

CSCI5654 (Linear Programming, Fall 2013)
Lecture-7

Duality

Linear Program (standard form)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & c_1x_1 + \cdots + c_nx_n \\ \text{s.t.} & a_{j1}x_1 + \cdots + a_{jn}x_n \leq b_j \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \\ & x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Problem has n variables and m constraints.

Example Problem

$$\begin{array}{llllll} \text{maximize} & x_1 & + & 2x_2 & & \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 & & & \leq & 3 \\ & & & x_2 & \leq & 3 \\ & -x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 1 \\ & x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 5 \\ & & & x_1, x_2 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

Bounds on Optimal Value of LP

$$\begin{array}{llllll} \text{maximize} & x_1 & + & 2x_2 & & \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 & & & \leq & 3 \\ & & & x_2 & \leq & 3 \\ & -x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 1 \\ & x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 5 \\ & & & x_1, x_2 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

Goal: Find lower and upper bounds on the solution to the LP.

Bounds

Lower Bound: Find a feasible solution \vec{x} .

Then \vec{x} is a lower bound on optimum. (why?).

Eg. $(x_1 : 3, x_2 : 2)$ is feasible.

$$\text{Therefore, } z = x_1 + 2x_2 \geq 7.$$

Upper Bounds: Can we find upper bounds to optimum?

Example (continued)

$$\begin{array}{llllll} \text{maximize} & x_1 & + & 2x_2 & & \leftarrow \mathbf{z} \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 & & & \leq & 3 \leftarrow \mathbf{C1} \\ & & & x_2 & \leq & 3 \leftarrow \mathbf{C2} \\ & -x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 1 \leftarrow \mathbf{C3} \\ & x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 5 \leftarrow \mathbf{C4} \\ & & & x_1, x_2 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

Note:

$$\underbrace{x_1 \leq 3}_{C1} \wedge \underbrace{x_2 \leq 3}_{C2} \Rightarrow \underbrace{x_1 + 2x_2 \leq ???}_{z}.$$

Q: Using the inequality above what can you say about the solution to the LP?

Example (continued)

$$\begin{array}{llllll} \text{maximize} & x_1 & + & 2x_2 & & \leftarrow \mathbf{z} \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 & & & \leq & 3 \leftarrow \mathbf{C1} \\ & & & x_2 & \leq & 3 \leftarrow \mathbf{C2} \\ & -x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 1 \leftarrow \mathbf{C3} \\ & x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 5 \leftarrow \mathbf{C4} \\ & & & x_1, x_2 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

Note:

$$\underbrace{x_1 \leq 3}_{C1} \wedge \underbrace{x_2 \leq 3}_{C2} \Rightarrow \underbrace{x_1 + 2x_2 \leq 3 + 2 \cdot 3 \leq 9}_{z}.$$

Q: Using the inequality above what can you say about the solution to the LP?

A: 9 is an upper bound to the solution (i.e., $z \leq 9$).

Finding Upper Bounds

Strategy: Multiply constraint rows by positive quantities.

$$\begin{array}{llllll} \text{maximize} & x_1 & + & 2x_2 & & \leftarrow \mathbf{z} \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 & & & \leq & 3 \leftarrow \mathbf{C1} \\ & & & x_2 & \leq & 3 \leftarrow \mathbf{C2} \\ & -x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 1 \leftarrow \mathbf{C3} \\ & x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 5 \leftarrow \mathbf{C4} \\ & & & x_1, x_2 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

- ▶ $C1 + 2 \cdot C2 \Rightarrow z \leq 9.$
- ▶ $2 \cdot C4 \Rightarrow z \leq 10.$
- ▶ **Question #1:** $-1 \cdot C3 + 3 \cdot C2 \Rightarrow z \leq ?$
- ▶ **Question #2:** $2 \cdot C3 + C1 \Rightarrow z \leq ?$
- ▶ **Question #3:** Can you think of other combinations?

Upper Bounds to LP Solution

$$\begin{array}{llllllll}
 \text{maximize} & c_1 x_1 & + & \cdots & + & c_n x_n & & \mathbf{z} \\
 \text{s.t.} & a_{11} x_1 & + & \cdots & + & a_{1n} x_n & \leq & b_1 \leftarrow \mathbf{C1} \\
 & a_{21} x_1 & + & \cdots & + & a_{2n} x_n & \leq & b_2 \leftarrow \mathbf{C2} \\
 & & & \ddots & & & \vdots & \\
 & a_{m1} x_1 & & \cdots & + & a_{mn} x_n & \leq & b_m \leftarrow \mathbf{Cm} \\
 & & & & & x_1, \dots, x_n & \geq & 0
 \end{array}$$

Consider $y_1 \mathbf{C1} + y_2 \mathbf{C2} + \cdots + y_m \mathbf{Cm}$.

Q: What are the conditions on y_1, \dots, y_m so that this combination upper bounds z ?

Upper Bounds to LP Solution

Conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11}y_1 + a_{21}y_2 + \cdots + a_{m1}y_m &\geq c_1 \\ a_{12}y_1 + a_{22}y_2 + \cdots + a_{m2}y_m &\geq c_2 \\ &\vdots \\ a_{1n}y_1 + a_{2n}y_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}y_m &\geq c_n \\ y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Upper Bound: $b_1y_1 + \dots + b_my_m$

Q: What values of y_1, \dots, y_m can yield best bound on optimum??

Best upper bound on LP Solution

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & b_1y_1 + b_2y_2 + \cdots + b_my_m \\ \text{s.t.} & a_{11}y_1 + a_{21}y_2 + \cdots + a_{m1}y_m \geq c_1 \\ & a_{12}y_1 + a_{22}y_2 + \cdots + a_{m2}y_m \geq c_2 \\ & \vdots \\ & a_{1n}y_1 + a_{2n}y_2 + \cdots + a_{mn}y_m \geq c_n \\ & y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Note: This is called the dual problem.

Dual Problem

Primal Problem: decision variables are x_1, \dots, x_n .

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{maximize} & c_1 x_1 + \dots + c_n x_n \\ \text{s.t.} & a_{j1} x_1 + \dots + a_{jn} x_n \leq b_j \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \\ & x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Dual Problem: decision variables are y_1, \dots, y_m .

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & b_1 y_1 + \dots + b_m y_m \\ \text{s.t.} & a_{1j} y_1 + \dots + a_{mj} y_m \geq c_j \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \\ & y_1, \dots, y_m \geq 0 \end{array}$$

Dual Problem (matrix)

Primal LP:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max. & \vec{c} \cdot \vec{x} \\ \text{s.t.} & A\vec{x} \leq \vec{b} \\ & \vec{x} \geq 0\end{array}$$

Dual LP:

$$\begin{array}{ll}\min. & \vec{b} \cdot \vec{y} \\ & A^T \vec{y} \geq \vec{c} \\ & \vec{y} \geq 0\end{array}$$

(dual in standard form)

$$\begin{array}{ll}\max & -\vec{b} \cdot \vec{y} \\ & -A^T \vec{y} \leq -\vec{c} \\ & \vec{y} \geq 0\end{array}$$

Exercise: Use the information in this frame to prove:

The dual of dual problem is the same as the original primal problem.

Example

$$\begin{array}{llllll} \text{maximize} & x_1 & + & 2x_2 & & \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 & & & \leq & 3 \leftarrow y_1 \\ & & & x_2 & \leq & 3 \leftarrow y_2 \\ & -x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 1 \leftarrow y_3 \\ & x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 5 \leftarrow y_4 \\ & & & x_1, x_2 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

Q: Write down the dual problem.

Example (dual)

$$\begin{array}{llllllll} \text{min.} & 3y_1 & + & 3y_2 & + & y_3 & + & 5y_4 \\ \text{s.t.} & y_1 & & & & - & y_3 & + & y_4 & \geq & 1 \\ & & & y_2 & + & y_3 & + & y_4 & \geq & 2 \\ & & & y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4 & \geq & 0 & & & & \end{array}$$

Dual optimal: $y_1 = 0, y_2 = 0, y_3 = \frac{1}{2}, y_4 = \frac{3}{2}$ yields optimal value 8.

Example (dictionary)

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} x_3 & = & 3 & - & x_1 & & \\ x_4 & = & 3 & - & & & x_2 \\ x_5 & = & 1 & + & x_1 & - & x_2 \\ x_6 & = & 5 & - & x_1 & - & x_2 \\ \hline z & = & 0 & + & x_1 & + & 2x_2 \end{array}$$

Example (final dictionary)

$$\begin{array}{rclclcl} x_1 & = & 2 & + & \frac{x_5}{2} & - & \frac{x_6}{2} \\ x_2 & = & 3 & - & \frac{x_5}{2} & + & \frac{x_6}{2} \\ x_3 & = & \dots & & & & \\ x_4 & = & \dots & & & & \\ \hline z & = & 8 & - & \frac{1}{2}x_5 & - & \frac{3}{2}x_6 \end{array}$$

Dual Certificate

Situation: I ask X to solve a large LP for me. After sometime X presents a solution $\vec{x} = (x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ that is claimed to be optimal.

Q: How do I verify that X 's solution is indeed optimal?

A1: OK solve the LP yourself!!

(not acceptable)

Dual Certificate

Situation: I ask X to solve a large LP for me. After sometime X presents a solution $\vec{x} = (x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ that is claimed to be optimal.

Q: How do I verify that X 's solution is indeed optimal?

A2: Provide dual variables $\vec{y} : (y_1^*, \dots, y_n^*)$.

1. Check primal feasibility of \vec{x} .
2. Check dual feasibility of \vec{y} .
3. Check that the objective values are the same.

Primal-Dual Certificate: In the presence of both, each solution can serve as a certificate to the optimality of the other.

Primal dual correspondences

Variable to constraint correspondence:

Dual variable (y_j)	Primal constraint $A_j \vec{x} \leq b_j$
Primal variable (x_i)	Dual constraint $A \cdot_i \vec{y} \geq c_i$

Correspondence in dictionary:

Dual variable y_j	Primal slack variable x_{n+j} .
Primal variable (x_i)	Dual dictionary slack variable y_{m+i} .

Strong Duality Theorem: If x_1^*, \dots, x_m^* is primal optimal then there exists a dual optimal solution y_1^*, \dots, y_m^* that satisfies

$$\sum_i c_i x_i^* = \sum_j b_j y_j^* .$$

(\therefore if primal has optimal solution then the dual has optimum with the same value as primal).

Proof: This is theorem 5.1 in Chvátal (pages 58-59).

Example

$$\begin{array}{llllll} \text{maximize} & x_1 & + & 2x_2 & & \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 & & & \leq & 3 \\ & & & x_2 & \leq & 3 \\ & -x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 1 \\ & x_1 & + & x_2 & \leq & 5 \\ & & & x_1, x_2 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

Example (final dictionary)

$$\begin{array}{rclclcl} x_1 & = & 2 & + & \frac{x_5}{2} & - & \frac{x_6}{2} \\ x_2 & = & 3 & - & \frac{x_5}{2} & + & \frac{x_6}{2} \\ x_3 & = & \dots & & & & \\ x_4 & = & \dots & & & & \\ \hline z & = & 8 & - & \frac{1}{2}x_5 & - & \frac{3}{2}x_6 \end{array}$$

Insight: Dual variables correspond to primal slack variables.

$$x_3 \leftrightarrow y_1, x_4 \leftrightarrow y_2, x_5 \leftrightarrow y_3, x_6 \leftrightarrow y_4 .$$

Read off dual solution by from objective row of final dictionary:

$$y_1 : 0, y_2 : 0, y_3 : \frac{1}{2}, y_4 : \frac{3}{2} .$$

Proof of Strong Duality

Final Dictionary:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_i & = & b_i + \sum_{j \in \text{Independent}} a_{ij} x_j \\ & \vdots & \\ \hline z & = & z^* + c_1^* x_1 + \cdots + c_{n+m}^* x_{n+m} \end{array}$$

Note: $c_i^* = 0$ if $i \in \text{Basis}$

$c_i^* \leq 0$ if $i \in \text{Independent}$.

Claim: Set variable $y_i = -(c_{n+i}^*)$. This is a dual feasible solution with optimal value z^* .

Relationship Between Primal/Dual

		Primal		
Dual		Optimal	Infeasible	Unbounded
	Optimal	Possible	Impossible	Impossible
	Infeasible	Impossible	Possible	Possible
	Unbounded	Impossible	Possible	Impossible

Primal and Dual Infeasible

Primal:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{max.} & x_2 & & \\ \text{s.t.} & x_1 & \leq & -1 \\ & -x_2 & \leq & -1 \\ & x_1, x_2 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$

Dual:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{min.} & -y_1 - y_2 & & \\ \text{s.t.} & y_1 & \geq & 0 \\ & -y_2 & \geq & 1 \\ & y_1, y_2 & \geq & 0 \end{array}$$