# Estimating random effect in Negative Binomial Regression

There are various ways of presenting the negative binomial distribution, and a detailed explanation can be found in Hilbe(2007). It can be viewed as a Poisson-Gamma mixture, which means we assume that Y's are Poisson distributed with mean  $\mu$  following a Gamma distribution. The density function is then expressed as

$$f(y; k, \mu) = \frac{\Gamma(y+k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y+1)} \times \left(\frac{k}{\mu+k}\right)^k \times \left(1 - \frac{k}{\mu+k}\right)^y$$

where  $\Gamma(\gamma + 1) = (\gamma + 1)!$ .

The mean and variance of *Y* are given by

$$E(Y) = \mu$$
  $Var(Y) = \mu + \frac{\mu^2}{k}$ 

Note that by letting  $\phi = 1/k$  where  $\phi$  is usually recognized as dispersion parameter, we can reexpress the variance as  $Var(Y) = \mu + \phi \mu^2$ 

# **Basic Setup of NB Regression**

The NB regression is specified with three steps

- 1.  $Y_i$  is negative binomial distributed with mean  $\mu_i$  and dispersion parameter  $\phi$ .
- 2. the predictor is given by  $\eta = X\alpha + Zb$ , where  $\alpha$  and b are fixed and random effects, respectively. Suppose  $b \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
- 3. there is a link between the mean Y and predictor  $\eta = g(\mu)$ , by default glmmadmb uses ....

For SAS PROC NLMIXED, the theory behind this procedure

# 1. Assumptions and Notations

The observed data vector  $y_i$  for each I subjects, i = 1, ..., s and  $y_i$  are assumed to be independent across i, but within-subject covariance is likely to exist because each of the elements of  $y_i$  is measured on the same subject( that implies it can deal with repeated measurement). The joint probability density function

$$p(\mathbf{y}_i|\mathbf{X}_i,\boldsymbol{\phi},\mathbf{u}_i)q(\mathbf{u}_i|\boldsymbol{\xi})$$

where  $X_i$  is a matrix of observed explanatory variables and  $\phi$ ,  $\xi$  are vectors of unknown parameters.

Let  $\theta = [\phi, \xi]$  is of dimension n. Then we can make inference about  $\theta$  by the marginal likelihood function

$$m(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{i=1}^{s} \int p(\mathbf{y}_i | \mathbf{X}_i, \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{u}_i) q(\boldsymbol{u}_i | \boldsymbol{\xi}) d\boldsymbol{u}_i$$

. Essentially we obtain  $\hat{m{ heta}}$  by minimizing

$$f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\log[m(\boldsymbol{\theta})]$$

Next we apply the NB regression setup here. For a particular gene k (index suppressed here)

$$y_{ij} \sim NB(\mu_i, \phi), \ \eta_i = \log(\mu_i) = \beta + e_i$$

where  $e_i \sim N(0,\sigma^2)$  and i,j index jth sample in ith group. not sure if normalization is needed yet, in which case we might begin with  $\log(\mu_i) = \beta + \log(N_iR_i) + e_i$ . The data set I analyze consists of 4 labs of arabidopsis experiment with 2 or 3 samples in each lab. That being said, I am assuming the means for different labs vary only in terms of random effect  $e_i$ . Note: for now we just assume  $\phi$  is a constant within different samples for a particular gene. Note that  $\theta = (\beta, \sigma^2)$ , and for SAS PROC NLMIXED the NB  $Y \sim \text{negbin}(n, p)$  log-likelihood is

$$l(n, p; y) = \log[\Gamma(n+y)] - \log[\Gamma(n)] - \log[\Gamma(y+1)] + n\log(p) + y\log(1-p)$$

$$E[Y] = kP = k\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)$$
,  $Var[Y] = kP(1-P) = k\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)\frac{1}{p}$ 

with  $n \ge 0, 0 . That is equivalent to <math>\mu = kP, k = 1/\phi$  under NB2 parameterization. Therefore the  $p(\cdot)$  can be written as

$$p(y_i, p, k) = \frac{\Gamma(y+k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y+1)} \times p^k (1-p)^y$$

By noting  $\mu_i = e^{\beta + e_i} = k(1 - p)/p$  we have

$$p = \frac{1}{k^{-1}e^{\beta + e_i} + 1}$$

Subsequently

$$p(y_i|\beta, e_i, k) = \frac{\Gamma(y_i + k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y_i + 1)} \times \left(\frac{1}{k^{-1}e^{\beta + e_i} + 1}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^{-1}e^{\beta + e_i} + 1}\right)^{y_i}$$

Now that  $e_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  with  $q(e_i | \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{e_i^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$  gives

$$m(\beta, \sigma^2, k) = \prod_{i=1}^n \int p(y_i|\beta, e_i, k) q(e_i|\sigma^2) de_i$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int \frac{\Gamma(y_i + k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y_i + 1)} \times \left(\frac{1}{k^{-1}e^{\beta + e_i} + 1}\right)^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{k^{-1}e^{\beta + e_i} + 1}\right)^{y_i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{e_i^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) de_i$$

# 2. Integration Approximation

PROC NLMIXED uses adaptive Gaussian Quadrature (Pinheiro and Bates 1995) while R Package glmmADMB adopts Laplace Approximation (reference ???). According to SAS documentation, the latter is just a 1<sup>st</sup> order special case of the former. Let  $p(\beta, e_i) = \frac{1}{k^{-1}e^{\beta+e_i}+1}$ , then rewriting  $m(\beta, \sigma^2, k)$  gives

$$m(\beta, \sigma^{2}, k) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(y_{i} + k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y_{i} + 1)} \int p(\beta, e_{i})^{k} (1 - p(\beta, e_{i}))^{y_{i}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{e_{i}^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right) de_{i}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(y_{i} + k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y_{i} + 1)} \int e^{k\log[p(\beta, e_{i})]} e^{y_{i}\log[1 - p(\beta, e_{i})]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{e_{i}^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right) de_{i}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Gamma(y_{i} + k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y_{i} + 1)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \int \exp\left[k\log(p) + y_{i}\log(1 - p) - \frac{e_{i}^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}\right] de_{i} \qquad (*)$$

### Method

The integral is approximated by Gaussian Quadrature. Denote

$$l(e_i, y_i) = k \log(p) + y_i \log(1 - p) - \frac{e_i^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

Let  $e_i^*$  maximizes  $l(e_i, y_i)$ ., then (\*) can be approximated by

# Gaussian Quadrature here

Can try

```
file.show(system.file("tpl","glmmadmb.tpl",package="glmmADMB"))"
```

to see how parameters are estimated in glmmADMB.

It seems the ADMB-RE package (implementing random effects in nonlinear models) is also adaptive to non-normally distributed random effects and C++ programs are available. SAS code for NB regression