

Problem 1

No, there is no such guarantee. There may be datapoints, which either (1) violate the margin, i.e. $0 \leq \xi_i \leq 1$ or (2) are on the wrong side of the hyperplane, i.e. $x^{-1}y_i(w^\top x_i + b) \geq 1 - \xi_i$ does not hold, in this case $\xi_i > 1$.

Problem 2

$C < 0$ we have from the Lagrangian of slack variables that $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C$ but this obviously does not hold. If $C = 0$, then we have no penalty term in the optimization problem and from the Lagrangian of slack variables it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_i &= -\mu_i \\ 0 \leq \alpha_i &= -\mu_i \\ 0 \leq -\mu_i &\quad (\text{violation of dual feasibility of Lagrangian multipliers } \mu_i \geq 0) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $C > 0$.

Problem 3

We prove that $K(x, y) = (x^\top y + c)^d$ is a kernel by the construction rules.

- $K_1(x, y) = x^\top y$ is a kernel by Rule 5, where $B = I$.
- $K_2(x, y) = c$ is a kernel by Rule 4, where $\phi(z) = \sqrt{c}$, $\phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $m = 1$ and $K_3(x, y) = x^\top y$
- $K_3(x, y) = K_1(x, y) + K_2(x, y)$ is a kernel by Rule 1
- $K_4(x, y) = K_3(x, y)^d$ is a kernel by recursive application of Rule 3

Thus $K(x, y) = (x^\top y + c)^d$ is a valid kernel.

Problem 4

No, we can not apply it directly to our data because the feature map is infinite dimensional. For this we would need to define a representation of the inner product of two infinite vectors $\phi_\infty(x), \phi_\infty(y)$ as a function $K(x, y) = \phi_\infty(x)^\top \phi_\infty(y)$.

Problem 5

$$\begin{aligned}
K(x, y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_{\infty,i}(x) \phi_{\infty,i}(y) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i!}} e^{\frac{-x^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right)^i \frac{1}{\sqrt{i!}} e^{\frac{-y^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left(\frac{y}{\sigma}\right)^i \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} e^{\frac{-x^2-y^2}{2\sigma^2}} \left(\frac{xy}{\sigma^2}\right)^i \\
&= e^{\frac{-x^2-y^2}{2\sigma^2}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i!} \left(\frac{xy}{\sigma^2}\right)^i && \text{(by Taylor series of } e^z \text{)} \\
&= e^{\frac{-x^2-y^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{\frac{xy}{\sigma^2}} \\
&= e^{\frac{-x^2-y^2+2xy}{2\sigma^2}} \\
&= e^{-\frac{(x^2+y^2-2xy)}{2\sigma^2}} \\
&= e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2\sigma^2}} && \text{(by definition Gaussian Kernel)} \\
&= \phi(x)^\top \phi(y)
\end{aligned}$$

The infinite number of dimensions do not lead to overfitting but rather a poor choice of the Gaussian kernel hyperparameter $\sigma \ll 1$.

Problem 6

Yes, if $\sigma \rightarrow 0$, then each datapoint x_i gets classified correctly at the cost of poor generalization since the Gaussian kernel does not consider any neighborhood information and it will most likely misclassify any new test datapoint x^* .

Problem 7

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x - x^{(s_i)}\|_2 &= \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^M (x_j - x_j^{(s_i)})^2} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^M (x_j - x_j^{(s_i)})^2 && \text{(squared distance does not change k nearest neighbors of } x \text{)} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^M (\phi(x_j) - \phi(x_j^{(s_i)}))^2 && \text{(by feature map } \phi(x) \text{)} \\
&= (\phi(x) - \phi(x^{(s_i)}))^\top (\phi(x) - \phi(x^{(s_i)})) \\
&= \phi(x)^\top \phi(x) - 2\phi(x)^\top \phi(x^{(s_i)}) + \phi(x^{(s_i)})^\top \phi(x^{(s_i)}) \\
&= K(x, x) + K(x^{(s_i)}, x^{(s_i)}) - 2K(x, x^{(s_i)})
\end{aligned}$$
