MITx: 15.071x The Analytics Edge

zhushun0008 (/dashboard)

Courseware (/courses/MITx/15.071x/1T2014/courseware) Course Info (/courses/MITx/15.071x/1T2014/info)

Discussion (/courses/MITx/15.071x/1T2014/discussion/forum) Progress (/courses/MITx/15.071x/1T2014/progress)

Syllabus (/courses/MITx/15.071x/1T2014/4264e68418f34d839cf0b33a5da644b2/)

型 S:hedule (/courses/MITx/15.071x/1T2014/2891f8bf120945b9aa12e6601739c3e6/)

ASSIGNING SALES REGIONS AT PFIZER TURKEY

Pfizer, Inc. is one of the world's largest pharmaceutical companies. It was founded in 1849, and aims to discover, develop, and manufacture breakthrough medicines. These medicines are marketed and sold in more than 150 countries. In this problem, we'll focus on the branch of Pfizer in Turkey. Pfizer's immediate customers in Turkey are medical doctors (MDs) because the majority of its products are prescription drugs.

Pfizer pharmaceutical sales representatives (SRs) provide MDs with supply samples and information on indications for drugs and potential adverse effects. To do this, they maintain close relationships with MDs through regular visits. Each SR is assigned a territory, which is a list of MDs to be visited by that SR. Territories are formed by combining smaller regions, called bricks. For each brick, we have information on the sales data, number of MDs, and MD profiles. This information is then used to compute an index value for each brick, which captures various factors to show the workload of the brick in terms of the number of SRs required for it. For example, if the index value is 0.5, then the workload is estimated to be half of a full time workload.

Because of the dynamic structure of the market (MDs leave or move to the area, products become more or less popular, etc.), these index values change over time. Hence, the territories assigned to each SR should be periodically reconstructed to balance the workload between the SRs. We'll solve this re-assignment problem using integer optimization.

PROBLEM 1.1 - FORMULATING THE PROBLEM (1 point possible)

In Turkey, there are 1,000 bricks and 196 SRs. To reduce the problem size, we'll solve the problem for a single geographical district that has 22 bricks and 4 SRs.

Since we want to assign each brick to an SR, we define a binary variable for each brick and SR pair. So we have binary decision variables $x_{i,j}$, where $x_{i,j}$ is equal to 1 if brick j is assigned to SR i, and equal to 0 otherwise.

How many decision variables a geographical district.)	are in our optimization proble	em? (Note that we are only solv	ring the problem for the smaller

Check S	ave
---------	-----

You have used 0 of 3 submissions

PROBLEM 1.2 - FORMULATING THE PROBLEM (1 point possible)

Since the SRs have to visit the MDs in their offices, it is important to minimize the total distance traveled by the SRs. This is our objective. Each SR has an office in a certain brick, called their "center brick". We will compute the total distance traveled by an SR as the sum of the distances between the center brick and every other brick in that SR's territory.

Let $d_{i,j}$ denote the distance between the center brick for SR i and the (center of the) brick j. Given our decision variables $x_{i,j}$, which of the following best describes our objective?

- igcirc Minimize the sum of $d_{i,j}$, summed over all i and j.
- igcup Minimize the sum of $d_{i,j}$ times the decision variables, summed over all i and j.
- O Minimize the sum of the decision variables divided by $d_{i,j}$, summed over all i and j.

Final Check

Save

You have used 0 of 1 submissions

PROBLEM 1.3 - FORMULATING THE PROBLEM (1 point possible)

We have three main types of constraints. The first is that each brick must be assigned to exactly one SR. Which of the following constraints models this restriction for brick 1?

$$0 x_{1.1} + x_{1.2} + x_{1.3} + x_{1.4} \leq 1$$

$$0 x_{1,1} + x_{1,2} + x_{1,3} + x_{1,4} = 1$$

$$0 x_{1,1} + x_{1,2} + x_{1,3} + x_{1,4} \ge 1$$

$$0 x_{1,1} + x_{2,1} + x_{3,1} + x_{4,1} \leq 1$$

$$\bigcirc x_{1,1} + x_{2,1} + x_{3,1} + x_{4,1} = 1$$

$$\bigcirc x_{1,1} + x_{2,1} + x_{3,1} + x_{4,1} \geq 1$$

In our optimization problem, we should have a similar constraint for every brick (1 - 22).

Final Check

Save

You have used 0 of 1 submissions

PROBLEM 1.4 - FORMULATING THE PROBLEM (1 point possible)

The second main type of constraint tries to balance the workload between the SRs. The sum of the index values of the bricks of an SR correspond to his/her total workload and should be approximately 1. To model this, we'll constrain the workload of each SR to range between 0.8 and 1.2. Denote the index value of brick j by I_j . Which of the following constraints do we want to add to our model for SR 1?

$$0.8 \le (I_1 + I_2 + \ldots + I_{22}) * (x_{1,1} + x_{1,2} + \ldots + x_{1,22}) \le 1.2$$

$$0.8 \le I_1 x_{1,1} + I_2 x_{1,2} + \ldots + I_{22} x_{1,22} \le 1.2$$

$$0.8 \le I_1 + I_2 + \ldots + I_{22} \le 1.2$$

$$0.8 \leq rac{x_{1,1}}{I_1} + rac{x_{1,2}}{I_2} + \ldots + rac{x_{1,22}}{I_{22}} \leq 1.2$$

We should have a similar constraint in our model for every SR (1 - 4).

Final Check

Save

You have used 0 of 1 submissions

PROBLEM 1.5 - FORMULATING THE PROBLEM (1 point possible)

The final set of constraints in our model constrains what we call "disruption", which is defined as the inclusion of new bricks in the territories of SRs. Suppose we have data $N_{i,j}$, which equals 1 if brick j is not currently assigned to SR i, and is equal to 0 if brick j is currently assigned to SR i. Which of the following constraints would force no more than 2 new bricks assigned to SR 1?

$$0 N_{1,1}x_{1,1} + N_{1,2}x_{1,2} + \ldots + N_{1,22}x_{1,22} \leq 2$$

$$0 N_{1,1} + N_{1,2} + \ldots + N_{1,22} \leq 2$$

$$0 x_{1,1} + x_{1,2} + \ldots + x_{1,22} \leq 2$$

We should have a similar constraint in our model for every SR (1 - 4).	
Final Check Save You have used 0 of 1 submissions	
PROBLEM 2.1 - SOLVING THE PROBLEM (1 point possible)	
The file PfizerReps.ods (/c4x/MITx/15.071x/asset/PfizerReps.ods) for LibreOffice or OpenOffice, and PfizerReps.xlsx (/c4x/MITx/15.071x/asset/PfizerReps.xlsx) for Microsoft Excel contains the data needed to solve this problem (the current assignm of bricks to SRs, the index values, and the distances). Using this data, set up and solve the problem as formulated in Part 1 using LibreOffice.	ent
What is the optimal objective value?	
Check Save You have used 0 of 5 submissions	
PROBLEM 2.2 - SOLVING THE PROBLEM (1 point possible)	
In the solution, brick 10 is assigned to which SR?	
0 1	
O 2 O 3	
O 4	
Final Check Save You have used 0 of 1 submissions	
PROBLEM 2.3 - SOLVING THE PROBLEM (1 point possible)	
In the solution, how many new bricks does SR 2 have in her territory? (Note that we are not asking about total bricks here - just the number of bricks now assigned to SR 2 that were previously assigned to a different SR.)	ıe
O 0	
O 1 O 2	
0 3	
Final Check Save You have used 0 of 1 submissions	
PROBLEM 2.4 - SOLVING THE PROBLEM (1 point possible)	
In the solution, what is the total workload of SR 1? Remember that the sum of the index values of the bricks of an SR correspond this/her total workload.	0

Check	Save	You have used 0 of 3 submissions
PROBLE	M 3.1 -	CHANGING THE RESTRICTIONS (1 point possible)
		olem, we allow the workload of each SR to range from 0.8 to 1.2. In the optimal solution, the workload of the four SRs to 1.1275. This is a pretty large range, and we would like to see if we can balance the workload a little better.
In LibreOf	fice, chan	ge the constraints so that the workload for each SR must be between 0.9 and 1.1, and then resolve the problem.
What is th	ie new ob	jective value?
Check	Save	You have used 0 of 3 submissions
PROBLE	M 3.2 -	· CHANGING THE RESTRICTIONS (1 point possible)
Is this sma	aller or la	rger than the objective value in the original problem, and why?
	•	ective value is smaller than before. Since we are maximizing, the objective value will decrease with rictive constraints.
		ective value is smaller than before. Since we are minimizing, the objective will always get smaller.
	•	ective value is larger than before. Since we are maximizing, the objective will always get larger. ective value is larger than before. Since we are minimizing, the objective will increase with more
	-	constraints.
Final Che	eck Sa	You have used 0 of 1 submissions
PROBLE	:M 3.3 -	· CHANGING THE RESTRICTIONS (1 point possible)
	_	workload constraints bounded between 0.9 and 1.1, increase the disruption bounds to 3 (meaning that each SR can ew bricks assigned to them).
What is th	ie new ob	jective value?
		Very horse word 2 of 2 or horizoitans
Check	Save	You have used 0 of 3 submissions

PROBLEM 3.4 - CHANGING THE RESTRICTIONS (1 point possible)

Suppose the head of logistics at Pfizer would like to find a solution with an objective value very similar to that of the original solution (the very first solution we found in this problem), but would like to decrease the disruption bounds to 1. What could he do to keep the objective value close to the original value (the very first objective function value we found)?

 Make the brick assignment constraints more restrictive by changing the constraints to be less than to 1. 	or equal
O Make the brick assignment constraints less restrictive by changing the right hand side to 2.	
O Make the workload constraints more restrictive by changing the bounds to 0.95 and 1.05.	
O Make the workload constraints less restrictive by changing the bounds to 0.7 and 1.3.	
Final Check Save You have used 0 of 1 submissions	
PROBLEM 3.5 - CHANGING THE RESTRICTIONS (1 point possible)	
Which restrictions or assumptions made in this problem could actually be relaxed to get a better solution (a so ninimize the distance traveled by the SRs)? Select all that apply.	lution that would
\Box The center brick of each SR could also be re-assigned to try and better center an SR in their territory	<i>'</i> .
☐ We could solve for a larger geographical area at once (more bricks and more SRs) so there are more possible assignments.	2
\square We could assign a brick to more than one SR so they could share the workload.	
Check Save You have used 0 of 2 submissions	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS This problem is based on the case study "Assigning Regions to Sales Representatives at Pfizer Turkey" https://www.informs.org/Pubs/ITE/Archive/Volume-9/Case-Article-Assigning-Regions-to-Sales-Representatives-Murat Köksalan and Sakine Batun, INFORMS Transactions on Education 9(2), p.70-71, January 2009.	at-Pfizer-Turkey) by
Please remember not to ask for or post complete answers to homework questions in this discussion forum.	
Show Discussion	♂ New Post

About (https://www.edx.org/about-us) Jobs (https://www.edx.org/jobs) Press (https://www.edx.org/press) FAQ (https://www.edx.org/student-faq) Contact (https://www.edx.org/contact)



EdX is a non-profit created by founding partners Harvard and MIT whose mission is to bring the best of higher education to students of all ages anywhere in the world, wherever there is Internet access. EdX's free online MOOCs are interactive and subjects include computer science, public health, and artificial intelligence.



(http://www.meetup.com/edX-Global-Community/)



(http://www.facebook.com/EdxOnline)



(https://twitter.com/edXOnline)





(http://youtube.com/user/edxonline) © 2014 edX, some rights reserved.

Terms of Service and Honor Code -Privacy Policy (https://www.edx.org/edx-privacy-policy)