# Details of methods and formulas for Stata ado file *selmlog.ado*

Martin Fournier and Marc Gurgand (gurgand@pse.ens.fr)

November 22, 2005

Consider the following model

$$y_1 = x\beta_1 + u_1$$
  

$$y_j^* = z\gamma_j + \eta_j, j = 1...M$$
(1)

where the disturbance  $u_1$  is not parametrically specified and verifies  $E(u_1|x,z) = 0$  and  $V(u_1|x,z) = \sigma^2$ . j is a categorical variable that describes the choice of an economic agent among M alternatives based on "utilities"  $y_j^*$ . The vector z represents the maximum set of explanatory variables for all alternatives and the vector x contains all determinants of the variable of interest. We assume that the model is non-parametrically identified from exclusion of some of the variables in z from the variables in x. Without loss of generality, the outcome variable  $y_1$  is observed if and only if category 1 is chosen, which happens when:

$$y_1^* > \max_{j \neq 1}(y_j^*) \tag{2}$$

Define:

$$\varepsilon_{1} = \max_{j \neq 1} (y_{j}^{*} - y_{1}^{*}) 
= \max_{j \neq 1} (z\gamma_{j} + \eta_{j} - z\gamma_{1} - \eta_{1})$$
(3)

Under definition (3), condition (2) is equivalent to:

$$\varepsilon_1 < 0$$

Assume that the  $(\eta_j)$ 's are independent and identically Gumbel distributed (the so-called IIA hypothesis). Their cumulative and density functions are respectively  $G(\eta) = \exp(-e^{-\eta})$  and  $g(\eta) = \exp(-\eta - e^{-\eta})$ . As shown by McFadden (1973), this specification leads to the multinomial logit model with:

$$P(\varepsilon_1 < 0|z) = \frac{\exp(z\gamma_1)}{\sum_j \exp(z\gamma_j)}$$

Based on this expression, consistent maximum likelihood estimates of the  $(\gamma_j)$ 's can be easily obtained.

The problem is to estimate the parameter vector  $\beta_1$  while taking into account that the disturbance term  $u_1$  may not be independent of all  $(\eta_j)$ 's. This would introduce some correlation between the explanatory variables and the disturbance term in the outcome equation of model (1). Because of this, least squares estimates of  $\beta_1$  would not be consistent.

## 1 Lee's model

Following Lee (1983), call  $F_{\varepsilon_1}(.|\Gamma)$  the cumulative distribution function of  $\varepsilon_1$ . The cumulative  $J_{\varepsilon_1}(.|\Gamma)$ , defined by the following transform:

$$J_{\varepsilon_1}(.|\Gamma) = \Phi^{-1}(F_{\varepsilon_1}(.|\Gamma))$$

where  $\Phi$  is the standard normal cumulative, has a standard normal distribution. Assume that  $u_1$  and  $J_{\varepsilon_1}(\varepsilon_1|\Gamma)$  are jointly distributed under the following hypothesis with  $E(u_1|\varepsilon_1,\Gamma) = \sigma \rho_1.J_{\varepsilon_1}(\varepsilon_1|\Gamma)$ ) The expected value of the disturbance term  $u_1$ , conditional on category 1 being chosen, can now be written as:

$$E(u_1|\varepsilon_1 < 0, \Gamma) = -\sigma \rho_1 \frac{\phi(J_{\varepsilon_1}(0|\Gamma))}{F_{\varepsilon_1}(0|\Gamma)}$$

with  $\phi$  the standard normal density. Under this hypothesis, a consistent estimator of  $\beta_1$  is obtained by running least squares on the following equation:

$$y_1 = x_1 \beta_1 - \sigma \rho_1 \frac{\phi(J_{\varepsilon_1}(0|\Gamma))}{F_{\varepsilon_1}(0|\Gamma)} + w_1$$
 (4)

Two-step estimation of (4) is thus implemented by first estimating the  $(\gamma_j)$ 's in order to form  $\phi(J_{\varepsilon_1}(0|\widehat{\Gamma}))/F_{\varepsilon_1}(0|\widehat{\Gamma})$  and then by including that variable in equation (4) to estimate consistently  $\beta_1$  and  $(\sigma\rho_1)$  by least squares.  $\sigma$  can then be recoverred.

### 2 Dubin and Mc Fadden's model

Dubin and Mc Fadden (1984) use the following linearity assumption:  $E(u_1|\eta_1...\eta_M) = \sigma \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi} \sum_{j=1...M} r_j(\eta_j - E(\eta_j))$ , where  $r_j$  is a correlation coefficient between  $u_1$  and  $\eta_j$ . With the multinomial logit model:

$$\begin{split} E(\eta_1 - E(\eta_1) | y_1^* &> & \max_{s \neq 1} (y_s^*), \Gamma) = -\ln(P_1), \\ E(\eta_j - E(\eta_j) | y_1^* &> & \max_{s \neq 1} (y_s^*), \Gamma) = \frac{P_j \ln(P_j)}{1 - P_j}, \qquad \forall j > 1 \end{split}$$

Model (1) can thus be estimated by least squares based on:

$$y_1 = x_1 \beta_1 + \sigma \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi} \sum_{j=2...M} r_j \left( \frac{P_j \ln(P_j)}{1 - P_j} \right) - r_1 \ln(P_1) + w_1$$
 (5)

This is dmf(1) option in the program.

dmf(0) option uses the following retriction:  $\sum_{j=1...M} r_j = 0$ . The model then becomes:

$$y_1 = x_1 \beta_1 + \sigma \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi} \sum_{j=2...M} r_j \left( \frac{P_j \ln(P_j)}{1 - P_j} + \ln(P_1) \right) + w_1$$
 (6)

To implement dmf(2) option, define the following standard normal variables:

$$\eta_i^* = J(\eta_i) = \Phi^{-1}(G(\eta_i)), \quad j = 1...M$$

For every j, assume that the expected values of  $u_1$  and  $\eta_j^*$  are linearly related. This holds in particular under the classical assumption that  $u_1$  is normal and  $(u_1, \eta_j^*)$  is bivariate normal for any category j. If  $r_j^*$  is the correlation between  $u_1$  and  $\eta_j^*$ ,  $u_1$  may be expressed as the following linear combination:  $E(u_1|\eta_1\ldots\eta_M)=\sigma\sum_{j=1\ldots M}r_j^*\eta_j^*$ . In this setup, conditional expectations are more involved. Note for convenience:

$$m(P_j) = \int J(v - \log P_j)g(v)dv, \quad \forall j$$

The following results can be derived:

$$E(\eta_1^*|y_1^*) > \max_{s \neq 1}(y_s^*), \Gamma) = m(P_1)$$

$$E(\eta_j^*|y_1^*) > \max_{s \neq 1}(y_s^*), \Gamma) = m(P_j) \frac{P_j}{P_j - 1}, \quad \forall j > 1$$

The outcome equation in (1) conditional on choosing j = 1 is now:

$$y_1 = x_1 \beta_1 + \sigma \left[ r_1^* m(P_1) + \sum_{j=2...M} r_j^* m(P_j) \frac{P_j}{(P_j - 1)} \right] + w_1$$
 (7)

The integrals  $m(P_j)$  have no closed form, but they can be computed numerically after the multinomial logit estimation. This is not a source of computational complexity, however, as it must be done only once for each observation.

### 3 Dahl's model

Following Dahl (2002) we consider a selectivity correction term of the general form  $\mu(P_1, \ldots, P_M)$ .

The estimated equation becomes:

$$y_1 = x_1 \beta_1 + \mu(P_1, \dots, P_M) + w_1$$
 (8)

The function  $\mu$  takes the form of a polynomial in  $P_1, \ldots, P_M$  with the order provided in the command. With dhl(# all), all  $P_1, \ldots, P_M$  are included. Otherwise, with dhl(#), only a polynomial (of order #) in  $P_1$  is used.

#### References

Dahl G. B., 2002, "Mobility and the Returns to Education: Testing a Roy Model with Multiple Markets", *Econometrica*, vol. 70, 2367-2420.

Dubin J.A. & McFadden D.L., 1984, "An Econometric Analysis of Residential Electric Appliance Holdings and Consumption", *Econometrica*, vol. 52, 345-362.

Lee L.F., 1983, "Generalized Econometric Models with Selectivity", *Econometrica*, vol. 51, 507-512.

McFadden D.L., 1973, "Conditional Logit Analysis of Qualitative Choice Behavior", in P. Zarembka (ed.), Frontiers in Econometrics, Academic Press.