

Project

Professor: Ziyu Shao

Due: 2022/01/16 11:59am

- **Performance Evaluation of Classical Bandit Algorithms**

You are required to use Python for the programming part. You also need to submit a report including your simulation results, analysis, discussions, tables and figures.

- **Basic Setting**

We consider a time-slotted bandit system ($t = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) with three arms. We denote the arm set as $\{1, 2, 3\}$. Pulling each arm j ($j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$) will obtain a reward r_j , which satisfies a Bernoulli distribution with mean θ_j ($\text{Bern}(\theta_j)$), *i.e.*,

$$r_j = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{w.p. } \theta_j, \\ 0, & \text{w.p. } 1 - \theta_j, \end{cases}$$

where θ_j are parameters within $(0, 1)$ for $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Now we run this bandit system for N ($N \gg 3$) time slots. At each time slot t , we choose one and only one arm from these three arms, which we denote as $I(t) \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then we pull the arm $I(t)$ and obtain a reward $r_{I(t)}$. Our objective is to find an optimal policy to choose an arm $I(t)$ at each time slot t such that the expectation of the aggregated reward is maximized, *i.e.*,

$$\max_{I(t), t=1, \dots, N} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^N r_{I(t)} \right].$$

If we know the values of $\theta_j, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, this problem is trivial. Since $r_{I(t)} \sim \text{Bern}(\theta_{I(t)})$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^N r_{I(t)} \right] = \sum_{t=1}^N \mathbb{E}[r_{I(t)}] = \sum_{t=1}^N \theta_{I(t)}.$$

Let $I(t) = I^* = \arg \max_{j \in \{1, 2, 3\}} \theta_j$ for $t = 1, 2, \dots, N$, then

$$\max_{I(t), t=1, \dots, N} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^N r_{I(t)} \right] = N \cdot \theta_{I^*}.$$

However, in reality, we do not know the values of $\theta_j, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. We need to estimate the values θ_j via empirical samples, and then make the decisions at each time slot.

Next we introduce three classical bandit algorithms: ϵ -greedy, UCB and Thompson sampling.

- **Bandit Algorithms**

1. ϵ -greedy Algorithm ($0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$)

Algorithm 1 ϵ -greedy Algorithm

Initialize $\hat{\theta}(j) = 0, \text{count}(j) = 0, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$
1: **for** $t = 1, 2, \dots, N$ **do**
2: $I(t) \leftarrow \begin{cases} \arg \max_{j \in \{1, 2, 3\}} \hat{\theta}(j) & w.p. 1 - \epsilon \\ \text{randomly chosen from } \{1, 2, 3\} & w.p. \epsilon \end{cases}$
3: $\text{count}(I(t)) \leftarrow \text{count}(I(t)) + 1$
4: $\hat{\theta}(I(t)) \leftarrow \hat{\theta}(I(t)) + \frac{1}{\text{count}(I(t))} [r_{I(t)} - \hat{\theta}(I(t))]$
5: **end for**

2. UCB (Upper Confidence Bound) Algorithm

Algorithm 2 UCB Algorithm

1: **for** $t = 1, 2, 3$ **do**
2: $I(t) \leftarrow t$
3: $\text{count}(I(t)) \leftarrow 1$
4: $\hat{\theta}(I(t)) \leftarrow r_{I(t)}$
5: **end for**
6: **for** $t = 4, \dots, N$ **do**
7:
$$I(t) \leftarrow \arg \max_{j \in \{1, 2, 3\}} \left(\hat{\theta}(j) + c \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2 \log t}{\text{count}(j)}} \right)$$

8: $\text{count}(I(t)) \leftarrow \text{count}(I(t)) + 1$
9: $\hat{\theta}(I(t)) \leftarrow \hat{\theta}(I(t)) + \frac{1}{\text{count}(I(t))} [r_{I(t)} - \hat{\theta}(I(t))]$
10: **end for**

Note: c is a positive constant with a default value of 1.

3. Thompson sampling (TS) Algorithm

Recall that $\theta_j, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, are unknown parameters over $(0, 1)$. From the Bayesian perspective, we assume their priors are Beta distributions with given parameters (α_j, β_j) .

Algorithm 3 Thompson sampling Algorithm

Initialize Beta parameter $(\alpha_j, \beta_j), j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$

```
1: for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, N$  do
2:   # Sample model
3:   for  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  do
4:     Sample  $\hat{\theta}(j) \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ 
5:   end for
6:   # Select and pull the arm
```

$$I(t) \leftarrow \arg \max_{j \in \{1, 2, 3\}} \hat{\theta}(j)$$

```
7:   # Update the distribution
```

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{I(t)} &\leftarrow \alpha_{I(t)} + r_{I(t)} \\ \beta_{I(t)} &\leftarrow \beta_{I(t)} + 1 - r_{I(t)}\end{aligned}$$

```
8: end for
```

• **Simulation**

1. Now suppose we obtain the Bernoulli distribution parameters from an oracle, which are shown in the following table below. Choose $N = 6000$ and compute the theoretically maximized expectation of aggregate rewards over N time slots. We call it the oracle value. Note that these parameters $\theta_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ and oracle values are unknown to all bandit algorithms.

Arm j	1	2	3
θ_j	0.8	0.6	0.5

2. Implement classical bandit algorithms with following settings:
 - $N = 6000$
 - ϵ -greedy with $\epsilon = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8$.
 - UCB with $c = 2, 6, 9$.
 - Thompson Sampling with $\{(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = (1, 1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2) = (1, 1), (\alpha_3, \beta_3) = (1, 1)\}$ and $\{(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = (601, 401), (\alpha_2, \beta_2) = (401, 601), (\alpha_3, \beta_3) = (2, 3)\}$
3. Each experiment lasts for $N = 6000$ time slots, and we run each experiment 200 times. Results are averaged over these 200 independent runs.
4. Compute the gaps between the algorithm outputs (aggregated rewards over N time slots) and the oracle value. Compare the numerical results of ϵ -greedy, UCB, and

Thompson Sampling. Which one is the best? Then discuss the impacts of ϵ , c , and α_j , β_j respectively.

5. Give your understanding of the exploration-exploitation trade-off in bandit algorithms.
6. We implicitly assume the reward distribution of three arms are independent. How about the dependent case? Can you design an algorithm to exploit such information to obtain a better result?
7. We implicitly assume there are no constraints when pulling arms. For example, pull each arm will generate some cost and there are some bounds on such cost. Can you design an algorithm for constrained bandit learning problem?