**Data processing**: Pictures are rescaled to 224 x 224; Data augmentation on train set (rescale, rotation, shift, shear, zoom, horizontal flip)

**CNN architecture**: Use the ImageNet pre-trained VGG16 as feature extractor (remove the top layer), We add a dense layer with 512 unit with dropout 0.5 and a dense layer with 4 units and softmaxloss function on top of conv\_base.

**Fine tuning**: Freeze all the layers of the VGGnet before block5\_conv1

**Batch size** (for the training/validation generators) = 32

Optimizer RMSprop with **learning rate** = 1e-5

**Epochs** = 20

The train and validation process is as following. And the accuracy on test dataset is 0.9850.

```
| Groch 1/20 | 2020-96-23 | 31:35:14.526095: I tensorflow/stream_executor/platform/default/dso_loader_cc:42] Successfully opened dynamic library libaubias. so. 10.0 | 2020-96-23 | 31:35:14.837315: I tensorflow/stream_executor/platform/default/dso_loader_cc:42] Successfully opened dynamic library libaubias. so. 10.0 | 2020-96-23 | 31:35:14.837315: I tensorflow/stream_executor/platform/default/dso_loader_cc:42] Successfully opened dynamic library libaubias. so. 10.0 | 2020-96-23 | 31:35:14.837315: I tensorflow/stream_executor/platform/default/dso_loader_cc:42] Successfully opened dynamic library libaubias. so. 10.0 | 2020-96-23 | 2020-96-23 | 2020-96-23 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-23 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-96-24 | 2020-9
```

(Failed exercise) What's more, I tried to use ImageNet pre-trained Resnet50 with fine-tuning before. The result is as following.

```
=] - 68s 681ms/step - loss: 0.3740 - acc: 0.8686 - val_loss: 1.5055 - val_acc: 0.2704
                                                                                        c2/car_0766.jpg: "cat"
=] - 65s 646ms/step - loss: 0.2426 - acc: 0.9180 - val_loss: 2.5177 - val_acc: 0.2536
                                                                                        c2/car_0767.jpg: "cat"
-1 - 65s 646ms/step - loss: 0.1937 - acc: 0.9383 - val_loss: 2.4762 - val_acc: 0.2763
                                                                                        c2/car_0768.jpg: "cat"
=] - 63s 632ms/step - loss: 0.1609 - acc: 0.9550 - val_loss: 2.9814 - val_acc: 0.2607
                                                                                        c2/car_0769.jpg: "cat"
                                                                                        c2/car_0770.jpg: "cat"
                                                                                        c2/car_0771.jpg: "cat"
                                                                                        c2/car_0772.jpg: "cat"
                                                                                        c2/car_0773.jpg: "cat"
                                                                                        c2/car_0774.jpg: "cat"
                                                                                        c2/car_0775.jpg: "cat"
=] - 64s 642ms/step - loss: 0.0886 - acc: 0.9769 - val_loss: 3.7723 - val_acc: 0.2525
                                                                                        c2/car_0776.jpg: "cat"
                                                                                        c3/motorbike 0592.ipg: "cat'
                                                                                        c3/motorbike_0593.jpg: "cat"
-] - 66s 662ms/step - loss: 0.0589 - acc: 0.9836 - val_loss: 4.9376 - val_acc: 0.2635
                                                                                        c3/motorbike_0594.jpg: "cat"
=] - 65s 647ms/step - loss: 0.0715 - acc: 0.9826 - val_loss: 4.4960 - val_acc: 0.2633
                                                                                        c3/motorbike_0595.jpg: "cat"
=] - 64s 644ms/step - loss: 0.0604 - acc: 0.9826 - val_loss: 5.7266 - val_acc: 0.2756
                                                                                        c3/motorbike_0596.jpg: "cat"
-1 - 65s 650ms/step - loss: 0.0609 - acc: 0.9842 - val_loss: 5.4441 - val_acc: 0.2601
                                                                                        c3/motorbike_0597.jpg: "cat"
                                                                                        c3/motorbike_0598.jpg: "cat"
```

The train loss keeps decreasing while the validation loss keeps increasing, which indicates an overfitting situation. The test result of this model also proves that because this model recognized everything as the cat label.

After all of this, I find VGG structure is good enough for this small dataset.