

# Lecture 5 More on the SVD

Fang Zhu

April 20, 2023

## 1 Prerequisite

We first give a more detailed proof of *Theorem 5.2* in the book.

**Theorem 1.1.**  $\text{range}(\mathbf{A}) = \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_l \rangle$ ,  $\text{null}(\mathbf{A}) = \langle \mathbf{v}_{l+1}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^*$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  has  $l$ 's non-zero singular values, then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{range}(\mathbf{A}) &= \{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^*\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{V}^*\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{y} : \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbf{c}_j = \sigma_j \mathbf{y}_j$ , ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ ), it is clear that  $\mathbf{c}_j$  can be any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  since  $\mathbf{y}$  is an arbitrary vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence, we can conclude that

$$\text{range}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\mathbf{U}\mathbf{c} : \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n\} = \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_l \rangle.$$

Further the null space of  $\mathbf{A}$  is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{null}(\mathbf{A}) &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^*\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\} \\ &= \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^*\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that any vector  $\mathbf{x}$  can be expressed by  $\mathbf{x} = \sum_j^n x_j \mathbf{v}_j$ . Substituting this expression into  $\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^*\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  gives that

$$(\sigma_1 x_1, \sigma_2 x_2, \dots, \sigma_l x_l, \sigma_{l+1} x_{l+1}, \dots, \sigma_n x_n)^T = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since  $\sigma_j > 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$ , it follows that  $c_j = 0$  for these index values. Moreover,  $\sigma_j = 0$  for  $l+1 \leq j \leq n$  implies that the coefficient  $c_j$  for these  $j$ -values are arbitrary. We can conclude that

$$\text{null}(\mathbf{A}) = \{\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^l c_i \mathbf{v}_i, c_i \in \mathbb{R}\} = \langle \mathbf{v}_{l+1}, \mathbf{v}_{l+2}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n \rangle.$$

□

## 2 Solutions

### 2.1 Exercise 5.1

First we compute the singular values  $\sigma_i$  by finding the eigenvalues of

$$\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of  $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}$  is

$$\det(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = (1 - \lambda)(8 - \lambda) - 4 = 8 + \lambda^2 - 9\lambda - 4 = \lambda^2 - 9\lambda + 4,$$

so the singular values are

$$\sigma_{max} = \sqrt{\frac{9 + \sqrt{65}}{2}}, \quad \sigma_{min} = \sqrt{\frac{9 - \sqrt{65}}{2}}.$$

### 2.2 Exercise 5.2

Give a full SVD of  $\mathbf{A}$  as  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^*$  if  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = n$ , then the claim is clearly true (sequence as  $\{\mathbf{A}_1 = \mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}_2 = \mathbf{A}, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n = \mathbf{A}, \dots\}$ ). Otherwise, if  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{A}) = r < n$ , we can define a sequence of  $\mathbf{A}$  as

$$\mathbf{A}_n = \mathbf{U} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & & & \\ & \sigma_2 & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & \sigma_r & & \\ & & & & \frac{1}{n} & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & \frac{1}{n} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}^*,$$

then we can easily verify that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}_n\|_2 = 0.$$

### 2.3 Exercise 5.3(a)

First we compute the singular values  $\sigma_i$  by finding the eigenvalues of

$$\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 104 & -72 \\ -72 & 146 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of  $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A}$  is

$$\det(\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = (\lambda - 200)(\lambda - 50) = 0,$$

so the singular values are

$$\sigma_1 = \sqrt{\lambda_1} = 5\sqrt{2}, \quad \sigma_2 = \sqrt{\lambda_2} = 10\sqrt{2}.$$

For  $\lambda_1 = 200$ , we have

$$\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} -96 & -72 \\ -72 & -54 \end{pmatrix},$$

a unit vector in the kernel of the matrix is  $\mathbf{v}_1 = (-3/5, 4/5)^T$ . For  $\lambda_2 = 50$ , we have

$$\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 54 & -72 \\ -72 & 96 \end{pmatrix},$$

a unit vector in the kernel of the matrix is  $\mathbf{v}_2 = (4/5, 3/5)^T$ . So at this point, we know that

$$\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2) \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{5} & \frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{4}{5} & \frac{3}{5} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further we can compute  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2$  by  $\sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_i$ , which gives that

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So in its full glory the SVD is

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 10\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 5\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{5} & \frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{4}{5} & \frac{3}{5} \end{pmatrix}.$$

## 2.4 Exercise 5.3(b)

Singular values:

$$\sigma_1 = 10\sqrt{2}, \quad \sigma_2 = 5\sqrt{2}$$

. Left singular vectors:

$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Right singular vectors:

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{5} \\ \frac{4}{5} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{3}{5} \end{pmatrix}.$$

## 2.5 Exercise 5.3(c)

We can compute the norms via the definition in *Lecture 3 Norms*.

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2} \|\mathbf{a}_j\| = 16,$$

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 = \|\mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^*\|_2 = \|\mathbf{\Sigma}\|_2 = 10\sqrt{2},$$

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_F = \|\mathbf{\Sigma}\|_F = \sqrt{50 + 200} = 5\sqrt{10}.$$

## 2.6 Exercise 5.3(d)

We can compute  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  via SVD as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}^{-1} &= (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^*)^{-1} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\mathbf{U}^* \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{3}{5} & \frac{4}{5} \\ \frac{4}{5} & \frac{3}{5} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{10\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{5\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{20} & -\frac{11}{100} \\ \frac{1}{10} & -\frac{1}{50} \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

## 2.7 Exercise 5.3(e)

The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is

$$\det(\mathbf{A} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = \lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 100 = 0,$$

we can get that

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{3 + i\sqrt{391}}{2}, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{3 - i\sqrt{391}}{2}.$$

## 2.8 Exercise 5.3(f)

We can verify the claim as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\det(\mathbf{A}) &= -2 \times 5 + 10 \times 11 = 100 = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \\ |\det(\mathbf{A})| &= 100 = 10\sqrt{2} \times 5\sqrt{2} = \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2.\end{aligned}$$

## 2.9 Exercise 5.3(g)

It is clear that the ellipsoid is a rotation of another ellipsoid  $\mathcal{E}$  whose equation are

$$\frac{x^2}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{y^2}{\sigma_2^2} = 1$$

and hence we can compute the area of  $\mathcal{E}$  as the area of the original ellipsoid. We first let

$$y = f(x) = \sigma_2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{\sigma_1^2}},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Area}(\mathcal{E}) &= 4 \int_0^1 f(x) dx \\
&= 4 \int_0^1 \sigma_2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{\sigma_1^2}} dx \\
&= 4 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \cos^2 \theta d\theta, \quad (x = \sigma_1 \sin \theta) \\
&= 4 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}{2} (1 + \cos(2\theta)) d\theta \\
&= 4 \times \frac{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \pi}{4} = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \pi.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the area of the original ellipsoid is  $\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \pi = 100\pi$ .

## 2.10 Exercise 5.4

Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an  $m \times m$  complex matrix with singular values  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_m$ , and let  $\mathbf{u}_i$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i$  be the corresponding left and right singular vectors. Then, we have  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{v}_i = \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i$  and  $\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{u}_i = \sigma_i^* \mathbf{v}_i$ , where  $\mathbf{A}^*$  is the conjugate transpose of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . We define  $\beta_i = [a\mathbf{v}_i, b\mathbf{u}_i] \in \mathbb{C}^{2m}$  and consider the matrix

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}^* \\ \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, we have

$$\mathbf{B}\beta_i = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}^* \\ \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a\mathbf{v}_i \\ b\mathbf{u}_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b\sigma_i \mathbf{v}_i \\ a\sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i \end{pmatrix}$$

Next, we prove that the eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{B}$  are  $\pm\sigma_1, \pm\sigma_2, \dots, \pm\sigma_m$ .

Suppose  $\beta_i$  is the eigenvector of the corresponding eigenvalue  $\sigma_i$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{B}$ , then we have

$$\begin{cases} a\mathbf{v}_i &= b\mathbf{v}_i, \\ b\mathbf{u}_i &= a\mathbf{u}_i \end{cases}$$

which implies that  $a = b$ . Suppose  $\beta_i$  is the eigenvector of the corresponding eigenvalue  $-\sigma_i$  of the matrix  $\mathbf{B}$ , then we have

$$\begin{cases} a\mathbf{v}_i &= -b\mathbf{v}_i, \\ b\mathbf{u}_i &= -a\mathbf{u}_i \end{cases}$$

which implies that  $a = -b$ . Let

$$\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} a\mathbf{v}_1, & a\mathbf{v}_2, & \dots, & a\mathbf{v}_m, & a\mathbf{v}_{m+1}, & a\mathbf{v}_{m+2}, & \dots, & a\mathbf{v}_{2m} \\ a\mathbf{u}_1, & a\mathbf{u}_2, & \dots, & a\mathbf{u}_m, & -a\mathbf{u}_{m+1}, & -a\mathbf{u}_{m+2}, & \dots, & -a\mathbf{u}_{2m} \end{pmatrix}$$

as well as

$$\mathbf{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & & & & \\ & \sigma_2 & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & \sigma_m & & & \\ & & & & -\sigma_1 & & \\ & & & & & -\sigma_2 & \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & -\sigma_m \end{pmatrix},$$

then there is

$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{\Lambda},$$

But at this time  $\mathbf{S}$  is not necessarily invertible. Next, we make  $\mathbf{S}$  an orthogonal matrix by taking an appropriate  $a$ , so that  $\mathbf{S}$  is invertible. It is not difficult to calculate, assuming that  $\mathbf{S}$  is orthogonal, that is,  $\mathbf{S}^*\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^* = \mathbf{I}$ , then

$$a^2 + a^2 = 1,$$

We can get  $a = \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ , without loss of generality, we make  $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ , then  $S$  is an orthogonal matrix, so it is invertible, then the eigendecomposition of  $B$  is

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{S}^{-1}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{S}.$$

**Remark.** *In fact, this proof is not perfect. We did not detail how to find the eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{B}$ , that is, the root of the characteristic polynomial corresponding to  $\mathbf{B}$  has and only  $\pm\sigma_i$ , which needs to be improved later. If readers have any good ideas, welcome to contact me.*