### CSE216 Programming Abstraction

Instructor: Zhoulai Fu

**State University of New York, Korea** 

### Regarding quiz

- No more quiz: Seems it was more scary than supportive.
- First quiz results will be retained as-is.
- Overall grading formula remains the same

### Plan

• Today: More on beta reduction

### Sharing A good thing on lambda calculus

- I find this 3-page notes from Yale particularly clear:
- https://www.cs.yale.edu/homes/hudak/CS201S08/ lambda.pdf

#### How beta reduction works

- Identify a redex (λ x.M)N
- Reduce the redex by substitution M [x:=N]
- Continue until redex found

#### Redex

- Reducible expression
- A redex is formed when a lambda definition is immediately followed by an argument, indicating that the function can be applied to that argument
- (λ x.M)N

### Exercise: Identify redex

- (λ x. λ y. x y) (λ s. s)
- (λ x. x) (λ y. y)
- (λ x. x x) (λ x. x x)

### Substitution

- $(\lambda x.M)N \rightarrow M [x := N]$
- For all occurrence of x in M:
  - If x is bound by the formal parameter "x" in (λ x.M)
  - the replace x by N in M
- We will consider capture-avoiding situations later

### Normal form

No redex

## Exercise: Normal form or not

- λ x.λ y. xy
- λ x. x λ y.x y
- (λ x.λ y. x)y
- (λ x. x λ y.x)y

- (λ x. λ y. x y) (λ s. s)
- (λ x. x) (λ y. y)
- (λ x. x x) (λ x. x x)

### Does beta reduction order matter?

- Let D be the lambda term (λ x. xx). Reduce
  - (λ x.y)(D D)

### Does beta reduction order matter?

- $(\lambda x.y)(D D) \rightarrow (\lambda x.y)(D D) \rightarrow ...$
- $(\lambda x.y)(D D) \rightarrow y$
- The first one is call-by-name (lazy evaluation)
- The second one is call-by-value (eager evaluation)

#### Church-Rosser Theorem

A lambda term can never have two different normal forms

### Capture avoiding substitutions

- The specific names of bound variables in the lambda calculus are meaningless
- λ x. x same as λ y. y
- (λx.(λy.yx)) is equivalent to (λa.(λb.ba))
- Lambda terms that differ only by bound variable names are called alpha equivalence

# Exercise: alpha equivalence?

- λ x. xy
   ? λ z. zy
- λ x. xy ? λ z. xz
- λ x. x λ y. y ? λ z. z λ p. p
- λ x. x λ y. y
   λ y. y λ y. y
- λx. x λ y. x y ? λ y. y λ y. y y

(λz.z) (λq.q q) (λs.s a)

(λz.z) (λz.z z) (λz.z q)

(λs.λq.s q q) (λa.a) b

(λs.λq.s q q) (λq.q) q

((λs.s s) (λq.q)) (λq.q)

### Solution

- 1.  $(\lambda z.z) (\lambda q.q q) (\lambda s.s a) -> ... -> aa$
- 2.  $(\lambda z.z) (\lambda z.zz) (\lambda z.zq) -> ... -> qq$
- 3. (λs.λq.s q q) (λa.a) b -> .. -> bb
- 4. (λs.λq.s q q) (λq.q) q-> .. -> qq
- 5.  $((\lambda s.s.s) (\lambda q.q)) (\lambda q.q) -> ... -> \lambda q.q$