# Handbook on matrices

Andriy Zhugayevych (http://zhugayevych.me)
September 27, 2023

1	Conventions	1
2	Vector spaces	2
3	Basic matrix theory 3.1 Types of matrices	2 2 3
4	Canonical forms of matrices 4.1 Diagonal form	4 4 6
5	Matrix functions5.1 Using Jordan normal form	6 7 8
6	Other topics 6.1 Linear equations	8 9 9 10 10 10
7	Special relations for special matrices 7.1 Tridiagonal matrices 7.2 Block matrices 7.3 Outer product matrices 7.4 2 by 2 matrices 7.5 Other matrices	11 11 11 12 12

# §1. Conventions

Capitals A are matrices, normal symbols a are vectors, and Greek symbols  $\alpha$  are scalars. Upper index enumerates vectors and matrices while lower indexes enumerates their elements. For a matrix component  $A_{ij}$  i means row and j means column. Throughout this paper we denote n to be the dimension of matrices and vectors. We denote identity matrix simply by 1 so that  $\lambda - A$  means  $(\lambda - A)_{ij} = \lambda \delta_{ij} - A_{ij}$ . Transposed matrix is denoted by  $A^{\top}$ :  $(A^{\top})_{ij} = A_{ji}$ . Hermitian conjugated matrix is denoted by  $A^{+}$ :  $(A^{+})_{ij} = \overline{A_{ji}}$ .

There are two kinds of linear transformations. A linear map given by a nondegenerate matrix T transforms  $x \to Tx$  so that  $(Ax) \to T(Ax)$  and thus  $A \to TAT^{-1}$ . Two matrices are similar (or equivalent) if there exists a transformation mapping one matrix into another. The second kind of linear transformations is a basis change (basis is an outer object for the matrix theory). Let a new basis is given by  $e'_i = \sum_j e_j B_{ji}$ , where B is a nondegenerate matrix. Then a vector defined as  $x = \sum_i x_i e_i$  and a matrix defined by the scalar product  $(x, Ay) = \sum_{ij} \overline{x}_i A_{ij} y_j$  transform as follows:

$$x' = B^{-1}x, A' = B^{+}AB,$$
 (1.1)

so that Hermitian matrices remain Hermitian. In particular the basis overlap matrix  $S_{ij} = (e_i, e_j)$  transforms as  $S' = B^+ SB$ . Both kinds of transformations are equivalent iff the transformation matrix is unitary.

2 Basic matrix theory

We call matrix A reducible if there is such a partition of its index set  $\{1, \ldots, n\} = \Xi \cup \Gamma$   $(\Xi \cap \Gamma = \emptyset)$  that  $\forall \xi \in \Xi \ \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \ A_{\xi\gamma} = 0$ . If in addition  $A_{\gamma\xi} = 0$  than the matrix A is decomposable. Thus the natural chain is  $\{\text{decomposable}\}\supset \{\text{indecomposable reducible}\}\supset \{\text{irreducible}\}.$ 

As default real symmetric matrices are symbolized by S, Hermitian by H, real orthogonal by O or R, unitary by U or V, projective by P.

## §2. Vector spaces

The Gram matrix of a set of vectors  $\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$  is defined by its elements to be  $(x_i,x_j)$ . The determinant of this matrix, the Gram determinant  $\Gamma$ , has the following properties: 1)  $\Gamma \geqslant 0$  and  $\Gamma \neq 0$  iff  $\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$  is linear independent; 2)  $\sqrt{\Gamma}$  gives the volume of m-dimensional parallelepiped constructed on  $\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ ; 3) Hadamard's inequality asserts  $\Gamma[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \leqslant \Gamma[x_1]\ldots\Gamma[x_n]$  and the equality is only for orthogonal system of vectors.

A finite set of linear independent vectors is called basis. Any basis can be orthogonalized.

For any basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  there exists a dual basis  $\{e^1, \ldots, e^n\}$  such that  $(e^i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$ . The metric tensor is defined as  $g_{ij} = (e_i, e_j)$ , so that  $g^{ij} = (e^i, e^j)$ ,  $g_i^j = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $e^i = \sum_j g^{ij} e_j$  and  $e_i = \sum_j g_{ij} e^j$ . Let G be a matrix with the elements  $G_{ij} = g_{ij}$ , then  $(G^{-1})_{ij} = g^{ij}$ . The basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  can be represented as the columns of a matrix T:  $T_{\alpha j} = (e_j)_{\alpha}$ , so that  $T^{\top}T = G$ . If  $\tilde{T}_{i\beta} = (e^i)_{\beta}$  then  $\tilde{T}T = 1$ . If the basis is complete, then for any vector x,  $x = \sum_i (e^i, x)e_i = \sum_i (e_i, x)e^i$ . If the basis is incomplete, then the best expansion  $x = \sum_i c_i e_i + u$  minimizes the basis deficiency  $\|u\|^2$ . In  $L_2$  norm the solution is given by  $c_i = (e^i, x)$  with  $\|u\|^2 = 1 - \sum_i (e^i, x)(e_i, x)$ .

# §3. Basic matrix theory

The rank of a matrix is the dimension of the vector space spanned by its columns.

For a square matrix A of size n, a minor M of an order p is the determinant of the matrix obtained from A by deleting n-p rows and columns. The principal minors are the "diagonal" minors. The (i,j) cofactor  $\alpha_{ij}$  of the element  $A_{ij}$  is the coefficient at  $A_{ij}$  in the determinant of A. Note that  $\alpha_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} M_{ij}$ , where  $M_{ij}$  is the minor of the order n-1 obtained by deleting i-th row and j-th column. Thus the well known formula for the determinant arises. The determinant is

$$\det A = \sum_{i_1,\dots,i_n=1}^n e_{i_1\dots i_n}^{1\dots n} A_{i_11} \dots A_{i_nn} = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{1j} \alpha_{1j}, \tag{3.1}$$

where e is absolute antisymmetric tensor, and the permanent is the absolutely symmetric sum. The trace is  $\operatorname{tr} A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{ii}$ .

## 3.1. Types of matrices

The matrix A is symmetric (Hermitian) if  $A^{\top} = A$  ( $A^{+} = A$ ), antisymmetric (skew Hermitian) if  $A^{\top} = -A$  ( $A^{+} = -A$ ), orthogonal (unitary) if  $A^{\top} = A^{-1}$  ( $A^{+} = A^{-1}$ ), normal if  $A^{+}A = AA^{+}$ , nilpotent if  $A^{m} = 0$ , projective if  $A^{2} = A$ , involutive if  $A^{2} = 1$ . Other types include diagonal, band, block, upper and lower triangular matrices.

Note that unitary matrices correspond to isometric operators and projective matrices to projectors. Hermitian projective matrices corresponds to orthogonal projectors.

Any matrix A can be uniquely presented via the Hermitian matrices by A = H + iH'.

Any matrix can be decomposed into the product of two symmetric matrices anyone of which can be chosen nondegenerate.

Any matrix can be decomposed into the sum of two mutually commuting diagonalizable and nilpotent matrices.

## 3.2. Characteristic matrix and eigenelements

The eigenvalues  $\lambda$  and corresponding eigenvectors h are the nontrivial solutions of the equation  $Ah = \lambda h$ . Each eigenvector  $h(\lambda)$  might have adjoint vectors defined by the equations

$$h^{1} \equiv h, \quad (A - \lambda)h^{k} = h^{k-1}, \ k = \overline{2, m}, \quad (A - \lambda)h^{m} = 0,$$
 (3.2)

implying that  $h^k$  is the generalized eigenvector of the rank k:  $(A - \lambda)^k h^k = 0$  but  $(A - \lambda)^{k-1} h^k \neq 0$ .

The characteristic matrix is  $\lambda - A$  and the characteristic polynomial is

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \det(\lambda - A) = \lambda^n - p_1 \lambda^{n-1} - \dots - p_n = \prod_{\sigma=1}^s (\lambda - \lambda_\sigma)^{m_\sigma}, \tag{3.3}$$

where  $\lambda_{\sigma}$  is an eigenvalue of A and  $m_{\sigma}$  is the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda_{\sigma}$ . Note that  $p_1 = \operatorname{tr} A$  and  $p_n = (-1)^{n-1} \det A$ . The characteristic polynomial is annihilating:  $\Delta(A) = 0$ . The minimal polynomial is the annihilating polynomial of the lowest order and is given by

$$Q(\lambda) = \prod_{\sigma=1}^{s} (\lambda - \lambda_{\sigma})^{m_{\sigma}^{f}}, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $m_{\sigma}^{\rm f}$  is the functional multiplicity of  $\lambda_{\sigma}$ , which equals to the size of the largest Jordan block corresponding to this eigenvalue.

The geometric multiplicity  $m_{\sigma}^{\rm g}$  is the number of eigenvectors corresponding to  $\lambda_{\sigma}$ , i.e.  $m_{\sigma}^{\rm g} = n - {\rm rank}\,(A - \lambda_{\sigma})$ . Obviously the number of different eigenvalues, s, does not exceed the number of eigenvectors, r, that in terms of multiplicities implies  $1 \leq m_{\sigma}^{\rm g} \leq m_{\sigma}^{\rm g} + m_{\sigma}^{\rm f} - 1 \leq m_{\sigma}$ . A matrix is nondefective if all the geometric multiplicities are equal to the algebraic  $(m_{\sigma}^{\rm g} = m_{\sigma} \iff m_{\sigma}^{\rm f} = 1 \iff r = n)$ , otherwise it is defective. A matrix is simple if all the geometric multiplicities are equal to the unity  $(m_{\sigma}^{\rm g} = 1 \iff s = r)$ . Simple nondefective matrix has all the eigenvalues distinct and thus s = r = n.

#### 3.3. Properties of eigenelements

The eigenvalues are the continuous functions of matrix elements in the complex domain, though the eigenvectors are generally not. Moreover for any matrix A and  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \ \exists A(\varepsilon) \ \|A - A(\varepsilon)\| < \varepsilon$  and all the eigenvalues of  $A(\varepsilon)$  are distinct.

Gershgorin circle theorem: For a matrix A denote  $\rho_i = \sum_{j \neq i} |A_{ij}|$ , then in every circle  $|\lambda - A_{ii}| \leq \rho_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1, n}$  there is minimum one eigenvalue.

Matrix  $R_A(\lambda) = (\lambda - A)^{-1}$  is the resolvent of the matrix A. The spectral radius  $\rho(A)$  is maximum  $|\lambda(A)|$ . For any matrix A and  $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \; \exists c \; \forall i, j, k \; |(A^k)_{ij}| \leq c(\rho(A) + \varepsilon)^k$ .

Note that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^k = \operatorname{tr}(A^k)$ .

Hermitian matrix has real eigenvalues (moreover the product of two Hermitian matrices, one of which is positively defined, has real eigenvalues). Unitary matrix has  $|\lambda| = 1$  and orthogonal has  $\lambda = e^{\pm i\phi}$ .

For Hermitian matrices let us assume their eigenvalues be arranged in ascending order  $\lambda_1 \leqslant \ldots \leqslant \lambda_n$ . If we arrange the diagonal elements of the Hermitian matrix H and denote them  $d_k$  then  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(H) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^k d_i$  for any k. For any two Hermitian matrices  $\sum_{i=1}^k (\lambda_i(H) + \lambda_i(H')) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(H+H')$  and  $\lambda_k(H) + \lambda_1(H') \leqslant \lambda_k(H+H') \leqslant \lambda_k(H) + \lambda_n(H')$  for any k.

If H is Hermitian and depends on a set of parameters indexed by i, j, then the derivatives of the eigenvalues of H are given by

$$\partial_i \lambda = \langle h | \partial_i H | h \rangle, \qquad \partial_i \partial_j \lambda = \langle h | \partial_i \partial_j H | h \rangle + 2 \sum_{\lambda' \neq \lambda} \frac{\langle h | \partial_i H | h' \rangle \langle h' | \partial_j H | h \rangle}{\lambda - \lambda'}, \tag{3.5}$$

where h(h') is the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda(\lambda')$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This term is not commonly used.

## §4. Canonical forms of matrices

## 4.1. Diagonal form

A matrix is similar to some diagonal matrix iff it is nondefective. A matrix is unitary (orthogonally) similar to some diagonal matrix iff it is normal (real normal). A matrix has complete orthonormal set of eigenvectors iff it is normal. Two Hermitian matrices can be diagonalized simultaneously iff they commute. Any set of mutually commuting normal matrices has a common orthonormal basis in which all the matrices are diagonal.

The canonical form of a Hermitian matrix H is the diagonal matrix  $D_H = U^+HU$  of its eigenvalues. All the eigenvectors can be chosen mutually orthogonal, and any two eigenvectors corresponding to different eigenvalues are always orthogonal. Therefore the transformation matrix U can be chosen unitary, containing the orthonormal eigenvectors of H as its columns.

Generalized eigenvalue problem is formulated as  $Hh = \lambda Sh$ , where S is a Hermitian positive-defined matrix (basis overlap). It can be reduced to ordinary eigenvalue problem  $\tilde{H}\tilde{h} = \lambda \tilde{h}$  by the transformation  $\tilde{h} = L^+h$  and  $\tilde{H} = L^{-1}H(L^{-1})^+$  where L is the lower triangular matrix in Cholesky LU-decomposition of the overlap matrix,  $S = LL^+$ . If H is Hermitian, the eigenvectors are orthogonal wrt scalar product  $(h_1, h_2) = h_1^+ Sh_2$ . The left eigenvectors are simply conjugated right vectors. If T is the matrix of eigenvectors of H as its columns then the following identities hold:

$$HT = ST\Lambda$$
,  $T^+ST = 1$ ,  $T^+HT = \Lambda$ ,  $TT^+S = 1$ ,  $ST\Lambda T^+S = H$ . (4.1)

The generalized eigenvalue problem is invariant under basis change Eq. (1.1), i.e.  $H'T' = S'T'\Lambda$  where  $T' = B^{-1}T$ .

If only small part of the spectrum of size  $m \ll n$  is of interest, it is enough to store only those eigenvalues  $\tilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda_{m \times m}$ , right eigenvectors  $\tilde{T} = T_{n \times m}$ , and bi-orthogonal left eigenvectors  $\hat{T} = \tilde{T}^+ S \equiv (T^+ S)_{m \times n}$ , so that  $T^+ S T \equiv \hat{T} \tilde{T} = 1_{m \times m}$ . Then H can be reconstructed only partially as  $n \times n$  generally non-Hermitian matrix  $\hat{H} = \hat{T} \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{T}$  such that  $\hat{H} \tilde{T} \equiv S^{-1} H \tilde{T} = \tilde{T} \tilde{\Lambda}$  and  $T^+ H T \equiv \hat{T} \hat{H} \tilde{T} = \tilde{\Lambda}$ . Upon change of secondary basis  $\tilde{T}' = \tilde{T} \tilde{L} (\hat{T}' = \tilde{L}^+ \hat{T})$ , the secondary basis overlap  $1_{m \times m}$  and "Hamiltonian"  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  transform as follows:

$$\tilde{T}'^+ S \tilde{T}' \equiv \hat{T}' \tilde{T}' = \tilde{L}^+ \tilde{L} \equiv \tilde{S}', \qquad \tilde{T}'^+ H \tilde{T}' = \tilde{L}^+ \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{L} \equiv \tilde{H}'.$$

Canonical form of the diagonal block for the complex conjugated eigenvalues is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Re \lambda & -\Im \lambda \\ \Im \lambda & \Re \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Canonical form of an orthogonal matrix is block diagonal matrix with elements

$$(\pm 1)$$
 and  $\begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}$ 

The key parameter of an orthogonal matrix is its determinant equal to  $\pm 1$ . Note that hyperbolic rotations can be described by the blocks

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh \psi & \sinh \psi \\ \sinh \psi & \cosh \psi \end{pmatrix}.$$

The determinant is still  $\pm 1$  but the inverse matrix does not coincide with the transposed.

Canonical form of a skew Hermitian matrix is block diagonal matrix with elements

(0) and 
$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -v \\ v & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
.

### 4.2. Jordan normal form

Jordan block matrix is a block-diagonal matrix, each Jordan block of an order m has the form

$$J_m(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.2}$$

Any matrix A is uniquely similar to its Jordan form  $J_A$  so that  $A = TJ_AT^{-1}$ ,

$$J_{A} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{m_{1}}(\lambda_{1}) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & J_{m_{2}}(\lambda_{2}) & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & J_{m_{s}}(\lambda_{s}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s} m_{\sigma} = n.$$

$$(4.3)$$

However, the Jordan form is not a continuous function of the matrix elements. Each Jordan block  $J_m(\lambda)$  corresponds to the minimal irreducible invariant subspace of the matrix A. The basis of this subspace are the eigenvector  $h(\lambda) = h^1$  and m-1 adjoined vectors  $h^k$  given by Eq. (3.2). The transformation matrix is constructed of all eigenvectors and adjoined vectors as its columns:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} h_1(\lambda_1) & h_1^1(\lambda_1) & \dots & h_1^{m_1}(\lambda_1) & h(\lambda_2) & h^1(\lambda_2) & \dots \\ h_2(\lambda_1) & h_2^1(\lambda_1) & \dots & h_2^{m_1}(\lambda_1) & h_2(\lambda_2) & h_2^1(\lambda_2) & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ h_n(\lambda_1) & h_n^1(\lambda_1) & \dots & h_n^{m_1}(\lambda_1) & h_n(\lambda_2) & h_n^1(\lambda_2) & \dots \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.4)

Note that  $T^{-1}$  has the left eigenvectors and adjoint vectors  $g^k$  as its rows but in the reverse order:

$$T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^{m_1}(\lambda_1) & g_2^{m_1}(\lambda_1) & \dots & g_n^{m_1}(\lambda_1) \\ g_1^{m_1-1}(\lambda_1) & g_2^{m_1-1}(\lambda_1) & \dots & g_n^{m_1-1}(\lambda_1) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ g_1^2(\lambda_1) & g_2^2(\lambda_1) & \dots & g_n^2(\lambda_1) \\ g_1(\lambda_1) & g_2(\lambda_1) & \dots & g_n(\lambda_1) \\ g_1^{m_2}(\lambda_2) & g_2^{m_2}(\lambda_2) & \dots & g_n^{m_2}(\lambda_2) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(4.5)$$

This also implies that left and right vectors are bi-orthogonal in the reverse order:

$$g^{m-i+1}(\lambda_{\sigma}) h^{j}(\lambda_{\tau}) = \delta_{ij}\delta_{\sigma\tau}. \tag{4.6}$$

For real matrix A the real Jordan form can be defined with the following real Jordan blocks corresponding to two complex Jordan blocks  $J_m(\lambda)$  and  $J_m(\overline{\lambda})$ :

$$J_{2m}(\lambda, \overline{\lambda}) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Re \lambda & -\Im \lambda \\ \Im \lambda & \Re \lambda \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \cdots \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} \Re \lambda & -\Im \lambda \\ \Im \lambda & \Re \lambda \end{pmatrix} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The transformation matrix T gets the form

$$T = (\Re h(\lambda_1) - \Im h(\lambda_1) - \Re h^1(\lambda_1) - \Im h^1(\lambda_1) \dots).$$

If one want to reduce a matrix to its Jordan form partially that is only on the basis of some selected eigenspaces then one proceeds as follows. Let the selected eigenspaces are defined by the rectangular matrix  $H_{n\times m}$  which is some closed part of the matrix (4.4) (closed means that all the eigenvectors are selected with their adjoint vectors). Let the matrix  $G_{m\times n}$  be the corresponding selection from the matrix (4.5). It can be constructed by the right eigenvectors and adjoint vectors providing that they are properly normalized that is they are surely orthogonal (GH is diagonal) so one has only to normalize them to get GH = 1. Let  $E_{n\times (n-m)}$  be any rectangular matrix such that its columns complement the columns of H to the complete basis, and let  $F_{n\times (n-m)}$  complements G to the complete basis in the dual space (which is actually the same space). Then the transformation matrix that reduces the first m coordinates to the Jordan form is given by

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} H & PE \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} G \\ (FPE)^{-1}FP \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4.7}$$

6 Matrix functions

where  $P \equiv 1 - HG$  is the projector onto orthogonal complement to the selected eigenspaces and FPE is the square matrix of the dimension (n - m). The reduced matrix is

$$T^{-1}AT = \begin{pmatrix} J_{m \times m} & 0_{m \times (n-m)} \\ 0_{(n-m) \times m} & (FPE)^{-1}FPAPE \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, if A is Hermitian then

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} H & K \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^+ = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ \\ K^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad T^+ A T = \begin{pmatrix} H^+ A H & 0 \\ 0 & K^+ A K \end{pmatrix},$$
 (4.8)

provided that T is unitary.

### 4.3. Singular value decomposition and pseudoinverse

In this subsection M is rectangular  $n \times m$  matrix. The matrix  $M^+M$  is Hermitian non-negatively definite and the square roots of its eigenvalues are the *singular values* of the matrix M. For square matrices the ratio of the greatest and least singular values gives the Euclidean (square norm) *condition number* of the matrix.

Singular value decomposition: any matrix M can be decomposed into the product  $M = U\Sigma V^+$ , where  $\Sigma$  is the  $n \times m$  matrix whose diagonal contains the singular values of M and all other entries are zero, U is the  $n \times n$  and V is the  $m \times m$  unitary matrices. If n = m then  $M = \tilde{U}H$ , where  $H = V\Sigma V^+$  is Hermitian and  $\tilde{U} = UV^+$  is unitary matrices.

The  $Frobenius\ norm$  of a matrix M is defined as follows:

$$||M||_{\mathrm{F}}^2 = \sum_{i,j} |M_{ij}|^2 \equiv \operatorname{tr}(M^+ M) \equiv \sum_k \sigma_k^2,$$
 (4.9)

where  $\sigma$  are singular values of M.

Pseudoinverse matrix  $M^{-1}$  is the least squares solution of the equation MX = 1 in the sense that it minimizes the Euclidean (square) norm of the matrix  $1 - M^{-1}M$  (and simultaneously the norm of  $1 - MM^{-1}$ ). For the case of degenerate matrices this definition is ambiguous, that is there are p-parametric class of pseudoinverse matrices, where p is the order of degeneracy. Pseudoinverse matrix can be evaluated by the formula  $M^{-1} = V\Sigma^{-1}U^+$ , where the  $\Sigma^{-1}$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix whose diagonal contains the inverse singular values of M (if the singular value is zero then one can choose any value, e.g. zero) and all other entries are zero. The matrix  $1 - M^{-1}M$  ( $1 - MM^{-1}$ ) is the orthogonal projector onto the right (left) ker M.

If M is a rectangular matrix whose columns are linearly independent, then  $P = M(M^+SM)^{-1}M^+S$  is the orthogonal (wrt to S) projector onto M, i.e.  $P^2 = P$ , P.M = M, and M'SM = 0 where M' = Span(1 - P).

## 4.4. Other forms

Any matrix A is unitary similar to its Schur form  $T_A$  so that  $A = UT_AU^+$ , where  $T_A$  is the upper triangular matrix. Though the superdiagonal elements are determined not uniquely, the sum  $\sum_{i < j} |T_{ij}|^2$  is determined uniquely. Any real matrix A is orthogonally similar to its real Schur form  $T_A$  so that  $A = OT_AO^{\top}$ , where  $T_A$  is the block upper triangular matrix with standard  $2 \times 2$  blocks for each complex conjugated eigenvalues.

# §5. Matrix functions

## 5.1. Using Jordan normal form

For any differentiable function f the matrix  $f(At) = Tf(J_At)T^{-1}$  can be evaluated by using the formula

$$f[J_{m}(\lambda)t] = \begin{pmatrix} f(\lambda t) & f'(\lambda t)t & f''(\lambda t)\frac{t^{2}}{2} & \dots & f^{(m-1)}(\lambda t)\frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \\ 0 & f(\lambda t) & f'(\lambda t)t & \dots & f^{(m-2)}(\lambda t)\frac{t^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} \\ 0 & 0 & f(\lambda t) & \dots & f^{(m-3)}(\lambda t)\frac{t^{m-3}}{(m-3)!} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & f(\lambda t) \end{pmatrix}.$$
(5.1)

Any function f applied to the real Jordan block yields (5.1) but with

$$f(\lambda t) \to \begin{pmatrix} \Re f(\lambda t) & -\Im f(\lambda t) \\ \Im f(\lambda t) & \Re f(\lambda t) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad f'(\lambda t) \to \dots$$

For an example of exponential function one get

$$\exp\left[J_{2m}(\lambda, \overline{\lambda})t\right] = e^{\Re \lambda t} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \Im \lambda t & -\sin \Im \lambda t \\ \sin \Im \lambda t & \cos \Im \lambda t \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t & \frac{t^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{t^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \\ 0 & 1 & t & \dots & \frac{t^{m-2}}{(m-2)!} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & \frac{t^{m-3}}{(m-3)!} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the multiplication sign "×" means the direct product.

Another example is the resolvent  $(s-A)^{-1}$ :

$$(s - J_m(\lambda))^{-1} = \frac{1}{s - \lambda} + \frac{N_1}{(s - \lambda)^2} + \dots + \frac{N_{m-1}}{(s - \lambda)^m},$$
(5.2)

where  $N_{\mu}$  is m-dimensional matrix which has 1 on its  $\mu$ -th superdiagonal (so that  $N_{\mu}$  is nilpotent of  $m - \mu$  order).

## 5.2. Interpolating polynomial and resolution of identity

From the Jordan form of a matrix function one can conclude that any differentiable function of matrix can be evaluated via the so called Lagrange-Sylvester interpolating polynomial:

$$f(A) = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s} \left( f(\lambda_{\sigma}) P_{\sigma 1} + f'(\lambda_{\sigma}) P_{\sigma 2} + \ldots + \frac{f^{(m_{\sigma}^{f}-1)}(\lambda_{\sigma})}{(m_{\sigma}^{f}-1)!} P_{\sigma m_{\sigma}^{f}} \right), \tag{5.3}$$

where  $P_{\sigma k}$  are resolution of the identity matrices. More precisely,  $P_{\sigma 1}$  are orthogonal projectors:

$$P_{\sigma 1}^2 = P_{\sigma 1}, \quad P_{\sigma 1} P_{\sigma' 1} = 0 \text{ for } \sigma \neq \sigma', \quad \sum_{\sigma=1}^s P_{\sigma 1} = 1, \quad \text{tr } P_{\sigma 1} = m_{\sigma},$$
 (5.4)

whereas other  $P_{\sigma k}$  are "correctors":

$$P_{\sigma k} = P_{\sigma 1} (A - \lambda_{\sigma})^{k-1}, \quad \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s} \lambda_{\sigma} P_{\sigma 1} + \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s} P_{\sigma 2} = A.$$
 (5.5)

Matrices  $P_{\sigma k}$  can be calculated in a number of different ways discussed below. First, they can be obtained from the partial fraction decomposition of the resolvent:

$$(\lambda - A)^{-1} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{\sigma}^{t}} \frac{P_{\sigma k}}{(\lambda - \lambda_{\sigma})^{k}}.$$
 (5.6)

The resolvent itself can be represented via adjoint matrices:

$$(\lambda - A)^{-1} = \frac{B(\lambda)}{\Delta(\lambda)} = \frac{C(\lambda)}{Q(\lambda)}, \text{ where } B = \frac{\Delta(\lambda) - \Delta(A)}{\lambda - A} \text{ and } C = \frac{Q(\lambda) - Q(A)}{\lambda - A}$$
 (5.7)

are adjoint and reduced adjoint matrices, respectively. In this formula, note that if Z is a polynomial then  $(Z(\lambda) - Z(\mu))/(\lambda - \mu)$  is a polynomial of two variables, and therefore both  $B(\lambda)$  and  $C(\lambda)$  are polynomials. The adjoint matrix can also be defined by its elements  $B_{ij}(\lambda)$  equal to the cofactor of the element  $\lambda \delta_{ji} - A_{ji}$  of the matrix  $\lambda - A$ , whereas C is reduced by the greatest common divisor of all  $B_{ij}(\lambda)$ . Also, matrices  $P_{\sigma k}$  can be obtained from the minimal polynomial itself:

$$P_{\sigma k} = Q_{\sigma}(A) \sum_{k'=k}^{m_{\sigma}^{f}} p_{\sigma k'} (A - \lambda_{\sigma})^{m_{\sigma}^{f} - k' + k - 1}, \text{ where } Q_{\sigma}(\lambda) = \frac{Q(\lambda)}{(\lambda - \lambda_{\sigma})^{m_{\sigma}^{f}}},$$
 (5.8)

8 Other topics

terms with k' < k are zero, and  $p_{\sigma i}$  are coefficients of the partial fraction decomposition of 1/Q:

$$\frac{1}{Q(\lambda)} = \sum_{\sigma=1}^{s} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{\sigma}^{f}} \frac{p_{\sigma k}}{(\lambda - \lambda_{\sigma})^{k}} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad p_{\sigma k} = \frac{\left(Q_{\sigma}^{-1}\right)^{\left(m_{\sigma}^{f} - k\right)} (\lambda_{\sigma})}{\left(m_{\sigma}^{f} - k\right)!}.$$
(5.9)

Note that  $Q_{\sigma}(A)/Q_{\sigma}(\lambda_s) \equiv A_{\sigma}$  are Frobenius covariants and  $p_{\sigma m_{\sigma}^f} = 1/Q_{\sigma}(\lambda_{\sigma})$  so that  $P_{\sigma m_{\sigma}^f} = A_{\sigma}(A - \lambda_{\sigma})^{m_{\sigma}^f - 1}$ . Also, all expressions with partial fraction decomposition can be written explicitly as demonstrated in Eq. (5.9) yielding concise formulas:

$$P_{\sigma k} = \frac{\left(C \, Q_{\sigma}^{-1}\right)^{(m_{\sigma}^{f} - k)} (\lambda_{\sigma})}{(m_{\sigma}^{f} - k)!} \implies f(A) = \sum_{\sigma = 1}^{s} \frac{\left(C \, Q_{\sigma}^{-1} f\right)^{(m_{\sigma}^{f} - 1)} (\lambda_{\sigma})}{(m_{\sigma}^{f} - 1)!}. \tag{5.10}$$

For numerical computations it is more robust to use eigenvectors. By comparing Eq. (5.3) with Eq. (5.1) one can derive

$$\tilde{P}_{\sigma k} = h^1 \times g^{m-k+1} + h^2 \times g^{m-k} + \dots + h^{m-k+1} \times g^1, \tag{5.11}$$

where  $\{g^k, h^k, k = \overline{1,m}\}$  is a set of left and right adjoint vectors (eigenvector for k = 1) corresponding to an eigenvector  $h_{\sigma} \equiv h^1$  and biorthogonal according to Eq. (4.6). Thus obtained  $\tilde{P}_{\sigma k}$  must be summed over all geometrically distinct eigenvalues equal to  $\lambda_{\sigma}$  to get  $P_{\sigma k}$  in Eq. (5.3). For nondefective eigenvalues  $m_{\sigma}^{\rm f} = 1$  and  $\tilde{P}_{\sigma 1} = h_{\sigma} \times g_{\sigma}$ .

To obtain series expansion of the resolvent at some eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  we reduce the matrix partially to the Jordan form yielding

$$(\lambda - A)^{-1} = H(\lambda_1) \left[ \lambda - J(\lambda_1) \right]^{-1} G(\lambda_1) + PE \left[ \lambda - (FPE)^{-1} FPAPE \right]^{-1} (FPE)^{-1} FP,$$

where all notations are from (4.7). The first term is the singular part which can be evaluated via (5.6) and the second term can be expanded in regular Taylor series.

For generalized eigenvalue problem  $Hh = \lambda Sh$  all the formulas are the same but the scalar product is generalized and instead of f(A) we must write  $S^{-1}f(HS^{-1}) \equiv f(S^{-1}H)S^{-1}$ . Because all the eigenvalues are nondefective the formula (5.3) in conjunction with (5.11) reduces to

$$f(S^{-1}Ht) = \sum_{\lambda} f(\lambda t) \frac{h \times h^{+}S}{h^{+}Sh}$$

(note that Maple 10 returns normalized eigenvectors if they are real).

#### 5.3. Special relations

Campbell-Hausdorff formula:

$$\ln\left(\mathbf{e}^{A}\mathbf{e}^{B}\right) = A + B + \frac{1}{2}[A, B] + \frac{1}{12}\left([A, [A, B]] + [[A, B], B]\right) + \ldots = \sum_{m, n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m} \sum \frac{A^{k_1}B^{l_1} \dots A^{k_m}B^{l_m}}{k_1!l_1! \dots k_m!l_m!},$$

where the summation is over  $\{k_i \ge 0, l_i \ge 0, k_i + l_i \ge 1, k_1 + l_1 + \ldots + k_m + l_m = n\}$ . Related formula:

$$e^{A}Xe^{-A} = X + [A, X] + \frac{1}{2!}[A, [A, X]] + \frac{1}{3!}[A, [A, [A, X]]] + \dots$$

Derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial \operatorname{tr}(AXB)}{\partial X_{ij}} = \left(A^{\top}B^{\top}\right)_{ij} \equiv (BA)_{ji}, \quad \frac{\partial \operatorname{tr}(AX^{\top}B)}{\partial X_{ij}} = (BA)_{ij}.$$

# §6. Other topics

### 6.1. Linear equations

Consider equation Ax = b. Its solution can be found by the Kramer's rule:  $x_i = \det A_i / \det A$ , where  $A_i$  is the matrix obtained from A by replacing i-th column by b.

The equation AX = XB has nontrivial solution iff A and B have common eigenvalues (can be solved via Jordan form).

## 6.2. Least squares linear algebra

The least squares solution to the equation

$$\mathbf{AX} = \mathbf{B} \tag{6.1}$$

minimizes the Frobenius norm of AX - B. It is unique and is given by

$$\mathbf{X}_0 \equiv \arg\min_{X} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{B}\|_{\mathrm{F}} = \left(\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{A}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{B}.$$
 (6.2)

If there are linear constraints  $\mathbf{K}\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{L}$ , the above solution requires the "correction":

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}_0 = \mathbf{X}_0 - \left(\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{T}} \left[\mathbf{K} \left(\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{A}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{K}^{\mathsf{T}}\right]^{-1} (\mathbf{K}\mathbf{X}_0 - \mathbf{L}). \tag{6.3}$$

If  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$  is a vector of size d and  $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{b}$  is a vector of size n denoting sampling of a random variable, then  $\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}$  is asymptotically normal with the covariance matrix  $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{A})^{-1}$ , where

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{n} \|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{X}_0 - \mathbf{b}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2$$

is the standard deviation for the residuals, implying that the estimate  $\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{x}$  asymptotically has normal distribution with the mean value  $\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{x}_0$  and the dispersion  $\boldsymbol{a}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{a}^{\top}$  (note that  $\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{A}^{\top} = d$ ).

If A and B depend on some parameter then it is easy to show that

$$\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}_0 - \mathbf{B}\|_F' = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}_0 - \mathbf{B}\|_F^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}_0 - \mathbf{B}\right)^\top \left(\mathbf{A}'\mathbf{X}_0 - \mathbf{B}'\right)\right],$$

where prime means the derivative over this parameter.

In the so called orthogonal Procrustes problem the solution is constrained to orthogonal matrices only, i.e.  $\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X} = 1$  condition is imposed. In this case the solution is given by

$$\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}^{\top}, \text{ where } \mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}\mathbf{V}^{\top} \equiv \mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{B}$$

is the singular value decomposition. If in 3D we additionally fix rotation axis n then the angle

$$\alpha = \arctan \left[ 2 \sum_{i} \boldsymbol{n} \left( \boldsymbol{q}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{i} \right), \sum_{i} \left( |\boldsymbol{n} \times \boldsymbol{p}_{i}|^{2} - |\boldsymbol{n} \times \boldsymbol{q}_{i}|^{2} \right) \right], \text{ where } \boldsymbol{p} = \boldsymbol{r}' + \boldsymbol{r}, \ \boldsymbol{q} = \boldsymbol{r}' - \boldsymbol{r},$$

gives the least squares rotation of set of points r towards the set r', provided that both sets are centered that is  $\sum_i r_i = 0$ .

#### 6.3. Extrapolation

Extrapolation of a sequence of vectors improves its convergence if these vectors are generated by a poorly converging iteration procedure provided that the diverging subspace is small. Here we consider the minimal polynomial extrapolation (MPE) method [1, 2]. Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_m$  be a sequence of vectors generated by the formula  $x_{i+1} = Ax_i$ . We are looking for the best estimate of the solution of the equation x = Ax in the form

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{m} w_i x_i.$$

One of  $w_i$  can be chosen arbitrary, let it be  $w_m$ . The rest of  $w_i$  is chosen so as to minimize the norm  $\langle x - Ax | x - Ax \rangle$ , that results in the set of linear equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle \delta x_i | \delta x_j \rangle w_j = 0, \quad i = \overline{1, m-1}, \tag{6.4}$$

where  $\delta x_j = x_{j+1} - x_j$ . To fix  $w_m$  we imply the condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} w_j = 1,$$

10 Other topics

which asymptotically conserves the norm. Note that  $x_{m+1}$  is used in (6.4) through  $\delta x_m$ .

The minimum nontrivial extrapolation order is m=2. In this case

$$w_2 = 1 - w_1 = \frac{\langle \delta x_1 | \delta x_1 \rangle}{\langle \delta x_1 | \delta x_1 - \delta x_2 \rangle},$$

reducing for a scalar sequence to Aitken's extrapolation formula

## 6.4. Bilinear forms

For any matrix A the bilinear form is defined:  $(x, Ay) = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} x_i y_j$ . For the symmetric matrix A the quadratic form is defined:  $(x, Ax) = \sum_{ij} A_{ij} x_i x_j$ . The symmetric matrix A is positively defined iff

$$A_{11} > 0$$
,  $\det \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} > 0$ , ...,  $\det A > 0$ . (6.5)

The symmetric matrix A is non-negatively defined if and only if all the principal minors (not only Eq. (6.5)) are non-negative. The conditions of negatively (non-positively) defined matrices are the same but with  $(-1)^p$  multiplier where p is the order of a minor.

### 6.5. Matrices with positive elements

We call the matrix A positive (non-negative) and denote A > 0 ( $A \ge 0$ ) if all its elements are positive (non-negative). The same notations are for vectors.

Perron–Frobenius theorem: Any positive matrix A > 0 has the simple eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 > 0$  which is greater than the absolute value of any other eigenvalue,  $\lambda_0 > |\lambda|$ ; the eigenvector corresponding to this eigenvalue is positive,  $h_0 > 0$ . Any irreducible non-negative matrix  $A \ge 0$  has the simple eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 > 0$  which is greater than the absolute value of any other eigenvalue,  $\lambda_0 > |\lambda|$ , except for possible m-1 eigenvalues  $\lambda = \lambda_0 \sqrt[m]{1}$  (in this case the whole spectrum is invariant under the rotation on the angle  $2\pi/m$ ); the eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_0$  is positive,  $h_0 > 0$ . And finally, any non-negative matrix  $A \ge 0$  has the eigenvalue  $\lambda_0 > 0$  which is not less than the absolute value of any other eigenvalue,  $\lambda_0 \ge |\lambda|$ ; the eigenvector corresponding to this eigenvalue is non-negative,  $h_0 \ge 0$ .

The resolvent  $R_A(\lambda)$  and some other matrices of the non-negative matrix  $A \ge 0$  for  $\lambda > \lambda_0(A) \equiv \rho(A)$  has the following properties:  $R(\lambda) \ge 0$ ,  $dR(\lambda)/d\lambda \le 0$ ,  $B(\lambda) \ge 0$  and  $C(\lambda) \ge 0$ . Also for the non-negative matrix  $A \ge 0$  one has  $\min_i \sum_j A_{ij} \le \lambda_0 \le \max_i \sum_j A_{ij}$ .

### 6.6. Perturbation theory for generalized eigenvalue problem

Let H be a Hermitian matrix and S be a positive-definite Hermitian matrix. Let  $H = H_0 + \epsilon V$  and  $S = S_0 + \epsilon Q$ , where  $\epsilon$  is a small parameter. Then the solution of the generalized eigenvalue problem HT = STE can be expanded in series of  $\epsilon$ , provided that the spectral problem  $H_0T_0 = S_0T_0E^{(0)}$  is nondegenerate:

$$E = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n E^{(n)}, \quad T = T_0 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \epsilon^n X^{(n)}, \ X^{(0)} = 1.$$

The expansion coefficients can be determined iteratively using the equation:

$$E^{(n)} + X^{(n)}E^{(0)} - E^{(0)}X^{(n)} = vX^{(n-1)} - \sum_{k+m=1}^{n} X^{(k)}E^{(m)} - q\sum_{k+m=0}^{n-1} X^{(k)}E^{(m)},$$

where  $v = T_0^+ V T_0$ ,  $q = T_0^+ Q T_0$ , and the two summations run through all possible values of k, m with k + m varying in the given limits. In particular,

$$E_{\alpha}^{(1)} = v_{\alpha\alpha} - q_{\alpha\alpha} E_{\alpha}^{(0)}, \qquad X_{\beta\alpha}^{(1)} = \frac{v_{\beta\alpha} - q_{\beta\alpha} E_{\alpha}^{(0)}}{E_{\alpha\beta}}, \ \alpha \neq \beta, \ X_{\alpha\alpha}^{(1)} = -\frac{q_{\alpha\alpha}}{2},$$

$$E_{\alpha}^{(2)} = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \frac{\left| v_{\beta\alpha} - q_{\beta\alpha} E_{\alpha}^{(0)} \right|^2}{E_{\alpha\beta}} - q_{\alpha\alpha} \left( v_{\alpha\alpha} - q_{\alpha\alpha} E_{\alpha}^{(0)} \right), \quad \text{where } E_{\alpha\beta} = E_{\alpha}^{(0)} - E_{\beta}^{(0)}.$$

# §7. Special relations for special matrices

## 7.1. Tridiagonal matrices

Let A be a tridiagonal matrix. Denote  $A_k$  to be the  $A_{1..k,1..k}$  matrix, then

$$\det A_{k+1} = A_{k+1,k+1} \det A_k - A_{k+1,k} A_{k,k+1} \det A_{k-1}.$$

In particular, if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_0 & \lambda_0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \mu_1 & -\mu_1 - \lambda_1 & \lambda_1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 & -\mu_2 - \lambda_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -\lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

then  $\Delta_k(\lambda) = \det(\lambda - A_k)$  can be calculated by the recurrence relations

$$\Delta_k - (\lambda + \lambda_k + \mu_k)\Delta_{k-1} + \lambda_{k-1}\mu_k\Delta_{k-2} = 0 \quad \text{with } \Delta_{-1} = 1, \ \Delta_0 = \lambda + \lambda_0.$$

Now if all  $\lambda_i, \mu_i > 0$  then the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A_k$  are distinct, non-positive, and interleave the eigenvalues of the matrix  $A_{k-1}$ .

Let S and H are "cyclic" tridiagonal matrices:

$$S_{ii} = 1, \ S_{i,i+1} = S_{i,i-1} = s/2, \quad H_{ii} = 0, \ H_{i,i+1} = H_{i,i-1} = 1, \quad i = \overline{1,n},$$

where "cyclic" means  $n + i \sim i$ . Then

$$(S^{-1})_{ij} = \frac{1+q^2}{1-q^2} \frac{q^{|i-j|} + q^{n-|i-j|}}{1-q^n}, \tag{7.1}$$

$$S^{-1/2}HS^{-1/2} = \frac{2}{s} \left( 1 - S^{-1} \right), \tag{7.2}$$

where

$$q = -\frac{s}{1 + \sqrt{1 - s^2}}, \quad q + \frac{1}{q} = -\frac{2}{s}.$$

For pure tridiagonal matrices S and H

$$(S^{-1})_{ij} = \text{r.h.s.}(7.1) - \frac{1+q^2}{1-q^2} \frac{1-q^{n+2}}{1-q^{2n+2}} \left( \frac{q^{i+j}+q^{2n+2-i-j}}{1-q^{n+2}} + \frac{q^{n+i-j}+q^{n-i+j}}{1-q^n} \right),$$

while (7.2) holds unchanged.

## 7.2. Block matrices

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det A \det (D - CA^{-1}B), \qquad \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{pmatrix}$$

where

$$A' = (A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}, \quad D' = (D - CA^{-1}B)^{-1},$$
  
$$B' = (C - DB^{-1}A)^{-1} \equiv -A^{-1}BD', \quad C' = (B - AC^{-1}D)^{-1} \equiv -D^{-1}CA'.$$

In particular, if A is a scalar denoted by  $\alpha$  then the above formulas reduce to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & b \\ c & D \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha' & b' \\ c' & D' \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\alpha' = \frac{1}{\alpha - bD^{-1}c}, \quad b' = -\alpha'bD^{-1}, \quad c' = -\alpha'D^{-1}c, \quad D' = D^{-1} + \frac{1}{\alpha'}c' \times b'.$$

Another particular case is when the only nonzero entry of the matrix B is  $B_{ij'} = x$  and the only nonzero entry of the matrix C is  $C_{i'j} = y$  then

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \det A \det D - xy\alpha_{ij}\delta_{i'j'},$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$  are cofactors of the matrices A and D, respectively.

## 7.3. Outer product matrices

$$(A + u \times v)^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{1}{1 + vA^{-1}u}A^{-1}u \times vA^{-1},$$
$$\det(A + u \times v) = \det A + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \det A_{i},$$

where  $A_i$  is constructed from A by replacing i-th row with corresponding row of  $u \times v$  matrix. The spectrum of A is determined from the equation  $1 + v(A - \lambda)^{-1}u = 0$ .

In the symmetric case

$$(A + u \times v + v \times u)^{-1} = A^{-1} + \frac{vA^{-1}v}{\Delta}A^{-1}u \times uA^{-1} + \frac{uA^{-1}u}{\Delta}A^{-1}v \times vA^{-1}$$
$$- \frac{1 + uA^{-1}v}{\Delta}A^{-1}u \times vA^{-1} - \frac{1 + vA^{-1}u}{\Delta}A^{-1}v \times uA^{-1},$$
$$\Delta = (1 + uA^{-1}v)(1 + vA^{-1}u) - (uA^{-1}u)(vA^{-1}v).$$

In particular, if

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \beta + \alpha_1 & \beta & \dots & \beta \\ \beta & \beta + \alpha_2 & \dots & \beta \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \beta & \beta & \dots & \beta + \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

then

$$\det M = \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta \alpha_k^{-1}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i, \qquad \left(M^{-1}\right)_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \alpha_i^{-1} - \frac{\beta \alpha_i^{-1} \alpha_j^{-1}}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta \alpha_k^{-1}}, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\lambda - \alpha_i} = \frac{1}{\beta},$$

so that for  $\beta > 0$  the *i*-th eigenvalue lies just above  $\alpha_i$ .

## 7.4. 2 by 2 matrices

$$\det(A+B) = \det A + \det B + \operatorname{tr} A \operatorname{tr} B - \operatorname{tr} AB.$$

For a matrix

$$A = a + b \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta & e^{-i\alpha} \sin \beta \\ e^{i\alpha} \sin \beta & -\cos \beta \end{pmatrix}$$

with real  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , the eigenvalues are  $a \pm b$  and the eigenvectors are

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\frac{\beta}{2} & -e^{-i\alpha}\sin\frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{i\alpha}\sin\frac{\beta}{2} & \cos\frac{\beta}{2}, \end{pmatrix}$$

so that

$$e^{At} = e^{at} \left[ \cosh bt + \sinh bt \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta & e^{-i\alpha} \sin \beta \\ e^{i\alpha} \sin \beta & -\cos \beta \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

### 7.5. Other matrices

1. Binomial matrices: Because of orthogonality of binomial coefficients given by the identity

$$\sum_{k=m}^{n} {s+n \choose s+k} {s+k \choose s+m} (-1)^{k-m} = \delta_{nm},$$

the solution of the equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{s+n}{s+k} x_k = y_k$$

is given by

$$x_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{s+n}{s+k} y_k.$$

2. Matrices with main diagonal, one column, and one row:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 & \dots & b_n \\ a_2 & c_2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_3 & 0 & c_3 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ a_4 & 0 & 0 & c_4 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_n & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & c_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denote  $C = c_2 c_3 \dots c_n$ , then

$$\det A = C \left( c_1 - \frac{a_2 b_2}{c_2} - \frac{a_3 b_3}{c_3} - \dots - \frac{a_n b_n}{c_n} \right), \qquad A^{-1} = \frac{C}{\det A} B,$$

where

$$B_{11} = 1$$
,  $B_{i1} = -\frac{a_i}{c_i}$ ,  $B_{1j} = -\frac{b_j}{c_i}$ ,  $B_{ii} = \frac{1}{c_i} \left( \frac{\det A}{C} + \frac{a_i b_i}{c_i} \right)$ ,  $B_{ij} = \frac{a_i b_j}{c_i c_i}$ .

## References

- [1] S Cabay, L W Jackson, A polynomial extrapolation method for finding limits and antilimits of vector sequences, SIAM J Numer Anal 13, 734 (1976)
- [2] K Jbilou, H Sadok, Vector extrapolation methods. Applications and numerical comparison, J Comput Appl Math 122, 149 (2000)