Wine Analysis and Recommendations

Outline

It is a dataset containing wine reviews published to Wine Enthusiast (Wine Enthusiast, or WE for short, is an American magazine that invites professional wine tasters to rate wines every year), including taster name, wine price, variety, score, country, county, vineyard, etc. There are a large number of red wine varieties and brands, and even the same region can have many different red wines. Accordingly, different tasters have tasted different wines. Perhaps even a taster can't taste all the wines. In order to improve efficiency and let the tasters taste a satisfactory wine, I would like to develop a content-based method to recommend wines among tasters. At the same time, I would like to make a recommendation system for recommending wines to people at different stages in the field(tasting wine).

Analysis

Before we recommend it, let's analyse this dataset.

Basic Analysis of the Data Set

```
In [54]: unique_countries = df['country'].unique()
    num_countries = len(unique_countries)
    print("Number of unique countries:", num_countries)

Number of unique countries: 42

In [55]: unique_provinces = df['province'].unique()
    num_provinces = len(unique_provinces)
    print("Number of unique provinces:", num_provinces)

Number of unique provinces: 355

In [56]: unique_titles = df['title'].unique()
    num_titles = len(unique_titles)
    print("Number of unique titles:", num_titles)

Number of unique titles: 39344

In [57]: unique_taster_names = df['taster_name'].unique().tolist()
    num_taster_names = len(unique_taster_names)
    print("Number of unique taster_names)
    Number of unique taster_names: ", num_taster_names)

Number of unique taster_names: 20
```

In conclusion, we can see that in this dataset there are 42 counties, 255 provinces, 20 tasters and 39,344 wines.

Recommendation

Our recommendations start from the shallow and go deep.

Part 1

1.1 For those who have never drunk wine or rarely drink wine, we recommend that wine starts with the country region and variety. To avoid small probability events, I need to have requirements for the number of entries

We can see that the Top 10 countries are Austria, France, US, Italy, Portugal, Spain, Argentina and Chile.

We can see that the Top 10 provinces are Champagne, Mosel ,Alsace ,Burgundy ,Piedmont ,South Australia, Rhône Valley ,Douro ,Oregon ,Tuscany

Recommended wines from different countries (To avoid small probability events, I need to have requirements for the number of entries)

```
In [49]: country_points = df[['country', 'points']]
             country_counts = country_points['country'].value_counts()
            valid_countries = country_counts[country_counts >= 1000].index
valid_country_points = country_points[country_points['country'].isin(valid_countries)]
average_points_by_country = valid_country_points.groupby('country')['points'].mean()
             top_countries = average_points_by_country.sort_values(ascending=False).head(10)
In [50]: top_countries
Out[50]: country
                               90.149140
                               88.847597
             France
             US
                               88.577100
             Italy
Portugal
                               88.492023
88.190793
             Spain
                               87.290839
             Argentina
Chile
                               86.709544
             Name: points, dtype: float64
```

Recommended wines from different province (To avoid small probability events, I need to have requirements for the number of entries)

```
In [41]: province_points = df[['province', 'points']]
           province counts = province points['province'].value counts()
           valid_province = province_counts[province_counts >
                                                                          = 200].index
           valid_province_points = province_points[province_points['province'].isin(valid_province)]
average_points_by_province = valid_province_points.groupby('province')['points'].mean()
           top province = average points by province.sort values(ascending=False).head(10)
In [42]: top_province
Out[42]: province
            Champagne
                                  90.243043
           Mosel
Alsace
                                   90.075163
89.552113
           Burgundy
                                  89.442478
           Piedmont
South Australia
Rhône Valley
                                   89.360533
                                  89.094937
           Douro
                                  89.060386
            Oregon
           Tuscany
                                  88.909432
           Name: points, dtype: float64
```

Knowing that Champagne is the highest rated province, I would like to know the top 10 variety here

```
In [64]: province = 'Champagne'
          subset = df[df['province'] == province]
          average_scores = subset.groupby('variety')['points'].mean()
          sorted_varieties = average_scores.sort_values(ascending=False)
          top 10 varieties = sorted varieties.head(10)
In [67]: top_10_varieties
Out[67]: variety
                              91.510000
          Chardonnay
          Pinot Noir
                              90.047619
          Pinot Blanc
                              90.000000
                            89.95145
89.750000
          Champagne Blend
         Pinot Meunier 89.750000
Name: points, dtype: float64
```

Knowing that Chardonnay is the highest rated variety, I would like to know the wine of Chardonnay. Here we can make recommendations according to price.

In [75]:	chardonnay_ratings_prices
Out[75]:	

	title	points	price
30110	Olivier Leflaive 2014 Montrachet	97	886.0
36529	Krug 2002 Clos du Mesnil Brut Blanc de Blancs	99	800.0
30131	Olivier Leflaive 2014 Chevalier-Montrachet	95	710.0
353	Louis Latour 2014 Le Montrachet (Montrachet)	96	630.0
30121	Olivier Leflaive 2014 Bâtard-Montrachet	95	569.0
32942	Pine & Post 2006 Chardonnay (Washington)	87	6.0
30465	Gallo Family Vineyards 2005 Twin Valley Chardo	83	5.0
8428	Earth's Harvest 2014 Organic Grapes Chardonnay	85	5.0
37951	Earth's Harvest 2014 Organic Grapes Chardonnay	85	5.0
31530	Bandit NV Chardonnay (California)	84	4.0

3350 rows × 3 columns

1.2 We can see that the top 10 varieties are Picolit, Furmint, Savagnin, Tokaji, Neuburger, Roter, Veltliner, Gros and Petit Manseng, Alsace white blend, Austrian white blend, Scheurebe.

Recommended wines from different varieties (To avoid small probability events, I need to have requirements for the number of entries)

```
In [35]: variety points = df[['variety', 'points']]
          variety_counts = variety_points['variety'].value_counts()
          valid variety = variety counts[variety counts >= 5].index
           valid_variety_points = variety_points[variety_points['variety'].isin(valid_variety)]
          average_points_by_variety = valid_variety_points.groupby('variety')['points'].mean()
          top_variety = average_points_by_variety.sort_values(ascending=False).head(10)
In [36]: top_variety
Out[36]: variety
Picolit
          Furmint
                                        91.285714
           Savagnin
                                        91.285714
          Tokaji
Neuburger
                                       91.000000
          Neuburger
Roter Veltliner
Gros and Petit Manseng
Alsace white blend
Austrian white blend
                                        90.666667
                                      90.583333
                                       90.555556
           Scheurebe
                                        90.500000
           Name: points, dtype: float64
```

And then I want to find wines with the variety "Picolit" and obtain the corresponding title, price, and points, sorted by score in descending order

```
In [78]: wanted wines = df[df['variety'] == 'Picolit']
            wanted wines = wanted wines.dropna(subset=['price', 'points'])
            sorted_wanted_wines = wanted_wines.sort_values('points', ascending=False)
selected_columns = ['title', 'price', 'points']
            wanted_data = sorted_wanted_wines[selected_columns]
In [83]: wanted data
Out[83]:
                                                          title price points
             33842
                     Livio Felluga 2007 Picolit Picolit (Colli Orie... 90.0
             33843
                        Livio Felluga 2006 Picolit Picolit (Colli Orie... 90.0
             15613 Livio Felluga 2004 Picolit Picolit (Colli Orie... 100.0
                                                                         95
             36498 Rocca Bernarda 2004 Picolit (Colli Orientali d... 50.0
                      Comelli 2011 Eoos Picolit (Colli Orientali del...
             25960
                                                               45.0
                                                                         93
             36501
                      Valchiarò 2004 Picolit (Colli Orientali del Fr... 90.0
                                                                         93
             23380 Jacùss 2007 Picolit (Colli Orientali del Friuli) 55.0
                                                                         92
             18510 Comelli 2009 Eoos Picolit (Colli Orientali del... 20.0
                                                                          90
             23862 Marco Cecchini 2005 Picolit (Colli Orientali d... 30.0
                                                                         90
             23864 Conte d'Attimis-Maniago 2004 Picolit (Colli Or... 60.0
                                                                         90
             39602 Ronchi di Cialla 2008 Cialla Picolit (Colli Or... 75.0
```

I can now recommend a wine in Picolit of the top 10 varieties with a combination of price and points.

Part 2 (Code learn from lesson week 2.1)

Now we are getting into the deep end of our recommendations. For those who have drunk wine or have had some experience with wine, there is a wine that they like to drink. Enjoying a wine you like means that you like the combination of aroma, taste, texture and colour of the wine. This allows us to personalise our recommendations to the different characteristics of the wine.

Now I would like to know how to recommend to a taster or a people who likes one type of wine, other types of wines that he might like. I noticed the "description" in the dataset. We can see the tasters describe the wine from several angles, such as aroma, taste and texture, color, etc. I believe this detailed and visual description can help the recommendation system to perform better. This is one of the taster's detailed evaluation of the wine.

'From it's musky, perfumed to nose to it's intensely honeyed, dried-peach- and apricot-flavored palate, everything about this wine is mysterious and ethereal. Crafted by monks in an ancient Georgian monastery, this amber-hued wine is made from white grapes that were fermented and aged in clay vessels (qvevri) and that underwent extended skin contact, which resulted in a wine with puckering tannins and a richly textural mouthfeel.'

1.1 Let's get a handle on the structure of this dataset and the important data

We can see that this dataset has 15 columns and 40430 rows. 19 of them are tasters and 39344 kinds of wines, which means that most of the wines are tasted by only one taster. This means that it is basically difficult to do a recommendation system by the number of tasters, we need to look at the other.

we did some data pre-processing, such as stop words, then calculate TF-IDF for all words of "description".

```
In [6]: #Import TfIdfVectorizer from scikit-learn
    from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer

#Define a TF-IDF Vectorizer Object. Remove all english stop words such as 'the', 'a'
    tfidf = TfidfVectorizer(stop_words='english')

#Replace NaN with an empty string
    df['description'] = df['description'].fillna('')

#Construct the required TF-IDF matrix by fitting and transforming the data
    tfidf_matrix = tfidf.fit_transform(df['description'])

#Output the shape of tfidf_matrix
    tfidf_matrix.shape
Out[6]: (40430, 20234)
```

Measuring the similarity of embeddings using a distance metric (cosine similarity)

```
In [7]: # Import cosine similarity
from sklearn.metrics.pairwise import cosine_similarity
# Compute the cosine similarity matrix
cosine_sim = cosine_similarity(tfidf_matrix, tfidf_matrix)
```

Define the recommendation generator and generate recommendation results.

```
In [11]: def get_recommendations(title, cosine_sim=cosine_sim):
    # Get the index of the wine that matches the title
    idx = indices[title]
                       # Get the pairwise similarity scores of all wines with that wine
                       sim_scores = list(enumerate(cosine_sim[idx]))
                      # Sort the wines based on the similarity scores
sim_scores = sorted(sim_scores, key=lambda x: x[1], reverse=True)
                       # Get the scores of the 10 most similar wines
                       sim_scores = sim_scores[1:11]
                      # Get the wine indices
wine_indices = [i[0] for i in sim_scores]
                      # Get the titles and points of the top 10 most similar wines
recommended_wines = df.iloc[wine_indices][['title', 'points']]
                      return recommended wines
In [13]: title = "Feudi del Pisciotto 2013 Baglio del Sole Inzolia (Sicilia)"
               recommendations = get_recommendations(title)
print(recommendations)
                                                                                                        title points
                16249 Marilena Barbera 2013 Coste al Vento Grillo (S...
                Barone Sergio 2015 Alegre Grillo (Terre Sicili...

Parine Barbera 2013 Coste al Vento Grillo (S...

Parine Barbera 2013 Coste al Vento Grillo (S...

Parine Barbera 2014 Grillo (Terre Sicili...

Parine Barbera 2014 (Rosso di Montepulciano)

Terrazze dell'Etna 2009 Cirneco Rosso (Etna)

Ada Nada 2012 Valeirano (Barbaresco)

Barbera 2012 Valeirano (Barbaresco)

Liscoi 2013 Valla Bianco (Frna)
                                                       Nicosia 2013 Vulkà Bianco
                                                                                                      (Etna)
                34212 Montresor 2014 Gran Guardia
                                                          esor 2014 Gran Guardia (Lugana)
Gino Fasoli NV Brut (Prosecco)
```

2.2 We can see that the ratings of the recommended wines are close (86-88), which is a way to verify the accuracy of the recommendation system. In the future, if you want to find a wine similar to your favorite wine, you will be able to find the recommended content quickly

With the help of this dataset, I would like to recommend cost-effective wines to the average person based on professional tasters' ratings, requiring wines with a score of 95 or more and a price under 100.

Find top 10 cost-effective wines with points above 95 and prices below 100 with price tags

```
In [32]: # Filter the DataFrame for wines with points above 95 and prices below 100

cost_effective_wines = df[(df['points'] > 95) & (df['price'] < 100)]

# Sort the wines by ascending price

sorted_wines = cost_effective_wines.sort_values(by='price')

# Get the top 10 cost-effective wines

top_10_wines = sorted_wines.head(10)

# Print the titles, points, and prices

for i, row in top_10 wines.iterrows():

    title = row('title')
    points = row('points')
    price = row('price')
    print(f"(i+1). {title}) - {points} points, ${price}")

40310. Isole e Olena 2010 Chianti Classico - 96 points, $27.0

9902. Domaines Schlumberger 2014 Saering Grand Cru Riesling (Alsace) - 96 points, $29.0

16529. Trisaetum 2016 Ribbon Ridge Estate Dry Riesling (Ribbon Ridge) - 96 points, $32.0

34506. Williams Selyem 2007 Late Harvest Muscat (Russian River Valley) - 96 points, $40.0

16525. Tsolo 2014 Estate Syrah (San Luis Obispo County) - 96 points, $40.0

16525. Tsylor Fladgate NV 325 Anniversary (Port) - 97 points, $40.0

9005. Kuentz-Bas 2015 Geisberg Grand Cru Riesling (Alsace) - 96 points, $42.0

33846. Samsara 2008 Las Hermanas Vineyard Pinot Noir (Sta. Rita Hills) - 96 points, $44.0

33845. Woodward Canyon 2009 Chardonnay (Washington) - 96 points, $44.0

26893. Iron Horse 2012 Wedding Cuvée Estate Bottled Sparkling (Green Valley) - 96 points, $44.0
```

2.3 Further, in order for the average consumer to buy high priced wines that they are not satisfied with and feel are not value for money, I would like to give the average consumer a list of the top 10 low-point and high-priced wines to help them have a better wine experience.

Find top 10 low-rated and high-priced wines with points below 90 and prices above 200

```
In [41]: #Filter the DataFrame for wines with points below 90 and prices above 500

low_rated_high_priced_wines = df[(df['points'] < 90) & (df['price'] > 200)]

#Sort the wines by descending price
sorted_wines = low_rated_high_priced_wines.sort_values(by='price', ascending=False)

# Get the top 10 low-rated and high-priced wines

top_10_wines = sorted_wines.head(10)

# Print the titles, points, and prices
for i, row in top_10_wines.iterrows():
    title = row['title']
    points = row['price']
    price = row['price']
    price = row['price']
    print(f"(i+1). {title} - {points} points, ${price}}")

27519. Vega Sicilia 2008 Unico (Ribera del Duero) - 89 points, $500.0
26152. Armand de Brignac NV Brut Rosé (Champagne) - 89 points, $450.0
31711. Matarromera 2000 Prestigio Pago de las Solanas (Ribera del Duero) - 88 points, $325.0
26206. Capichera 2011 Albori di Lampata Red (Isola dei Nuraghi) - 88 points, $320.0
34029. Villa Canestrari 2005 10 Anni Riserva (Amarone della Valpolicella) - 87 points, $300.0
6906. Nathaniel Rose 2012 Left Bank Abigail's Vineyard Domaine Barrien Cabernet Sauvignon (Lake Michigan Shore) - 87
points, $250.0
20838. Domaine Sophie Cinier 2012 Saint-Véran - 89 points, $250.0
38330. Domaine du Pegau 2015 Cuvée à Tempo White (Châteauneuf-du-Pape) - 87 points, $250.0
30715. Buglioni 2007 Riserva (Amarone della Valpolicella) - 88 points, $249.0
```

I searched every taster's top 10 wines and found that each taster's rating scale is different (there are high and low top 10 ratings), but there is little difference between the ratings of the top 10 of the same taster.

```
Taster: Alexander Peartree
4900. Lovingston 2012 Josie's Knoll Merlot (Monticello) - 91 points
31279. Bel Lago 2013 Chardonnay (Leelanau Peninsula) - 91 points
31598. King Family 2012 Meritage (Monticello) - 90 points
7935. Canyon Wind 2012 Clone 4 Cabernet Sauvignon (Grand Valley) - 90 points
31581. The Infinite Monkey Theorem 2013 Cabernet Franc (Grand Valley) - 90 points
26819. CrossKeys 2013 Touriga (Virginia) - 90 points
21794. Snowy Peaks 2011 Malbec (Grand Valley) - 89 points
21920. Michael Shaps 2014 Viognier (Virginia) - 89 points
21803. Gill's Pier 2012 Cabernet Franc-Merlot (Leelanau Peninsula) - 89 points
36967. Fabbioli Cellars 2012 Tre Sorélle Red (Virginia) - 88 points

Taster: Anna Lee C. Iijima
16523. Robert Weil 2015 Kiedrich Gräfenberg Trockenbeerenauslese Riesling (Rheingau) - 98 points
348. Robert Weil 2014 Kiedrich Gräfenberg Trockenbeerenauslese Riesling (Rheingau) - 97 points
16526. Domdechant Werner 2015 Bochheimer Domdechaney Trockenbeerenauslese Grosse Lage Riesling (Rheingau) - 96 points
31404. Maximin Grünhäuser 2015 Abtsberg Beerenauslese Grosse Lage Riesling (Rheingau) - 96 points
31406. Robert Weil 2015 Kiedrich Gräfenberg Beerenauslese Riesling (Rheingau) - 96 points
31606. Robert Weil 2014 Kiedrich Gräfenberg Beerenauslese Riesling (Rheingau) - 96 points
```

Part 3 (Code learn from lesson week 6.1)

I want to find out the similarity of each taster's top 10 wines and the difference of a whole top 10 wines by embedding. To get a sense of the differences between each taster's top 10. I can also use embedding to make further recommendations

Then I trained the embedding model, intending to use what I learned in Week 6.1 - Embeddings, embedding taster_name with wine name and then calculating the similarity of the top 10 wines of the 20 tasters.

Training models

```
In [42]: torch.save(model.state_dict(), 'model_weights_1.pth')
In [43]: model = RecommenderNet(num_taster_name, num_title, EMBEDDING_SIZE)
    model.load_state_dict(torch.load('model_weights_1.pth'))
    model.eval()

Out[43]: RecommenderNet(
        (taster_name_embedding): Embedding(20, 16)
        (taster_name_bias): Embedding(20, 1)
        (title_embedding): Embedding(39344, 16)
        (title_bias): Embedding(39344, 1)
        (sig): Sigmoid()
)

In [44]: num_taster_name, EMBEDDING_SIZE, model.taster_name_embedding
Out[44]: (20, 16, Embedding(20, 16))
```

I can find out the top 10 wines from 20 tasters

However, when calculating the similarity, the result is 0, which also leads to the inability to calculate the difference later on.

```
In [115]: def calculate_mean_difference(taster_name=0, n=10):
             similarity_matrix = calculate_similarity_matrix(taster_name, n)
difference_matrix = 1 - similarity_matrix
             return difference_matrix
          def calculate_difference_matrix_for_all_taster_name(n=10):
             difference_matrices = []
             for taster_name in taster_name_ids:
                 difference_matrix = calculate_difference_matrix(num_taster_name-1, n)
                 difference_matrices.append(difference_matrix)
             return difference matrices
          # Example usage for calculating difference matrices for all users' top 10 movies
          difference_matrices = calculate_difference_matrix_for_all_taster_name(n=10)
         for i, taster_name in enumerate(taster_name_ids):
    print("Difference matrix for top 10 movies of user", taster_name)
             print(difference_matrices[i])
             print()
         Difference matrix for top 10 movies of user Kerin O'Keefe
         Difference matrix for top 10 movies of user Roger Voss
         Difference matrix for top 10 movies of user Paul Gregutt
          [[0.]]
         Difference matrix for top 10 movies of user Alexander Peartree
          [[0.]]
In [116]: #define calculate mean difference for dataset
           def calculate_mean_difference_for_dataset(n=10):
                mean_differences = []
                for taster_name in taster_name_ids:
                    mean_difference = calculate_mean_difference(num_taster_name-1, n)
                    mean differences.append(mean difference)
                mean difference_dataset = np.mean(mean_differences)
                return mean_difference_dataset
            # example usage for calculating the mean difference for the entire dataset
           mean difference dataset = calculate mean difference for dataset(n=10)
           print("Mean difference for the entire dataset (all users' top 10 movies):")
           print(mean_difference_dataset) #here we get result
           Mean difference for the entire dataset (all users' top 10 movies):
           0.0
```

It can be argued that the completion of the recommendation system is imperfect.

Follow-up

Due to technical problems, I was not able to solve the code problem of the last explored direction in the time available, which is a pity. The next step is to find out what the problem is and solve it by finding out the similarity of the top 10 wines of taster.