Final BUEC 333

August 11, 2014, 12:00-15:00

Name:	_
Student ID:	

Read carefully before starting

- Allowed on your desk: a pen, a ruler, your SFU ID, and something to eat+drink. If we find anything else, you lose 20% of the max score. This includes erasers, cases, ...
- On the front page of this document (the questions), write: (i) your name, and (ii) your student ID.
- On the front page of your answer sheet, write: (i) your name, and (ii) your student ID.
- Answer the questions in **chronological** order.
- For every subquestion (e.g. for 2 (c)), use maximum 3 lines. This excludes math.
- Every subquestion is worth 1 point. **No partial marks** will be given for a subquestion: be complete and precise. Adding incorrect or irrelevant statements to an otherwise correct answer will result in 0 points.
- If you finish this exam **before 14:30**, come forward and hand in the documents. If you finish it **after 14:30**, stay seated! Raise your hand, and we will come to collect your final.

Questions

1. [Stock and Watson, 2.5] "In September, Seattle's daily high temperature has a mean of 59 degrees Fahrenheit." The standard deviation is 9 degrees Fahrenheit." Remember that, to convert from degrees Fahrenheit to degrees Celsius, we need to subtract 32 and then multiply by 5/9, so

$$T_C = \frac{5}{9} \times (T_F - 32).$$

For the questions that follow, indicate which formulas you are using

- (a) What is the mean of Seattle's daily high temperature in September in degrees Celsius?
- (b) What is the standard deviation of Seattle's daily high temperature in September in degrees Celsius?
- (c) What is the variance of Seattle's daily high temperature in September in degrees Celsius?
- 2. Consider the joint probability distribution of the random variables X_1 and X_2 given in Table 1.
 - (a) What is the probability that $X_1 = 0$ given that $X_2 = 1$? In other words, what is $P(X_1 = 0 | X_2 = 1)$?
 - (b) What is the probability that $X_1 = 0$ given that $X_2 = 3$?
 - (c) What is $var(X_1|X_2 = 2)$?
- 3. Suppose you want to estimate the population mean of Y, $E(Y) = \mu_Y$. You have a random sample of size n, $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_n\}$. For simplicity, assume that n = 2. Then, the sample average $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$, simplifies to $\bar{Y} = (Y_1 + Y_2)/2$.

	$X_2 = 1$	$X_2 = 2$	$X_2 = 3$
$X_1 = 0$	0.2	0.1	0.1
$X_1 = 1$	0.3	0.3	0

Table 1: Joint probability distribution of X_1 and X_2 .

- (a) Is the sample average \bar{Y} unbiased for μ_Y ? Explain.
- (b) Now, consider $\tilde{Y} = \frac{1}{4}Y_1 + \frac{1}{4}Y_2$. What is the variance of \tilde{Y} ? On the basis of the variances, do you prefer \bar{Y} or \tilde{Y} ?
- (c) What is wrong with \tilde{Y} ?
- (d) Now, consider the estimator $\check{Y} = (\mu_Y + \bar{Y})/2$. Why is this not a good estimator? (Hint: the answer has nothing to do with efficiency, unbiasedness, or consistency.)
- 4. Consider the following estimated regression equation that describes the relationship between a student's weight and height:

$$\widehat{WEIGHT} = 100 + 6.0 HEIGHT$$

- (a) A student has height 5. What is the regression's prediction for that student's weight?
- (b) In the sample, the sample average of HEIGHT is 4. What can you say about the sample average for WEIGHT?

Now, an additional variable is included, is ID, a student's SFU ID. Obviously, this is a nonsensical variable to include: it is not in any way related to a student's weight. The new estimated regression equation is

$$W\widehat{E1G}HT = 101.5 + 5.98 HEIGHT + 0.02 ID$$

- (c) Someone's weight has nothing to do with their SFU ID. Still, the R^2 went up from 0.74 to 0.75. How is this possible?
- (d) If the post office box number is not related to a student's weight, should the estimated coefficient not be equal to 0? How could it be that it is 0.02?
- 5. Let D_i be a dummy variable. Consider the model that consists of the equation

$$Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i + \beta_2 D_i + \beta_3 D_i X_i + u_i$$

and the standard OLS assumptions

- (a) Draw a graph to visualize this model.
- (b) What is the interpretation of β_3 ?
- 6. [Based on SW 4.3]. A regression of average weekly earning (AWE, measured in dollars) on Age (in years) using a random sample of college educated full time workers aged 25-65 yields the following:

$$\widehat{AWE} = 696.7 + 9.6 \, Age, \, R^2 = 0.023, \, SER = 604.3.$$

- (a) Interpret the value 9.6. Is that value, 9.6, an estimand, an estimator, or an estimate?
- (b) What are the units of the R^2 ? (Dollars? Years? Unit-free?)
- (c) Assume that the standard error for the estimated regression coefficient of Age is 1.2. Construct a 95% confidence interval for β_1 , the regression coefficient for Age.
- (d) Consider the p-value associated with the two-sided test for H_0 : $\beta_1 = 0$. Do you expect this p-value to be smaller than, equal to, or greater than, 0.05? Explain.
- 7. [Based on SW, Exercise 8.7] This problem is inspired by the study of the gender gap in top corporate jobs in Bertrand and Hallock (2001). The study compares total compensation among top executives in a large set of U.S. public corporations in the 1990s.
 - (a) Let *Female* be an indicator variable that is equal to 1 for females and to 0 for males. A regression of the logarithm of earnings onto *Female* yields

$$\log(\widehat{Earnings}) = 6.48 - 0.44 Female$$

where the estimated regression coefficient -0.44 has a standard error of 0.05. Explain what the -0.44 means.

- (b) Does this regression suggest that there is gender discrimination? Explain.
- (c) Two new variables are added to the regression: $\log{(MarketValue)}$, where MarketValue is a measure of firm size, in millions; and Return, the stock return, in percentage points. The resulting estimated regression line is

$$\log{(\widehat{Earnings})} = 3.68 - 0.28 Female + 0.37 \log{(MarketValue)} + 0.004 Return$$

where the standard errors for the three regressors are 0.04, 0.004, and 0.003, respectively. The coefficient estimate for Female has changed from -0.44 to -0.28. Why has it changed?

- 8. A mixed bag of questions:
 - (a) Describe the difference between "internal validity" and "external validity".
 - (b) List two threats to internal validity. (The book lists five).
 - (c) What are the two conditions an instrumental variable must satisfy?
- 9. Consider the example used in the chapter on panel data. We have a panel data set on n = 48 U.S. states during T = 7 periods, from 1982 up to and including 1988. The total number of observations is 336.
 - (a) Is this a balanced panel? Explain.
 - (b) For each state, in each time period, let Y_{it} denote the number of annual traffic deaths per 10000 in the population. Let X_{it} denote the beer tax in 1988 U.S. dollars. Temporarily ignore the data after 1982, so that we have a cross-section of 48 states. The estimated regression line gives

$$\hat{Y}_{i,1982} = 2.01 + 0.13X_{i,1982}.$$

Alternatively, we can use fixed effects regression to estimate the effect fixed effects regression line is

$$\hat{Y}_{i,t} = \hat{\alpha}_i - 0.66 X_{i,t}.$$

$$(0.29)$$

Do you think that the Least Square assumptions hold, i.e. do you believe that the 0.13 in the first result comes from an unbiased estimator? If YES: explain what causes the difference between 0.13 and -0.66. If NO: explain why the Least Square assumptions are unlikely to hold. Include in your answer: "tax on beer".

10. [Based on SW, 14.7] Suppose that Y_t follows the stationary AR(1) model

$$Y_t = 3.9 + 0.5Y_{t-1} + u_t$$

where u_t is i.i.d. with $E(u_i) = 0$ and $var(u_i) = 9$.

- (a) Compute the mean and variance of Y_t .
- (b) Compute the first autocovariance of Y_t .
- (c) Compute the second autocovariance of Y_t .
- 11. In the context of your second hand-in assignment, consider the following code and output. Why are there "NA"s in the row for "occ9"?

```
> unionData <- read.dta("http://www.sfu.ca/~cmuris/2014-Summer-333/wagepan.dta")
    summary(lm(lwage~union+hours+year+black+occ1+occ2+occ3+ ...
2
      ... + occ4+occ5+occ6+occ7+occ8+occ9+exper,data=unionData))
3
   Coefficients:
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
7
   (Intercept) -1.422e+02 1.145e+01 -12.424
               2.376e-01
                          1.779e-02
                                     13.355
                                               < 2e-16
8
  union
                           1.373e-05
               -5.794e-05
                                      -4.219 2.50e-05
9
  hours
                                     12.534
                7.250e-02 5.784e-03
                                             < 2e-16
10
  year
```

```
11 black
            -1.306e-01 2.354e-02 -5.549 3.05e-08
12 occ1
             3.966e-01 3.218e-02 12.323 < 2e-16
13 occ2
             3.542e-01 3.364e-02 10.529 < 2e-16
14 occ3
             2.979e-01 3.922e-02 7.596 3.73e-14
15 occ4
             1.778e-01 3.108e-02 5.721 1.13e-08
16 occ5
             2.605e-01 2.738e-02 9.516 < 2e-16
17 occ6
             1.831e-01 2.747e-02 6.667 2.94e-11
             8.598e-02 3.278e-02 2.623 0.00874
18 occ7
19 occ8
             -5.678e-02 6.579e-02 -0.863 0.38816
                   N A
                        N A
20 occ9
                                   N A
                                         N A
             -1.252e-02 4.667e-03 -2.682 0.00734
21 exper
```

22

Residual standard error: 0.4888 on 4346 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.1601, Adjusted R-squared: 0.1576 F-statistic: 63.74 on 13 and 4346 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16