

CMT117 Exercises: Nonmonotonic Reasoning

Question 1. Suppose $L = \{p, q, r\}$ and let $R = (V, \preceq)$ be a ranked model with V equal to the set of all valuations and \preceq the normality ordering represented in tabular form as follows:

TFT	TTF	FTF	FTT
TFF	FFF	TTT	
	FFT		

Here, each valuation is represented as a triple abc denoting the truth-values of p, q, r respectively (e.g., FTT is the valuation in which p is false and both q and r are true), and the further to the left a valuation appears in the above table, the more normal it is deemed to be. Let \vdash_R denote the consequence relation defined by this ordering (see slide 31 of the presentation slides). For each of the following conditionals, determine whether they hold in R :

- (a) $p \vdash_R \neg q$
- (b) $\neg p \vdash_R \neg q$
- (c) $q \vee \neg r \vdash_R q$
- (d) $p \wedge q \vdash_R r$
- (e) $p \rightarrow (q \wedge r) \vdash_R \neg p$
- (f) $\top \vdash_R r$
- (g) $\neg q \vee (p \wedge q) \vdash_R \perp$

Question 2. Assume again $L = \{p, q, r\}$. Assume V is equal to the set of all valuations for L . Write down a normality ordering \preceq in tabular form (as in Question 1 above) such that the rational consequence operator \vdash_R associated to the ranked model $R = (V, \preceq)$ simultaneously satisfies both the following conditionals:

$$\neg p \vdash_R r, \quad \neg p \wedge \neg q \not\vdash_R r.$$

[Note: A nice side-effect of your answer will be that it provides a counterexample to show that Monotonicity fails for rational consequence (see slide 12)]

Question 3. Assume $L = \{p, q\}$. For each of the following ranked models R , determine whether the associated rational consequence relation \vdash_R satisfies the rule CP (Consistency Preservation) (see slide 18). In case it does not satisfy CP, give a sentence A such that $A \not\vdash \perp$ but $A \vdash_R \perp$.

(a) $R = (V, \preceq)$, with $V = \{TT, TF\}$ and \preceq given in tabular form below:

TT	TF
----	----

(b) $R = (V, \preceq)$, with $V = \{TT, TF, FT, FF\}$ and \preceq given in tabular form below:

TF	TT	FF
FT		

Question 4. Show that the following rule holds for all rational consequence relations \vdash :

$$\frac{A \vdash B \quad B \vdash A \quad A \vdash C}{B \vdash C}$$

(in words, if A and B are consequences of each other, then every consequence C of A is also a consequence of B).

Hint: You have 2 ways to show this: (i) find a derivation of this rule from the KLM rules, or (ii) (by the Representation Theorem for rational consequence relations on slide 35) show that \vdash_R satisfies this rule for any arbitrary ranked model R .

Question 5. By using the theorem on slide 33, show that the following rule *fails* for some rational consequence relations (and choice of A, B, C)

$$\frac{A \vee B \sim \neg A}{C \wedge B \sim \neg A}$$