

Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

Protocol Audit Report

Ziad Magdy

July 16, 2025

Prepared by: ziad Lead Security Researcher:

Ziad Magdy

Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
 - Scope
 - Roles
- Executive Summary
 - Issues found
- Findings
- High
- Medium
- Low
- Informational
- Gas

Protocol Summary

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access this password.

Disclaimer

The Ziad Magdy team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	Н	H/M	М
	Medium	H/M	М	M/L
	Low	М	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

Audit Details

The findings describe is this document correspond the following commit hash:

```
1 7d55682ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566
```

Scope

```
1 ./src/
2 #-- PasswordStore.sol
```

Roles

Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password. Outsiders: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

Executive Summary

- 2 High severity findings
- Overall design insecure for on-chain secret storage

Issues found

Severtity	Number of issues found
High	2
Medium	0
Low	0
Info	0
Total	2

Findings

High

[H-1] Storing the password on-chain makes it visible to anyone, and no longer private

Description: All data stored on-chain is visible to anyone, and can be directly from the blockchain. the PasswordStore::s_password variable is intended to be a private variable and only accessed through the PasswordStore::getpassword function, which is intended to be only called by the owner of the contract.

we show one such method of reading any data off chain below

Impact: Anyone can read the private password, severely braking the functionality of the protocol.

Proof of Concept:

the below test case shows how anyone can read the password directly from the blockchain.

1. Create a locally running chain

```
1 make anvil
```

2. Deploy the contract to the chain

```
1 make deploy
```

3. run the storage tool we use 1 because that's the storage slot of s_password in the contract

```
1 cast storage <contract-address> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

You can the parse that hex to a string with:

And get an output of:

```
1 myPassword
```

Recommended Mitigation: Due to this, the overall architecture of the contract should be rethought. One could encrypt the password off-chain, and then store the encrypted password on-chain. This would require the user to remember another password off-chain to decrypt the password. However, you'd also likely want to remove the view function as you wouldn't want the user to accidentally send a transaction with the password that decrypts your password.

[H-2] PasswordStore::setPassword has no access control, meaning a non-owner could change the password.

Description: PasswordStore: setPassword function is set to be an external function, however, the natspec of the function and overall purpose of the smart contract is that This function allows only the owner to set a **new** password

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    // @audit There is no access control
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

Impact: Anyone san set/change the password of the contracts. severely breaking the contract intended functionality.

Proof of Concept: Add the following to the PasswordStore.t.sol test file.

Code

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public
1
           {
2
           vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
3
           vm.prank(randomAddress);
           string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
4
           passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
5
6
           vm.prank(owner);
7
           string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
8
9
10
           assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
11
       }
```

Recommended Mitigation: Add an access control conditional to the setPassword function.

```
if(msg.sender != s_owner){
    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
}
```