Copula Applied Stochastic Processes (FIN 514)

Instructor: Jaehyuk Choi

Peking University HSBC Business School, Shenzhen, China

2019-20 Module 1 (Fall 2019)

Joint probability distribution

• Two random variables X_1 and X_2 have PDF $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$, and CDF $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$ respectively.

$$F_1(x) = \operatorname{Prob}(X_1 \le x)$$

 $F_2(x) = \operatorname{Prob}(X_2 \le x)$

 However, the knowledge of the PDFs and CDFs of individual RVs does not tell us how the two RVs are related. We still need to define the joint PDF and CDF:

$$F_{1,2}(x_1, x_2) = \mathsf{Prob}(X_1 \le x_1 \text{ and } X_2 \le x_2)$$

• Note that the definition of $F_{1,2}(x_1,x_2)$ is not related to those of $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$. In two extremes, X_1 and X_2 can be independent or completely correlated, often characterized by the correlation coefficient ρ .

 Jaehyuk Choi (PHBS)
 ASP: Copula
 2019-20 M1 2 / 10

Multivariate normal distribution

ullet The PDF of multivariate normal variable x (vector) with mean μ and covariance Σ (matrix) is given as

$$f_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k \det \boldsymbol{\Sigma}}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \Sigma^{-1}(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right)$$

ullet For the independent standard normals $(\Sigma = I(\det \Sigma = 1) \text{ and } \mu = 0)$,

$$f_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{z}) = n(z_1) \cdots n(z_n) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \dots + z_n^2)\right)$$

- The bivariate case (n=2) is more explicit (see wikipedia).
- In practice, the joint PDF is not often used (remind how we generate correlated normal RNs)
- There are only handful distributions whose joint CDF is known for a given covariance: normal, Student's t, etc.

Joint distribution via copula

- The joint CDF $F_{1,2}(x_1,x_2)$ is not completely independent from the individual RNs. For one thing, the function domain has to be same as that of RN: [0,1] for uniform, $(-\infty,\infty)$ for normal, etc.
- For the CDFs $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$, we know that $F_1(X_1)$ and $F_2(X_2)$ are uniform RNs. (In the same way we generate RNs $X_1 = F_1^{-1}(U)$.)
- So can implicitly define the joint CDF via a copula function $C:[0,1]^2 \to [0,1],$

$$C(u_1, u_2) = F_{1,2}(x_1 = F_1^{-1}(u_1), x_2 = F_2^{-1}(u_2))$$

 $C(u_1 = F_1(x_1), u_2 = F_2(x_2)) = F_{1,2}(x_1, x_2)$

Defining either $F_{1,2}(x_1, x_2)$ or $C(u_1, u_2)$ is equivalent.

ullet The function C can be understood as a joint CDF on uniform RNs.

Copula - Mathematical definition

Now we generalize to n-dimensional case: $C: [0,1]^n \to [0,1]$. Because C is a joint CDF function, it should satisfy:

- $C(u_1, \dots, u_{k-1}, 0, u_{k+1}, \dots, u_n) = 0$
- $C(1, \dots, 1, u_k, 1, \dots, 1) = u_k$
- The probability on any hypercube is always non-negative.
 - For n=1, it means $C(u_1^a) \leq C(u_1^b)$ if $u_1^a \leq u_1^b$.
 - For n=2, the probability over $[u_1^a,u_1^b] \times [u_2^a,u_2^b]$ should be non-negative:

$$0 \leq C(u_1^b, u_2^b) - C(u_1^a, u_2^b) - C(u_1^b, u_2^a) + C(u_1^a, u_2^a)$$

• If $C(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ is a continuous function, the PDF is non-negative:

$$0 \le c(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} C(u_1, \dots, u_n)$$

Copula - Mix and match

- ullet Copula $C(\cdots)$ is a way of defining joint distribution not bounded by the original RVs.
- There are only a few well-known distribution from which $C(\cdots)$ is defined from the multivariate joint distribution, $F_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\cdots)$
- For the distributions difficult to define joint distributions, we borrow
 the copulas from those well-known joint distributions and apply to the
 original distributions.

Copula - Families

Gaussian Copula:

$$C_{\mathbf{R}}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = N_n(N^{-1}(u_1), \dots, N^{-1}(u_n))$$

where N_n is the multi-variate cumulative normal distribution with correlation matrix R_n

• Independent Copula:

$$C(u_1, \dots, u_n) = u_1 u_2$$
 or $c(u_1, \dots, u_n) = 1$

Completely dependent Copula:

$$C(u_1, \cdots, u_n) = \min(u_1, \cdots, u_n)$$

• Others: see the copula families in wikipedia.

◆ロト ◆個ト ◆注 ト ◆注 ト 注 り へ ○

RN generation from Gaussian copula

- Imagine CDFs $F_1(x_1)$ and $F_2(x_2)$ are given and we want to generate joint RNs, e.g., (X_1,X_2) in order to evaluate an expectation, $E\left[g(X_1,X_2)\right]$ (e.g., a price of a derivative).
- As long as we generate joint uniform RNs (U_1,U_2) , we can transform them to $(X_1,X_2)=(F_1^{-1}(U_1),F_2^{-1}(U_2))$.
- We borrow Gaussian variables to generate (U_1, U_2) :
 - Generate pairs of independent normal RNs: (Z_1, Z_2) .
 - Correlate the normal RNs: $(Z_1',Z_2')=(Z_1,\ \rho Z_1+\sqrt{1-\rho^2}Z_2)$
 - ullet Generate the joint uniform RNs: $(U_1,U_2)=(N(Z_1^\prime),\,N(Z_2^\prime))$
 - Generate the original RNs: $(X_1, X_2) = (F_1^{-1}(U_1), F_2^{-1}(U_2))$
- Finally Monte-Carlo method is applied as

$$E[g(X_1, X_2)] = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} g(X_1^{(k)}, X_2^{(k)})$$



A case: spread option under SV models

- We want to price a spread option, i.e., $E(S_{1T} S_{2T} K)^+$ using MC.
- If two stocks S_1 and S_2 follow GBMs, we know how to correlate them (HW3) since the distribution is transformed from normal RVs. However, GBMs are not right due to the volatility smile.
- If the stocks follow SV models, creating a joint distribution is not easy. So we use copula.
- We first build discrete CDFs for $S_1(T)$ and $S_2(T)$ from the call prices at the series of strikes, $K_j = S_0 + j\Delta K$ for $j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$.

$$F(K_j) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial K}C(K) \approx \frac{C(K_{j-1}) - C(K_{j+1})}{2\Delta K}$$

• The discrete inverse CDF is the interpolation from the inversed pairs, $(F(K_i), K_i)$.

A case: Collateralized debt obligation (CDO)

- A COD is a bond backed by a pool of loans.
- Naturally the joint distribution of the default of the underlying loans are important. So Gaussian copula is used as a standard way of pricing CDOs.
- While the underlying loans are sub-primes (below investment grade BBB-), the super-senior tranche of CDO got AAA credit rating as the pools were considered diversified. The correlation was typically estimated from historical data.
- In financial crisis, however, the correlation across all assets significantly increased: when a bond defaults, the others do so. So the pool is not really diversified.
- The use of copula is criticized as one reason behind the financial criss in 2008–2009. Copula in general can not capture the dynamic changes of the correlation over time.