

# **CSE216**

# **Programming Abstraction**

**Instructor: Zhoulai Fu**

**State University of New York, Korea**

# Regarding quiz

- No more quiz: Seems it was more scary than supportive.
- First quiz results will be retained as-is.
- Overall grading formula remains the same

# Plan

- Today: More on beta reduction

# Sharing A good thing on lambda calculus

- I find this 3-page notes from Yale particularly clear:
- <https://www.cs.yale.edu/homes/hudak/CS201S08/lambda.pdf>

# How beta reduction works

- Identify a redex  $(\lambda x.M)N$
- Reduce the redex by substitution  $M[x:=N]$
- Continue until no redex found

# Redex

- Reducible expression
- A redex is formed when a lambda definition is immediately followed by an argument, indicating that the function can be applied to that argument
- $(\lambda x.M)N$

# Exercise: Identify redex

- $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) (\lambda s. s)$
- $(\lambda x. x) (\lambda y. y)$
- $(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$

# Substitution

- $(\lambda x.M)N \rightarrow M [x := N]$
- For all occurrence of  $x$  in  $M$ :
  - If  $x$  is bound by the formal parameter “ $x$ ” in  $(\lambda x.M)$
  - the replace  $x$  by  $N$  in  $M$
- We will consider capture-avoiding situations later



# Normal form

- No redex

# Exercise: Normal form or not

- $\lambda x. \lambda y. xy$
- $\lambda x. x \lambda y. x y$
- $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x)y$
- $(\lambda x. x \lambda y. x)y$

# Exercise: beta reduction

- $(\lambda x. \lambda y. x y) (\lambda s. s)$
- $(\lambda x. x) (\lambda y. y)$
- $(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x)$

# Does beta reduction order matter?

- Let  $D$  be the lambda term  $(\lambda x. xx)$ . Reduce
  - $(\lambda x.y)(D D)$

# Does beta reduction order matter?

- $(\lambda x.y)(\underline{D D}) \rightarrow (\lambda x.y)(\underline{D D}) \rightarrow \dots$
- $\underline{(\lambda x.y)(D D)} \rightarrow y$
- The second one is lazy evaluation
- The first one is eager evaluation

# Church-Rosser Theorem

- A lambda term can never have two different normal forms