

# Selected Solutions of Numerical Linear Algebra

Fang Zhu

August 26, 2022



# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Fundamental</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Matrix Vector Multiplication</b>	<b>7</b>
1.1	Prerequisite . . . . .	8
1.2	Solutions . . . . .	8
<b>2</b>	<b>Orthogonal Vectors and Matrices</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1	Prerequisite . . . . .	12
2.2	Solutions . . . . .	13
<b>3</b>	<b>Norms</b>	<b>17</b>
3.1	Prerequisite . . . . .	18
3.2	Solutions . . . . .	19



**Part I**

**Fundamental**



## Lecture 1. Matrix Vector Multiplication

## 1.1 Prerequisite

todo...

## 1.2 Solutions

1.3 *Proof.* We denote a non-singular matrix  $\mathbf{R}$  as

$$\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & \cdots & r_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & r_{mm} \end{pmatrix},$$

it is clear that  $r_{ii} \neq 0$ , otherwise  $\mathbf{R}$  is singular. Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is non-singular, we assume that

$$\mathbf{I} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m) = (\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m) \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & \cdots & r_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & r_{mm} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m) = \mathbf{R}^{-1}$ . To show  $\mathbf{R}^{-1}$  is upper-triangular, we work by induction. To begin with, we have  $\mathbf{e}_1 = r_{11}\mathbf{a}_1$  and hence  $\mathbf{a}_1 = r_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{e}_1$  has *zero entries* except the first one. For convenience, we denote by  $\mathbb{C}_k^m$  the column space

$$\mathbb{C}_k^m = \{\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_k, 0, \dots, 0)^T, v_i \neq 0 \ (1 \leq i \leq k)\},$$

Then

$$\mathbb{C}_1^m \subset \mathbb{C}_2^m \cdots \mathbb{C}_m^m = \mathbb{C}^m.$$

We have shown that  $\mathbf{a}_1 \in \mathbb{C}_1^m$ , assume that for any  $k \leq s$ , we have that  $\mathbf{a}_k \in \mathbb{C}_k^m$ . Then by equation *Page 8, (1.8)*, we have

$$\mathbf{e}_{s+1} = \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbf{a}_k r_{k,s+1}.$$

Note that  $r_{k,s+1} = 0, \forall k > s+1$ , then

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \mathbf{a}_k r_{k,s+1} = \sum_{k=1}^s \mathbf{a}_k r_{k,s+1} + \mathbf{a}_{s+1} r_{s+1,s+1} = \mathbf{e}_{s+1},$$

Therefore

$$\mathbf{a}_{s+1} = r_{s+1,s+1}^{-1}(\mathbf{e}_{s+1} - \sum_{k=1}^s \mathbf{a}_k r_{k,s+1}) \in \mathbb{C}_{s+1}^m$$

By induction, we have proved that  $\mathbf{a}_k \in \mathbb{C}_k^m$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m$ , which is equivalent to the fact that  $\mathbf{R}^{-1}$  is upper-triangular.  $\square$



- 1.4(a) *Proof.* Denote the column vectors  $(c_1, \dots, c_n)^T$ ,  $(d_1, \dots, d_n)^T$  by notations  $\mathbf{c}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$ , let  $\mathbf{F}$  be the matrix whose  $(i, j)$  entry is  $f_j(i)$ . Then, the given condition can be rephrased as: ForAll  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{C}^8$ , there must exist a vector  $\mathbf{c}$  such that  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{d}$ . This means that

$$\text{range}\{\mathbf{F}\} = \mathbb{C}^8,$$

which implies that  $\mathbf{F}$  has full rank by *theorem 1.3*. Furthermore,  $\mathbf{F}$  is non-singular. Therefore

$$\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}$$

and hence  $\mathbf{d}$  determines  $\mathbf{c}$  uniquely. □

- 1.4(b) The given condition can be reformatted as

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c}.$$

Note that  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d}$ , then

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{F}^{-1}\mathbf{d},$$

then we have

$$(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{0},$$

note that this equation above is true for any  $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{C}^8$ , then  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I}$  must be *zero matrix*, which is  $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ . Hence the  $i, j$  entry of  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  is the  $i, j$  entry of  $\mathbf{F}$  we defined in (a).



## Lecture 2. Orthogonal Vectors and Matrices

## 2.1 Prerequisite

Before giving the solutions, I would like to prove some basic conclusions about this lecture

**Lemma 2.1.** *Given an non-singular matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , then  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  is unique*

*Proof.* Suppose that we have two inverse matrices  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  w.r.t  $\mathbf{A}$ . By the definition of inverse.

$$\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}) = (\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A})\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C},$$

and hence we can conclude that  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{C}$ . □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Given an non-singular matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ , its hermitian conjugate  $\mathbf{A}^*$  is also non-singular.*

*Proof.*

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{I},$$

We can apply the hermitian conjugate to both sides of the equation above:

$$\mathbf{A}^*(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^* = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^*\mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{I}$$

Hence we can get that  $\mathbf{A}^*$  is non-singular. □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Give a non-singular matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  and its hermitian conjugate  $\mathbf{A}^*$ , we have*

$$(\mathbf{A}^*)^{-1} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^*$$

*Proof.* By lemma 2.2,  $\mathbf{A}^*$  is non-singular and it's clear that the inverse is  $(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^*$ . However, we can get that  $(\mathbf{A}^*)^{-1}$  is also the inverse of  $\mathbf{A}^*$  by definition. Further, by lemma 2.1, we have

$$(\mathbf{A}^*)^{-1} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^*$$

which is exactly what we need to prove. □

**Lemma 2.4.** *Given two pure imaginary number  $di, bi$ , then*

$$(1 - di)(1 - bi) \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* LHS equals

$$1 - bd - (b + d)i, \tag{*}$$

if  $(*) = 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - bd &= 0 \\ b + d &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$-b^2 = 1,$$

since  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , the equation above cannot be true, and hence  $(1 - di)(1 - bi) \neq 0$ . □

## 2.2 Solutions

2.1 *Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\mathbf{A}$  is upper-triangular. By the *ex. 1.3*, we can conclude that  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  is also upper-triangular. It is clear that  $\mathbf{A}^* = \mathbf{A}^{-1}$  since  $\mathbf{A}$  is unitary. Then  $\mathbf{A}^*$  is also an upper-triangular matrix, which is

$$\mathbf{A}_{i,j}^* = \bar{a}_{ji} = a_{ij} = 0, \quad (\forall i > j),$$

Hence, the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is diagonal. The same follows if  $\mathbf{A}$  is lower-triangular.  $\square$

2.3 (a) Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be an eigenvector of matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  w.r.t. the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x},$$

multiplying both sides by  $\mathbf{x}^*$ , we get that

$$\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x} = \lambda \|\mathbf{x}\|^2, \quad (\spadesuit)$$

then

$$\lambda = \frac{\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} = \frac{\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} = \frac{(\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x})^*}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} = \bar{\lambda},$$

which means that  $\lambda$  is real.

2.3 (b) Let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$  be two eigenvectors of the hermitian matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ . Denote  $\lambda_k$  the eigenvalue w.r.t  $\mathbf{x}_k$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ), where  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , then

$$\lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_1^* \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{x}_1^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_2,$$

$$\lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_2^* \mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{x}_2^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_1.$$

Note that  $\mathbf{A}$  is hermitian, we can get that

$$\lambda_2 \mathbf{x}_1^* \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{x}_1^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{x}_1^* \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{x}_2 = (\mathbf{x}_2^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_1)^* = \lambda_1^* \mathbf{x}_1^* \mathbf{x}_2,$$

then

$$(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1^*) \mathbf{x}_1^* \mathbf{x}_2 = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{x}_1^* \mathbf{x}_2 = 0,$$

which is exactly what we need to prove.

2.4 Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$ , and  $\mathbf{x}$  be the eigenvector w.r.t  $\lambda$ , then we have  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$  and  $\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 = \|\lambda\mathbf{x}\|$ , which is

$$\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^* \|\lambda\| \lambda \mathbf{x}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{A}$  is unitary, then

$$\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{I} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^* \|\lambda\|_2^2 \mathbf{x}.$$

Furthermore,

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = \|\lambda\|_2^2 (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2),$$

it follows that  $\|\lambda\|_2^2 = 1$  since  $\mathbf{x}$  is non-zero vector.

2.5(a) Let  $\mathbf{x}$  be an eigenvector of matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  w.r.t. the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , then

$$\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}.$$

By the equation *ex. 2.3(♠)*, we have

$$\lambda = \frac{\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{S} \mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} = \frac{\mathbf{x}^* (-\mathbf{S}^*) \mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} = \frac{-(\mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{S} \mathbf{x})^*}{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2} = -\bar{\lambda},$$

then we can get that  $\lambda + \bar{\lambda} = 0$ , which means that  $\lambda$  is purely imaginary.

2.5(b) Assume that  $\lambda$  is the eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{S}$ , it follows that  $1 - \lambda$  is the eigenvalue of  $1 - \mathbf{S}$ . Since  $\lambda$  is purely imaginary number, then by *Lemma 2.1.4*, we have

$$\det(1 - \mathbf{S}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - \lambda_i) \neq 0,$$

where  $\lambda_i, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  are eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{S}$ . Hence we can conclude that  $1 - \mathbf{S}$  is non-singular.

2.5(c) Assume that  $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S})^{-1}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S})$ , then we have

$$\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^* = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S})^{-1}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S}^*)((\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S})^{-1})^*,$$

by *Lemma 2.1.3*, we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}^* &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S})^{-1}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S})(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S})((\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S})^{-1})^* \\ &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S})^{-1}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S})(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S})^{-1} \\ &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S})^{-1}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S})(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S})^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can conclude that  $(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{S})^{-1}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{S})$  is unitary.

2.6 *Proof.* If  $\mathbf{A}$  is singular, there exists a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{x} = 0,$$

then  $\mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{x})$  where  $\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{u}$  is scalar. Let  $\mathbf{x} = t\mathbf{u}(t \in \mathbb{R})$ , then we can get that

$$t\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}^*t\mathbf{u}) = t\mathbf{u}(1 + \mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{u}) = 0,$$

It follows that  $\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{u} = -1$  since  $\mathbf{x} = t\mathbf{u} \neq 0$ . Assume that  $\alpha = -1/(1 + \mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{u})$ , then

$$(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^*)(\mathbf{I} + \alpha\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^*) = \mathbf{I}.$$

Note that we have shown that  $\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{u} = -1$  is a necessary condition of  $\mathbf{A}$  is singular. For sufficiency, we assume that  $\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{u} = -1$ . Then for any  $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , we have

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u} = t\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^*t\mathbf{u} = t\mathbf{u} + t\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{v}^*\mathbf{u}) = 0,$$

which implies that  $\mathbf{A}$  is singular. Combined, we conclude that  $\mathbf{A}$  is singular iff.  $\mathbf{v}^* \mathbf{u} = -1$ . In this case,

$$\text{null}(\mathbf{A}) = \{t\mathbf{u}, t \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

the linear subspace spanned by  $\mathbf{u}$ . □

2.7 *Proof.* We can verify that  $\mathbf{H}_{k+1}$  is Hadamard matrix directly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}_{k+1}^T \mathbf{H}_{k+1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_k^T & \mathbf{H}_k^T \\ \mathbf{H}_k^T & -\mathbf{H}_k^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_k & \mathbf{H}_k \\ \mathbf{H}_k & -\mathbf{H}_k \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{H}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k + \mathbf{H}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k & \mathbf{H}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k - \mathbf{H}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \\ \mathbf{H}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k - \mathbf{H}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k & \mathbf{H}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k + \mathbf{H}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\mathbf{H}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 2\mathbf{H}_k^T \mathbf{H}_k \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2\mathbf{I}_k & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & 2\mathbf{I}_k \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2c \cdot \mathbf{I}_{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can get that  $\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^T = 2c\mathbf{H}_{k+1}^{-1}$ . Note that the entries of  $\mathbf{H}_{k+1}$  are also all  $\pm 1$  by the recursion formula. Hence  $\mathbf{H}_{k+1}$  is also a Hadamard matrix. □





## Lecture 3. Norms

### 3.1 Prerequisite

**Definition 3.1** (Vector Norm). *A norm is a function  $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that assigns a real-valued length to each vector. In order to conform to a reasonable notion of length, a norm must satisfy the following three conditions. For all vectors  $x$  and  $y$  and for all scalars  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,*

$$(1) \|x\| \geq 0 \text{ and } \|x\| = 0 \text{ only if } x = 0,$$

$$(2) \|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|,$$

$$(3) \|\alpha x\| = |\alpha| \|x\|.$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Given a permutation matrix  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$ , and a vector  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , then*

$$\|\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}\|_p = \|\mathbf{x}\|_p.$$

*Proof.* By the definition of vector norm, we can get that

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = \begin{cases} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, & 1 \leq p < \infty; \\ \max_i \{x_i\}, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_p$  won't be changed after permutation of entries. Therefore, for any permutation matrix  $\mathbf{P}$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{P}\mathbf{x}\|_p = \|\mathbf{x}\|_p,$$

which is what we need to prove.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *Given matrix  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times n}$  and two permutation matrix  $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{M}_{m \times m}$ ,  $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}$ . Then,*

$$\|\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}\|_p = \|\mathbf{A}\|_p.$$

*Proof.* By the definition of induced norm, we can get that the LHS equals

$$LHS = \|\mathbf{A}\|_p = \sup_x \frac{\|\mathbf{A}x\|_p}{\|x\|_p} = \sup_x \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}x\|_p}{\|\mathbf{Q}x\|_p} = \sup_x \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}x\|_p}{\|x\|_p} = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}\|_p,$$

Futhermore, the RHS equals

$$RHS = \|\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}\|_p = \sup_x \frac{\|\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}x\|_p}{\|x\|_p} = \sup_x \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}x\|_p}{\|x\|_p} = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}\|_p = LHS,$$

which is we need to prove.  $\square$

## 3.2 Solutions

3.1 By equation (3.3), we can get that

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{W}} = \|\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}\|,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is a vector norm. It is clear that  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{W}}$  meets (2), (3) of the vector norm's definition. Furthermore, we assume that

$$\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (\star)$$

Since  $\mathbf{W}$  is non-singular,  $(\star)$  is true iff.  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ . Then  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\mathbf{W}} = \|\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x}\| \geq 0$ , and  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 0$  iff.  $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 0$ , which meets condition (1) of vector norm's definition. Hence, we can conclude that  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{W}}$  is a vector norm.

3.2 Let  $\lambda$  be the eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  be the eigenvector w.r.t.  $\lambda$ , then

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}, \quad (\star)$$

where  $x \neq 0$ . We can concentrate m's  $x$  as a matrix  $\mathbf{X}$  with m columns, which is

$$(x, x, \dots, x) = \mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}.$$

By  $(\star)$ , we can get that

$$\mathbf{A}(x, x, \dots, x) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} = \lambda\mathbf{X}, \quad (\spadesuit)$$

Implement the norm on both sides of  $(\spadesuit)$ , then

$$\|\lambda\mathbf{X}\| = |\lambda|\|\mathbf{X}\| = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{X}\|,$$

Note that  $\mathbf{X} \neq \mathbf{0}$  and hence  $|\lambda| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|$  for any eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Therefore

$$\rho(\mathbf{A}) = \max\{\lambda\} \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|.$$

which is exactly we want to prove.

3.3 (a) Assume that  $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ , then

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = \max_i \{x_i\} = \sqrt{x_{\max}^2} \leq \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2} = \|\mathbf{x}\|_2$$

3.3 (b)

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_m^2} \leq \sqrt{x_{\max}^2 + \dots + x_{\max}^2} = \sqrt{m}\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}.$$

3.3 (c)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} &= \sup \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}} \\ &\leq \sup \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{\infty}} \\ &\leq \sup \frac{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 / \sqrt{n}} \\ &= \sqrt{n}\|\mathbf{A}\|_2 \end{aligned}$$

3.4 We can divide the procedure into 2 steps. Firstly, we get the certain rows: W.L.O.G., assume that we need the first  $m$  rows of matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , that is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1^T \\ \mathbf{e}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_m^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P},$$

where  $\mathbf{e}_i$  is the unit vector whose  $i$ -th entry is 1, and  $\mathbf{B}$  is the submatrix contains the first  $m$  rows of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Then we get the certain columns from  $\mathbf{B}$ . W.L.O.G., assume that we need the first  $n$  columns of matrix  $\mathbf{A}$ , that is

$$\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n] = \mathbf{B},$$

Hence,

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1^T \\ \mathbf{e}_2^T \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{e}_m^T \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n].$$

Denote  $[\mathbf{e}_1^T, \mathbf{e}_2^T, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m^T]^T$  by  $\mathbf{E}$ ,  $[\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n]$  by  $\mathbf{F}$ . Then we have that

$$\|\mathbf{B}\| \leq \|\mathbf{E}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{F}\| \leq \|\mathbf{E}\| \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{F}\|.$$

It is clear that  $\|\mathbf{E}\| = \|\mathbf{F}\| = 1$ , then we can get that

$$\|\mathbf{B}\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|.$$

**Remark.** We give another (non-rigorous enough for  $p$  is odd number) proof here, assume that

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} & \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{Y} & \mathbf{Z} \end{bmatrix}$$

then we can get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{B}\|_p &= \sup_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_p=1} \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{x}\|_p \leq \sup_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_p=1} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{B} \\ \mathbf{Y} \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \right\|_p \\ &= \sup_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=1} \left\| \mathbf{A} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_p \leq \sup_{\|\mathbf{u}\|_p=1} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{u}\|_p = \|\mathbf{A}\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

3.5 Since

$$\|\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^*\|_F = \text{tr}(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{u}^*\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^*) = \|\mathbf{u}\|_2 \text{tr}(\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^*) = \|\mathbf{u}\|_2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_F.$$

we can get that  $\|\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}^*\|_F = \|\mathbf{u}\|_F \|\mathbf{v}\|_F$  is not true.

3.6(a) We can prove by verifying these properties:

$$(i) \quad \|\mathbf{x}\|' = 0 \Leftrightarrow |\mathbf{y}^* \mathbf{x}| = 0 (\forall \|\mathbf{y}\| = 1) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}.$$

$$(ii) \quad \|\alpha \mathbf{x}\|' = \sup_{\|\mathbf{y}\|=1} |\mathbf{y}^* \alpha \mathbf{x}| = |\alpha| \sup_{\|\mathbf{y}\|=1} |\mathbf{y}^* \mathbf{x}| = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{x}\|'.$$

(iii)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{z}\|' &= \sup_{\|\mathbf{y}\|=1} |\mathbf{y}^* \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}^* \mathbf{z}| \\ &\leq \sup_{\|\mathbf{y}\|=1} (|\mathbf{y}^* \mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{y}^* \mathbf{z}|) \\ &\leq \sup_{\|\mathbf{y}_1\|=1} |\mathbf{y}_1^* \mathbf{x}| + \sup_{\|\mathbf{y}_2\|=1} \sup |\mathbf{y}_2^* \mathbf{z}| \\ &= \|\mathbf{x}\|' + \|\mathbf{z}\|'. \end{aligned}$$

then we can get that  $\|\cdot\|'$  is a norm.

3.6(b) Assume that  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{y}\mathbf{z}^*$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{B}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y} \\ \|\mathbf{B}\| = 1. \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to  $\exists \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^m$  such that

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{z}^* \mathbf{x} = 1 \\ \|\mathbf{z}\|' = 1. \end{cases}$$

by lemma, we can get that  $\exists \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{C}^m$  such that

$$|\mathbf{u}^* \mathbf{x}| = \|\mathbf{u}\|' \|\mathbf{x}\| = \|\mathbf{u}\|',$$

let  $\alpha = \mathbf{u}^* \mathbf{x}$ , we can denote  $\mathbf{z}$  by  $\mathbf{z} = (1/\alpha)\mathbf{u}$ , then

- $\|\mathbf{z}\|' = \|\frac{1}{\alpha}\mathbf{u}\|' = \frac{1}{|\alpha|}\|\mathbf{u}\|' = 1, \checkmark$
- $\mathbf{z}^* \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathbf{u}^* \mathbf{x} = 1, \checkmark$

Hence,  $\mathbf{z}$  is exactly what we need.