

Reverse Time Migration for Extended Obstacles in the Half Space: Elastic Waves

Zhiming Chen, Shiqi Zhou

LSEC, Institute of Computational Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

Abstract. We consider a reverse time migration method for reconstructing extended obstacles in the half space with finite aperture data using elastic waves at a fixed frequency. We prove the resolution of the reconstruction method in terms of the aperture and the depth of the obstacle embedded in the half space. The resolution analysis implies that the imaginary part of the cross-correlation imaging function always peaks on the illuminated boundary of the obstacle. Numerical experiments are included to illustrate the powerful imaging quality and to confirm our resolution results.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study a reverse time migration (RTM) algorithm to find the support of an unknown obstacle in the half space from the measurement of scattered waves on the boundary of the half space which is far away from the obstacle. The physical properties of the obstacle such as penetrable or non-penetrable, and for non-penetrable obstacles, the type of boundary conditions on the boundary of the obstacle, are not required in the algorithm.

Let the non-penetrable obstacle occupy a bounded Lipschitz domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}_+^2$ with ν the unit outer normal to its boundary Γ_D . We assume the incident wave is emitted by a point source located at x_s , explosive along the polarization direction $q \in \mathbb{R}^2$, on the surface $\Gamma_0 = \{(x_1, x_2)^T : x_1 \in \mathbb{R}, x_2 = 0\}$ which is far away from the obstacle. The measured data u_q corresponding to the polarization direction q is the solution of the following elastic scattering problem in the isotropic homogeneous medium half space with Lamé constant λ and μ and constant density $\rho \equiv 1$:

$$\nabla \cdot \sigma(u_q) + \rho \omega^2 u_q = -\delta_{x_s}(x)q \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D} \quad (1.1)$$

$$u_q = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(u_q) \cdot e_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \quad (1.2)$$

together with the constitutive relation (Hookes law)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(u_q) &= 2\mu \varepsilon(u_q) + \lambda \operatorname{div} u_q \mathbb{I} \\ \varepsilon(u_q) &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla u_q + (\nabla u_q)^T) \end{aligned}$$

where ω is the circular frequency, $u_q(x) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ denotes the displacement fields and σ is the stress tensor. We also need to define the surface traction $T_x^n(\cdot)$ on the normal direction n ,

$$T_x^n u(x) := \sigma \cdot n = 2\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \lambda n \operatorname{div} u + \mu n \times \operatorname{curl} u$$

For simplicity, let's introduce Lamé operator Δ_e as

$$\Delta_e u = (\lambda + 2\mu) \nabla \nabla \cdot u - \mu \nabla \times \nabla \times u = \nabla \cdot \sigma(u)$$

The equation (1.1) is understood as the limit when $x_s \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D}$ tends to Γ_0 whose precise meaning will be given below after we introduce the Neumann Green Tensor and the definition of the radiation condition.

The reverse time migration (RTM) method, which consists of back-propagating the complex conjugated data into the background medium and computing the crosscorrelation between the incident wave field and the backpropagated field to output the final imaging profile, is nowadays widely used in exploration geophysics [5, 6, 12]. In [8, 9], the RTM method for reconstructing extended targets using acoustic and electromagnetic waves at a fixed frequency in the free space is proposed and studied. The resolution analysis in [8, 9] is achieved without using the small inclusion or geometrical optics assumption previously made in the literature (e.g. [3, 6]). In [10], a new RTM algorithm is developed for finding extended targets in a planar waveguide which is

motivated by the generalized Helmholtz-Kirchhoff identity for scattering problems in waveguides.

The layout of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we study the two Green Tensor for the scattering problem in the half space satisfying the homogeneous Neumann condition and Dirichlet condition on Γ_0 . We recall the derivation of the Green Tensor by the method of Fourier transform and derive an alternative form of the Green Tensor which is crucial for the analysis in the rest. In section 3 we introduce the RTM algorithm. In section 4 we study the point spread function. In section 5 we study the resolution analysis of the RTM method. In section 6 we report extensive numerical experiments to show the competitive performance of the RTM algorithm.

2. Green Tensor in the half space

In this section we will study the elastic Green Tensor in the half-space with Neumann boundary [14]:

$$\Delta_e N(x; y) + \omega^2 N(x, y) = -\delta_y(x) \mathbb{I} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\sigma_x(N(x, y))e_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } x_2 = 0 \quad (2.2)$$

and with Dirichlet Boundary [4]

$$\Delta_e D(x, y) + \omega^2 D(x, y) = -\delta_y(x) \mathbb{I} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2, \quad (2.3)$$

$$D(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{on } x_2 = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

where $\delta_y(x)$ is the Dirac source at $y \in R_+^2$ and $N(x, y)$, $D(x, y)$ are $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ matrixes. We will first use Fourier transform to derive the formula of Green Tensor in frequency domain. Let

$$\hat{N}(\xi, x_2; y_2) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} N(x_1, x_2; y) e^{-i(x_1 - y_1)\xi} dx_1 \quad (2.5)$$

By taking the Fourier transform of (2.1-2.2), we obtain ODEs for x_2 in R_+

$$\mu \frac{d^2 \hat{N}_1}{dx_2^2} + \mathbf{i}(\lambda + \mu)\xi \frac{d\hat{N}_2}{dx_2} + (\omega^2 - (\lambda + 2\mu)\xi^2) \hat{N}_1 = [-\delta_{y_2}(x_2), 0] \quad (2.6)$$

$$(\lambda + 2\mu) \frac{d^2 \hat{N}_2}{dx_2^2} + \mathbf{i}(\lambda + \mu)\xi \frac{d\hat{N}_1}{dx_2} + (\omega^2 - \mu\xi^2) \hat{N}_2 = [0, -\delta_{y_2}(x_2)] \quad (2.7)$$

where $\hat{N}_i = e_i^T \hat{N}$ and the boundary conditions on $x_2 = 0$ are

$$\mu \frac{d\hat{N}_1}{dx_2} + \mathbf{i}\mu\xi \hat{N}_2 = [0, 0] \quad (2.8)$$

$$(\lambda + \mu) \frac{d\hat{N}_2}{dx_2} + \mathbf{i}\lambda\xi \hat{N}_1 = [0, 0] \quad (2.9)$$

For simplicity, the ordinary differential operator and boundary condition in (2.6-2.9) are denoted as \mathbb{A}_ξ and \mathbb{B}_ξ . Now, we recall that

$$\hat{\Phi}(\xi, x_2; y_2) = \frac{\mathbf{i}}{2\omega^2} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mu_s & -\xi \frac{x_2 - y_2}{|x_2 - y_2|} \\ -\xi \frac{x_2 - y_2}{|x_2 - y_2|} & \xi^2 \\ \xi^2 & \mu_s \end{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i}\mu_s |x_2 - y_2|} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\xi^2}{\mu_p} & \xi \frac{x_2 - y_2}{|x_2 - y_2|} \\ \xi \frac{x_2 - y_2}{|x_2 - y_2|} & \mu_p \end{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i}\mu_p |x_2 - y_2|} \right]$$

Denote $U = \hat{N} - \hat{\Phi}$ and it satisfies the following 2-order homogeneous ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients

$$\mathbb{A}_\xi U^i = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \quad (2.10)$$

$$\mathbb{B}_\xi U^i = \mathbb{B}_\xi \hat{\Phi} \quad \text{on } x_2 = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

where $U^i = U e_i$.

Throughout the paper, we will assume that for $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $z^{1/2}$ is the analytic branch of \sqrt{z} such that $\text{Im}(z^{1/2}) \geq 0$. This corresponds to the right half real axis as the branch cut in the complex plane. For $z = z_1 + i z_2$, $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$z^{1/2} = \text{sgn}(z_2) \sqrt{\frac{|z| + z_1}{2}} + i \sqrt{\frac{|z| - z_1}{2}} \quad (2.12)$$

For z on the right half real axis, we take $z^{1/2}$ as the limit of $(z + i\varepsilon)^{1/2}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

By the standard argument in ODEs, solutions of (2.10) are linear combinations of vectors of the form

$$\mathbf{r}(x_2) = \mathbf{v} e^{i\nu x_2} \quad (2.13)$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2)^T \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$. It is well known that the admissible values of ν are in $\Lambda := \{\pm\mu_p, \pm\mu_s\}$ and where

$$\mu_\alpha = (k_\alpha^2 - \xi^2)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } \alpha = s, p \quad (2.14)$$

Associated with each value of admissible $\nu \in \Lambda$ there is an eigenvector \mathbf{v} . The respective eigenvectors are

$$\mathbf{v}_s^+ = \begin{bmatrix} i\mu_s \\ -i\xi \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_p^+ = \begin{bmatrix} i\xi \\ i\mu_p \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_s^- = \begin{bmatrix} i\mu_s \\ i\xi \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_p^- = \begin{bmatrix} -i\xi \\ i\mu_p \end{bmatrix}$$

We denote by $\hat{\sigma}(\mathbf{r})e_2 = \mathbf{u} e^{i\nu x_2}$ the traction of such a vector in the Fourier domain, then we obtain the respective expression for \mathbf{v}

$$\mathbf{u}_s^+ = \begin{bmatrix} -\mu\beta \\ 2\mu\xi\mu_s \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_p^+ = \begin{bmatrix} -2\mu\xi\mu_p \\ -\mu\beta \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_s^- = \begin{bmatrix} \mu\beta \\ 2\mu\xi\mu_s \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_p^- = \begin{bmatrix} -2\mu\xi\mu_p \\ \mu\beta \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\beta = k_s^2 - 2\xi^2$. By allowing only bounded Fourier modes for the Green function, we must choose $V_s = \mathbf{v}_s^+ e^{i\mu_s x_2}$ and $V_p = \mathbf{v}_p^+ e^{i\mu_p x_2}$. Thus U_i must be written as the linear combination,

$$U_i = \alpha_i V_s + \beta_i V_p \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2 \quad (2.15)$$

Combining (2.11) with the linear independence of V_s, V_p , then we can obtain α_i, β_i .

Therefore, the Green Tensor in half-space can be deduced as

$$\hat{N}(\xi, x_2; y_2) = \hat{\Phi}(\xi, x_2; y_2) - \hat{\Phi}(\xi, x_2; -y_2) + \hat{N}_c(\xi, x_2; y_2) \quad (2.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{N}_c(\xi, x_2; y_2) = & \frac{i}{\omega^2 \delta(\xi)} \left\{ A(\xi) e^{i\mu_s(x_2+y_2)} + B(\xi) e^{i\mu_p(x_2+y_2)} \right. \\ & \left. + C(\xi) e^{i\mu_s x_2 + i\mu_p y_2} + D(\xi) e^{i\mu_p x_2 + i\mu_s y_2} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(\xi) &= \begin{pmatrix} \mu_s \beta^2 & -4\xi^3 \mu_s \mu_p \\ -\xi \beta^2 & 4\xi^4 \mu_p \end{pmatrix} & B(\xi) &= \begin{pmatrix} 4\xi^4 \mu_s & \xi \beta^2 \\ 4\xi^3 \mu_s \mu_p & \mu_p \beta^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ C(\xi) &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\xi^2 \mu_s \beta & -2\xi \mu_s \mu_p \beta \\ -2\xi^3 \beta & 2\xi^2 \mu_p \beta \end{pmatrix} & D(\xi) &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\xi^2 \mu_s \beta & 2\xi^3 \beta \\ 2\xi \mu_s \mu_p \beta & 2\xi^2 \mu_p \beta \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and $\beta(\xi) = k_s^2 - 2\xi^2$, $\delta(\xi) = \beta^2 + 4\xi^2 \mu_s \mu_p$.

The desired Green function should be obtained by taking the inverse Fourier transform of $\hat{N}(\xi, x_2; y_2)$. Unfortunately, one cannot simply take the inverse Fourier transform in the above formula because $\delta(\xi)$ have zero points in the real axis by lemma 2.1 [1][16].

Lemma 2.1 *Let Lamé constant $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then the Rayleigh equation $\delta(\xi) = 0$ has only two roots denoted by $\pm k_R$ in complex plane. Moreover, $k_R > k_s > k_p$, $k_R \in \mathbb{R}$ and k_R is called Rayleigh wave number.*

Proof. For the sake of completeness, we include a proof here. It is well known that

$$\delta(\xi) = (k_s^2 - 2\xi^2)^2 + 4\xi^2(k_s^2 - \xi^2)^{1/2}(k_p^2 - \xi^2)^{1/2} \quad (2.18)$$

However, $\delta(\xi)$ is rendered single-valued by selecting branch cuts along $k_p < \text{Re}(\xi) < k_s, \text{Im}(\xi) = 0$ which is consistent with the convention (2.12). A simple computation show that $\delta(\pm k_s) > 0$ and $\delta(\pm\infty + 0i) < 0$. By the continuity of $\delta(\xi)$, we can obtain that it has at least two real zero points which denoted by $\pm k_R$.

Now it turn to proof that $\delta(\xi)$ has only two roots in the complex plane by the principle of argument which follows as a theorem of the theory of complex variables[2]. Now consider the contour C consisting of Γ , and C_l and C_r where $C_r = [k_p + i0^+, k_s + i0^+] \cup [k_p + i0^-, k_s + i0^-]$ that surround $[k_p, k_s]$, $C_l = [-k_s + i0^+, -k_p + i0^+] \cup [-k_s + i0^-, -k_p + i0^-]$ that surround $[-k_s, -k_p]$ and Γ denotes a circle with enough large radius. Since the function $\delta(\xi)$ clearly does not have poles in the complex ξ -plane and we find that within the contour $C = \Gamma \cup C_r \cup C_l$ the number of zeros is given by

$$Z = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{d\delta}{d\xi} \frac{d\xi}{\delta(\xi)} \quad (2.19)$$

The counting of the number of zeros is carried out by mapping the ξ -plane on the η -plane through the relation $\eta := \delta(\xi)$. If C_η is the mapping of C in the η -plane, the integral (2.19) in the η -plane becomes

$$Z = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\eta} \frac{d\eta}{\eta} \quad (2.20)$$

The latter integral has a simple pole at $\eta = 0$, and Z is simply the number of times the image contour C_η encircles the origin in the η -plane in the counter-clockwise direction. To determine the number of zeros in the ξ -plane we thus carefully trace the mapping of the contour C into the η -plane.

Since $\delta(\xi) = \delta(-\xi)$ the images of C_r and C_l are the same, and one of them, say C_r , needs to be considered. We have $\delta(k_p) = (k_s^2 - 2k_p^2)^2$ and along C_r : $\delta(\xi) = (k_s^2 - \xi^2)^2 \mp \mathbf{i}4\xi^2\sqrt{k_s^2 - \xi^2}\sqrt{\xi^2 - k_p^2}$, and $\delta(k_s) = k_s^4$ where the minus sign applies above the cut, and the plus sign applies below the cut. Note that along C_r we have $\text{Re}(\delta(\xi)) > 0$ and the mapping into the η -plane do not surround the origin. For $|\xi|$ large, we find $\delta(\xi) = A\xi^2 + O(1)$, thus the mapping of Γ encircles the origin twice. Then we obtain $Z = 2$. This completes the proof. \square

In order to overcome the ambiguity above, loss is assumed in the medium so that $k_{\alpha,\varepsilon} := k_\alpha(1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon)$. When $\varepsilon > 0$, the branch point of $\mu_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$ are $\pm k_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$ and the branch cut are denoted by the equation $\xi_1\xi_2 = k_\alpha\varepsilon$, $-k_\alpha \leq \xi \leq k_\alpha$. In this case, the poles singularities are now located off the real axis and the Fourier inverse transform becomes meaningful. In order to express lemma 2.2 concisely, we define

$$\Omega := \{\xi \in \mathbb{C} \mid k_p\varepsilon < \xi_1\xi_2 < k_s\varepsilon, \quad \xi_2 > \xi_1\varepsilon\} \quad (2.21)$$

Lemma 2.2 *If the elastic medium has loss that $k_{\alpha,\varepsilon} := k_\alpha(1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon)$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ for $\alpha = p, s$, we assert that $\delta_\varepsilon(\xi) = 0$ has only two roots in domain $\Omega^c \subset \mathbb{C}$ and exactly they are $\pm k_{R,\varepsilon}$.*

Lemma 2.3 *Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $z = Re^{\mathbf{i}\phi}$, $(1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon) = re^{\mathbf{i}\psi}$, where $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$, $0 < \psi < \pi/2$ and $R, r > 0$. Then the equality*

$$z^{1/2} = (1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon)\left(\frac{z}{1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon^2}\right)^{1/2} \quad (2.22)$$

holds only when $2\psi \leq \phi < 2\pi$

Proof. Let $z_\varepsilon = z/(1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon)^2 := r_\varepsilon e^{\mathbf{i}\phi_\varepsilon}$, then $\phi_\varepsilon = \phi - 2\psi$ when $2\psi \leq \phi < 2\pi$. So it is easy to see that

$$z^{1/2} = \sqrt{R}e^{\mathbf{i}\phi/2} = \sqrt{R/r}\sqrt{r}e^{\mathbf{i}(\phi/2 - \psi) + \mathbf{i}\psi} = (1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon)z_\varepsilon^{1/2}$$

Similarly, when $0 \leq \phi < 2\psi$, we have $\phi_\varepsilon = \phi - 2\psi + 2\pi$ and then $z^{1/2} = -(1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon)z_\varepsilon^{1/2}$. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of lemma 2.2. The lemma now follow lemma2.1 and lemma2.3 easily. Denote by $\mu_\varepsilon = (k^2(1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon)^2 - \xi^2)^{1/2}$, $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and write $\xi = \xi_1 + \mathbf{i}\xi_2$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon) = re^{\mathbf{i}\psi}$. It is easy to see that

$$\mu_\varepsilon^2 = k^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) - \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 + \mathbf{i}(2k^2\varepsilon - 2\xi_1\xi_2) := Re^{\mathbf{i}\Theta} := a_1 + \mathbf{i}a_2 \quad (2.23)$$

Let $\Delta := \{\xi \mid 2\psi \leq \Theta < 2\pi\}$, then we have $\mu_\varepsilon = (k^2 - \xi_\varepsilon^2)^{1/2}(1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon)$ when $\xi \in \Delta$ and $\mu_\varepsilon = -(k^2 - \xi_\varepsilon^2)^{1/2}(1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon)$ when $\xi \notin \Delta$ by lemma2.3. Now we divide the set Δ into three parts

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \{\xi \mid a_1 \leq 0\} \cup \{\xi \mid a_2 \leq 0\} \cup \{\xi \mid a_1 > 0, a_2 > 0 \text{ and } \tan \Theta \geq \tan(2\psi)\} \\ &:= \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \Delta_3 \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

Our goal now is to show where domain Δ occupies. A simple computation show that

$$\Delta_1 = \{\xi \mid \xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 \geq k^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)\} \quad (2.25)$$

$$\Delta_2 = \{\xi \mid \xi_1\xi_2 \geq k^2\varepsilon\} \quad (2.26)$$

and

$$\Delta_3 = \{\xi|\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 \leq k^2(1 - \varepsilon^2), \xi_1\xi_2 \leq k^2\varepsilon, \frac{k^2\varepsilon - \xi_1\xi_2}{k^2(1 - \varepsilon^2) - (\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon^2}\} \quad (2.27)$$

The domains denote by Δ_1, Δ_2 are obvious in complex plane. To locate Δ_3 in complex plane, we divide Δ_3 into tree parts $\Delta_3 = \Delta_{31} \cup \Delta_{32} \cup \Delta_{33}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{31} &= \{\xi_1\xi_2 \leq 0, 0 \leq \xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 \leq k^2(1 - \varepsilon^2)\} \\ \Delta_{32} &= \{0 \leq \xi_1\xi_2 \leq k^2\varepsilon, 0 \leq \xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 \leq k^2(1 - \varepsilon^2), \frac{\xi_1\xi_2}{\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon^2}\} \\ &= \{0 \leq \xi_1\xi_2 \leq k^2\varepsilon, 0 \leq \xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 \leq k^2(1 - \varepsilon^2), \frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1} \leq \varepsilon\} \\ \Delta_{33} &= \{\xi_1\xi_2 \leq 0, \xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 \leq 0, \frac{\xi_1\xi_2}{\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \varepsilon^2}\} \\ &= \{\xi_1\xi_2 \leq 0, \xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 \leq 0, -\frac{\xi_1}{\xi_2} \geq \varepsilon\} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting k_s, k_p into μ_ε and let Δ_s, Δ_p denote their corresponding areas, we have

$$\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega = (\Delta_s \cap \Delta_p) \cup (\mathbb{C} \setminus (\Delta_s \cup \Delta_p)) \quad (2.28)$$

Moreover, when $\xi \in \Omega$ it is easy to see $\delta_\varepsilon(\xi) = \delta(\xi)(1 - i\varepsilon)^4$. This complete the proof by lemma 2.1 \square

Let $\xi = \xi_1 + i\xi_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, and the hyperbolic curve Γ defined by the equation $\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 = k_s^2$. Denote Γ_r^+, Γ_r^- respectively the parts of right branch of Γ in the upper-half complex plane and the lower-half complex plane. Similarly, we can define Γ_l^-, Γ_l^- . Now, we can define a new integral path in the complex plane

$$NP = \begin{cases} \Gamma_l^+ \cup \Gamma_r^+ \cup [-k_s, k_s] & \text{when } x_1 - y_1 > 0 \\ \Gamma_l^- \cup \Gamma_r^- \cup [-k_s, k_s] & \text{when } x_1 - y_1 < 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.29)$$

Thus, by using Cauchy integral theorem and lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_\varepsilon(x, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{N}_\varepsilon(\xi, x_2; y_2) e^{i(x_1 - y_1)\xi} d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{NP} \hat{N}_\varepsilon(\xi, x_2; y_2) e^{i(x_1 - y_1)\xi} d\xi \pm i \text{Res}_{\xi=\pm k_R^\varepsilon} N_\varepsilon(\xi, x_2; y_2) e^{i(x_1 - y_1)\xi} \end{aligned} \quad (2.30)$$

As the perturbation ε have nothing to do with the integration path NP , so we could take the limitation $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we have the representation of Green Tensor

$$\begin{aligned} N(x, y) &= \Phi(x, y) - \Phi(x, y') + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{NP} \hat{N}_c(\xi, x_2; y_2) e^{i(x_1 - y_1)\xi} d\xi \\ &\quad \pm i \text{Res}_{\xi=\pm \kappa_r} \hat{N}_c(\xi, x_2; y_2) e^{i(x_1 - y_1)\xi} \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

where \pm are corresponding $\text{sgn}(x_1 - y_1)$. Specially, $N(x, y)$ has a simple form when $x_2 = 0$ that

$$N(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{NP} \hat{N}(\xi, 0; y) e^{i(x_1 - y_1)\xi} d\xi \pm i \text{Res}_{\xi=\pm \kappa_r} \hat{N}(\xi, x_2; y) e^{i(x_1 - y_1)\xi} \quad (2.33)$$

where

$$\hat{N}(\xi, 0; y_2) = \frac{i}{\mu\delta(\xi)} \left[\begin{pmatrix} 2\xi^2\mu_s & -2\xi\mu_s\mu_p \\ -\xi\beta & \mu_p\beta \end{pmatrix} e^{i\mu_p y_2} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu_s\beta & \xi\beta \\ 2\xi\mu_s\mu_p & 2\xi^2\mu_p \end{pmatrix} e^{i\mu_s y_2} \right] \quad (2.34)$$

and let $N_r(x_1; y_1, y_2)$ denote the first part of N and $N_s(x_1; y_1, y_2)$ denote the second part of N in (2.33).

It remains to study Dirichlet Green Tensor $D(x, y)$. We still use Fourier transform to derive the formula of Green Tensor in frequency domain. Then we can obtain $D(x, y)$ similar to $N(x, y)$. It follows an alternative representation for $D(x, y)$

$$\hat{D}(\xi, x_2; y_2) = \hat{\Phi}(\xi, x_2; y_2) - \hat{\Phi}(\xi, x_2; -y_2) + \hat{M}(\xi, x_2; y_2) \quad (2.35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{M}(\xi, x_2; y_2) = \frac{i}{\omega^2\gamma(\xi)} & \left\{ A(\xi)e^{i\mu_s(x_2+y_2)} + B(\xi)e^{i\mu_p(x_2+y_2)} \right. \\ & \left. - A(\xi)e^{i\mu_s x_2 + \mu_p y_2} - B(\xi)e^{i\mu_p x_2 + \mu_s y_2} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

where

$$A(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^2\mu_s & -\xi\mu_s\mu_p \\ -\xi^3 & \xi^2\mu_p \end{pmatrix} \quad B(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^2\mu_s & \xi^3 \\ \xi\mu_s\mu_p & \xi^2\mu_p \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\gamma(\xi) = \xi^2 + \mu_s\mu_p$.

Lemma 2.4 Let Lamé constant $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\text{Im}(k_s) \geq 0, \text{Im}(k_p) \geq 0$, then equation $\gamma(\xi) = 0$ has no root in complex plane.

Proof. Let $F(\xi) = \gamma(\xi) * (\xi^2 - \mu_s\mu_p)$ and it is easy to see that the root of $\gamma(\xi) = 0$ is also of $F(\xi) = 0$. A simple computation show that $F(\xi) = (k_s^2 + k_p^2)\xi^2 - k_p^2 k_s^2$. However, only when $\xi^2 = k_p^2 k_s^2 / (K_s^2 + k_p^2)$, $F(\xi) = 0$ but $\gamma(\xi) = 2k_p^2 k_s^2 / (K_s^2 + k_p^2)$. This completes the proof. \square

Thus, we get the representation of Green Tensor by inverse Fourier transform

$$D(x, y) = \Phi(x, y) - \Phi(x, y') + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{M}(\xi, x_2; y_2) e^{i(x_1 - y_1)\xi} d\xi \quad (2.37)$$

Let $T_D(x, y)$ denote the traction of $D(x, y)$ in direction e_2 to variable x that $T_D(x, y)e_i = T_x^{e_2}(D(x, y))e_i = T_x^{e_2}(D(x, y)e_i)$. Then we can get the representation of $T_D(x, y)$ by a trivial calculation.

$$T_D(x, y) = T(x, y) - T(x, y') + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{T}_M(\xi, x_2; y_2) e^{i(x_1 - y_1)\xi} d\xi \quad (2.38)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_M(\xi, x_2; y_2) = \frac{\mu}{\omega^2\gamma(\xi)} & \left\{ E(\xi)e^{i\mu_s(x_2+y_2)} + F(\xi)e^{i\mu_p(x_2+y_2)} \right. \\ & \left. - E(\xi)e^{i\mu_s x_2 + \mu_p y_2} - F(\xi)e^{i\mu_p x_2 + \mu_s y_2} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

where

$$E(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} -\xi^2\beta & \xi\mu_p\beta \\ 2\xi^3\mu_s & -2\xi^2\mu_s\mu_p \end{pmatrix} \quad F(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} -2\xi^2\mu_s\mu_p & -2\xi^3\mu_p \\ -\xi\mu_s\beta & -\xi^2\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

Specially, $T_D(x, y)$ has a simple form when $x_2 = 0$ that

$$\hat{T}_D(\xi, 0; y_2) = \frac{1}{\gamma(\xi)} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mu_s \mu_p & \xi \mu_p \\ \xi \mu_s & \xi^2 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\mu_s y_2} + \begin{pmatrix} \xi^2 & -\xi \mu_p \\ -\xi \mu_s & \mu_p \mu_s \end{pmatrix} e^{i\mu_p y_2} \right] \quad (2.40)$$

and

$$T_D(x_1, 0; y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{T}_D(\xi, 0; y_2) e^{i(x_1 - y_1)\xi} d\xi \quad (2.41)$$

We need the following slight generalization of Van der Corput lemma for the oscillatory integral [15, P.152].

Lemma 2.5 *Let $-\infty < a < b < \infty$, and u is a C^k function u in (a, b) .*

1. If $|u'(t)| \geq 1$ for $t \in (a, b)$ and u' is monotone in (a, b) , then for any $\phi(t)$ in (a, b) with integrable derivatives

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{i\lambda u(t)} \phi(t) dt \right| \leq 3\lambda^{-1} \left[|\phi(b)| + \int_a^b |\phi'(t)| dt \right].$$

2. For all $k \geq 2$, if $|u^{(k)}(t)| \geq 1$ for $t \in (a, b)$, then for any $\phi(t)$ in (a, b) with integrable derivatives

$$\left| \int_a^b e^{i\lambda u(t)} \phi(t) dt \right| \leq 12k\lambda^{-1/k} \left[|\phi(b)| + \int_a^b |\phi'(t)| dt \right].$$

Proof. The assertion can be proved by extending the Van der Corput lemma in [15]. Here we omit the details. \square

We also have following more explicit estimation:

Lemma 2.6 *Let $f(\xi, \mu_s, \mu_p) = g(\xi, \mu_s, \mu_p)/\gamma(\xi, \mu_s, \mu_p)$ where $g(x, y, z)$ is a homogeneous quadratic polynomial with respect to x, y, z . Let $a, b > 0$ and $\rho = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. Assume $a/\rho > (1 + \kappa)/2$, $b/\rho < \kappa/2$, $\kappa = k_p/k_s$ and $k_s\rho > 1$, then we have*

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\xi, \mu_s, \mu_p) e^{i(\mu_s b + \xi a)} d\xi \right| \leq C \left(\frac{k_s b}{\rho(k_s \rho)^{1/2}} + \frac{k_s a}{\rho(k_s \rho)^{3/2}} \right) \quad (2.42)$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\xi, \mu_s, \mu_p) e^{i(\mu_p b + \xi a)} d\xi \right| \leq C \left(\frac{k_s b}{\rho(k_s \rho)^{1/2}} + \frac{k_s a}{\rho(k_s \rho)^{3/2}} \right) \quad (2.43)$$

where C is only dependent on κ .

Proof. Let $I(a, b)$ denote the integral in the left side of inequality (2.42). To simplify the integral, the standard substitution $\xi = k_s \sin t$ is made, taking the ξ -plane to a strip $-\pi/2 < \text{Re } t < \pi/2$ in the t -plane, and the real axis in the ξ -plane onto the path L from $-\pi/2 + i\infty \rightarrow -\pi/2 \rightarrow \pi/2 \rightarrow \pi/2 - i\infty$ in the t -plane. The integral $I(a, b)$ then becomes (Let $a = \rho \sin \phi$ and $b = \rho \cos \phi$, $0 < \phi < \pi/4$)

$$k_s \int_L f(\sin t, \cos t, (\kappa^2 - \sin^2 t)^{1/2}) \cos t e^{ik_s \rho (\cos(t - \phi))} dt \quad (2.44)$$

Taking the shift transformation of t and using cauchy integral theorem, we can obtain the representation of $I(a, b)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& k_s \int_L f(\sin(t + \phi), \cos(t + \phi), (\kappa^2 - \sin^2(t + \phi))^{1/2}) \cos(t + \phi) e^{ik_s \rho(\cos t)} dt \\
&= k_s \cos \phi \int_L f(\sin(t + \phi), \cos(t + \phi), (\kappa^2 - \sin^2(t + \phi))^{1/2}) \cos t e^{ik_s \rho(\cos t)} dt \\
&\quad - k_s \sin \phi \int_L f(\sin(t + \phi), \cos(t + \phi), (\kappa^2 - \sin^2(t + \phi))^{1/2}) \sin t e^{ik_s \rho(\cos t)} dt \\
&:= k_s (\cos \phi I_1 + \sin \phi I_2)
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma will be proved if we can show $|I_1| \leq C/(k_s \rho)^{1/2}$ and $|I_2| \leq C/(k_s \rho)^{3/2}$.

For I_1 , we split the integral path L into $L_1 = (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and $L_2 = (-\pi/2 + i\infty, -\pi/2) \cup (\pi/2, \pi/2 - i\infty)$, then we have corresponding representation: $I_1 = I_{11} + I_{12}$. A simple calculation gives that f and $\partial f/\partial t$ are both integrable on path L_1 . Further more, $\cos t > 1/2$ for any $t \in (-\pi/4, \pi/4)$ while $|\sin t| > 1/2$ for any $t \in (-\pi/2, -\pi/4) \cup (\pi/4, \pi/2)$. Then we have $|I_{11}| \leq C/(k_s \rho)^{1/2}$ following the lemma 2.5.

Moreover, because f and $\partial f/\partial t$ has no singularity on L_2 and $i \cos t \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ along L_2 , it is easy to see that $|I_{12}| \leq C/(k_s \rho)$ via integration by parts.

For I_2 , using integration by parts on path L first, we have

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{ik_s \rho} \int_L f(\sin(t + \phi), \cos(t + \phi), (\kappa^2 - \sin^2(t + \phi))^{1/2}) d e^{i(k_s \rho \cos t)} \quad (2.45)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{ik_s \rho} \int_{L_1 \cup L_2} \frac{\partial f(\sin(t + \phi), \cos(t + \phi), (\kappa^2 - \sin^2(t + \phi))^{1/2})}{\partial t} e^{i(k_s \rho \cos t)} dt \quad (2.46)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{ik_s \rho} (I_{21} + I_{22}) \quad (2.47)$$

Then $|I_{22}| \leq C/(k_s \rho)$ can be proved by the same method used above. Following a tedious computation, we obtain a simple form of $\partial f/\partial t$:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{(\gamma \partial_t g - g \partial_t \gamma)(\kappa^2 - \sin^2 t)^{1/2}}{(\sin^2 t + \cos t(\kappa^2 - \sin^2 t)^{1/2})^2} \frac{1}{(\kappa^2 - \sin^2 t)^{1/2}} \quad (2.48)$$

$$:= \frac{h(\sin(t + \phi), \cos(t + \phi), (\kappa^2 - \sin^2(t + \phi))^{1/2})}{(\kappa^2 - \sin^2 t)^{1/2}} \quad (2.49)$$

where h and $\partial h/\partial t$ are integrable on path L_1 . By the assumption above, there exist $0 < \delta < \pi/4$ only dependent on κ such that $|\sin(t + \phi)| > (1 + \kappa)/2$, $|\cos(t + \phi)| < \kappa/2$ for any $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$ while $|\cos(t + \phi)| > (1 + \kappa)/2$, $|\sin(t + \phi)| < \kappa/2$ for any $t \in (-\pi/2, -\pi/2 + \delta) \cup (\pi/2 - \delta, \pi/2)$. Let define $t_1, t_2 \in \chi_1 = (-\pi/2 + \delta, -\delta) \cup (\delta, \pi/2 - \delta)$ which satisfy $\kappa^2 = \sin^2(t_i + \phi)$, $i = 1, 2$. Moreover, for any $0 < \lambda_1 < 1$ and $1 < \lambda_2 < 1/\kappa$, there exists $\sigma > 0$, which satisfy that $\chi_2 = (t_1 - \sigma, t_1 + \sigma) \cup (t_2 - \sigma, t_2 + \sigma) \subset \chi_1$ and is only dependent on $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \kappa$, such that

$$\lambda_1 \kappa < |\sin(t + \phi)| < \lambda_2 \kappa. \quad (2.50)$$

for any $t \in \chi_2$. We are now in a position to estimate I_{21} . Similarly, we split the path L_1 into χ_2 and $L_1 \setminus \chi_2$, then we have the corresponding representation: $I_{21} = I_{\chi_2} + I_{L_1 \setminus \chi_2}$.

For I_{χ_2} , we only analysis the integral on $\chi_{21} = (t_1 - \sigma, t_1 + \sigma)$ denoted by $I_{\chi_2}^1$, the procedure of the another is same. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\sin(t_1 - \sigma + \phi) < \kappa < \sin(t_1 + \sigma + \phi)$. It is easy to see that $\sin(t + \phi)$ is monotonic increasing in χ_{21} . Let $\sin(t + \phi) = \kappa \sin \theta$ and the implicit mapping from θ to t is denoted by $t(\theta)$ while the inverse mapping by $\theta(t)$, taking the interval χ_{21} onto $L_\theta : \theta_1 \rightarrow \pi/2 \rightarrow \pi/2 - \theta_2$ where $\sin(t_1 - \sigma + \phi) = \kappa \sin \theta_1, \sin(t_1 + \sigma + \phi) = \kappa \sin(\pi/2 - \theta_2)$. By substituting $t(\theta)$ into $I_{\chi_2}^1$, we have

$$I_{\chi_2}^1 = \int_{L_\theta} \frac{h(\kappa \sin \theta, (1 - \kappa^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2}, \kappa \cos \theta)}{(1 - \kappa^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{1/2}} e^{ik_s \rho(\cos(t(\theta)))} d\theta \quad (2.51)$$

Because of inequality 2.50, we assert that h and $\partial h / \partial \theta$ are integrable on the path L_θ . A simple computation show that

$$\frac{dt(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{\kappa \cos \theta}{\cos(t + \phi)} \quad \frac{d^2 t(\theta)}{d\theta^2} = \frac{\kappa^2 \cos^2 \theta \sin(t + \phi) - \kappa \sin \theta \cos^2(t + \phi)}{\cos^3(t + \phi)}$$

Then we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \cos t}{d\theta} &= \frac{-\kappa \sin t \cos \theta}{\cos(t + \phi)} \\ \frac{d^2 \cos t}{d\theta^2} &= \frac{d^2 \cos t}{dt^2} \left(\frac{dt}{d\theta} \right)^2 + \frac{d \cos t}{dt} \frac{d^2 t}{d\theta^2} \\ &= \frac{-\kappa^2 \cos^2 \theta \cos t}{\cos^2(t + \phi)} + \frac{\kappa \sin \theta \cos^2(t + \phi) \sin t - \kappa^2 \cos^2 \theta \sin(t + \phi) \sin t}{\cos^3(t + \phi)} \\ &= \frac{-\kappa^2 \cos^2 \theta \cos \phi + \kappa \sin \theta \cos^2(t + \phi) \sin t}{\cos^3(t + \phi)} \\ &= \frac{(\sin^2(t + \phi) - \kappa^2) \cos \phi + \cos^2(t + \phi) \sin(t + \phi) \sin t}{\cos^3(t + \phi)} \end{aligned}$$

It is simple to see that $\theta = \pi/2$ is the only stationary point of $\cos(t(\theta))$ and we can obtain

$$\left| \frac{d^2 \cos t}{d\theta^2}(\pi/2) \right| = \frac{(1 - \kappa^2)\kappa}{(1 - \kappa^2)^{3/2}} |\sin t| > \frac{(1 - \kappa^2)\kappa}{(1 - \kappa^2)^{3/2}} \sin \delta \quad (2.52)$$

Therefore, we can choose appropriate λ_1, λ_2 , only dependent on κ , such that $|\frac{d^2 \cos t}{d\theta^2}| > \frac{(1 - \kappa^2)\kappa}{(1 - \kappa^2)^{3/2}} \sin \delta$ for any $\theta \in \theta(\chi_{21})$. Therefore, we can decompose $\theta(\chi_{21})$ into several intervals such that in each either $|\partial \cos(t(\theta)) / \partial \theta|$ or $|\partial^2 \cos(t(\theta)) / \partial \theta^2|$ has positive lower bound and $|\partial \cos(t(\theta)) / \partial \theta|$ is monotonous. Since the amplitude function of integrand in $I_{\chi_2}^1$ and its derivative with respect to θ are both integrable on L_θ , the estimation $|I_{\chi_2}^1| \leq C/(k_s \rho)^{1/2}$ can be obtained immediately by lemma 2.5. Finally, an argument similar to the estimation of I_{11} used shoes that $|I_{L_1 \setminus \chi_2}| \leq C/(k_s \rho)^{1/2}$. This completes the proof. \square

Now, another more sophisticated estimation of $T_D(x_1, 0; y_1, y_2)$ is a direct consequence of lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.7 *For every $x \in \Gamma_0$, $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ that $|x_1 - y_1|/|x - y| > (1 + \kappa)/2$, $y_2/|x - y| < \kappa/2$ and $k_s|x - y| > 1$, we have*

$$|T_D(x, y)| \leq C \left(\frac{k_s y_2}{|x - y|} \frac{1}{(k_s|x - y|)^{1/2}} + \frac{k_s|x_1 - y_1|}{|x - y|} \frac{1}{(k_s|x - y|)^{3/2}} \right) \quad (2.53)$$

where C is only dependent on κ .

3. The forward scattering problem

In this section we introduce the following stability estimate of the forward elastic scattering problem in the half space which can be proved by the limiting absorption principle by extending the classical argument in [17, 21, 13]. Let the obstacle occupy a bounded Lipschitz domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}_+^2$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $g \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$, then the scattering problem of elastic equation in the half space*

$$\Delta_e u + \omega^2 u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$u = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\sigma(u)e_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0, \quad (3.3)$$

u satisfies the generalized radiation condition [18] such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r^+} (\sigma(N(x, y)e_i)\hat{r}) \cdot u(x) - (N(x, y)e_i) \cdot (\sigma(u)\hat{r}) ds(x) = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

where $S_r^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \mid \|x\| = r^2\}$, $\hat{r} = x/r$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$. Then the problem (3.1)-(3.4) admits a unique solution $u \in H_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$. Moreover, for any bounded open set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D}$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\mathcal{O})} \leq C \|g\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)} \quad (3.5)$$

The existence of the solution can be proved by the method of limiting absorption principle. The argument is standard and we give several lemmas below, see e.g. [17] for the consideration for Helmholtz equation. For any $z = 1 + i\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)'$ with compact support in $B_R = \{x \mid |x|^2 < R^2, x \in \mathbb{R}_+^2\} \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_+^2$ where B_R is a disk of radius R , we consider the problem

$$\Delta_e u_z + z\omega^2 u = -f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \quad (3.6)$$

$$\sigma(u_z)e_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \quad (3.7)$$

By Lax-Milgrim lemma we know that (3.6-3.7) has a unique solution $u_z \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$. For any domain $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^2$, we define the weighted space $L^{2,s}(\mathcal{D})$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, by

$$L^{2,s}(\mathcal{D}) = \{v \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathcal{D}) : (1 + |x|^2)^{s/2} v \in L^2(\mathcal{D})\}$$

with the norm $\|v\|_{L^{2,s}(\mathcal{D})} = (\int_{\mathcal{D}} (1 + |x|^2)^s |v|^2 dx)^{1/2}$. The weighted Sobolev space $H^{1,s}(\mathcal{D})$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, is defined as the set of functions in $L^{2,s}(\mathcal{D})$ whose first derivative is also in $L^{2,s}(\mathcal{D})$. The norm $\|v\|_{H^{1,s}(\mathcal{D})} = (\|v\|_{L^{2,s}(\mathcal{D})}^2 + \|\nabla v\|_{L^{2,s}(\mathcal{D})}^2)^{1/2}$.

We need the following slight generalization of Rellich Theorem:

Lemma 3.1 *Let Ω be an open Lipschitz domain, then the sobolev space $H^{1,-s}(\Omega)$ is compactly embeded in $L^{2,-s'}(\Omega)$ for every $s' > s > 0$.*

Lemma 3.2 *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ with compact support in B_R . For any $z = 1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we have, for any $s > 1/2$, $\|u_z\|_{H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)}$ for some constant independent of ε, u_z , and f .*

Proof. Let R_z denote the map from $L_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ to $H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ such that $R_z(f) = u_z$ where $L_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ is denoted by all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ with compact support in B_R , then it is easy to see that R_z is a linear bounded operator. It follows from theorem 3.7 in [13] that R_z is a uniformly continuous operator continous valued function on $z = 1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ with value in $B(L_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2), H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}_+^2))$. Then, we can obtain that R_z is uniformly bounded in $B(L_c^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2), H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}_+^2))$. This complete the proof by the defintion of the operator norm. \square

We next recall the following lemma which states the absence of positive eigenvalues for the linear elasticity system in half space [19].

Lemma 3.3 *Let $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$ such that u satisfies (3.1) and (3.3), than we assert that $u = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D}$*

Proof. The asserting above can be proved by extending [19, theorem 3.1], here we omit the details. \square

For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we consider the problem

$$\Delta_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon + (1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon)\omega^2 u_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D} \quad (3.8)$$

$$u_\varepsilon = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D \quad (3.9)$$

$$\sigma(u_\varepsilon)e_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \quad (3.10)$$

We know that the above problem has a unique solution $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$ by the Lax-Milgram Lemma. Thus, we have next lemma

Lemma 3.4 *Let $g \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$. For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, we have, for any $s > 1/2$, $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})} \leq C\|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}$ for some constant independent of $\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon$, and g .*

Proof. Because $h = \text{dist}(D, \Gamma_0) > 0$, we can find three concentric circles $B_{R_1}, B_{R_2}, B_{R_3}$ such that $D \subsetneq B_{R_1} \subsetneq B_{R_2} \subsetneq B_{R_3} \subsetneq \mathbb{R}_+^2$. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ be the cut-off function such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$, $\chi = 0$ in B_{R_1} , and $\chi = 1$ outside of B_{R_2} . Let $v_\varepsilon = \chi u_\varepsilon$. Then v_ε satisfies (3.6) with $z = 1 + \mathbf{i}\varepsilon$ and $q = \sigma(u_\varepsilon)\nabla\chi + (\lambda + \mu)(\nabla^2\chi u_\varepsilon + \nabla u_\varepsilon \nabla\chi) + \mu\Delta\chi u_\varepsilon + \mu\text{div}u_\varepsilon \nabla\chi$, where $\nabla^2\chi$ is the Hessian matrix of χ . Clearly q has compact support. By lemma 3.2 we can obtain

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}_+^2)} \leq C\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(B_{R_2} \setminus \bar{D})} \quad (3.11)$$

for some constant C independent of $\varepsilon > 0$. Now let $\chi_1 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+^2)$ be the cut-off function with that $0 \leq \chi_1 \leq 1$, $\chi_1 = 1$ in B_{R_2} , and $\chi_1 = 0$ outside of B_{R_3} . For $g \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$, let $u_g \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$ be the lifting function such that $u_g = g$ on Γ_D and

$\|u_g\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})} \leq C\|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}$. By testing 3.8 with $\chi_1^2(\overline{u_\varepsilon - u_g})$ and using the standard argument we have

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^1(B_{R_2} \setminus \bar{D})} \leq C(\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_{R_3} \setminus \bar{D})} + \|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}). \quad (3.12)$$

A combination of (3.11) and the above estimate yields

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})} \leq C(\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_{R_3} \setminus \bar{D})} + \|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}). \quad (3.13)$$

Now we claim

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(B_{R_3} \setminus \bar{D})} \leq C\|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)}, \quad (3.14)$$

for any $g \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If it were false, there would exist sequences $\{g_m\} \subset H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$ and $\{\varepsilon_m\} \subset (0, 1)$, and $\{u_{\varepsilon_m}\}$ be the corresponding solution of (3.8)-(3.10) such that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon_m}\|_{L^2(B_{R_3} \setminus \bar{D})} = 1 \text{ and } \|g_m\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_D)} \leq \frac{1}{m}. \quad (3.15)$$

Then $\|u_{\varepsilon_m}\|_{H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})} \leq C$, and thus there is a subsequence of $\{\varepsilon_m\}$, which is still denoted by $\{\varepsilon_m\}$, such that $\varepsilon_m \rightarrow \varepsilon' \in [0, 1]$, and a subsequence of $\{u_{\varepsilon_m}\}$, which is still denoted by $\{u_{\varepsilon_m}\}$, such that it converges to some $u_{\varepsilon'}$ in $H^{1,-s'}(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$ by choosing $s' > s$. This is a consequence of Korn's inequality and Rellich theorem. So $u_{\varepsilon'} \in H^{1,-s'}(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$ satisfies (3.8-3.10) with $g = 0$ and $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'$.

By the integral representation satisfied by u_{ε_m} , we know that for $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{B}_{R_1}$ and $i = 1, 2$

$$u_{\varepsilon'}(y) \cdot e^i = \int_{\partial B_{R_1}} (\sigma(N_{\varepsilon'}(x, y)e_i)\nu) \cdot u_{\varepsilon'}(x) - (N_{\varepsilon'}(x, y)e_i) \cdot (\sigma(u_{\varepsilon'})_{\varepsilon'}\nu) ds(x) \quad (3.16)$$

If $\varepsilon' > 0$, we deduce from (3.16) that $u_{\varepsilon'}$ decays exponentially and thus $u_{\varepsilon'} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$, then $u_{\varepsilon'} = 0$ by the uniqueness of the solution in $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$ with positive absorption. If $\varepsilon' = 0$, by the [13, theorem 5.2], we have $u_{\varepsilon'} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$. Then we conclude $u_{\varepsilon'} = 0$ by the lemma 3.3. Therefore, in any case $u_{\varepsilon'} = 0$, which, however, contradicts to 3.15. This completes the proof. \square

Now we are in the position to prove the existence of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.5 *For any $s > 1/2$, $u_\varepsilon : (0, 1) \rightarrow H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$ is a uniformly continuous operator valued function. Immediately, u_ε converges to some u_0 in $H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$ and u_0 is a solution of (3.1-3.5).*

Proof. We also give an indirect proof here. Let $\delta_0 > 0$ and $\{\mu_n\}$ and $\{\nu_n\}$ be sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that

$$|\mu_n - \nu_n| \leq 1/n \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_{\mu_n} - u_{\nu_n}\|_{H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})} \geq \delta_0 \quad (3.17)$$

Thus there is a subsequence of $\{\mu_n\}$, which is still denoted by $\{\mu_n\}$, such that $\{\mu_n\} \rightarrow \epsilon \in [0, 1]$ and also $\{\nu_n\} \rightarrow \epsilon$. Then using lemma 3.4 and the procedure proving it, we get the $u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon \in H^{1,-s'}(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$, by choosing $s' > s$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\mu_n} - u_\epsilon\|_{H^{1,-s'}(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})} &\rightarrow 0 \\ \|u_{\nu_n} - v_\epsilon\|_{H^{1,-s'}(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})} &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and $u_\epsilon = v_\epsilon$ by the same argument in lemma 3.4 which is contradict a contradiction. Thus we have proved u_ϵ is uniformly continuously for $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$. Then it is easy to see u_ϵ has a limitation in $H^{1,-s}(\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D})$ and the estimation of u_0 can be obtained by (3.14). This completes the proof. \square

It is remain to prove the uniqueness in theorem 3.1. Actually, it can be obtained following the existence of solution with any $g \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)$.

prove of Theorem 3.1 By the linearity of the problem, it is sufficient to prove that any u_0 satisfies the system (3.1-3.3) with the corresponding homogeneous boundary-value vanishes identically in $\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D}$. For any $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D}$, there exists $U^s(x, y)$ sataifies (3.1-3.3) with $g(x) = -N(x, y)$ on Γ_D following the lemma 3.5 and we define $U(x, y) = N(x, y) + U^s(x, y)$. It is easy to see that $U(x, y)$ satisfies the generalized radiation condition (3.4). Thus by the integral representaion of u_0 , we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r^+} (\sigma(U(x, y)e_i)\nu) \cdot u_0(x) - (U(x, y)e_i) \cdot (\sigma(u_0)\nu) ds(x) = 0$$

Finally, combining $U(x, y) = 0, u_0(x) = 0$ on Γ_D and the Green integral theorem we find that

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(y)e_i &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D}} -(\Delta_e(N(x, y)e_i) + \omega^2 N(x, y)e_i) \cdot u_0(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D}} \Delta u_0(x) \cdot (N(x, y)e_i) - \Delta_e(N(x, y)e_i) \cdot u_0(x) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_D} (\sigma(U(x, y)e_i)\nu) \cdot u_0(x) - (U(x, y)e_i) \cdot (\sigma(u_0)\nu) ds(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then the desired unique exsistence follows with lemma 3.5. This completes the proof of theorem 3.1. \square

4. Reverse time migration method

In this section we introduce RTM method for inverse elastic scattering problems in the half space. Assume that there N_s sources and N_r receivers uniformly distributed on Γ_0^d , where $\Gamma_0^d = \{(x_1, x_2)^T \in \Gamma_0 : x_1 \in [-d, d]\}, d > 0$ is aperture. We denote by Ω the sampling domain in which the obstacle is sought. Let $h = \text{dist}(\Omega, \Gamma_0)$ be the distance of Ω to Γ_0 . We assume the obstacle $D \subset \Omega$ and there exist constants $0 < c_1 < 1, c_2 > 0, c_3 > 0$ such that

$$|x_1| \leq c_1 d, \quad |x_1 - y_1| \leq c_2 h, \quad |x_2| \leq c_3 h \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega \quad (4.1)$$

Our RTM algorithm consists of two steps [20, 22]. The first step [7] is the back-propagation in which we back-propagate the complex conjugated data $\overline{u^s(x_r, x_s)}$ as the Dirichlet boundary condition into the domain. The second step is the cross-correlation in which we compute the imaginary part of the cross-correlation of the back-propagated field and the incoming wave which uses the source as the boundary codition on Γ_0 .

Algorithm 4.1 (REVERSE TIME MIGRATION ALGORITHM)

Given the data $u_k^s(x_r, x_s)$, $k = 1, 2$ which is the measurement of the scattered field at x_r when the source is emitted at x_s in the polarized direction e_k , $s = 1, \dots, N_s$ and $r = 1, \dots, N_r$.

1° Back-propagation: For $s = 1, \dots, N_s$ and $k=1,2$, compute the back-propagation field

$$v_k(z, x_s) = \frac{|\Gamma_0^d|}{N_r} \sum_{r=1}^{N_r} (T_{x_r}^{e_2} D(x_r, z))^T \overline{u_k^s(x_r, x_s)}, \quad \forall z \in \Omega \quad (4.2)$$

2° Cross-correlation: For $z \in \Omega$, compute

$$I_d(z) = \text{Im} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left\{ \frac{|\Gamma_0^d|}{N_s} \sum_{s=1}^{N_s} [(T_{x_s}^{e_2} D(x_s, z))^T e_k] \cdot v_k(z, x_s) \right\}. \quad (4.3)$$

It is easy to that for $z \in \Omega$

$$I_d(z) = \text{Im} \sum_{k=1}^2 \left\{ \frac{|\Gamma_0^d|}{N_s} \frac{|\Gamma_0^d|}{N_r} \sum_{s=1}^{N_s} \sum_{r=1}^{N_r} [(T_{x_s}^{e_2} D(x_s, z))^T e_k] \cdot [(T_{x_r}^{e_2} D(x_r, z))^T \overline{u_k^s(x_r, x_s)}] \right\} \quad (4.4)$$

This formula is used in all our numerical experiments in section. By letting $N_s, N_r \rightarrow \infty$, we know that (4.4) can be viewed as an approximation of the following continuous integral:

$$\hat{I}_d(z) = \text{Im} \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_0^d} \int_{\Gamma_0^d} [(T_{x_s}^{e_2} D(x_s, z))^T e_k] \cdot [(T_{x_r}^{e_2} D(x_r, z))^T \overline{u_k^s(x_r, x_s)}] ds(x_r) ds(x_s) \quad (4.5)$$

where $z \in \Omega$. We will study the resolution of the function $\hat{I}_d(z)$ in the section 5. To this end we will first consider the resolution of the finite aperture point source function in the next function.

5. The point spread function

We start by introducing some notation. For any bounded domain $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with Lipschitz boundary Γ_U and the unit outer normal vector ν , let $\|u\|_{H^1(U)} = (\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^2(U)}^2 + d_U^{-2} \|\phi\|_{L^2(U)}^2)^{1/2}$ be the weighted $H^1(U)$ norm and $\|v\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma)} = (d_U^{-1} \|v\|_{L^2(\Gamma)}^2 + |v|_{\frac{1}{2}, \Gamma}^2)^{1/2}$ be the weighted $H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ norm, where d_U is the diameter of U and

$$|v|_{\frac{1}{2}, \Gamma} = \left(\int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^2}{|x - y|^2} ds(x) ds(y) \right)^{1/2}.$$

By scaling argument and trace theorem we know that there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of d_D such that for any $\phi \in H^1(U)$ [11, corollary 3.1],

$$\|\phi\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_U)} + \|\sigma(\phi) \cdot \nu\|_{H^{-1/2}(\Gamma_U)} \leq C \max_{x \in U} (|\phi(x)| + d_U |\nabla \phi(x)|) \quad (5.1)$$

The point spread function measures the resolution for finding point source[3]. In [11], the point spread function has been defined in the case of acoustic wave. We now

define elastic point spread function $J(z, y)$, a $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ matrix, which back-propagate the conjugated data $\overline{N(x, y)}$ as the Dirichlet boundary condition. Thus, for any $z, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$

$$J(z, y) = \int_{\Gamma_0} (T_D(x, z))^T \overline{N(x, y)} ds(x) \quad (5.2)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (T_D(x_1, 0; z_1, z_2))^T \overline{N(x_1, 0; y_1, y_2)} dx_1 \quad (5.3)$$

The estimate in (??) show that the integral above exists. Now, we define functions

$$\Theta(\xi; y_1, y_2) = \frac{1}{\gamma(\xi)} \left[\begin{pmatrix} \mu_s \mu_p & -\xi \mu_p \\ -\xi \mu_s & \xi^2 \end{pmatrix} e^{i\mu_s y_2} + \begin{pmatrix} \xi^2 & \xi \mu_p \\ \xi \mu_s & \mu_p \mu_s \end{pmatrix} e^{i\mu_p y_2} \right] e^{i\xi y_1} \quad (5.4)$$

It is easy to see that, $\Theta = \overline{\hat{T}_D e^{-i\xi - y_1}}$ when $\xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus [-k_s, k_s]$.

We split the spectral terms into components associated with pressure and shearing waves.

$$\hat{T}_D = \hat{T}_D^p + \hat{T}_D^s \quad \hat{N} = \hat{N}^p + \hat{N}^s$$

Thus, we define

$$J^{\alpha\eta}(z, y) = \int_R (T_D^\alpha(x_1, 0; z))^T \overline{N^\eta(x_1, 0; y)} dx_1, \quad \alpha = s, p \quad \eta = s, p \quad (5.5)$$

It's easy to see

$$J(z, y) = \sum_{\alpha=p,s}^{\eta=p,s} J^{\alpha\eta}(z, y)$$

In order to analysis the PSF, loss is assumed in the medium that $k_{\alpha,\varepsilon} := k_\alpha(1 + i\varepsilon)$. Then by Parseval identity and lemma 2.2, we carry out

$$\begin{aligned} J^{ss}(z, y) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_R (T_D^s(x_1, 0; z_1, z_2))^T \overline{N^{s,\varepsilon}(x_1, 0; y_1, y_2)} dx_1 \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_R (\hat{T}_D^s(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{s,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-k_s}^{k_s} (\hat{T}_D^s(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{s,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\ &+ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R \setminus [-k_s, k_s]} (\hat{T}_D^s(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{s,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\ &:= F^{ss}(z, y) + R^{ss}(z, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J^{pp}(z, y) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_R (T_D^p(x_1, 0; z_1, z_2))^T \overline{N^{p,\varepsilon}(x_1, 0; y_1, y_2)} dx_1 \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_R (\hat{T}_D^p(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{p,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-k_p}^{k_p} (\hat{T}_D^p(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{p,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\ &+ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R \setminus [-k_p, k_p]} (\hat{T}_D^p(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{p,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\ &:= F^{pp}(z, y) + R^{pp}(z, y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{sp}(z, y) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_R (T_D^s(x_1, 0; z_1, z_2))^T \overline{N^{p,\varepsilon}(x_1, 0; y_1, y_2)} dx_1 \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_R (\hat{T}_D^s(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{p,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-k_p}^{k_p} (\hat{T}_D^s(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{p,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\
&+ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R \setminus [-k_p, k_p]} (\hat{T}_D^s(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{p,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\
&:= F^{sp}(z, y) + R^{sp}(z, y)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J^{ps}(z, y) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_R (T_D^p(x_1, 0; z_1, z_2))^T \overline{N^{s,\varepsilon}(x_1, 0; y_1, y_2)} dx_1 \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_R (\hat{T}_D^p(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{s,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-k_p}^{k_p} (\hat{T}_D^p(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{s,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\
&+ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R \setminus [-k_p, k_p]} (\hat{T}_D^p(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{s,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\
&:= F^{ps}(z, y) + R^{ps}(z, y)
\end{aligned}$$

and using Cauchy integral theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{R^{ss}(y, z)} &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R \setminus [-k_s, k_s]} (\hat{T}_D^s(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{s,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R \setminus [-k_s, k_s]} (\Theta^s(\xi; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{s,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_l^\pm \cup \Gamma_r^\pm} (\Theta^s(\xi; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^s(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi + \text{ResiduePart} \\
&:= \text{I}^{ss}(z, y) + \text{II}^{ss}(z, y)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{R^{pp}(y, z)} &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R \setminus [-k_p, k_p]} (\hat{T}_D^p(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{p,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R \setminus [-k_p, k_p]} (\Theta^p(\xi; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{p,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_l^\pm \cup \Gamma_r^\pm} (\Theta^p(\xi; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^p(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(-k_s, -k_p) \cup (k_p, k_s)} (\overline{T^p(\xi; z)})^T \overline{\hat{N}^p(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi + \text{ResiduePart} \\
&:= \text{I}^{pp}(z, y) + \text{II}^{pp}(z, y) + \text{III}^{pp}(z, y)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{R^{sp}(y, z)} &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R \setminus [-k_p, k_p]} (\hat{T}_D^s(\xi, 0; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{p,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R \setminus [-k_p, k_p]} (\Theta^s(\xi; z))^T \overline{\hat{N}^{p,\varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y)} d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_l^\pm \cup \Gamma_r^\pm} (\Theta^s(\xi; z))^T \hat{N}^p(\xi, 0; y) d\xi \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(-k_s, -k_p) \cup (k_p, k_s)} \overline{(T^s(\xi; z))^T} \hat{N}^p(\xi, 0; y) d\xi + \text{ResiduePart} \\
&:= \text{I}^{sp}(z, y) + \text{II}^{sp}(z, y) + \text{III}^{sp}(z, y) \\
\\
\overline{R^{ps}(y, z)} &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R \setminus [-k_p, k_p]} \overline{(\hat{T}_D^p(\xi, 0; z))^T} \hat{N}^{s, \varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y) d\xi \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R \setminus [-k_p, k_p]} (\Theta^p(\xi; z))^T \hat{N}^{s, \varepsilon}(\xi, 0; y) d\xi \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_l^\pm \cup \Gamma_r^\pm} (\Theta^p(\xi; z))^T \hat{N}^s(\xi, 0; y) d\xi \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(-k_s, -k_p) \cup (k_p, k_s)} \overline{(T^p(\xi; z))^T} \hat{N}^s(\xi, 0; y) d\xi + \text{ResiduePart} \\
&:= \text{I}^{ps}(z, y) + \text{II}^{ps}(z, y) + \text{III}^{ps}(z, y)
\end{aligned}$$

where \pm are corresponding $\text{sgn}(z_1 - y_1)$. In the sequel, A^{ij} denotes the (i, j) element of a 2×2 matrix.

Theorem 5.1 For any $z, y \in \Omega$, $J(z, y) = F(z, y) + R(z, y)$, where

$$F(z, y) = F_{ss}(z, y) + F_{pp}(z, y) \quad (5.6)$$

$$R(z, y) = R^{ss}(z, y) + R^{pp}(z, y) + J^{sp}(z, y) + J^{ps}(z, y) \quad (5.7)$$

Moreover,

$$|R^{ij}(z, y)| + k_s^{-1} |\nabla_y R^{ij}(z, y)| \leq \frac{C}{\mu} \left(\frac{1}{(k_s h)^{\frac{1}{2n^*}}} + e^{-\sqrt{k_R^2 - k_s^2} h} \right) \quad (5.8)$$

uniformly for $z, y \in \Omega$. Here the constant C may dependent on $k_s d_D$ and $\kappa := k_p/k_s$, but is independent of k, h, d_D .

Lemma 5.1 For any $z, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$,

$$|\text{I}_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^4 (k_s(y_2 + z_2))^{-j}, \quad \alpha, \beta = s, p \quad (5.9)$$

where C is only dependent on $\kappa := k_p/k_s$.

Proof. Substituting (5.4) and (2.34) into I^{ss} , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{I}^{ss}(z, y) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_l^\pm \cup \Gamma_r^\pm} \frac{\mathbf{i}(k_s^2 - 4\xi^2)}{\mu\gamma(\xi)\delta(\xi)} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_s^2\mu_p & \xi\mu_s\mu_p \\ -\xi\mu_s\mu_p & -\xi^2\mu_p \end{pmatrix} e^{i\mu_s(z_2+y_2)+i\xi(z_1-y_1)} \\
&= A_l + A_r
\end{aligned}$$

where A_r, A_l are respected the integration on the Γ_r^+, Γ_l^+ . Now, we turn to estimation of I^{ss} . The integration path Γ_r^+ can be parameterized by $\xi := k_s q(t) = k_s \sqrt{t^2 + 1} + i k_s t$, with $0 < t < +\infty$. We define $\gamma_p(t) := (\kappa^2 - q(t)^2)^{1/2}$, $\gamma_s(t) = (1 - q(t)^2)^{1/2}$, and $\tau(t) := 1 - 2t^2$. It is well know that $\mu_\alpha = k_s \gamma_\alpha(t)$, $\beta(\xi) = k_s^2 \tau(t)$, $\gamma(\xi) = k_s^2 (q(t)^2 + \gamma_p(t) \gamma_s(t))$ and

$\delta(\xi) = k_s^4(\tau(t)^2 + 4q(t)^2\gamma_p(t)\gamma_s(t))$ when ξ on Γ_r^+ . Now, substituting the parameterized representation of ξ on Γ_r^+ , we obtain

$$A_r^{11} = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{A(t)}{\mu} e^{\mathbf{i}k_s[\gamma_s(t)(z_2+y_2)+q(t)(z_1-y_1)]} dt \quad (5.10)$$

where $A(t) = \frac{\mathbf{i}(1-4q(t)^2)\gamma_s(t)^2\gamma_p(t)(\mathbf{i}+t/\sqrt{1+t^2})}{2\pi(q(t)^2+\gamma_p(t)\gamma_s(t))(\tau(t)^2+4q(t)^2\gamma_p(t)\gamma_s(t))}$.

A simple computation show that $A(t) = O(t^3)$, $t \rightarrow +\infty$. It is obvious that there exit $T, C > 0$ which only dependent on κ

$$|A(t)| \leq Ct^3 \quad (5.11)$$

when $t > T$. By the convention in (2.12), it is easy to see

$$\gamma_s(t) = (1+t^2)^{1/4}t^{1/2}(-1+\mathbf{i}) \quad (5.12)$$

Cause $\delta(\xi)$ and $\gamma(\xi)$ have no zero point on Γ_r^+ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_r| &\leq \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^{+\infty} |A(t)| e^{-ks \operatorname{Im} \gamma_s(t)(z_2+y_2)} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^T \max_{[0,T]} A(t) e^{-ks \operatorname{Im} \gamma_s(t)(z_2+y_2)} dt + \frac{C}{\mu} \int_T^{+\infty} t^3 e^{-ks \operatorname{Im} \gamma_s(t)(z_2+y_2)} dt \\ &= \frac{\max_{[0,T]} A(t)}{\mu} \int_0^T e^{-ks(1+t^2)^{1/4}t^{1/2}(z_2+y_2)} dt + \frac{C}{\mu} \int_T^{+\infty} t^3 e^{-ks(1+t^2)^{1/4}t^{1/2}(z_2+y_2)} dt \\ &\leq \frac{\max_{[0,T]} A(t)}{\mu} \int_0^T e^{-kst(z_2+y_2)} dt + \frac{C}{\mu} \int_T^{+\infty} t^3 e^{-kst(z_2+y_2)} dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\mu} \sum_{j=1}^4 (k_s(y_2+z_2))^{-j} \end{aligned}$$

where we use integration by parts for last inequately. The estimate of A_l and the case of $y_1 - z_1 < 0$ can be proved similarly. Thus, we obtain (5.9) when $i = j = 1, \alpha = \beta = s$. The estimation of other term $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{ij}(z, y)$ can be proved similarly via integration by parts argument and the fact that $\operatorname{Im} \gamma_s(t) \leq \operatorname{Im} \gamma_p(t)$, $t > 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.2 For any $z, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$,

$$|\Pi_{ij}^{pp}(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{\mu k_s(y_2+z_2)} \quad (5.13)$$

$$|\Pi_{ij}^{sp}(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{\mu k_s y_2} \quad (5.14)$$

$$|\Pi_{ij}^{ps}(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{\mu k_s z_2} \quad (5.15)$$

where C is only dependent on $\kappa := k_p/k_s$.

Proof. Substituting (5.4) and (2.34) into Π^{pp} , we have

$$\Pi^{pp}(z, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(-k_s, -k_p) \cup (k_p, k_s)} \frac{\mathbf{i}k_s^2}{\mu \gamma(\xi) \bar{\delta}(\xi)} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^2 \mu_s & -\xi \mu_s \mu_p \\ \xi \mu_s \mu_p & -\mu_s \mu_p^2 \end{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i} \mu_p(z_2+y_2) + \mathbf{i} \xi(z_1-y_1)}$$

let $\xi = k_s t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Pi_{11}^{pp}| &\leq \int_{\kappa}^1 \frac{\sqrt{(1-t^2)}t^2}{\pi\mu|t^2 - \mathbf{i}\sqrt{(t^2 - \kappa^2)}\sqrt{(1-t^2)}|(1-2t^2)^2 + \mathbf{i}4t^2\sqrt{(t^2 - \kappa^2)}\sqrt{(1-t^2)}|} e^{-k_s\sqrt{t^2 - \kappa^2}(z_2+y_2)} dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\mu} \int_0^{1-\kappa} e^{t(z_2+y_2)} dt \leq \frac{C}{\mu k_s(y_2 + z_2)} \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $\gamma(\xi), \delta(\xi)$ have no roots on interval $[k_p, k_s]$, then we can get supremum of amplitude function. The method of estimating other terms are actually same, here we omit details. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.3 For any $z, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$,

$$|\Pi_{ij}^{ss}(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{\mu} e^{-\sqrt{k_R^2 - k_s^2}(y_2 + z_2)} \quad (5.16)$$

$$|\Pi_{ij}^{pp}(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{\mu} e^{-\sqrt{k_R^2 - k_p^2}(y_2 + z_2)} \quad (5.17)$$

$$|\Pi_{ij}^{sp}(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{\mu} e^{-\sqrt{k_R^2 - k_s^2}z_2 - \sqrt{k_R^2 - k_p^2}y_2} \quad (5.18)$$

$$|\Pi_{ij}^{ps}(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{\mu} e^{-\sqrt{k_R^2 - k_p^2}z_2 - \sqrt{k_R^2 - k_s^2}y_2} \quad (5.19)$$

where C is only dependent on $\kappa := k_p/k_s$.

Proof. When $z_1 - y_1 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{11}^{ss} &= -\frac{1}{\mu} \text{Res}_{\xi=k_R} \frac{(k_s^2 - 4\xi^2)\mu_s^2\mu_p}{\gamma(\xi)\delta(\xi)} e^{\mathbf{i}\mu_s(z_2+y_2) + \mathbf{i}\xi(z_1-y_1)} \\ &= -\frac{(k_s^2 - 4\xi^2)\mu_s^2\mu_p}{\mu(\gamma(\xi)\delta(\xi))'} e^{\mathbf{i}\mu_s(z_2+y_2) + \mathbf{i}\xi(z_1-y_1)} \Big|_{\xi=k_R} \end{aligned}$$

Eliminating k_s in fraction, we can obtain estimation (5.16). The other terms can be estimated similarly. This completes the proof. \square

Now, it turn to estimate $F^{sp}(z, y)$ and $F^{ps}(z, y)$.

Lemma 5.4 For $0 < \kappa < 1$, let $F(\lambda) = \int_0^\kappa f(t) e^{\mathbf{i}\lambda(\sqrt{1-t^2} - \tau\sqrt{\kappa^2-t^2} + \alpha t)} dt$, where $\tau \geq c_0 > 0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have

$$|F(\lambda)| \leq C(\kappa) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2N_*}} \left[|f(\kappa)| + \int_0^\kappa |f'(t)| dt \right]$$

where $N_* = \min\{N | \kappa^{2N-1} < c_0, N \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$.

Proof. Put $\phi(t) = -\sqrt{1-t^2}$ and $\psi(t, \tau) = \tau\phi(t/\kappa) - \phi(t) + \alpha t$. For easy of notations, we denote the n -th partial derivative of $g(t)$ with respect to t by $g^{(n)}(t)$. Then, it is to see that, for $n > 1$

$$\psi^{(n)}(t, \tau) = \frac{\tau}{\kappa^{n-1}} \phi^{(n)}\left(\frac{t}{\kappa}\right) - \phi^{(n)}(t)$$

A standard computation show that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{(1)}(t) &= \frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t^2}} \\ \phi^{(2)}(t) &= \frac{1}{(1-t^2)^{3/2}} \\ \phi^{(3)}(t) &= \frac{3t}{(1-t^2)^{5/2}}\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for $n \geq 3$, we have

$$\phi^{(n)}(t) = \frac{p_n(t)}{(1-t^2)^{n-1/2}} \quad (5.20)$$

where $p_n = \sum_0^{n-2} a_k^n t^k$ is a $(n-2)$ -th polynomial such that its coefficients satisfy the following recursion formula:

$$\begin{aligned}a_{n-1}^{n+1} &= (n+1)a_{n-2}^n, \quad a_{n-2}^{n+1} = (n+2)a_{n-3}^n \\ a_k^{n+1} &= (k+1)a_{k+1}^n + (2n-k)a_{k-1}^n \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n-3 \\ a_0^{n+1} &= a_1^n\end{aligned}$$

Since the polynomial coefficients are all positive, it is obvious that for $n \geq 1$, $\phi^{(n)}(t)$ is a monotone increasing positive function. Using the recursion formula, it follows that

$$\phi^{(n)}(0) = \begin{cases} 0 & n \text{ is odd,} \\ (n-1)!!(n-3)!! & n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (5.21)$$

where $(2k-1)!!$ is double factorial and $n > 3$. We are now in the position to proof the inequality. Since $0 < \kappa < 1$, obersev that

$$\psi^{(2N_*+1)}(t, \tau) \geq \frac{\tau}{\kappa^{2N_*}} \phi^{(2N_*+1)}(t) - \phi^{(2N_*+1)}(t) > 0$$

Therefore, $\psi^{(2N_*)}(t, \tau)$ is monotone increasing in $[0, \kappa)$. By (5.21), we get

$$\psi^{(2N_*)}(t, \tau) \geq \psi^{(2N_*)}(0, \tau) \geq \psi^{(2N_*)}(0, c_0) = C(2N_*) \left(\frac{c_0}{\kappa^{2N_*-1}} - 1 \right) > 0 \quad (5.22)$$

The lemma is now a direct consequence of lemma (2.5). \square

The parameterization of hyperbolic curve passing $(\pm 1, 0)$ is:

$$\xi_1 = \pm \sqrt{t^2 + 1} \quad \xi_2 = t$$

where $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Substituting $\xi = \xi_1 + \mathbf{i}\xi_2$ into $\mu(\xi) := (1 - \xi^2)^{1/2}$ and $\mu_\kappa(\xi) := (\kappa^2 - \xi^2)^{1/2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Im } \mu(\xi) &= \text{Im } (1 - (\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 + \mathbf{i}2\xi_1\xi_2))^{1/2} \\ &= \text{Im } (-2t\sqrt{t^2 + 1}\mathbf{i})^{1/2} = t^{1/2}(t^2 + 1)^{1/4} \\ \text{Im } \mu_\kappa(\xi) &= \text{Im } (\kappa^2 - (\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2 + \mathbf{i}2\xi_1\xi_2))^{1/2} \\ &= \text{Im } (\kappa^2 - 1 - 2t\sqrt{t^2 + 1}\mathbf{i})^{1/2} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{(1 - \kappa^2)^2 + 4t(t^2 + 1)} + 1 - \kappa^2}{2}} \\ &\geq t^{1/2}(t^2 + 1)^{1/4}\end{aligned}$$

where we only consider the branch, denoted by Γ^+ , in the first quadrant here. For $a > 0, b > 0$, we have

$$|e^{\mathbf{i}\xi a + \mathbf{i}\mu(\xi)b + \mathbf{i}\mu_\kappa(\xi)c}| \leq e^{-ta - t^{1/2}(t^2+1)^{1/4}b - t^{1/2}(t^2+1)^{1/4}c} \leq e^{-t(b+c)}$$

Lemma 5.5 For $\xi \in \Gamma_0$, let $f(\xi)$ is a complex valued function in $L^1(\Gamma^+)$ such that $|f(\xi)| \leq C(1 + \xi^k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I(a, b, c)| &:= \left| \int_{\Gamma^+} f(\xi) e^{\mathbf{i}\xi a + \mathbf{i}\mu(\xi)b + \mathbf{i}\mu_\kappa(\xi)c} d\xi \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{b+c} + \frac{1}{(b+c)^k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof.

$$\frac{d\xi(t)}{dt} = \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2+1}} + \mathbf{i}$$

Substituting $\xi(t)$ into $I(a, b, c)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I(a, b, c)| &= \left| \int_0^\infty |f(\xi(t))| \frac{d\xi(t)}{dt} e^{\mathbf{i}\xi(t)a + \mathbf{i}\mu(\xi(t))b + \mathbf{i}\mu_\kappa(\xi(t))c} dt \right| \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty (1 + t^k) e^{-t(b+c)} dt \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{b+c} + \frac{1}{(b+c)^k} \right) \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.6 Let $f(\xi)$ is a bounded complex valued function in $L^1((\kappa, 1))$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I(a, b)| &:= \int_\kappa^1 |f(\xi)| e^{\mathbf{i}\xi a + \mathbf{i}\mu_\kappa(\xi)b} d\xi \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{b} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is simple to see that

$$\begin{aligned} |I(a, b)| &\leq C \int_\kappa^1 e^{-b\sqrt{\xi^2 - \kappa^2}} d\xi \\ &\leq C \int_0^{\sqrt{1-\kappa^2}} \frac{t}{\sqrt{t^2 + \kappa^2}} e^{-bt} dt \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{b} \end{aligned}$$

□

To complete the analysis of the point spread function, Let $F(z, y) = F_{ss}(z, y) + F_{pp}(z, y)$, where

$$F^{pp}(z, y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(-k_p, -k_p)} \frac{\mathbf{i}k_s^2 \mu_s}{\mu \gamma(\xi) \delta(\xi)} \begin{pmatrix} \xi^2 & -\xi \mu_p \\ -\xi \mu_p & \mu_p^2 \end{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i}\mu_p(z_2 - y_2) + \mathbf{i}\xi(y_1 - z_1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F^{ss}(z, y) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(-k_p, -k_p)} \frac{\mathbf{i}k_s^2 \mu_p}{\mu \gamma(\xi) \delta(\xi)} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_s^2 & \xi \mu_s \\ \xi \mu_s & \xi^2 \end{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i} \mu_p(z_2 - y_2) + \mathbf{i} \xi(y_1 - z_1)} \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{(-k_s, k_s) \setminus (-k_p, k_p)} \frac{\mathbf{i}(k_s^2 - 4\xi^2) \mu_p}{\mu \gamma(\xi) \delta(\xi)} \begin{pmatrix} \mu_s^2 & \xi \mu_s \\ \xi \mu_s & \xi^2 \end{pmatrix} e^{\mathbf{i} \mu_s(z_2 - y_2) + \mathbf{i} \xi(y_1 - z_1)} \\
&:= F^{ss1}(z, y) + F^{ss2}(z, y)
\end{aligned}$$

and $R(z, y) = R^{ss}(z, y) + R^{pp}(z, y) + J^{sp}(z, y) + J^{ps}(z, y)$. Then we have $J(z, y) = F(z, y) + R(z, y)$. By the lemma 5.1-5.3 and lemma ??, the main contribution to the point spread function is from $F(z, y)$ when z, y far away from Γ_0 . Based on the above argument, we know that $R(z, y)$ becomes small when z, y move away from Γ_0 . Our goal is to show $F(z, y)$ has the similar decay to the elastic fundamental solution $\text{Im } \Phi(z, y)$ as $|z - y| \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 5.7 For any $z, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$, when $z = y$

$$\begin{aligned}
|\text{Im } F_{ii}(z, y)| &\geq \frac{1}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)}, \quad i = 1, 2 \\
\text{Im } F_{12}(z, y) &= \text{Im } F_{21}(z, y) = 0
\end{aligned}$$

and for $z \neq y$

$$|F_{ij}(z, y)| \leq \frac{C}{\mu} [(k_s |z - y|)^{-1/2} + (k_s |z - y|^{-1})]$$

where constant C is only dependent on κ .

Proof. We only proof the case of $i = 1$, the other ones are similar. First, we have $\gamma(\xi) \leq k_s^2$, $\delta(\xi) \leq k_s^4$ and $\mu_p \leq \mu_s$ when $\xi \in (-k_p, k_p)$. Then, if $z = y$

$$-\text{Im}(F_{11}^{pp} + F_{11}^{ss1}) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi\mu} \int_{(-k_p, k_p)} \frac{\mu_p}{k_s^2} d\xi \quad (5.23)$$

$$= \frac{k_p^2}{2\pi\mu k_s^2} \int_0^\pi \sin^2(t) dt = \frac{1}{4(\lambda + 2\mu)} \quad (5.24)$$

It's left to proof $-\text{Im } F_{11}^{ss2} > 0$. If $\xi \in (-k_s, k_s) \setminus (-k_p, k_p)$, $\mu_p = \mathbf{i}\sqrt{\xi^2 - k_p^2}$. Substituting it into F^{ss2} , we have

$$F_{11}^{ss2} = \frac{1}{2\pi\mu} \int_{(-k_s, k_s) \setminus (-k_p, k_p)} \frac{\mu_s^2 \sqrt{\xi^2 - k_p^2} (k_s^2 - 4\xi^2)}{(\xi^2 + \mathbf{i}\mu_s \sqrt{\xi^2 - k_p^2})(\beta^2 - \mathbf{i}4\xi^2 \mu_s \sqrt{\xi^2 - k_p^2})} d\xi \quad (5.25)$$

let $\alpha = (\xi^2 + \mathbf{i}\mu_s \sqrt{\xi^2 - k_p^2})(\beta^2 - \mathbf{i}4\xi^2 \mu_s \sqrt{\xi^2 - k_p^2})$. A simple computation show that $\text{Im } \alpha = k_s^2 \mu_s \sqrt{\xi^2 - k_p^2} (k_s^2 - 4\xi^2)$. It is easy to see that

$$-\text{Im } F_{11}^{ss2} = \frac{k_s^2}{2\pi\mu} \int_{(-k_s, k_s) \setminus (-k_p, k_p)} \frac{\mu_s^3 (\xi^2 - k_p^2) (k_s^2 - \xi^2)^2}{|\alpha|^2} d\xi > 0$$

For $z \neq y$, we denot $y - z = |y - z|(\cos \phi, \sin \phi)^T$ for some $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$. Then it is easy to see that

$$F^{pp}(z, y) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^\pi A(\theta, \kappa) e^{\mathbf{i} k_s |z - y| \cos(\theta - \phi)}$$

The phase function $f(\theta) = \cos(\theta - \phi)$ satisfies $f'(\theta) = -\sin(\theta - \phi)$, $f''(\theta) = -\cos(\theta - \phi)$. For any given $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$, we can decompose $[0, \pi]$ into several intervals such that in each either $|f''(\theta)| \geq 1/2$ or $|f'(\theta)| \geq 1/2$ and $f'(\theta)$ is monotonous. The amplitude function $A(\theta, \kappa)$ and their derirates are integrable on $[0, \pi]$. Then the estimate for $F_{pp}(z, y)$ follows by using lemma 2.5. The estimation of $F^{ss}(z, y)$ can be proved similarly. This completes the proof. \square

By (5.1), we arrive at the following consequence of Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 whcih will be used in the next section.

Corollary 5.1 *There exists a constant C independent of k_s , h such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(z, \cdot)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)} + \|\sigma(F(z, \cdot)) \cdot \nu\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)} &\leq \frac{C}{\mu}(1 + kd_D) \\ \|R(z, \cdot)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)} + \|\sigma(R(z, \cdot)) \cdot \nu\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)} &\leq \frac{C}{\mu}(1 + kd_D) \left(\frac{1}{(k_s h)^{\frac{1}{2n^*}}} + e^{-\sqrt{k_R^2 - k_s^2} h} \right) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $z \in \Omega$, where d_D is the diameter of the obstacle D .

Now we consider the finite aperture point spread function $J_d(z, y)$:

$$\int_{-d}^d (T_D(x_1, 0; z_1, z_2))^T \overline{N(x_1, 0; y_1, y_2)} dx_1 \quad (5.26)$$

Our aim is to estimate the difference $J(z, y) - J_d(z, y)$. It is easy to see that

$$\frac{(x_1 - z_1)^2}{\rho^2} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{z_2^2}{(x_1 - z_1)^2}} \geq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{c_3^2 h^2}{(1 - c_1)^2 d^2}} := m(h/d) \quad (5.27)$$

$$\frac{z_2^2}{\rho^2} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{(x_1 - z_1)^2}{z_2^2}} \leq \frac{1}{1 + \frac{(1 - c_1)^2 d^2}{c_3^2 h^2}} := M(h/d) \quad (5.28)$$

where $\rho = \sqrt{(x_1 - z_1)^2 + z_2^2}$ and $z \in \Omega, x \in \Gamma_0 \setminus (-d, d)$.

Theorem 5.2 *Assume $m(h/d) > (1 + \kappa)^2/4$, $M(h/d) < \kappa^2/4$ and $k_s h \geq 1$. Then for $z, y \in \Omega$, we have*

$$|J(z, y) - J_d(z, y)| + k_s^{-1} |\nabla_y (J(z, y) - J_d(z, y))| \leq \frac{C}{\mu} \left(\frac{h}{d} + \frac{(k_s h)^{1/2}}{e^{\sqrt{k_r^2 - k_s^2} h}} \left(\frac{h}{d} \right)^{1/2} \right) \quad (5.29)$$

where the constant C is only dependent on κ .

Proof. By lemma ??, lemma 2.7 and $k_s h \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_d^\infty (T_D(x_1, 0; z_1, z_2))^T \overline{N(x_1, 0; y_1, y_2)} dx_1 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\mu} \int_d^\infty \frac{k_s z_2}{|x - z|} \frac{1}{(k_s |x - z|)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{y_2}{|x - y|} \frac{1}{(k_s |x - y|)^{1/2}} + e^{-\sqrt{k_r^2 - k_s^2} y_2} \right) dx_1 \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\mu} \int_{(1 - c_1)d/h}^\infty \frac{1}{(1 + t^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{(k_s h)^{1/2}}{(1 + t^2)^{3/4}} e^{-\sqrt{k_r^2 - k_s^2} h} dt \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\mu} \left(\left(\frac{h}{d} \right)^2 + \frac{(k_s h)^{1/2}}{e^{\sqrt{k_r^2 - k_s^2} h}} \left(\frac{h}{d} \right)^{1/2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the first inequality in (4.1). Similarly, we can prove that the estimate for the integral in $[-\infty, -d]$. This shows the estimate for $J(z, y) - J_d(z, y)$. The estimate for $\nabla_y(J(z, y) - J_d(z, y))$ can be proved similarly. \square

By (5.1) we obtain the following corollary

Corollary 5.2 *There exists a constant C independent of k_s, h such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|J(z, \cdot) - J_d(z, \cdot)\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)} + \|\sigma(J(z, \cdot) - J_d(z, \cdot)) \cdot \nu\|_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma_D)} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\mu} \left(\left(\frac{h}{d} \right)^2 + \frac{(k_s h)^{1/2}}{e \sqrt{k_r^2 - k_s^2} h} \left(\frac{h}{d} \right)^{1/2} \right) (1 + k d_D) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly for $z \in \Omega$, where d_D is the diameter of the obstacle D .

6. The resolution analysis

In this section we study the imaging resolution of the RTM for the Dirichlet boundary obstacle in the half space.

Theorem 6.1 *For any $z \in \Omega$*

Proof. By the integral representation, we have,

$$u_k^s(x_r, x_s) = \int_{\Gamma_D} (T_y^\nu N(y, x_r))^T u_k^s(y, x_s) - G(x_r, y) (T_y^\nu u_k^s(y, x_s)) ds(y) \quad (6.1)$$

where $u_k^s(x, x_s) + N(x, x_s)e_k = 0$. From (??) we get for any $z \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(z, x_s) &= \int_{\Gamma_0^d} (T_{x_r}^{e_2} D(x_r, z))^T \overline{u_k^s(x_r, x_s)} ds(x_r) \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_D} ds(y) \left(\int_{\Gamma_0^d} (T_{x_r}^{e_2} D(x_r, z))^T \overline{(T_y^\nu N(y, x_r))^T} ds(x_r) \right) \overline{u_k^s(y, x_s)} \\ &\quad - \left(\int_{\Gamma_0^d} (T_{x_r}^{e_2} D(x_r, z))^T \overline{N(x_r, y)} ds(x_r) \right) \overline{(T_y^\nu u_k^s(y, x_s))} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_D} ds(y) \left(\int_{\Gamma_0^d} (T_y^\nu \overline{N(y, x_r)} T_{x_r}^{e_2} D(x_r, z))^T ds(x_r) \right) \overline{u_k^s(y, x_s)} \\ &\quad - \left(\int_{\Gamma_0^d} (T_{x_r}^{e_2} D(x_r, z))^T \overline{N(x_r, y)} ds(x_r) \right) \overline{(T_y^\nu u_k^s(y, x_s))} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_D} ds(y) \left(\int_{\Gamma_0^d} (T_y^\nu [\overline{N(y, x_r)} T_{x_r}^{e_2} D(x_r, z)])^T ds(x_r) \right) \overline{u_k^s(y, x_s)} \\ &\quad - \left(\int_{\Gamma_0^d} (T_{x_r}^{e_2} D(x_r, z))^T \overline{N(x_r, y)} ds(x_r) \right) \overline{(T_y^\nu u_k^s(y, x_s))} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_D} ds(y) \left((T_y^\nu J_d^T(z, y))^T \overline{u_k^s(y, x_s)} - J_d(z, y) \overline{(T_y^\nu u_k^s(y, x_s))} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact $(\sigma_x(A(x))\nu)B = \sigma_x(A(x)B)\nu$ above. By the definition of the imaging function $\hat{I}_d(z)$, we have

$$\hat{I}_d(z) = \text{Im} \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_0^d} (T_{x_s}^{e_2} D(x_s, z))^T e_k \cdot v_k(z, x_s) ds(x_s)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\Gamma_D} ds(y) \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_0^d} (T_{x_s}^{e_2} D(x_s, z))^T e_k \cdot \left((T_y^\nu J_d^T(z, y))^T \overline{u_k^s(y, x_s)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - J_d(z, y) \overline{(T_y^\nu u_k^s(y, x_s))} \right) \\
&= \text{Im} \int_{\Gamma_D} ds(y) \sum_{k=1}^2 \text{tr} \left((T_y^\nu J_d^T(z, y))^T \int_{\Gamma_0^d} \overline{u_k^s(y, x_s)} e_k^T T_{x_s}^{e_2} D(x_s, z) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - J_d(z, y) \int_{\Gamma_0^d} \overline{(T_y^\nu u_k^s(y, x_s))} e_k^T T_{x_s}^{e_2} D(x_s, z) \right) \\
&= \text{Im} \int_{\Gamma_d} ds(y) \text{tr} \left((T_y^\nu J_d^T(z, y))^T \sum_{k=1}^2 W_k(y, z) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - J_d(z, y) (T_y^\nu \sum_{k=1}^2 W_k(y, z)) \right) \\
&= \text{Im} \int_{\Gamma_d} \text{tr} \left((T_y^\nu J_d^T(z, y))^T W(y, z) - J_d(z, y) (T_y^\nu W(y, z)) \right) ds(y)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$W(y, z) = \sum_{k=1}^2 W_k(y, z) \quad (6.2)$$

$$W_k(y, z) = \int_{\Gamma_0^d} \overline{u_k^s(y, x_s)} e_k^T (T_{x_s}^{e_2} D(x_s, z)) ds(x_s) \quad (6.3)$$

Therefore, $\overline{W_k(y, z)}$ can be viewed as the weighted superposition of $u_k^s(y, x_s)$. Then $\overline{W_k(y, z)}$ satisfies elastic equation

$$\Delta_y \overline{W_k(y, z)} + \omega^2 \overline{W_k(y, z)} = 0 \quad (6.4)$$

On the boundary of the obstacle Γ_D , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W(y, z) &= \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_0^d} u_k^s(y, x_s) e_k^T T_{x_s}^{e_2} \overline{D(x_s, z)} ds(x_s) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_0^d} -N(y, x_s) e_k e_k^T T_{x_s}^{e_2} \overline{D(x_s, z)} ds(x_s) \\
&= - \int_{\Gamma_0^d} N(y, x_s) T_{x_s}^{e_2} \overline{D(x_s, z)} ds(x_s) \\
&= - \overline{J_d^T(z, y)}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $T_y^{e_2} \overline{W_k(y, z)} = 0$ on Γ_0 since $T_y^{e_2} u_k^s(y, x_s) = 0$ on Γ_0 . Let $W_d(y, z)$ be the scattering solution of the problem

$$\Delta_y W_d(y, z) + \omega^2 W_d(y, z) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^2 \setminus \bar{D} \quad (6.5)$$

$$W_d(y, z) = \overline{F(z, y)} - \overline{J_d^T(z, y)} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_D \quad (6.6)$$

$$\sigma_y(W_d(y, z)) \cdot e_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \quad (6.7)$$

$$\hat{I}_d(z) = \text{Im tr} \int_{\Gamma_d} (T_y^\nu J_d^T(z, y))^T \overline{\Psi(y, z)} - J_d(z, y) (T_y^\nu \overline{\Psi(y, z)}) ds(y) + R_{\hat{I}}(z) \quad (6.8)$$

where

$$R_{\hat{I}}(z) = \text{Im tr} \int_{\Gamma_d} (T_y^\nu J_d^T(z, y))^T W_d(y, z) - J_d(z, y) (T_y^\nu W_d(y, z)) ds(y) \quad (6.9)$$

□

References

- [1] Jan Achenbach. *Wave Propagation in Elastic Solids*. North-Holland, 1980.
- [2] Lars V Ahlfors. *Complex Analysis: An introduction to the theory of analytic functions of one complex variable*. McGraw-Hill, 1979.
- [3] Habib Ammari, Josselin Garnier, Wenjia Jing, Hyeonbae Kang, Mikyoung Lim, Knut Sølna, and Han Wang. *Mathematical and statistical methods for multistatic imaging*, volume 2098. Springer, 2013.
- [4] T. Arens. A new integral equation formulation for the scattering of plane elastic waves by diffraction gratings. *Journal of Integral Equations and Applications*, 11(3):232C245, 1999.
- [5] Augustinus Johannes Berkhout. *Seismic migration: Imaging of acoustic energy by wave field extrapolation*, volume 12. Elsevier, 2012.
- [6] Norman Bleistein, Jack K Cohen, W John Jr, et al. *Mathematics of multidimensional seismic imaging, migration, and inversion*, volume 13. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [7] Wen Fong Chang. Elastic reverse-time migration. *Geophysical Prospecting*, 37(3):243–256, 1987.
- [8] Junqing Chen, Zhiming Chen, and Guanghui Huang. Reverse time migration for extended obstacles: acoustic waves. *Inverse Problems*, 29(8):085005, 2013.
- [9] Junqing Chen, Zhiming Chen, and Guanghui Huang. Reverse time migration for extended obstacles: electromagnetic waves. *Inverse Problems*, 29(8):085006, 2013.
- [10] ZhiMing Chen and GuangHui Huang. Reverse time migration for reconstructing extended obstacles in planar acoustic waveguides. *Science China Mathematics*, 58(9):1811–1834, 2015.
- [11] Zhiming Chen and Guanghui Huang. Reverse time migration for reconstructing extended obstacles in the half space. *Inverse Problems*, 31(5):055007, 2015.
- [12] Jon F Claerbout. *Imaging the earth’s interior*. 1985.
- [13] Yves Dermenjian and Jean Claude Guillot. Scattering of elastic waves in a perturbed isotropic half space with a free boundary. the limiting absorption principle. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 10(2):87C124, 1988.
- [14] Mario Durán, Ignacio Muga, and Jean-Claude Nédélec. The outgoing time-harmonic elastic wave in a half-plane with free boundary. *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, 71(2):443–464, 2011.
- [15] Loukas Grafakos. *Classical and modern Fourier analysis*. Prentice Hall, 2004.
- [16] John G. Harris. *Linear elastic waves*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [17] Rolf Leis. *Initial Boundary Value Problems in Mathematical Physics*. J. Wiley, 1986.
- [18] Andrew I. Madyarov and Bojan B. Guzina. A radiation condition for layered elastic media. *Journal of Elasticity*, 82(1):73–98, 2006.
- [19] Mourad Sini. Absence of positive eigenvalues for the linearized elasticity system. *Integral Equations and Operator Theory*, 49(2):255–277, 2004.
- [20] J. Sun, Y. Zhang, J. Sun, and Y. Zhang. Practical issues of reverse time migration: true amplitude gathers, noise removal and harmonic-source encoding. *Aseg Extended Abstracts*, 2009(3):397–398, 2008.
- [21] Calvin H. Wilcox. *Scattering Theory for the d’Alembert Equation in Exterior Domains*. PhD thesis, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1975.

- [22] Yu Zhang, Sheng Xu, Norman Bleistein, and Guanquan Zhang. True-amplitude, angle-domain, common-image gathers from one-way wave-equation migrations. *Geophysics*, 72(1):S49–S58, 2007.