## NODEA Note

# 1 Basic Knowledge

**Def of ODE & ODEs**: (1st order) ODE:  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t,y)$  & ODEs:  $\frac{dy}{dt} = \mathbf{f}(t,\mathbf{y})$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1,...,y_d)^T$ ,  $\mathbf{f}(t,\mathbf{y}) = (f_1(t,\mathbf{y}),...,f_d(t,\mathbf{y}))^T$  **Autonomous**:  $\frac{dy}{dt} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}) \Rightarrow \text{ autonomous ODE}(\mathbf{s})$ .  $|| \Downarrow \text{ New Autonomous ODEs}: \frac{dy}{ds} = \mathbf{f}(y_{d+1},\mathbf{y}) \text{ and } \frac{dy_{d+1}}{ds} = 1$ • **Change to Autonomous**: For  $\frac{dy}{dt} = \mathbf{f}(t,\mathbf{y})$ . Let  $y_{d+1} = t$  and *new* independent variable s s.t.  $\frac{dt}{ds} = 1$ 

**Linearity**: ODE:  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y)$  is linearity if f(t, y) = a(t)y + b(t) || ODEs: If each ODE is linear, then the ODEs are linear.

**Picard's Theorem**: If f(t,y) is continuous in  $D := \{(t,y) : t_0 \le t \le T, |y-y_0| < K\}$  and  $\exists L > 0$  (Lipschitz constant) s.t.

 $\forall (t,u), (t,v) \in D \quad |f(t,u)-f(t,v)| \leq L|u-v| \text{ (ps:Can use MVT)}. \text{ And Assume that } M_f(T-t_0) \leq K, M_f := \max\{|f(t,u)|: (t,u) \in D\}$  $\Rightarrow$  **Then**,  $\exists$  a unique continuously differentiable solution y(t) to the IVP  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t,y), y(t_0) = y_0$  on  $t \in [t_0,T]$ .

**Existence & Uniqueness Theorem**: IVP  $\frac{d\mathbf{y}}{dt} = \mathbf{f}(t, \mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y}(t_0) = \mathbf{y}_0$ . If f(t, y) and  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i}$  are continuous in a neighborhood of  $(t_0, \mathbf{y}_0)$ . ⇒ **Then**,  $\exists I := (t_0 - \delta, t_0 + \delta)$  s.t.  $\exists$  a unique continuously differentiable solution  $\mathbf{y}(t)$  to the IVP on  $t \in I$ .

# Acknowledge

| Notation                | Meaning  | Notation               | Meaning                                 |
|-------------------------|--|------------------------|---|
| [ <i>a</i> , <i>b</i> ] | Approximate function for $t \in [a, b]$  | $t_0 = a \mid t_N = b$ | Assume that $t_0 = a$ , $t_N = b$       |
| N                       | number of <b>timesteps</b> (i.e. Break up interval [a, b] into N equal-length sub-intervals) | h                      | <b>stepsize</b> $(h = \frac{b-a}{N})$   |
| $t_i$                   | Define $N + 1$ points: $t_0, t_1,, t_N$  | $t_m$                  | $t_m = a + h \cdot m = t_0 + h \cdot m$ |
| $y_i$                   | Approximation of $y$ at point $t = t_i$ (Except $y_0$ )                                      | $y(t_i)$               | Exact value of $y$ at point $t = t_i$   |

# **Euler's Method and Taylor Series Method**

**Euler's Method Algorithm**: Approx  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), y(t_0) = y_0$  Euler Method:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(t_0 + nh, y_n) = y_n + hf(t_n, y_n)$ 

By Taylor Series, for Euler Method, we have:  $|le_n| \leq |y''(\tau) \cdot \frac{h^2}{2}|$  where  $\tau \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$ 

**Lemma**: If  $v_{n+1} \le Av_n + B \implies \text{Then } v_n \le A^n v_0 + \frac{A^{n-1}}{A-1}B$  If |y''| < M and  $v_n = e_n := y_n - y(t_n)$ , then A = 1 + hL,  $B = h^2 M/2$ 

**Boundedness Theorem**|**Euler Method**: For  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y), y(a) = y_0$ :

 $\exists$  1 unique, 2 twice differentiable, solution y(t) on [a,b], 3y is continuous and  $4 |\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}| \leq L$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  the solution  $y_n$  given by Euler's method satisfies:  $e_n = |y_n - y(t_n)| \le Dh$ ,  $D = e^{(b-a)L} \frac{M}{2L}$ 

**Order Notation (** $\mathcal{O}$ **)**: we write  $z(h) = \mathcal{O}(h^p)$  if  $\exists \mathcal{C}, h_0 > 0$  s.t.  $|z| \le \mathcal{C}h^p, 0 < h < h_0$ 

**Flow Map (** $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi$ **)**: Consider  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y)$ .

- 1. **Exact Flow Map (** $\Phi$ **)**:  $\Phi_{t_n,h}(y_n) = y(t_n+h)$  代表假设  $y(t_n) = y_n$  的情况下,输入  $y_n$  在  $t_n+h$  时刻的精确值; 当不写  $t_n$  角标时,默认要算的前一个时间点已知/精确
- 2. Numerical Flow Map ( $\Psi$ ):  $\Psi_{t_n,h}(y_n) = y_{n+1}$  代表假设  $y(t_n) = y_n$  的情况下,输入  $y_n$  在  $t_n + h$  时刻的数值解;  $(x_n) = y_n + h$ **Remark**:  $\Phi_h(y(t_n)) = y(t_n + h)$   $\Psi_h(y(t_n)) = y_{n+1}$

**Find**: Generally, use  $\Phi_{t_0,h}(y_0) = y(t_0 + h)$  to find  $y(t_0 + h)$ ; and  $\Psi(y)$ : Numerical method for ODE.

**Find Numerical Method**| **Taylor Series Method**: Approx  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t, y)$ ,  $y(t_0) = y_0$  with *n-order Methods* 

- 1. **Method**: 通过泰勒展开精确解, 取前 n 项作为近似解, 从而得到数值解.
- 2. **Taylor Series for**  $\Phi$ :  $\Phi_{t,h}(y) = y + hf(t,y) + \frac{1}{2}h^2[f_t(t,y) + f_y(t,y)f(t,y)] + \frac{1}{6}y'''(t,y)h^3 + \cdots$  (For one variable y) ps:  $y' = f_t + f_y f_t$
- 3. **Taylor Series**:  $y(t_0 + h) = y(t_0) + hy'(t_0) + \frac{h^2}{2}y''(t_0) + \dots + \frac{h^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}y^{(n-1)}(t_0) + \frac{h^n}{n!}y^{(n)}(t^*), t^* \in [t, t+h]$

# **Convergence of One-Step Methods** consider for autonomous y' = f(y)

# Convergence | Consistent | Stable

**Global Error**: global error after n steps:  $e_n := y_n - y(t_n)$  **Local Error**: For *one-step* method is:  $le(y,h) = \Psi_h(y) - \Phi_h(y)$ ps: More Exactly,  $le_n = \Psi_h(y(t_n)) - \Phi_h(y(t_n))$ .

 $\textbf{Consistent} \text{: If } ||le(y,h)|| \leq Ch^{p+1} (\leq \mathcal{O}(h^{p+1})), \ C>0. \Rightarrow \text{Consistent at order } p. \qquad \textbf{Stable} \text{: If } ||\Psi_h(u) - \Psi_h(v)|| \leq (1+h\hat{L})||u-v||$ 

# More One-Step Methods | Runge-Kutta Methods | Collocation

**Construction of More General one-step Method**: For y' = f(y),  $y(t_0) = y_0 \Rightarrow y(t+h) - y(t) = \int_t^{t+h} f(y(\tau)) d\tau$ **Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials**: For function p(x). Consider points:  $(c_1, g_1), ..., (c_s, g_s)$ . where  $p(c_i) = g_i$ .

- 1. Lagrange Interpolating Polynomials: Let  $\ell_i(x) = \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^s \frac{x c_j}{c_i c_j} \in \mathbb{P}_{s-1}$
- 2. **Polynomial Interpolation**:  $\exists ! p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} g_i \ell_i(x)$  (Can be proved by Honour Algebra)

**Interpolatory Quadrature**: 对于函数  $g(t) \in \mathbb{P}_{p-1}$ , 我可以通过插值求积的方法来近似求解积分;以下展示 [a,b] 上的插值求积。

- 1. Choose  $c_i$  points in [a,b]:  $c_1,\ldots,c_s$ . Let  $g_i=g(c_i)$ . By using  $c_i,g_i$ , we can get  $\ell_i(x)$ .
- 2. Define weights:  $b_i := \int_a^b \ell_i(x) dx$ . Then  $\int_a^b g(t) dt \approx \sum_{i=1}^s b_i g(c_i)$ .

Copyright By Jingren Zhou | Page 1

One-Step Collocation Methods: 对于 y' = f(y),  $y_{n+1} = y_n + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} f(y(t)) dt$ , 通过 Interpolatory Quadrature 来近似求解积分. 为了简化,考虑 autonomous 的情况

- 1. Choose  $c_1, ..., c_s$  in [0, 1], consider  $t_i = t_n + c_i h$ , then  $t_i \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$ .
- 2. Let  $F_i = f(y(t_i))$ , then we can get  $\ell_i(x)$  which pass through  $(c_i, F_i)$ .
- 3. Let weights:  $b_i = \int_0^1 \ell_i(x) dx$ , and  $a_{ij} = \int_0^{c_i} \ell_j(x) dx$ . Then  $\star y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^s b_i F_i$ .
- 4. Moreover, we can get:  $F_i = f(Y_i)$ , where  $Y_i = y_n + h \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij} F_j$ . ps: More Exactly,  $Y_i = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i f(t_n + c_i h, Y_i)$  and  $y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i f(t_n + c_i h, Y_i)$

**Remark**: For choice of  $c_i$ : The optimal choice is attained by *Gauss-Legendre collocation methods*.

e.g. 
$$s = 1$$
:  $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ ;  $s = 2$ :  $c_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}$ ,  $c_2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}$ ;  $s = 3$ :  $c_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{15}}{10}$ ,  $c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $c_3 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{15}}{10}$ 

**Runge-Kutta Methods**: Let y' = f(y) here we consider the autonomous case. The RK method has following form:

- 1. **Stage Values**:  $Y_i = y_n + h \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij} f(Y_j)$   $i \in \{1, ..., s\}$   $F_i = f(Y_i)$
- 2. **Update**:  $y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i F_i = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i f(Y_i)$  For Autonomous:  $c_i = \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij}$ **Remark**: Flow-map:  $\Psi_h(y) = y + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i f(Y_i(y))$  ps:weights:  $b_i$ ; internal coefficients:  $a_i$

ps: We can using Butcher Table to represent the RK method (Appendix)

**Explicit**:  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $j \ge i$  (严格下三角行) **Implicit**:  $\exists a_{ij} \ne 0$  for  $j \ge i$  (Not Explicit)

## Accuracy of RK Method | Order Condition

**Some Notations**: If 
$$\mathbf{y} = f'(\mathbf{y})$$
 where  $f(\mathbf{y}) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ . Def  $f' = (\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial y_j})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$ ,  $1 \le j \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$  (fried)  $f'' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$  (fried)  $f' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$  (fried)  $f' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$  (fried)  $f' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$  (fried)  $f' = (\frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial y_j \partial y_k})$ ,  $1 \le i \le d$  (fried)  $f$ 

$$\Phi_h(y) = y + hf + \frac{h^2}{2}f'f + \frac{h^3}{2}[f''(f,f) + f'f'f] + \mathcal{O}(h^4)$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 If  $z'(0) = y', z''(0) = y'', ..., z^{(n)} = y^{(n)} \Rightarrow$  Convergent at order  $n$ 

# **Stability of Runge-Kutta Methods** consider for autonomous y' = f(y)

## **Basic Definition for Stability**

**Fixed Point-Exact**: For ODEs  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$ , point  $y^*$  is fixed point if  $f(y^*) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Phi_t(y^*) = y^*$  Set of Fixed Points:  $\mathcal{F} = \{y^* \in \mathbb{R}^d : f(y^*) = 0\}$ 

**Fixed Point-Numerical**: *One-step* method  $\Psi_h(y)$ , point  $y^*$  is fixed point if  $y^* = \Psi_h(y^*)$  **Set of Fixed Points**:  $\mathcal{F}_h = \{y^* \in \mathbb{R}^d : y^* = \Psi_h(y^*)\}$ **Theorem**: For Runge-Kutta method,  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_h$ **Remark**:  $\mathcal{F}_h \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  is NOT always true. If  $\mathcal{F}_h = \mathcal{F}$ , then the method is **regular**.

· the point in  $\mathcal{F}_h \setminus \mathcal{F}$  is called **spurious fixed point**.

As  $h \to \infty$ , the *spurious* fixed points will tends to infinity.

 $\cdot$  **Remark**: For Euler's Method, it's regular. (i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_h = \mathcal{F}$ )

**Stability of Fixed Points**: Fixed point  $y^*$ , the ODEs  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$  with  $y(0) = y_0$ .

- 1. **Stable in the sense of Lyapunov**: Fixed point  $y^*$  is stable if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $||y_0 y^*|| < \delta \Rightarrow ||y(t; y_0) y^*|| < \varepsilon \ \forall t > 0$
- 2. **Asymptotically Stable**: Fixed point  $y^*$  is asymptotically stable if  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $||y_0 y^*|| < \delta \Rightarrow \lim_{t \to \infty} ||y(t; y_0) y^*|| = 0$
- 3. **Unstable**: Fixed point  $y^*$  is unstable if it's not stable. i.e.  $\exists \epsilon > 0, \forall \delta > 0$  s.t.  $||y_0 y^*|| < \delta \Rightarrow ||y(t) y^*|| \ge \varepsilon$  for some t.

#### **Classification of Fixed Points** 5.2

**Linearization Theorem**: Suppose  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$ ,  $y^*$  is a fixed point. Let  $J = f'(y^*)$  be the Jacobian matrix of f at  $y^*$ .

- 1. If  $\forall$  eigenvalues of J in left complex half plane, then  $y^*$  is **asymptotically stable**.
- 2. If  $\exists$  eigenvalues of I in right complex half plane, then  $y^*$  is **unstable**.

(Following is a special cases from HDE)

**Generalized Eigenvectors**: If  $\lambda$  is an repeated eigenvalue with eigenvalue  $\xi$  then:

Generalized Eigenvectors:  $\eta$  s.t.  $(A - \lambda I)\eta = \xi$ More generally:  $(A - \lambda I)\eta_n = \eta_{n-1}$ 

Classification of Critical Points at  $y^*$  (Linear):  $r_1, r_2$  be sol of  $det(J - \lambda I) = 0$ .  $|| \mathbb{C} : r = \lambda \pm i\mu(\mu > 0)$ If J constant, write sol:  $\mathbf{x} = c_1 e^{r_1 t} \xi_1 + c_2 e^{r_2 t} \xi_2$  || GM = 1:  $\mathbf{x} = c_1 e^{r t} \xi + c_2 e^{r t} (t \xi + \eta)$   $\int_{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x F(\mathbf{x}_0) & \partial_y F(\mathbf{x}_0) \\ \partial_x G(\mathbf{x}_0) & \partial_y G(\mathbf{x}_0) \end{pmatrix} \text{If } f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} F(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \\ G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \end{pmatrix}$ 

| R/C | Condition    Stability   | Type    Name                     | Phase Plane Description   | Other  |                     |
|-----|--|----------------------------------|---|--|---------------------|
|     | $r_1 < r_2 < 0 \mid\mid$ asy.stab  | N    NSk                         | 向原点, $\xi_2$ 直线, $\xi_1$ 曲线,and $\xi_1$ 周围 $y = \pm x^3$                                | $c_2 \neq 0, t \rightarrow \infty$ : $\xi_2$ 主导方向; $c_2 = 0, t \rightarrow \infty$ : $\xi_1$ 主导方向                                  | PS:                 |
| R   | $r_1 > r_2 > 0$    unstable  | N    NSo                         | 原点向外, $\xi_2$ 直线, $\xi_1$ 曲线,and $\xi_1$ 周围 $y = \pm x^3$                               | $c_1 \neq 0, t \rightarrow \infty$ : $\xi_1$ 主导方向; $c_1 = 0, t \rightarrow \infty$ : $\xi_2$ 主导方向                                  | N = Node            |
|     | $r_1 > 0 > r_2$    unstable  | 0 > $r_2$    unstable SP    SP   | $t \rightarrow \infty$ , $\xi_1$ 从原点向外, $\xi_2$ 从外向原点                                   | $t \to \pm \infty :  \mathbf{x}  \to \infty;  t \to \infty : c_1, c_2 \neq 0,  \mathbf{x}  \to \infty, \xi_1 \pm \theta;$          | PN = Proper Node    |
|     |  |                                  | and: 像 $y = \pm \frac{1}{r}$ , 同进同出   | $t \to \infty : c_2 = 0,  \mathbf{x}  \to \infty, \xi_1 \pm \theta;  t \to \infty : c_1 = 0,  \mathbf{x}  \to 0, \xi_2 \pm \theta$ | IN = Improper       |
| İ   | $r_1 = r_2 < 0$ , GM=2    asy.stab   | PN    PN or Stable Star          | 直线 向原点  | 直线, $u_1/u_2$ is $t$ independent   | or: Degenerate Node |
|     | $r_1 = r_2 > 0$ , GM=2    unstable   | PN    PN or Unstable Star        | 直线 从原点向外  | 直线, $u_1/u_2$ is $t$ independent   | SP = Saddle Point   |
|     | $r_1 = r_2 < 0$ , GM=1    asy.stab   | IN (AL:Type: SpP)    IN (Stable) | S 曲线, 向原点   | $t \to \infty$ , $ \mathbf{x}  \to 0$ , $\xi$ 主导 ps: 旋转方向大体和 $\eta + c_2 \xi$ 方向相同   | SpP = spiral point  |
|     | $r_1 = r_2 > 0$ , GM=1    unstable   IN (AL:Type: SpP)    IN (Unstable)   S 曲线, 从原点向外   $t \to \infty$ ,   $x$     $x$   $t \to \infty$ ,   $x$     $x$ |                                  | $t \to \infty$ , $ \mathbf{x}  \to \infty$ , $\xi$ 主导 ps: 旋转方向大体和 $\eta + c_2 \xi$ 方向相同 | or: Focus Point  |                     |
|     | $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda > 0$    unstable  | SpP    Unstable Focus            | 向外椭圆 (elliptical) 螺旋  | $t \to \infty$ , $ \mathbf{x}  \to \infty$ ps: 考虑 $J = (a, b; c, d)$ , 如果 bc>0, 顺时针,如果 bc<0, 逆时针                                   | C = Center          |
| C   | $\lambda \neq 0, \lambda < 0 \mid \mid asy.stab$   | SpP    Stable Focus              | 向内椭圆 (elliptical) 螺旋  | $t \rightarrow \infty$ , $ \mathbf{x}  \rightarrow 0$ ps: 考虑 $J = (a, b; c, d)$ , 如果 bc>0, 顺时针, 如果 bc<0, 逆时针                       | NSk = Nodal Sink    |
|     | $\lambda = 0 \mid \mid \text{stable (AL:Indeterminate)}$   | C (AL:C or SpP)    C             | 椭圆 (elliptical) and 半长轴 ξ 实部方向  | Bounded trajectory or ∃ Periodic Trajectories  | NSo = Nodal Source  |

## 5.3 Stability of Fixed Points of Maps (Numerical)

**Definition**: For flow map  $\Psi$  from  $\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ . Def  $y^n(y_0) :=$  the n-th iterate of  $y_0$  under  $\Psi$ . i.e.  $y^n = y_n$ ;  $y_n = \Psi(y_{n-1})$ **Stability of Fixed Points of Maps**: Fixed point  $y^*$ , the map  $\Psi$  with  $y^* = \Psi(y^*)$ .

- 1. **Stable in the sense of Lyapunov**:  $y^*$  is stable if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $||y_0 y^*|| < \delta \Rightarrow ||y^n(y_0) y^*|| < \varepsilon \ \forall n \ge 0$
- 2. **Asymptotically Stable**:  $y^*$  is asymptotically stable if  $\exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $||y_0 y^*|| < \delta \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} ||y^n(y_0) y^*|| = 0$
- 3. **Unstable**:  $y^*$  is unstable if it's not stable. i.e.  $\exists \epsilon > 0, \forall \delta > 0$  s.t.  $||y_0 y^*|| < \delta \Rightarrow ||y^n(y_0) y^*|| \ge \varepsilon$  for some n. **Spectral Radius**: For matrix K,  $\rho(K) = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is eigenvalue of } K\}$

**Theorem|Spectral Radius**: Let  $z_n = ||K^n y_0||$ , where  $K \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is the matrix. Then:

- 1.  $\rho(K) < 1 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = 0$
- 2.  $\rho(K) > 1 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = \infty$
- 3. If  $\rho(K) = 1$  and eigenvalues of K are semisimple (i.e. No generalized eigenvector), then  $\{z_n\}$  is bounded.

**Theorem|Connect to Stability**: For smooth  $(C^2)$  map  $\Psi$ ,  $y^* = \Psi(y^*)$ . Let  $K = \Psi'(y^*)$ , for iteration  $y_{n+1} = \Psi(y_n)$ , we have:

- 1.  $\rho(K) < 1 \Rightarrow y^*$  is asymptotically stable
- 2.  $\rho(K) > 1 \Rightarrow y^*$  is unstable

## 5.4 Linear Stability of Numerical Methods

**Special Case|Euler Method**: For  $\frac{dy}{dt} = By$ , Using Euler method:  $y_{n+1} = (I + hB)y_n$ . where  $\lambda_i$  is eigenvalues of B. Assume  $f(y) = \lambda y$ 

- 1. The origin is *stable* if  $||I + h\lambda_i|| \le 1 \ \forall i$
- 2. The origin is asymptotically stable if  $|I + h\lambda_i| < 1 \forall i$
- 3. The origin is *unstable* if |I + hB|| > 1

ps: 即  $h\lambda_i$  在复平面上以 z = -1 为圆心, 半径为 1 的圆内 ← 称为 Region of absolute stability

**Stability function** *R*, *P*: Let *P* be polynomial function and *R* be rational function.

If RK is *explicit*, then  $y_{n+1} = P(\mu)y_n$ ; If RK is *implicit*, then  $y_{n+1} = R(\mu)y_n$ 

$$I.Y_i = y_n + \mu \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij}Y_j \quad (Y = y_n \mathbf{1} + \mu AY) \qquad y_{n+1} = y_n + \mu \sum_{j=1}^s b_j Y_j = y_n + \mu b^T Y$$

$$II.P(\mu) = 1 + \mu b^T (I - \mu A)^{-1} \mathbf{1}$$

$$III.V_i = P(\mu)V_i \quad \text{where } \mu = P(\mu)$$

Stability function  $R(\mu)$ |Special Case: For  $\frac{dy}{dt} = \lambda y$  All RK methods can be written as: where:  $b^T$ , A are from Butcher Table.  $\mathbf{1} = [1,...,1]^T$   $\mathbf{I}.Y_i = y_n + \mu \sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij}Y_j$   $(Y = y_n\mathbf{1} + \mu AY)$   $y_{n+1} = y_n + \mu \sum_{j=1}^s b_jY_j = y_n + \mu b^TY$   $\mathbf{II}.R(\mu) = 1 + \mu b^T(I - \mu A)^{-1}\mathbf{1}$  III.  $y_{n+1} = R(\mu)y_n$  where  $\mu = h\lambda$ Stability function  $R(\mu)$ |General: For  $\frac{dy}{dt} = By$  where:  $b^T$ , A are from Butcher Table. A, U  $\emptyset$  B  $\emptyset$  B

I. Let 
$$y_n = Uz_n$$
 and  $Y_i = UZ_i$ :

Then  $Z_i = z_n + h\sum_{j=1}^s a_{ij}\Lambda Z_j$   $(z_j^{(i)} = z_n^{(i)}\mathbf{1} + \mu AZ_j^{(i)} \ \forall i)$   $z_{n+1} = z_n + h\sum_{i=1}^s b_i\Lambda Z_i$   $(z_{n+1}^{(i)} = z_n^{(i)} + \mu\sum_{j=1}^s b_jZ_j^{(i)})$ 

II.  $\frac{dz}{dt} = \Lambda z$   $\Rightarrow \frac{dz^{(i)}}{dt} = \lambda_i z^{(i)}$   $\Rightarrow z_{n+1}^{(i)} = R(\mu)z_n^{(i)}$  where  $\mu = h\lambda_i$  (回到前一个)

Theorem: For  $\frac{dy}{dt} = By$  with  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d$  be eigenvalues of  $B$ . The RK method is  $stable | asy.stab$  at  $origin$  iff:

The Same method also *stable*| *asy.stab* at *origin* for  $\frac{dz}{dt} = \lambda_i z \ \forall i$ 

**Corollary**: For  $\frac{dy}{dt} = By$  with B diagonalizable. An RK Method with stability function  $R(\mu)$  is stable | asy.stab | unstable at origin iff:  $Assume f(y) = \lambda_i y$ 

 $|R(\mu)| \leq 1$  or  $|R(\mu)| < 1$  or  $|R(\mu)| > 1$   $\forall \mu = h\lambda_i \ \forall i$  we can write  $\sigma(B) = \{\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_d\}$  the set of eigenvalues of B

**Remark**: 这里的  $R(\mu)$  是指 B 分解后的每一个特征值  $\lambda_i$  的  $R(\mu)$ , 而不是 B 的  $R(\mu)$ 

# 5.5 Stability Region and A-stability

**Stability Region**:  $\frac{dy}{dt} = By$ . An RK method, the *stability region* is the set of  $\mu$  where  $\widehat{R}(\mu) = |R(\mu)| < 1$ .  $_{(f(y) = \lambda y, \text{ stability } p \in \mathbb{R}(\mu) \text{ in } p \in \mathbb{R}(\mu) \text{ stability } p \in \mathbb{R}(\mu) \text{ in } p \in \mathbb{R}(\mu) \text{ stability } p \in \mathbb{R}(\mu) \text{$ 

- 2. Trapezoidal Rule:  $\widehat{R}(\mu) = \left| \frac{1+\mu/2}{1-\mu/2} \right| \Rightarrow \mu \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |1+z/2| < |1-z/2| \}$  (left complex half-plane, A-stable)
- 3. Implicit Euler:  $\widehat{R}(\mu) = |1 \mu|^{-1}$   $\Rightarrow \mu \in \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |1 z| > 1\}$  (-1 处半径为 1 的圆外侧)
  4. RK4:  $\widehat{R}(\mu) = \left|1 + \mu + \frac{\mu^2}{2} + \frac{\mu^3}{6} + \frac{\mu^4}{24}\right| \Rightarrow \text{Using } R(\mu) = e^{i\theta} \text{ to find the region.}$ A-Stable: An RK method is A-stable if its stability region contains the entire left complex half-plane. (i.e.  $\Re(z) < 0$ )

# **Linear Multistep Methods** consider for autonomous y' = f(y)

Assume  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y)$  with  $y(t_0) = y_0$ . Let  $y'_n$  denote  $f(y_n)$ ; Let  $y'(t_n)$  denote  $f(y(t_n))$ 

## Derivation of LMM | Algebra Operators

**Linear Multistep Methods (LMM):** For k-step LMM:  $\alpha_k y_{n+k} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j f(y_{n+j})$  where  ${}^1\alpha_k \neq 0$ ,  ${}^2\alpha_0 \neq 0$  or  $\beta_0 \neq 0$   $\cdot$  ps: Usually, coefficients are *normalized* to have  $\alpha_k = 1$  or  $\sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j = 1$ . **Implicit**: If  $\beta_k \neq 0$  **Explicit**: If  $\beta_k = 0$ 

**AB Schemes Construction | Using Interpolation:** Adams-Bashforth schemes can be constructed by: Consider k points  $(t_{n+j}, y'_{n+j})$  for j = 0, ..., k-1.

- 1. Let  $\prod_{k}^{f}(t)$  be the *Lagrange polynomial* which passes through  $(t_{n+j}, y'_{n+j})$ .

2. The AB scheme is:  $y_{n+k}=y_{n+k-1}+\int_{t_{n+k-1}}^{t_{n+k}}\prod_k^f(t)dt$  Remark: Adams-Moulton schemes 同理: 考虑 k+1 points  $(t_{n+j},y'_{n+j})$  for j=0,...,k.

Then, we can found  $\widehat{\prod}_k^f(t)$ , and  $y_{n+k} = y_{n+k-1} + \int_{t_{n+k-1}}^{t_{n+k}} \widehat{\prod}_k^f(t) dt$ 

Copyright By Jingren Zhou | Page 3

Algebra Operators: Algebra Operators is a function which maps a function to another function.

- 1. **shift operator**:  $E_h g(t) = g(t+h)$ **forward difference operator**:  $\Delta_h g(t) = g(t+h) - g(t)$
- 2. **Identity Operator**: 1g(t) = g(t)**Differentiation operator**: Dg(t) = g'(t)
- 3. backward difference operator:  $\nabla_h g(t) = g(t) g(t-h)$

**Properties of Algebra Operators:** 

| $\Delta_h = E_h - 1$  | $E_h = e^{hD}$         | $e^{hD}=1+\Delta_h$                           | $D = \frac{1}{h} \ln[1 + \Delta_h]$  | $g(t) = e^{(t-t_n)D}g(t_n)$  | $g(t_{n+1}) = e^{hD}g(t_n)$                                      |
|---|------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| $E_h^{-1} = e^{-hD}$  | $D = -\frac{1}{h} \ln$ | $[E_h^{-1}] = -\frac{1}{h} \ln[1 - \nabla_h]$ |  | $D = \frac{1}{h} [\nabla_h + \cdot]$   | $\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{h}^{2} + \frac{1}{3}\nabla_{h}^{3} + \cdots$ |
| $e^{hD}g(t) = g(t+h) = g(t) + hDg(t) + \frac{h^2}{2}D^2g(t) + \cdots$ |                        |   | $g(t) = \left[1 + \frac{t - t_n}{1! \cdot h} \Delta_h - \frac{t - t_n}{1! \cdot h} \Delta_h - \frac{t - t_n}{1! \cdot h} \Delta_h - \frac{t - t_n}{1! \cdot h} \Delta_h \right]$ | $+\frac{(t-t_n)(t-t_n-h)}{2!\cdot h^2}\Delta_h^2+\frac{(t-t_n)(t-t_n-h)}{2!\cdot h^2}$ | $\frac{-t_n-h)(t-t_n-2h)}{3!\cdot h^3}\Delta_h^3+\cdots g(t_n)$  |

**BDF Method**: For y' = f(t, y(t)). Since Dy(t) = y'(t) and  $D = \frac{1}{h} [\nabla_h + \frac{1}{2} \nabla_h^2 + \frac{1}{3} \nabla_h^3 + \cdots]$ . we can get the BDF method by  $\frac{1}{h}[\nabla_h + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_h^2 + \frac{1}{3}\nabla_h^3 + \cdots]y(t) = f(t,y(t))$ . 选择 D 的前几项作为估计.

#### 6.2 Order of Accuracy | Consistency

First/Second Characteristic Polynomials: For k-step LMM:  $\sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j f(y_{n+j})$ , we define: First Poly:  $\rho(\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j \zeta^j$  Second Poly:  $\sigma(\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j \zeta^j$ 

First Poly: 
$$\rho(\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j \zeta^j$$
 Second Poly:  $\sigma(\zeta) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \beta_j \zeta^j$ 

**Linear Case**: For scalar, linear, test equation  $y' = \lambda y$ , we have  $\rho(\zeta) - h\lambda\sigma(\zeta) = 0$ .

$$\text{``General Solution'': } y_n = \mathcal{C}_1\zeta_1^n + ... + \mathcal{C}_k\zeta_k^n \quad \text{ where } \zeta_1,...,\zeta_k \text{ are roots of } \rho(\zeta) - h\lambda\sigma(\zeta) = 0.$$

**Residual**: 
$$r_n := \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j y(t_{n+j}) - h \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j y'(t_{n+j})$$
 Residual accumulated(累积) in the  $n+k-1$ -th step.

- 1. Taylor Series Expansion  $|y(t_{n+j}): y(t_{n+j}) = y(t_n) + jhy'(t_n) + \frac{j^2h^2}{2}y''(t_n) + \dots = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(jh)^i}{i!}y^{(i)}(t_n)$
- 2. **Taylor Series Expansion** $|y'(t_{n+j}): y'(t_{n+j}) = y'(t_n) + jhy''(t_n) + \frac{j^2h^2}{2}y'''(t_n) + \cdots = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(jh)^i}{i!}y^{(i+1)}(t_n)$  **Consistency**: An LMM is *consistent* if  $r_n = \mathcal{O}(h^{p+1})$  for all sufficiently smooth f. with p be the order of the method.

  1. **Test I**: LMM is *consistent* with order p if:  $\sum_{j=0}^{k} \alpha_j = 0$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{k} j^i \alpha_j = i \sum_{j=0}^{k} j^{i-1} \beta_j$  for i = 1, ..., p
- 2. **Test II**: LMM is *consistent* with order p if:  $\rho(e^z) z\sigma(e^z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{p+1})$ .
- 3. **Test III**: LMM is *consistent* with order p if:  $\frac{\rho(z)}{\log(z)} \sigma(z) = \mathcal{O}((z-1)^p)$ . **Remark**: Test I shows that:  $\rho(1) = 0 \Rightarrow 1$  is always a root of  $\rho(\zeta) = 0$ .
  - **Special Thing**: If it's consistent  $\Rightarrow \rho'(1) = \sigma(1)$

#### 6.3 Convergence of LMM

**Starting Procedure**: A LLM is incomplete without a starting procedure. (i.e. 需要初始值  $y_1, ..., y_{k-1}$ )

**Root Condition**: A LMM satisfies the *root condition* if:  $^{1}$  all roots of  $\rho(\zeta) = 0$  have modulus  $|\zeta| \leq 1$ .

<sup>2</sup> only one root of 
$$\rho(\zeta) = 0$$
 has modulus  $|\zeta| = 1$ .

Convergence Theorem: A k-step LMM with starting procedure satisfying  $\lim_{h\to 0} y_j = y(t_0+jh)$  for j=1,...,k-1. (i.e. 初始值  $y_j$  做飲到精确值  $y(t_0+jh)$ ) The LMM is convergent  $\Leftrightarrow$  LMM is consistent with  $p \ge 1$  and satisfies the root condition.

**Remark**: If starting procedure is p-th order accurate (i.e.  $y_i = y(t_0 + jh) + O(h^p)$ )  $\Rightarrow$  The LMM is convergent (with order p) i.e.  $\max_{0 \le n \le N} |y_n - y(t_n)| \le ch^p$ **Order of Convergence**: The *maximum* order *p* of a k-step LLM *satisfying the root condition* is:

p = k (Explicit Method); p = k + 1 (Implicit Method|odd k); p = k + 2 (Implicit Method|even k).

## 6.4 Stability

**Stability Region**: For a test problem  $y' = \lambda y$ , let  $z = h\lambda$ , then k-step LMM have, we consider the equation:  $\rho(\zeta) - z\sigma(\zeta) = 0$ .

The *stability region* is  $S = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \rho(\zeta) - z\sigma(\zeta) = 0 \text{ has all roots } \zeta \text{ with } |\zeta| < 1\}$ 

The boundary of stability region is  $\partial \mathcal{S} = \left\{ z \in \mathbb{C} : z = \frac{\rho(e^{i\theta})}{\sigma(e^{i\theta})}, \theta \in [-\pi, \pi] \right\}$ 

**A-Stable | Unconditionally Stable**: A LMM is *A-stable* if its *stability region* contains the entire *left complex half-plane*. (i.e.  $\Re(z) < 0$ ) **Theorem**: An A-stable LMM has order p < 2.

## **Appendix**

## 7.1 Common Numerical Method | Order Condition

## **One-step Methods:**

| Method                 | Formula  | Order                               | Stability   |
|------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| Euler's Method         | $y_{n+1} = y_n + h f(t_n, y_n)$  | 1                                   | $ 1+h\lambda <1$  |
| Backward Euler         | $y_{n+1} = y_n + h f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$  | 1                                   | $\left  \frac{1}{1-h\lambda} \right  < 1$ (A-stable)            |
| Trapezoidal Rule       | $y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h}{2} \left[ f(t_n, y_n) + f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \right]$                     | 2                                   | A-stable; $R(z) = \frac{1+z/2}{1-z/2}$                          |
| Midpoint Method        | $y_{n+1} = y_n + h f\left(t_n + \frac{h}{2}, y_n + \frac{h}{2}f(t_n, y_n)\right)$                  | 2                                   | $\left  1 + h\lambda + \frac{(h\lambda)^2}{2} \right  < 1$      |
| Heun's Method          | $y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h}{2} \Big[ f(t_n, y_n) + f \Big( t_{n+1}, y_n + h f(t_n, y_n) \Big) \Big]$ | 2                                   | $\left  1 + h\lambda + \frac{(h\lambda)^2}{2} \right  < 1$      |
| Theta Method           | $y_{n+1} = y_n + h \Big[ (1 - \theta) f(t_n, y_n) + \theta f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \Big]$              | 1 (or 2 if $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ ) | $R(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - \theta)z}{1 - \theta z}$                 |
| RK4 Method             | 见 Butcher Table  | 4                                   | $R(z) = 1 + z + \frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^3}{6} + \frac{z^4}{24}$ |
| 2-Stage Gauss-Legendre | $ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   | 4                                   | A-stable  |

### **Multi-step Methods:**

| Name   | Formula  | Step | Accuracy |  |
|--|--|------|----------|--|
| Leapfrog Method  | $y_{n+2} = y_n + 2h f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$   | 2    |          |  |
| Adams-Bashforth Method 1   | $y_{n+1} = y_n + h f(t_n, y_n)$  | 1    |          |  |
| Adams-Bashforth Method 2   | $y_{n+2} = y_{n+1} + \frac{h}{2} \left[ 3f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) - f(t_n, y_n) \right]$                            | 2    |          |  |
| Adams-Bashforth Method 3   | $y_{n+3} = y_{n+2} + \frac{h}{12} \left[ 23f(t_{n+2}, y_{n+2}) - 16f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) + 5f(t_n, y_n) \right]$ | 3    |          |  |
| Backward Differentiation Formula 2 $y_{n+2} = \frac{4}{3}y_{n+1} - \frac{1}{3}y_n + \frac{2h}{3}f(t_{n+2}, y_{n+2})$   |  |      |          |  |
| Backward Differentiation Formula 3   |  |      |          |  |
| Class of Adams-Moulton Methods: $\alpha_k = 1, \alpha_{k-1} = -1, \alpha_j = 0, \forall j < k-1$   Class of Backward Differentiation Formula (BDF): $\beta_j = 0, \forall j < k$ |  |      |          |  |

#### **RK Order Condition**

1. **order 1**:  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i = 1$ 

2. **order 2**:  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i c_i = \frac{1}{2}$ 

3. **order 3**:  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i c_i^2 = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_i a_{ij} c_j = \frac{1}{6}$ 

4. **order** 4:  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i c_i^3 = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_i a_{ij} c_j^2 = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_i a_{ij} c_j^2 = \frac{1}{12}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{s} b_i a_{ij} a_{jk} c_k = \frac{1}{24}$ 

## 7.2 Useful Series | Common RK Methods

#### Common Runge-Kutta Methods (Butcher Table):

Common Runge-Kutta Methods (Butcher Table):

 
$$c_1$$
 $a_{11}$ 
 ...
  $a_{1s}$ 
 0
 0
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 1/2
 <

## **Useful Series**:

| f(x)                | Taylor  | Series  | R            | f(x)                | Taylor  | Series  | R   |
|---------------------|---|---|--------------|---------------------|---|---|-----|
| $\frac{1}{1-x}$     | $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$                             | $1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + \dots$                             | 1            | $\frac{1}{(1-x)^2}$ | $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n x^{n-1}$                   | $1 + 2x + 3x^2 + 4x^3 + \dots$                    | 1   |
| $\frac{2}{(1-x)^3}$ | $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)x^{n-2}$                   | $2 + 6x + 12x^2 + 20x^3 + \dots$                        | 1            | $e^x$               | $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$              | $1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots$ | 8   |
| ln(1+x)             | $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{x^n}{n}$        | $x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \dots$             | 1            | $-\ln(1-x)$         | $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n}$               | $x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} + \dots$       | 1   |
| sin x               | $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}$ | $x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} - \dots$           | ∞            | cos x               | $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}$ | $1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \dots$     | 8   |
| arctan x            | $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{2n+1}$    | $x - \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5} - \dots$             | 1            | sinh x              | $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}$    | $x + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \frac{x^5}{5!} + \dots$     | × × |
| cosh x              | $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}$            | $1 + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} + \dots$           | ∞            | $(1+x)^k$           | $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} {k \choose n} x^n$           | $1 + kx + \frac{k(k-1)x^2}{2!} + \dots$           | 1   |
| ln x                | $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{n}$    | $(x-1) - \frac{(x-1)^2}{2} + \frac{(x-1)^3}{3} - \dots$ | 1, 0 < x < 2 | $\frac{1}{1+x}$     | $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^n$                  | $1 - x + x^2 - x^3 + \dots$                       | 1   |

If  $R(z) - e^z = \mathcal{O}(z^{p+1})$ , then we can *assume* the order of the method is p.

**Inverse of 2** × 2 **Matrix**: For 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$
, we have:  $A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad-bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$ 

Explain in one sentence what it means to say that Euler's Method is a first order method:

On a sufficiently smooth problem, with stepsize h the local error behaves like  $\mathcal{O}(h^2)$ .

Perform a calculation to explain why one typically uses a log-log plot to determine the order p of a numerical method:

If the global error satisfies  $E(h) \approx \mathcal{O}(h^p)$ , then taking the logarithm of both sides gives:  $\log(E(h)) \approx p \log(h)$ . So, if we plot  $\log(E(h))$  vs.  $\log(h)$ , the slope of the line will be p, indicating the order (p) of the method.