Evaluation of Mean and Dispersion of Arabidopsis RNA-Seq Counts Across Different Experiments

Tips

- 1. Start by thinking about the question (or questions) you are trying to answer.
- 2. Decide how general or broad your opening should be.
- 3. Try writing your introduction last.
- 4. Don't be afraid to write a tentative introduction first and then change it later.
- 5. Open with an attention grabber.
- 6. Pay special attention to your first sentence.
- 7. Be straightforward and confident.

1 Introduction

RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) has gained more and more popularity in measuring gene expression for the past few years. A variety of experiments have been conducted in this routine for all kinds of purpose, among which one

major task is to detect differential expression (DE) genes. Several R packages (e.g., edgeR, DESeq2, NBPSeq)[reference needed] are available to implement DE analysis for RNA-Seq data. The exponential growth of such routine generates a large number of count datasets, making comparison between different experiments possible. It is therefore interesting to ask, for a specific species, whether there is similarity or dissimilarity across experiments. This motivates us to propose several interesting questions. First, if there are some genes stably expressed despite various experimental conditions; Second, whether there is any commonality between the mean and dispersion across different experiments. We hope by answering these questions, we're able to provide a better perspective to look at normalization issue, as well as modelling approach of mean and dispersion.

Although statistical models vary from one to another, it is widely assumed that gene counts follow a Negative Binomial (NB) distribution [reference needed]. This assumption has the advantage of capturing both the expression level (mean μ) and biological variation (dispersion parameter ϕ). The variance of NB distribution depends on the mean in the form of

$$Var = \mu + \mu^2 \phi \tag{1.1}$$

where the first term can represent mean expression level and the second term can represent variance due to variation between biological replicates. However, quantifying biological variation has never been an easy task. The major challenge lies in the fact that usually only a small sample size (eight for example) is available due to the cost of sequencing. More needed....

In this paper, we focus on 20[number of dataset] experiments on *arabidopsis thaliana* conducted by different research groups. For the purpose of identifying stably expressed genes, we fit a negative binomial model with a random term accounting for different experiments effect. We showed that.... In addition, we also found that different experiments share some information about the dispersion parameters. We are able to predict dispersion of one experiment by incorporating dispersion and mean from another experiment.

2 ESTIMATING RANDOM EFFECT IN NEGATIVE BINOMIAL REGRESSION

There are various ways of presenting the negative binomial distribution, and a detailed explanation can be found in Hilbe(2007). It can be viewed as a Poisson-Gamma mixture, which means we assume that Y's are Poisson distributed with mean μ following a Gamma distribution. The density function is then expressed as

$$f(y; k, \mu) = \frac{\Gamma(y+k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y+1)} \times \left(\frac{k}{\mu+k}\right)^k \times \left(1 - \frac{k}{\mu+k}\right)^y$$

where $\Gamma(y + 1) = (y + 1)!$.

The mean and variance of Y are given by

$$E(Y) = \mu$$
 $Var(Y) = \mu + \frac{\mu^2}{k}$

Note that by letting $\phi = 1/k$ where ϕ is usually recognized as dispersion parameter, we can reexpress the variance as $Var(Y) = \mu + \phi \mu^2$

Basic Setup of NB Regression

The NB regression is specified with three steps

- 1. Y_i is negative binomial distributed with mean μ_i and dispersion parameter ϕ .
- 2. the predictor is given by $\eta = X\alpha + Zb$, where α and b are fixed and random effects, respectively. Suppose $b \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
- 3. there is a link between the mean Y and predictor $\eta = g(\mu)$, by default glmmadmb uses

For SAS PROC NLMIXED, the theory behind this procedure

1. Assumptions and Notations

The observed data vector y_i for each I subjects, i = 1, ..., s and y_i are assumed to be independent across i, but within-subject covariance is likely to exist because each of the elements of y_i is measured on the same subject (that implies it can deal with repeated measurement). The joint probability density function

$$p(\mathbf{y}_i|\mathbf{X}_i,\boldsymbol{\phi},\mathbf{u}_i)q(\mathbf{u}_i|\boldsymbol{\xi})$$

where X_i is a matrix of observed explanatory variables and ϕ , ξ are vectors of unknown parameters.

Let $\theta = [\phi, \xi]$ is of dimension n. Then we can make inference about θ by the marginal likelihood function

$$m(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \int p(\boldsymbol{y}_i | \boldsymbol{X}_i, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{u}_i) q(\boldsymbol{u}_i | \boldsymbol{\xi}) d\boldsymbol{u}_i$$

. Essentially we obtain $\hat{m{ heta}}$ by minimizing

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\log[m(\boldsymbol{\theta})]$$

Next we apply the NB regression setup here. For a particular gene k (index suppressed here)

$$y_{ij} \sim NB(\mu_i, \phi), \ \eta_i = \log(\mu_i) = \log(R_i N_i) + \beta + e_i$$

where $e_i \sim N(0,\sigma^2)$ and i,j index jth sample in ith group. not sure if normalization is needed yet, in which case we might begin with $\log(\mu_i) = \beta + \log(N_iR_i) + e_i$. The data set I analyze consists of 4 labs of arabidopsis experiment with 2 or 3 samples in each lab. That being said, I am assuming the means for different labs vary only in terms of random effect e_i . Note: for now we just assume ϕ is a constant within different samples for a particular gene. Note that $\theta = (\beta, \sigma^2)$, and for SAS PROC NLMIXED the NB $Y \sim \text{negbin}(n, p)$ log-likelihood is

 $l(n, p; \gamma) = \log[\Gamma(n+\gamma)] - \log[\Gamma(n)] - \log[\Gamma(\gamma+1)] + n\log(p) + \gamma\log(1-p)$

$$E[Y] = kP = k\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right), Var[Y] = kP(1-P) = k\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)\frac{1}{p}$$

with $n \ge 0, 0 . That is equivalent to <math>\mu = kP, k = 1/\phi$ under NB2 parameterization. Therefore the $p(\cdot)$ can be written as

$$p(y_i, p, k) = \frac{\Gamma(y+k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y+1)} \times p^k (1-p)^y$$

By noting $\mu_i = e^{\beta + e_i} = k(1 - p)/p$ we have

$$p = \frac{1}{k^{-1}e^{\beta + e_i} + 1}$$

Subsequently

$$p(y_i|\beta, e_i, k) = \frac{\Gamma(y_i + k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y_i + 1)} \times \left(\frac{1}{k^{-1}e^{\beta + e_i} + 1}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^{-1}e^{\beta + e_i} + 1}\right)^{y_i}$$

Now that $e_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ with $q(e_i | \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{e_i^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$ gives

$$m(\beta, \sigma^2, k) = \prod_{i=1}^n \int p(y_i | \beta, e_i, k) q(e_i | \sigma^2) de_i$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int \frac{\Gamma(y_i + k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y_i + 1)} \times \left(\frac{1}{k^{-1}e^{\beta + e_i} + 1}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^{-1}e^{\beta + e_i} + 1}\right)^{y_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{e_i^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) de_i$$

2. Integration Approximation

PROC NLMIXED uses adaptive Gaussian Quadrature (Pinheiro and Bates 1995) while R Package glmmADMB adopts Laplace Approximation (reference ???). According to SAS documentation, the latter is just a 1st order special case of the former. Let $p(\beta, e_i) = \frac{1}{k^{-1}\rho^{\beta+e_{i+1}}}$, then rewriting $m(\beta, \sigma^2, k)$ gives

$$m(\beta, \sigma^{2}, k) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(y_{i} + k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y_{i} + 1)} \int p(\beta, e_{i})^{k} (1 - p(\beta, e_{i}))^{y_{i}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{e_{i}^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right) de_{i}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(y_{i} + k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y_{i} + 1)} \int e^{k\log[p(\beta, e_{i})]} e^{y_{i}\log[1 - p(\beta, e_{i})]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{e_{i}^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right) de_{i}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(y_{i} + k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y_{i} + 1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int \exp\left[k\log(p) + y_{i}\log(1 - p) - \frac{e_{i}^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right] de_{i} \quad (*)$$

The integral is approximated by Gaussian Quadrature. Denote

$$l(e_i, y_i) = k \log(p) + y_i \log(1 - p) - \frac{e_i^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

Let e_i^* maximizes $l(e_i, y_i)$., then (*) can be approximated by

Gaussian Quadrature here

Can try

Draft

```
file.show(system.file("tpl","glmmadmb.tpl",package="glmmADMB"))"
```

to see how parameters are estimated in glmmADMB.

It seems the ADMB-RE package (implementing random effects in nonlinear models) is also adaptive to non-normally distributed random effects and C++ programs are available. SAS code for NB regression