

CSCI2300 – Introduction to Algorithms

Spring 2018, Exam I (100 Points)

No electronic devices allowed, i.e., no calculators, laptops, tablets, etc. Show all work for full credit.

1. (20 points) Answer the following:

- (a) (5 points) Is $2^{n+1} = O(2^n)$? Why or why not?
- (b) (5 points) Is $2^{2n} = O(2^n)$? Why or why not?
- (c) (5 points) Is $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil! = O(n^k)$ for some constant $k \geq 1$? Why or why not?
- (d) (5 points) True or False: If $x \cdot y \equiv 0 \pmod N$, then either $x \equiv 0 \pmod N$ or $y \equiv 0 \pmod N$. Why or why not?

Answer:

- (a) Yes, since $2^{n+1} = 2 \cdot 2^n = O(2^n)$.
- (b) No. To see this, consider the ratio of 2^{2n} to 2^n , we get: $\frac{2^{2n}}{2^n} = 2^{2n-n} = 2^n$. In other words 2^{2n} grows faster than 2^n .
- (c) No. We can approximate $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil!$ as $O((\log_2 n)^{(\log_2 n)})$. Let's compare this with n^k . Taking log on both terms, we get:

$$\log_2((\log_2 n)^{(\log_2 n)}) \text{ vs. } \log_2(n^k)$$

or

$$\log_2 n \cdot \log_2 \log_2 n \text{ vs. } k \log_2 n$$

Cancelling $\log_2 n$ on both sides, we find that there is no fixed constant k that exceeds $\log_2 \log_2 n$.

- (d) This is false. For example, $2 \cdot 2 = 4 \equiv 0 \pmod 4$, but obviously $2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod 4$.

Name:

Section:

2. (20 points) Consider the following algorithm to divide an integer x with integer y , where $x \geq y$. The method returns the quotient q and remainder r .

```
div(x,y):  
    r = x  
    q = 0  
    while (r >= y):  
        r = r - y  
        q = q + 1  
    return (q, r)
```

- (a) (10 points) Prove that the method is correct.
(b) (10 points) What is the bit-complexity of the algorithm if x requires n bits.

Answer:

(a) This method implements the basic definition of division, i.e., it repeatedly subtracts y from r , starting from $r = x$, and tabulates how many times this is done in q , which forms the quotient.

The final remainder r is certainly less than y , since if r is greater than or equal to y , then the loop would be executed at least one more time. On the other hand, the final remainder cannot be negative, since in that case we get r by subtracting y from the previous value, say r' , i.e., $r = r' - y < 0$, which implies $r' < y$, but in that case the loop would not have been executed. Thus, the remainder $r \in [0, y - 1]$ as required.

(b) The worst case is when the value of x is $2^n - 1$ and say the value of $y = 1$. In this case, y has to be subtracted as many times as the value of x , and each subtraction takes $O(n)$ time for n -bit integers. Therefore the total time is $O(n \cdot 2^n)$.

Name:

Section:

3. (20 points) Consider the RSA encryption scheme. Let $N = 319$ and $e = 3$.

- (a) (10 points) What is the value of the secret key, d ?
- (b) (10 points) What is the encryption of the message $M = 100$?

Answer:

(a) We have to first factorize N into the two primes p and q . We have $N = pq = 11 \times 29 = 319$, also $(p - 1)(q - 1) = 280$.

The value d should be chosen so that $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{280}$.

Now, $280 = 93 \times 3 + 1$, or

$1 = 1 \times 280 + (-93) \times 3$, which implies $d = -93$ or $d = -93 + 280 = 187$.

(b) The encryption is $100^3 \pmod{319} = 1000000 \pmod{319} = 254$.

Name:

Section:

-
4. (15 points) Given an unsorted array A with n numbers, your task is to output the k largest numbers in sorted order in $O(n + k \log k)$ average case time. Give a (high-level) pseudo-code of your algorithm, with explanation (you can use known algorithms as subroutines). Show that its running time is indeed $O(n + k \log k)$.

Answer:

- (a) Consider the following algorithm:
1. Use randomized selection to find the k -th largest element, say v
 2. Use v as a pivot to find all elements larger than v
 3. Sort those k elements
- (b) Selecting the k -th largest item via randomized selection takes $O(n)$ time. Using it as pivot to obtain the k largest elements takes $O(n)$ time, and then sorting them takes $O(k \log k)$ time. Therefore, the total time is $O(n + k \log k)$.

Name:

Section:

5. (25 points) Consider the following sorting algorithm.

```
TSORT(A,i,j):  
    base case: ?  
    k = floor((j-i+1)/3) # find the location of 1/3rd  
    TSORT(A,i,j-k) #sort the first two-thirds of array in-place  
    TSORT(A,i+k,j) #sort the second two-thirds of array in-place  
    TSORT(A,i,j-k) #sort the first two-thirds again in-place
```

The sorting method performs “in-place” sorting of an input array by sorting the first two-thirds, followed by second two-thirds and then first two-thirds again. “In-place” means the array is directly modified in each call.

- (a) (10 points) Prove that the method correctly sorts an input array A with n elements, when called as $\text{TSORT}(A, 0, n - 1)$.
- (b) (5 points) Fill in the base case for a correct implementation.
- (c) (10 points) Show the recurrence relation, and give the worst-case running time.

Hint: Create a small example array, with say 6 elements, and see what the algorithm does. This will help you with the correctness and the base case.

Answer:

- (a) Let S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 denote the three partitions of the array. These are all place holders/slots for elements in the first-third, second-third, and last-third of the array. When we sort in-place $S_1 \cup S_2$, we can guarantee that all elements in S_2 are larger than those in S_1 . Let's denote this as $S_2 > S_1$. Likewise, when we sort $S_2 \cup S_3$, we can then guarantee that $S_3 > S_2$. By transitivity then all elements in S_3 are therefore larger than (or equal to) all elements in both S_1 and S_2 . Finally, when we perform the third in-place sort on $S_1 \cup S_2$, we get $S_1 < S_2$. Thus the method is correct.
- (b) Base case:
 $l = j - i + 1$ # length of array
 if $l \leq 1$: Return
 if $l \leq 2$ and $A[j] < A[i]$: Swap $A[i]$ and $A[j]$; Return
- (c) The recurrence is: $T(n) = 3T(2n/3) + O(1)$ or $T(n) = 3 \cdot T(n/(3/2)) + O(1)$. By master theorem, the time is: $O(n^{\log_{3/2} 3})$ which is greater than $O(n^2)$. This method is not very efficient!