

CSE 543t

HW1

Wenzhen Zhu (445518)

1.1.4 Use optimality conditions to show that  $\forall x > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{x} + x \geq 2$$

let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x} + x$

$$f'(x) = -x^{-2} + 1 = -\frac{1}{x^2} + 1$$

Since  $f''(x) = 2x^{-3} = \frac{2}{x^3} > 0$  when  $x > 0$ ,  $f(x)$  is strictly convex on  $(0, +\infty)$

By Prop 1.1.2 convex cost function,  $\exists$  at most 1 global minimum of  $f$

Since domain of  $f(x)$  is open, then  $f'(x^*) = 0$  is a necessary and sufficient condition for  $x^*$  be a global minimum.

Thus, solve for  $f'(x) = -\frac{1}{x^2} + 1 = 0$

$$x^2 = 1 \Rightarrow x_{\min} = 1.$$

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x} + x \geq 1 + 1 = 2 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \quad \blacksquare$$

---

## Question 2

```
In[4]:= f[{x_, y_}] := 3 x^2 + y^4
        f[x_, y_] := 3 x^2 + y^4

In[6]:= df[x_, y_] = Grad[f[x, y], {x, y}]
Out[6]= {6 x, 4 y^3}
```

(a)

```
In[7]:= s = 1; σ = 0.1; β = 0.5;

In[8]:= x0 = 1; y0 = -2;

In[9]:= df[x0, y0]
Out[9]= {6, -32}

In[10]:= d0 = -1 * df[x0, y0]
Out[10]= {-6, 32}

In[11]:= α0 = s
          f[x0, y0] - f[{x0, y0} + α0 * d0]
          - σ α0 {6, -32} . {-6, 32}
Out[11]= 1

Out[12]= -810.056

Out[13]= 106.

In[14]:= α1 = β s
          f[x0, y0] - f[{x0, y0} + α1 * d0]
          - σ α1 {6, -32} . {-6, 32}
Out[14]= 0.5

Out[15]= -38409.

Out[16]= 53.

In[17]:= α2 = β^2 s
          f[x0, y0] - f[{x0, y0} + α2 * d0]
          - σ α2 {6, -32} . {-6, 32}
Out[17]= 0.25

Out[18]= -1277.75

Out[19]= 26.5
```

```
In[20]:=  $\alpha 3 = \beta^3 s$ 
           $f[x0, y0] - f[\{x0, y0\} + \alpha 3 * d0]$ 
           $-\sigma \alpha 3 \{6, -32\} . \{-6, 32\}$ 
```

```
Out[20]= 0.125
```

```
Out[21]= 2.8125
```

```
Out[22]= 13.25
```

```
In[23]:=  $\alpha 4 = \beta^4 s$ 
           $f[x0, y0] - f[\{x0, y0\} + \alpha 4 * d0]$ 
           $-\sigma \alpha 4 \{6, -32\} . \{-6, 32\}$ 
```

```
Out[23]= 0.0625
```

```
Out[24]= 17.8281
```

```
Out[25]= 6.625
```

Thus, step-size =  $\alpha 4 = 0.0625$

```
In[26]:=  $\{x1, y1\} = \{x0, y0\} - \alpha 4 df[x0, y0]$ 
```

```
Out[26]= {0.625, 0.}
```

```
In[27]:=  $f[0.625, 0]$ 
```

```
Out[27]= 1.17188
```

## (b) Repeat (a) using different parameters

```
In[99]:=  $s = 1; \sigma = 0.1; \beta = 0.1;$ 
```

```
In[113]:=  $d0 = -1 * df[x0, y0]$ 
```

```
Out[113]= {-6, 32}
```

```
In[114]:=  $\alpha 1 = \beta s$ 
           $f[x0, y0] - f[\{x0, y0\} + \alpha 1 * d0]$ 
           $-\sigma \alpha 1 \{6, -32\} . \{-6, 32\}$ 
```

```
Out[114]= 0.1
```

```
Out[115]= 16.4464
```

```
Out[116]= 10.6
```

Thus,  $\alpha = 0.1$

```
In[119]:=  $\{x1, y1\} = \{x0, y0\} - \alpha 1 df[x0, y0]$ 
```

```
Out[119]= {0.4, 1.2}
```

```
In[120]:=  $f[x1, y1]$ 
```

```
Out[120]= 2.5536
```

## (c) Newton's method

Hessian Matrix:

```
In[28]:= (hessian[x_, y_] = D[f[x, y], {{x, y}, 2}]) // MatrixForm
```

```
Out[28]//MatrixForm=
```

$$\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 12 y^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

```
In[29]:= (hessianInverse[x_, y_] = Inverse[ $\begin{pmatrix} 6 & 0 \\ 0 & 12 y^2 \end{pmatrix}$ ]) // MatrixForm
```

```
Out[29]//MatrixForm=
```

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{6} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{12 y^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

```
In[30]:= dk[x_, y_] = -hessianInverse[x, y] . df[x, y]
```

```
Out[30]=  $\left\{-x, -\frac{y}{3}\right\}$ 
```

```
In[31]:= d0 = dk[x0, y0]
```

```
Out[31]=  $\left\{-1, \frac{2}{3}\right\}$ 
```

Armijo rule

```
In[32]:= s = 1;  $\sigma$  = 0.1;  $\beta$  = 0.5;
```

```
In[33]:=  $\alpha$  = s
```

```
Out[33]= 1
```

```
In[34]:= f[x0, y0] - f[{x0, y0} +  $\alpha$  * d0] // N
```

```
Out[34]= 15.8395
```

```
In[35]:= - $\sigma$   $\alpha$  df[x0, y0] . d0
```

```
Out[35]= 2.73333
```

So  $\alpha = 1$

```
In[36]:= {x1, y1} = {x0, y0} +  $\alpha$  d0
```

```
Out[36]=  $\left\{0, -\frac{4}{3}\right\}$ 
```

```
In[37]:= f[x1, y1] // N
```

```
Out[37]= 3.16049
```

We can observe that

1. Newton's method converge much faster than steepest descent.

Since with same  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , newton method converge for one single step which took steepest descent 5 steps to do so.

2. Newton's method is more expensive since it requires to compute Hessian matrix and it's inverse,

while steepest decent only needs 1st derivative.

## Question 3

L-BFGS stands for Limited-memory BFGS.

BFGS is a algorithm in the family of quasi-Newton methods for optimization of smooth functions. It is a line search method. The search direction is of type d:

$$d = -H_k \nabla f(x_k)$$

where  $H_k$  is the k-th approximation to the inverse Hessian of f.

This k - th step approximation for the inverse Hessian is calculated via the BFGS formula is as followings:

$$H_{k+1} = (I - \delta x_k y_k^T / y_k^T \delta x_k) H_k (I - y_k \delta x_k^T / y_k^T \delta x_k) + \frac{\delta x_k \delta x_k^T}{y_k^T \delta x_k}$$

Tasks	Solution Time	Solution Quality
dqrtic.mod	0.113981	L-BFGS-B: CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTO 0 iterations, 140720308486145 function and gradient evaluations. Objective = 1.998169937e-14. Projected gradient maxnorm = 9.06316e-13.
eigenbls.mod	0.12198	L-BFGS-B: CONVERGENCE: REL_REDUCTION_OF_F <= FACTR*EPSMC 328 iterations, 140728898421077 function and gradient evaluations. Objective = 5.19644528e-13. Projected gradient maxnorm = 4.27133e-07.
freuroth.mod	0.225965	L-BFGS-B: CONVERGENCE: REL_REDUCTION_OF_F <= FACTR*EPSMC 1 iterations, 140733193388035 function and gradient evaluations. Objective = 608159.189. Projected gradient maxnorm = 3.87825e-05.

## Question 4

Rastrigin

Out[38]= <http://tracer.lcc.uma.es/problems/rastrigin/rastrigin.html>

```

param n := 10;
param pi := 4*atan(1);

var x{1..n};

minimize f:
  10*n+sum {i in 1..n} (x[i]^2-10*cos(2*pi*x[i]));

subject to bounds {i in 1..n}:
  -5.12 <= x[i] <= 5.12;

solve; display f; display x;
display _solve_time;
display _ampl_time;
display _total_solve_time;

```

Tasks	n	Solution Time	Solution Quality
Rastrigin	10	0	L-BFGS-B: CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTO 0 iterations, 140728898420737 function and gradient evaluations. Objective = 0. Projected gradient maxnorm = 0.
	20	0.000999	L-BFGS-B: CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTO 0 iterations, 140728898420737 function and gradient evaluations. Objective = 0. Projected gradient maxnorm = 0.
	50	0.000999	L-BFGS-B: CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTO 0 iterations, 140728898420737 function and gradient evaluations. Objective = 0. Projected gradient maxnorm = 0.
	100	0.000999	L-BFGS-B: CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTO 0 iterations, 140733193388033 function and gradient evaluations. Objective = 0. Projected gradient maxnorm = 0.
	1000	0.002998	L-BFGS-B: CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTO 0 iterations, 140720308486145 function and gradient evaluations. Objective = 0. Projected gradient maxnorm = 0.
	10000	0.013997	L-BFGS-B: CONVERGENCE: NORM OF PROJECTED GRADIENT <= PGTO 0 iterations, 140733193388033 function and gradient evaluations. Objective = 0. Projected gradient maxnorm = 0.