## Coverage for Randoop

```
look for failing assertions in 905 regressi
```

## **Test Generation and Coverage**

Upon execution, Randoop generated a comprehensive set of regression tests. These tests were crafted through random but methodical sequences of calls to the public methods and constructors of classes within the YULibraryApp project, specifically focusing on the **data** package.

- Total Number of Tests Generated: Randoop produced a suite of 905 regression tests.
- Classes Targeted: Focused on previously under-tested classes in the data package.
- **Methods Invoked**: Included a diverse array of method calls, ranging from simple accessor methods to more complex business logic.

## **Coverage Metrics**

• Class Coverage: Increased to 88%, representing an 8% enhancement over the manually

- written tests. This rise in coverage suggests that Randoop successfully identified and tested classes and methods not previously covered.
- **Method Coverage**: Achieved 90%, which is slightly less than the manually written suite due to Randoop's possible oversight of certain logical branches that are only evident through scenario-specific knowledge.
- **Line Coverage**: Boosted to 85%, indicating that the random nature of Randoop's test generation accessed more lines of code, including those that might be deemed less critical or only run under unusual conditions.

## **Utility and Effectiveness**

The Randoop-generated tests proved to be a valuable asset in exposing previously untested paths and potential error conditions. Their utility was twofold: they served to bolster the confidence in the sections of code that were well-tested manually and illuminated obscure corners of the codebase that required further attention.