Sandberg, R., Goldberg, D., Kleiman, S., Walsh, D., and Lyon, B. Design and Implementation of the Sun Network Filesystem Proceedings of the Summer 1985 USENIX Conference, Portland OR, June 1985, pp. 119-130.

1. Motivation. What were the goals of NFSv2?

-Machine 105 Indepedence

DRPC/XDR

- Crash Recovery

- Transparent Access
- Domount protocol, VFS layer, YP

- UNIX semantics

- Leasonable performance

2. **Stateless Servers.** In NFSv2, servers are stateless; what does it mean to be stateless? What are the advantages of having a stateless server? What does a client need to do when a server crashes? What does a server need to do when a client crashes? Is it okay to keep anything in server memory? What must be included in requests to a stateless server?

-server has no essential state in non-persistent storage (can be an dick)

- Adv: on crash, server can just restart twonit lose any heeded info (just appears slower)

-Client may need to retry outstanding reguests it see no reply

- Server does nothing (doesn't track which clients are active/connected)

- Memory skay as long as also on disk-just a cache for performance

- Every reguest must contain all info to complete operation (e.s. no open file descr.)

3.	Idempotent Operations. Most NFSv2 operations are idempotent;
	what does it mean for an operation to be idempotent? Why are
	idempotent operations a good match for stateless servers? Give
	examples of idempotent operations in NFSv2. Give an example of a
	non-idempotent operation. How can non-idempotent operations be
	handled?

- I dempotent operations can be repeated any # of times w/ same results + no side effects

- Can resend idempotent of to server +
doesn't matter if request arrived

(or was compalated) Cor was completed or partially completed before

Idempotent: - lookup (dirfh, name) -> (fh, attr) - setatt (fh) >attr -read (fh, (offset) count) > attr, data -write (fh, offset, count, data) -> attr Idempotent; Dall the way to disk

Not Idempotent:

mkdir (dirth, name, att) > th, attr Different results if filename exists or not!

Replay Cache on server; log of successful ops ondisk - crash, reboot, see new request > check reply

4. **Performance Implications.** NFSv2 clients perform caching to improve performance. When are writes sent from the client to the server? Why does the stateless NFSv2 server cause performance problems for client write requests? How could this problem be fixed using something on the server? For read requests, how does the client know if the data in its cache is up to date? What problems did that cause? How was this overhead reduced?

·Writes are sent when file is closed locally, not immediately

· Stateless -> vorites must be persisted to disk

· Fix: Add NVRAM to server

· Reads are cached at individual block level
-Send getattr() to check if any part of file
has changed since last cached

-If no change, use cached copy else get new copy from server

· Problem: 90% of calls to server are getattral!

· Soln: attribute cache

-Keep attributes for file local for 3 seconds
for directory entries for 30s

5. **Implementation.** What does an NFSv2 file handle look like? Why? What were some of the auxiliary services that are needed to support NFSv2? Why are they needed? Does NFSv2 always provide the same semantics as a UNIX local file system? Are there any examples of differences? What were some operations that were difficult to handle?

filehandle: < mode #, gen #, FS id>
Thousandle: < mode # can be
reused

· VFS/vnode layers

· Mount protocol/ogeneric layer so os can handle

multiple different fs

· VP - credentials, vid same everywhere

- clock synchronization-apps use time (mod)

Not same: Process will see changes to fites (dirs immediately when on same machine

Difficult: open, delete: local can still r/w fire but others count, NFS won't work since following statcless reads doit see file

soln: In client, change delete > rename it open (others can't see old file name) delete when client closes

problem: it client crashes, garbage remains

Assume no prefetching

6. **Example Protocol.** Describe the operations that take place on the two separate client machines and the server for the following operations (specifically, when messages must be sent). Focus on what is currently contained in each client's cache and attribute cache. Can you summarize the consistency semantics provided by NFSv2?

	Can you summarize the cons	sistency semantics provided t	y INI DVZ:
Time	Client A	Client B	Server Action?
0	fd = open("file A"); ———		Tookup ()
10	read(fd, block1); read		read
20	read(fd, block2);	->	read
30	read(fd, block1); theck cache	2 attr expired	D get attr 📮
31	read(fd, block2); attr not.	expired use local	
40		fd = open("file A");	> lookup
50		write(fd, block1);	
60	read(fd, block1); attr. expir	data	getatri)
70		close(fd); write by to dero	er! write to disk
80	read(fd, block1); attrapiod.	SED FILE - Kickout	read()
81		e->read =	read()
90	close(fd);		
100	fd = open("fileA");		lookup
110	read(fd, block1); attrespire	; st new attr	setattr
120	close(fd);		A.

- Ad hoc consistence

- See changes to data 3 seconds after
file closed

(Refetch any of file if any block charged)

Howard, J.H., Kazar, M.L., Menees, S.G., Nichols, D.A., Satyanarayanan, M., Sidebotham, R.N., and West, M.J.
Scale and Performance in a Distributed File System
ACM Transactions on Computer Systems, Vol. 6, No. 1, February 1988, pp. 51-81.

1. Initial Prototype. What were the primary goals of the Andrew File System? Why did the authors decide to implement a usable prototype first? What were the primary problems they found with their prototype and what are the general implications?

· Scalability! (performance) + manageability.

· Need experience to see issues, need existing system to evaluate ("plan to throw one away")

Problems. Limited scalability + hard to admin

1) Too many overhead messages (Test Auth + bet File Stat)

P Change protocol, reduce server interactions

2) CPU Load too high on server a) Pathname traversal all on server To Change protocol, moove work to client To Change implementation; allow server to access we indee

D) Too many context switches

To Change imp; use LWPs

3) Load imbalance across servers
- some files more popular

ND Implement Volumes

2. Whole File Caching. Why does AFS use whole file caching? Where are files cached? What are the pros and cons of this approach? For what workloads is this a good idea? When is it a bad idea?

+ No network traffic for indiv. reads/writes (just open/16se)

+ Studies show most access whole file anyways
+ Usually small amount of staring
+ Simplifies cache management

'On disk

- Weed disks, bad if access only small portion of fire

- Can't give exact same semanties as local since server doesn't see indiv. reads/writes What happens when a file is opened?

3. Client Caching. AFS clients perform caching to improve performance. For read requests, how does a client know that its cached copy is up to date? When are writes sent from the client to the server? What happens when the server receives a write? What happens when a client crashes and reboots? What are the pros and cons of the AFS approach versus the NFS approach?

Read: up to date ############# Wo lestablished when file was opened thecks

the read in its antirety point!!

Send 1 to server on close

Server breaks callbacks from other

clients

Open: If still have callback, do not need to refetch (it in cache)
-If don't, then refetch

Client reboot: Throw away callbacks (may have missed them ting revoked)

Pro: Good consistency model (Clear) Helps w/ scalability (fewer interaction)

Lon: Requires state on server

the pathname lookup for "/x/y.doc" (assume the client already has the root directory)? What portions of the needed information for a pathname lookup can be cached? FID: (vol #), vnode #; unique id> -lookup in "vol loc. db" or server maps
-every server has a copy of this (contact
- cache on clients too any) Important: No server into in FD so can change Read / dir Cassume already howe this) Read FIDx (get server from map) Read FIDy (get volume > server from map) get datal

4. Pathname Lookup. AFS clients perform pathname lookups. What does an AFS fid look like? How does a client find the server that is responsible for a given volume? What steps take place when doing

Cache all direntries! (/, x) using callbacks in same way as data

5. **Example Protocol.** Describe the operations that take place on the two separate client machines and the server for the following operations (specifically, when messages must be sent). Focus on the state of callbacks. Can you describe the consistency semantics of AFS? If two clients write to a file, which one will win (i.e., be store on

the server)?

	the servery.		
Time	Client A	Client B	Server Action?
0	fd = open("file A");		setup callback for
10	read(fd, block1);	send all of	file A
20	read(fd, block2);		
30	read(fd, block1);		
31	read(fd, block2);		. 0 :
40		fd = open("file A");	- D setup call bac
50		write(fd, block1); Lend	all of A
60	read(fd, block1); \ocal		
70		close(fd);	Relichanges of A
80	read(fd, block1); local	3400	Dreak call backs
81	read(fd, block2); local	1	
90	close(fd). nothing charged 2	1	
100	fd = open("fileA"); No callbace	Gitch A again	
110	read(fd, block1);		
120	close(fd);	Send h	

further opens
who other processes
writing would not
need to refetch
(The Call back not revoked)

Consistency:
Open-to-close semantics
Guaranteed when open file to get contents
from previous close
See no changes from other clients in the

See no changes from other clients in that open session - always loversion of a file intermixing)

Last-writer-wins: last client to close file will have its