Project 1 Report Algorithms CSCI 3330 Fall 2018

Group Members:

Aung Kyaw Min

Hassan Khan

Chris Laxton

Interpretation of Project

We were given five different sources and we had to use three algorithms to sort all five sources. We also calculate the inversions by taking the sum of the rankings for the same documents but from different sources and sorted them. For each element that moves an index, an inversion is added. The purpose of this project is to evaluate the source reliability by counting inversion through sorting five sources. Most of the search engines, such as Yahoo, Bing, Google, Baidu, DuckDuckGo, use special algorithms to generate the search result. Google uses automated programs called spiders or crawlers. Google ranks the websites based on frequency and location of keywords of a web page. One of the methods to find the match results is to count the inversions of sorted list. An inversion can be defined as the number of swaps we need to try to get the sorted data. The source is more reliable if it has fewer inversions.

Methodology of the solution

The three algorithms we used in this project were quick sort, merge sort and insertion sort. The average running time for both quick sort and merge sort is $O(n \log n)$ and insertion sort takes $O(n^2)$.

- In the quick sort, we incremented the inversion by comparing the index of the pivot versus the index of the lesser or greater value.
- In merge sort, we incremented the inversion if the value of the right-side element is bigger than the value on the left side.
- In insertion sort, we incremented the inversion if the value we pick is higher than the previous value.

Methods we used:

For MergeSort:

public static ArrayList<Integer> MergeSortMain(ArrayList<Integer> a): to perform merge sort by dividing.

- Input: unsorted ArrayList
- Process: Divide the ArrayList into half until the smallest half becomes a single element.
- Output: Sorted ArrayLists

Public static ArrayList<Integer> MergeSortMerge(ArrayList<Integer> a, ArrayList<Integer> b): to conquer the merge sort, bottom up.

- Input: two unsorted small ArrayLists
- Process: Merge the two ArrayLists from MergeSortMain
- Output: Sorted ArrayList

For InsertionSort:

public static ArrayList<Integer> InsertionSort(ArrayList<Integer> a): to perform insertion sort

- Input: unsorted ArrayList
- Process: Pick the second element and compare with first element and if the second is less than the first, swap it and pick the third element, compare with first two elements and repeat the process. This process ends when the last element compares with all the previous elements.
- Output: Sorted ArrayList

For QuickSort:

public static ArrayList<Integer> QuickSortMain(ArrayList<Integer> a): to perform quicksort by pivoting

- input: unsorted ArrayList
- Process: Generate a random pivot then begin to sort the values between lessthan and greaterthan. Lessthan will include all values lessthan, greaterthan includes all values greaterthan or equal to. Recursively call QuickSort for each lessthan and greaterthan and return the final ArrayList.
- Output: Sorted ArrayList

Classes Created:

- Product io.java: read the file
- Product.java: create the row of the table
- Table.java: contains all the methods for a table
- Sort.java: contains all the sorting methods

First, we inserted 5 sources into different ArrayLists. Then, we initiate and declare the product, and fill the product with data and we sorted the table according to the sum values of the products. After that, we created a new table to insert all the data which has been sorted according to the sum of the products. Later, we count the inversions of individual source by using three algorithms. We get the inversions by passing the data into the sorting functions.

Results

Table 1.1 (First Iteration)

Sources	Inversions by QuickSort	Inversions by MergeSort	Inversions by InsertionSort	Reliability
Source1	17441666	17457269	17457269	20.096%
Source2	17441666	17473327	17473327	20.077%
Source3	17403915	17431541	17431541	20.125%
Source4	17711318	17737121	17737121	19.770%
Source5	17589351	17610435	17610435	19.920%

Table 1.2 (10th Iteration)

Sources	Inversions by QuickSort	Inversions by MergeSort	Inversions by InsertionSort	Reliability
Source1	16964499	16961050	16961050	20.633%
Source2	18288882	18276559	18276559	19.149%
Source3	17540640	17525968	17525968	19.969%
Source4	17762438	17761974	17761974	19.703%
Source5	17025119	17034236	17034236	20.545%

NOTE: Attached at the end of this report is a copy of the first 10 iterations from our data txt file we wrote into.

Conclusion:

Based on the results, QuickSort gave us a little bit different data, but the error could be in the inversion counting in the function. Insertion and Merge gave us the same inversions. The fastest sorting algorithm is quick sort, then second is the merge sort, and then the insertion sort. While evaluating the dataset we acquired through iterating we noticed that the inversions seem to act like a sine curve. They will become better and more reliable, and then get worse and less reliable repeatedly. The 10th iteration is where many of the sources hit their lowest number of inversions. The first 10 iterations were represented in this dataset to represent the highs and lows of the curve. It appears Source1 kept the most consistent reliability. This would make it the most reliable source out of the datasets we have tested.

Discussion and Contributions by team members

We divided the team into two groups. We had a programming team and report team. Hassan Khan worked on MergeSort and QuickSort. Chris Laxton worked on QuickSort and InsertionSort. Aung Kyaw Min worked on the report. Future work could include experimenting with several different sorting algorithms to find the best runtime for sorting through the rankings. We would also like to experiment and track if the inversions ever steady out, or they will continuously be in the sine curve that was observed through our data. This project brought us a deeper understanding of sorting algorithms and the true power they hold.

Iteration number: 0
Printing inversions

Printing inversions as calculated using MergeSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17457269

Source 2 inversions: 17473327

Source 3 inversions: 17431541

Source 4 inversions: 17737121

Source 5 inversions: 17610435

Printing inversions as calculated using InsertionSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17457269

Source 2 inversions: 17473327

Source 3 inversions: 17431541

Source 4 inversions: 17737121

Source 5 inversions: 17610435

Printing inversions as calculated using QuickSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17436579

Source 2 inversions: 17454256

Source 3 inversions: 17406683

Source 4 inversions: 17712135

Source 5 inversions: 17585700

Reliability for Iteration number: 0

Reliability for Source 1: 20.0961329423124%

Reliability for Source 2: 20.077664582834025%

Reliability for Source 3: 20.12579373241002%

Reliability for Source 4: 19.779061041010035%

Reliability for Source 5: 19.921347701433515%

Iteration number: 1

Printing inversions as calculated using MergeSort:

```
Source 1 inversions: 17170776
```

Source 2 inversions: 17973133

Source 3 inversions: 17304529

Source 4 inversions: 17740075

Source 5 inversions: 17472323

Printing inversions as calculated using InsertionSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17170776

Source 2 inversions: 17973133

Source 3 inversions: 17304529

Source 4 inversions: 17740075

Source 5 inversions: 17472323

Printing inversions as calculated using QuickSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17181824

Source 2 inversions: 17983106

Source 3 inversions: 17308423

Source 4 inversions: 17751383

Source 5 inversions: 17498324

Reliability for Iteration number: 1

Reliability for Source 1: 20.415333878146903%

Reliability for Source 2: 19.503952143360507%

Reliability for Source 3: 20.2575363446569%

Reliability for Source 4: 19.760182842633007%

Reliability for Source 5: 20.062994791202684%

Iteration number: 2

Printing inversions as calculated using MergeSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17108788

Source 2 inversions: 18078503

```
Source 3 inversions: 17428385
```

Source 4 inversions: 17714966

Source 5 inversions: 17373640

Printing inversions as calculated using InsertionSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17108788

Source 2 inversions: 18078503

Source 3 inversions: 17428385

Source 4 inversions: 17714966

Source 5 inversions: 17373640

Printing inversions as calculated using QuickSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17113766

Source 2 inversions: 18093325

Source 3 inversions: 17430060

Source 4 inversions: 17722512

Source 5 inversions: 17392375

Reliability for Iteration number: 2

Reliability for Source 1: 20.4978506826448%

Reliability for Source 2: 19.39836406169868%

Reliability for Source 3: 20.121966674531755%

Reliability for Source 4: 19.796446828429083%

Reliability for Source 5: 20.185371752695698%

Iteration number: 3

Printing inversions as calculated using MergeSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17499744

Source 2 inversions: 17759268

Source 3 inversions: 17351440

Source 4 inversions: 17581426

Source 5 inversions: 17515358

Printing inversions as calculated using InsertionSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17499744

Source 2 inversions: 17759268

Source 3 inversions: 17351440

Source 4 inversions: 17581426

Source 5 inversions: 17515358

Printing inversions as calculated using QuickSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17509539

Source 2 inversions: 17774062

Source 3 inversions: 17355652

Source 4 inversions: 17583036

Source 5 inversions: 17510337

Reliability for Iteration number: 3

Reliability for Source 1: 20.046522927616785%

Reliability for Source 2: 19.753574281123125%

Reliability for Source 3: 20.217861984485737%

Reliability for Source 4: 19.953388275590324%

Reliability for Source 5: 20.02865253118404%

Iteration number: 4

Printing inversions as calculated using MergeSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17333284

Source 2 inversions: 17809043

Source 3 inversions: 17357892

Source 4 inversions: 17482146

Source 5 inversions: 17797677

Printing inversions as calculated using InsertionSort:

```
Source 1 inversions: 17333284
```

Source 2 inversions: 17809043

Source 3 inversions: 17357892

Source 4 inversions: 17482146

Source 5 inversions: 17797677

Printing inversions as calculated using QuickSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17359917

Source 2 inversions: 17825076

Source 3 inversions: 17408622

Source 4 inversions: 17488470

Source 5 inversions: 17811722

Reliability for Iteration number: 4

Reliability for Source 1: 20.25414959155621%

Reliability for Source 2: 19.71307091515285%

Reliability for Source 3: 20.225435616124457%

Reliability for Source 4: 20.08168374874536%

Reliability for Source 5: 19.725660128421104%

Iteration number: 5

Printing inversions as calculated using MergeSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17061694

Source 2 inversions: 17952843

Source 3 inversions: 17522996

Source 4 inversions: 17408724

Source 5 inversions: 17586437

Printing inversions as calculated using InsertionSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17061694

Source 2 inversions: 17952843

```
Source 3 inversions: 17522996
```

Source 4 inversions: 17408724

Source 5 inversions: 17586437

Printing inversions as calculated using QuickSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17068784

Source 2 inversions: 17988098

Source 3 inversions: 17528744

Source 4 inversions: 17431263

Source 5 inversions: 17597393

Reliability for Iteration number: 5

Reliability for Source 1: 20.515918021428643%

Reliability for Source 2: 19.49754233516534%

Reliability for Source 3: 19.975825820584177%

Reliability for Source 4: 20.10694843686824%

Reliability for Source 5: 19.9037653859536%

Iteration number: 6

Printing inversions as calculated using MergeSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17091946

Source 2 inversions: 17864067

Source 3 inversions: 17584442

Source 4 inversions: 17786732

Source 5 inversions: 17443686

Printing inversions as calculated using InsertionSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17091946

Source 2 inversions: 17864067

Source 3 inversions: 17584442

Source 4 inversions: 17786732

Source 5 inversions: 17443686

Printing inversions as calculated using QuickSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17129340

Source 2 inversions: 17872402

Source 3 inversions: 17584030

Source 4 inversions: 17788779

Source 5 inversions: 17453054

Reliability for Iteration number: 6

Reliability for Source 1: 20.53580312458688%

Reliability for Source 2: 19.648204351207877%

Reliability for Source 3: 19.960646954121515%

Reliability for Source 4: 19.733632849150734%

Reliability for Source 5: 20.121712720932987%

Iteration number: 7

Printing inversions as calculated using MergeSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17239257

Source 2 inversions: 18011418

Source 3 inversions: 17305013

Source 4 inversions: 17557889

Source 5 inversions: 17417619

Printing inversions as calculated using InsertionSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17239257

Source 2 inversions: 18011418

Source 3 inversions: 17305013

Source 4 inversions: 17557889

Source 5 inversions: 17417619

Printing inversions as calculated using QuickSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17244949

Source 2 inversions: 18019930

Source 3 inversions: 17314442

Source 4 inversions: 17565580

Source 5 inversions: 17427278

Reliability for Iteration number: 7

Reliability for Source 1: 20.304808850253888%

Reliability for Source 2: 19.43432876722318%

Reliability for Source 3: 20.22765415908997%

Reliability for Source 4: 19.9363271105019%

Reliability for Source 5: 20.096881112931055%

Iteration number: 8

Printing inversions as calculated using MergeSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17076155

Source 2 inversions: 18125911

Source 3 inversions: 17212490

Source 4 inversions: 17678916

Source 5 inversions: 17448569

Printing inversions as calculated using InsertionSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17076155

Source 2 inversions: 18125911

Source 3 inversions: 17212490

Source 4 inversions: 17678916

Source 5 inversions: 17448569

Printing inversions as calculated using QuickSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17093488

Source 2 inversions: 18141389

Source 3 inversions: 17226838

Source 4 inversions: 17700093

Source 5 inversions: 17466059

Reliability for Iteration number: 8

Reliability for Source 1: 20.49715349961639%

Reliability for Source 2: 19.310067858400476%

Reliability for Source 3: 20.334801669055075%

Reliability for Source 4: 19.798304993195877%

Reliability for Source 5: 20.059671979732176%

Iteration number: 9

Printing inversions as calculated using MergeSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17167618

Source 2 inversions: 17943585

Source 3 inversions: 17378206

Source 4 inversions: 17821173

Source 5 inversions: 17419959

Printing inversions as calculated using InsertionSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17167618

Source 2 inversions: 17943585

Source 3 inversions: 17378206

Source 4 inversions: 17821173

Source 5 inversions: 17419959

Printing inversions as calculated using QuickSort:

Source 1 inversions: 17178477

Source 2 inversions: 17953745

Source 3 inversions: 17377811

Source 4 inversions: 17842190

Source 5 inversions: 17427397

Reliability for Iteration number: 9

Reliability for Source 1: 20.435360794855654%

Reliability for Source 2: 19.5516374627468%

Reliability for Source 3: 20.187726400866268%

Reliability for Source 4: 19.685935856617473%

Reliability for Source 5: 20.1393394849138%

Iteration number: 10

Printing inversions as calculated using MergeSort:

Source 1 inversions: 16961050

Source 2 inversions: 18276559

Source 3 inversions: 17525968

Source 4 inversions: 17761974

Source 5 inversions: 17034236

Printing inversions as calculated using InsertionSort:

Source 1 inversions: 16961050

Source 2 inversions: 18276559

Source 3 inversions: 17525968

Source 4 inversions: 17761974

Source 5 inversions: 17034236

Printing inversions as calculated using QuickSort:

Source 1 inversions: 16965711

Source 2 inversions: 18291419

Source 3 inversions: 17532236

Source 4 inversions: 17768059

Source 5 inversions: 17042360

Reliability for Iteration number: 10

Reliability for Source 1: 20.633870830099998%

Reliability for Source 2: 19.148687470549074%

Reliability for Source 3: 19.968775220174038%

Reliability for Source 4: 19.703447137873937%

Reliability for Source 5: 20.545219341302957%