\right) & \text{if stage 1 succeeds} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Tracking frequency *f* addresses human-robot gap:

$$i = \lceil t_i / f \rceil \in [0, l)$$

We adopt **IPPO** to learn a unified policy from:

$$r_{\text{total}}^{\text{side}} = r_{\text{align}}^{\text{side}} + w_4 r_{\text{track}}^{\text{side}}$$



Methodology

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

Phase 1: Constructing single bimanual task from a human demonstration (parallelly)

Phase 2: Learning diverse state-based policies via multi-task reinforcement learning

Phase 3: Distill a group of learned policies into a vision-based policy for deployment



Phase 3: Policy Distillation

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

Under the supervision of a group of state-based teacher policies, for each task category $\nu \in V$, We employ **Dagger** to distill vision-based $\pi_\phi^{\text{side}}(\mathbf{a}_t^{\text{side}} | \mathbf{o}_t^{\text{side}}, \mathbf{p}_t^{\text{side}}, \mathbf{a}_{t-1}^{\text{side}})$

- Object Pose → Object PointClouds $\mathbf{p}_t^{\text{side}} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times 3}$

  Pre-sample 4,096 surface points per object mesh; at each timestep, draw a **subset**, **transform** them by the current object pose, and add **Gaussian noise** for robustness

- Future Object Positions $\text{pc}_t^{\text{obj}} \in \mathbb{R}^{P \times 3}$

  It incorporates more information about the motion of objects (*e.g.* **movement direction and speed**) in the near future, facilitating zero-shot transfer

K	Train m_1	Train m_2	Test Comb m_1	Test Comb m_2	Test New m_1	Test New m_2
0	98.01	72.09	94.36	46.64	93.96	49.27
5	99.38	74.59	92.85	48.43	94.79	53.71

Experiments: Configuration

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

TACO [1] is a large-scale bimanual tool-using dataset.

- **6** categories = {Dust, Empty, Pour in some, Put out, Skim off, Smear}
- **141** human demonstrations, **80%** for training, **20%** for testing
  - **Test Comb**: objects in the training set, different behavior
  - **Test New**: target object or tool not in the training set

Metrics

$$\mathbb{I}_1: \ \exists 0 < t < T - u \sum_{t}^{t+u} \prod^{\{\text{tool,object}\}} \mathbb{I}\left(\|\mathbf{x}_t^{\text{obj}} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_0^{\text{obj}}\|_2 \leq \varepsilon_{\text{succ}}\right) \cdot \mathbb{I}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\text{quat}}(\mathbf{q}_t^{\text{obj}}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}_0^{\text{obj}}) \leq \varepsilon_{\text{succ}}\right) = u$$

$$\text{m}_2 = \frac{1}{nl} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum^{l-1} \prod^{\{\text{tool,object}\}} \mathbb{I}\left(\|\mathbf{x}_{t_i}^{\text{obj}} - \mathbf{x}_i^{\text{obj}}\|_2 \leq \varepsilon_{\text{track}}\right) \cdot \mathbb{I}\left(\mathbb{D}_{\text{quat}}(\mathbf{q}_{t_i}^{\text{obj}}, \mathbf{q}_i^{\text{obj}}) \leq \varepsilon_{\text{track}}\right)$$

[1] Liu, Yun, et al. Taco: Benchmarking generalizable bimanual tool-action-object understanding. CVPR 2024.

Experiments: Results

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

- **BiDexHD-IPPO** achieves near-complete stage-one success and high tracking quality for seen objects
- **BiDexHD-IPPO+DAgger** significantly outperforms both PPO variant and BC
- Explanation for poor performance of **BC**:

  (1) Only one available demonstration for each task (2) Lack of kinematics & dynamics

Method	Train m_1(%)	Train m_2(%)	Test Comb m_1(%)	Test Comb m_2(%)	Test New m_1(%)	Test New m_2(%)
BiDexHD-PPO	90.55	53.88	78.74	36.99	**81.42**	**26.24**
BiDexHD-IPPO (w/o stage-1)	25.00	17.52	24.80	18.10	19.85	08.51
BiDexHD-IPPO (w/o gc)	90.53	66.39	91.47	52.11	77.03	22.63
BiDexHD-IPPO (w/o bonus)	97.67	66.65	98.01	59.76	77.96	17.52
BiDexHD-IPPO	**98.71**	**78.18**	**98.37**	**59.94**	75.48	21.34
BC	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00
BiDexHD-PPO+DAgger	95.35	55.82	76.75	30.42	86.34	30.00
BiDexHD-IPPO+DAgger	**99.38**	**74.59**	**92.85**	**48.43**	**94.79**	**53.71**

Experiments: Visualization

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

State-Based Policy Training



Vision-Based Policy Inference



Experiments: Scalability

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

ARCTIC [1] focuses on bimanual cooperative tasks of a single object. We build up **11** tasks in total, **8** for multi-task training, **3** for testing.

Metrics (%)	BiDexHD-IPPO	BiDexHD-IPPO+DAgger
Train m_1	93.67	90.98
Train m_2	86.75	80.49
Test New m_1	80.31	88.62
Test New m_2	53.47	65.99

[1] Fan, Zicong, et al. ARCTIC: A dataset for dexterous bimanual hand-object manipulation. CVPR 2023.

Conclusion

北京大学 PEKING UNIVERSITY

Contributions

The three-phase framework, BiDexHD, unifies constructing and solving tasks from human bimanual datasets instead of existing benchmarks, providing a scalable solution to diverse bimanual manipulation tasks, and paving the way for deployment.

Future Extensions

- Explore adaptive strategies to achieve more precise spatial and temporal tracking.
- Incorporate a wider variety of real-world tasks, such as deformable object manipulation and bimanual handover.

北京大学
PEKING UNIVERSITY

Thanks

Bohan Zhou

2026.1.22