Numerical Python

optimization

CS101 Lecture #20

Administrivia

Administrivia 1/33

Administrivia

- ▶ Homework #9 is due Friday, Dec. 9.
- ▶ Homework #10 is due Tuesday, Dec. 20.
- ▶ Midterm #2 is Monday, Dec. 19 from 7–10 p.m.

Administrivia 2/3

Warmup Question

Warmup Question 3/33

Question #1

```
x = 'ABCD'
z = 'XYZ'
for a in itertools.product( x,y ):
    print( ' '.join( a ) )
Which of the following is not printed?
 A'AX'
 B 'B D'
 C'CX'
 D 'D 7.'
```

Warmup Question 4/33

Question #1

```
x = 'ABCD'
z = 'XYZ'
for a in itertools.product( x,y ):
    print( ' '.join( a ) )
Which of the following is not printed?
 A'AX'
 B 'B D' ★
 C'CX'
 D 'D 7.
```

Warmup Question 5/33

Brute-Force Search

Brute-Force Search 6/33

Assume that a password can contain characters from the alphabet (upper- and lower-case); digits; and a selection of special characters (ampersand, dash): 86 characters.

Brute-Force Search 7/33

Assume that a password can contain characters from the alphabet (upper- and lower-case); digits; and a selection of special characters (ampersand, dash): 86 characters.

Characters	Search Space
1	86
2	$86^2 = 7396$

Brute-Force Search 7/33

Assume that a password can contain characters from the alphabet (upper- and lower-case); digits; and a selection of special characters (ampersand, dash): 86 characters.

Characters	Search Space
1	86
2	$86^2 = 7396$
3	$86^3 = 636056$
4	$86^4 = 54700816$
5	$86^5 = 4704270176$

Brute-Force Search 7/3:

Assume that a password can contain characters from the alphabet (upper- and lower-case); digits; and a selection of special characters (ampersand, dash): 86 characters.

Characters	Search Space
1	86
2	$86^2 = 7396$
3	$86^3 = 636056$
4	$86^4 = 54700816$
5	$86^5 = 4704270176$
10	$86^{10} = 2.2 \times 10^{19}$
20	$86^{20} = 4.9 \times 10^{38}$

Brute-Force Search 7/3:

If Python can try a password attempt every 1×10^{-7} s, how long does it take to crack a password of length n?

Characters	Search Space	Time
1	86	$8.6 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{s}$
2	7396	$7.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{s}$
3	636056	$6.4 imes10^{-2}\mathrm{s}$
4	54700816	0
5	4704270176	$470.4\mathrm{s}$

Brute-Force Search 8/33

If Python can try a password attempt every 1×10^{-7} s, how long does it take to crack a password of length n?

Characters	Search Space	Time
1	86	$8.6 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{s}$
2	7396	$7.4 imes10^{-4}\mathrm{s}$
3	636056	$6.4 imes 10^{-2}\mathrm{s}$
4	54700816	$5.4\mathrm{s}$
5	4704270176	$470.4\mathrm{s}$
10	2.2×10^{19}	$1.9 imes 10^{14}\mathrm{s}$

Brute-Force Search 8/33

If Python can try a password attempt every 1×10^{-7} s, how long does it take to crack a password of length n?

Characters	Search Space	Time
1	86	$8.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{s}$
2	7396	$7.4 imes 10^{-4}\mathrm{s}$
3	636056	$6.4 imes10^{-2}\mathrm{s}$
4	54700816	$5.4\mathrm{s}$
5	4704270176	$470.4\mathrm{s}$
10	2.2×10^{19}	$1.9 imes 10^{14}\mathrm{s}$
20	4.9×10^{38}	$4.9 imes 10^{31}\mathrm{s}$

Brute-Force Search 8/33

Heuristic Optimization

Heuristic optimization

In many cases, a "good-enough" solution is fine.

Heuristic optimization

- In many cases, a "good-enough" solution is fine.
- If we have a figure of *relative* merit, we can classify candidate solutions by how good they are.

Heuristic optimization

- In many cases, a "good-enough" solution is fine.
- ▶ If we have a figure of *relative* merit, we can classify candidate solutions by how good they are.

Hill-climbing algorithm

▶ Strategy: Always selecting neighboring candidate solution which improves on this one.

Hill-climbing algorithm

- **▶ S**trategy: Always selecting neighboring candidate solution which improves on this one.
- ► Analogy: Trying to find the highest hill by only taking a step uphill from where you are.

Hill-climbing algorithm

- **▶ S**trategy: Always selecting neighboring candidate solution which improves on this one.
- ► Analogy: Trying to find the highest hill by only taking a step uphill from where you are.
- ▶ Pitfall: Finding a *local* optimum instead of the global optimum.

Steepest ascent algorithm

➤ Strategy: Tweaking our current solution by changing all elements to improve the result. Picking the candidate solution with the greatest improvement.

Steepest ascent algorithm

- ➤ Strategy: Tweaking our current solution by changing all elements to improve the result. Picking the candidate solution with the greatest improvement.
- ♣ Analogy: Trying to find the highest hill by always taking the steepest step uphill from where you are.

Steepest ascent algorithm

- ➤ Strategy: Tweaking our current solution by changing all elements to improve the result. Picking the candidate solution with the greatest improvement.
- ♣ Analogy: Trying to find the highest hill by always taking the steepest step uphill from where you are.
- Pitfall: Finding a local optimum instead of the global optimum.

Random sampling

▶ Strategy: Choosing at random a candidate solution (sometimes within a constrained space).

Random sampling

- **▶ S**trategy: Choosing at random a candidate solution (sometimes within a constrained space).
- ♣ Analogy: Picking random heights in the region of a hill, accepting the tallest as the highest.

Random sampling

- **▶ S**trategy: Choosing at random a candidate solution (sometimes within a constrained space).
- ♣ Analogy: Picking random heights in the region of a hill, accepting the tallest as the highest.
- Pitfall: Without good constraints, missing the optimum value.

Random walk

➤ Strategy: Tweaking the current candidate solution at random, and possibly rejecting the solution if worse.

Random walk

- ➤ Strategy: Tweaking the current candidate solution at random, and possibly rejecting the solution if worse.
- ♣ Analogy: Taking random steps near a hill, but maybe not taking the step if it's worse.

Random walk

- ➤ Strategy: Tweaking the current candidate solution at random, and possibly rejecting the solution if worse.
- ♣ Analogy: Taking random steps near a hill, but maybe not taking the step if it's worse.
- ▶ Pitfall: Converging slowly, can still miss best candidate solution. BUT: has a way from getting stuck in local optima.

- > We require:
 - A problem with relative solution assessment
 - An algorithm to assess solutions
- ▶ The password cracking didn't have the former.
- Let's revisit the bag-packing algorithm.

- Our comparative strategies:
 - Brute-force (last lecture)
 - Hill-climbing

- Our comparative strategies:
 - Brute-force (last lecture)
 - Hill-climbing
 - Select heaviest item, then add next heaviest, etc.

- Our comparative strategies:
 - Brute-force (last lecture)
 - Hill-climbing
 - Select heaviest item, then add next heaviest, etc.
 - Select most valuable item, then add next most valuable item, etc.

- Our comparative strategies:
 - Brute-force (last lecture)
 - Hill-climbing
 - Select heaviest item, then add next heaviest, etc.
 - Select most valuable item, then add next most valuable item, etc.
 - Random sampling

- Our comparative strategies:
 - Brute-force (last lecture)
 - Hill-climbing
 - Select heaviest item, then add next heaviest, etc.
 - Select most valuable item, then add next most valuable item, etc.
 - Random sampling
 - Random walk: sample randomly, then iteratively allow change

Setup

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import itertools

n = 10
items = list( range( n ) )
weights = np.random.uniform( size=(n,) ) * 50
values = np.random.uniform( size=(n,) ) * 100
```

Heuristic Optimization 17/33

Setup

```
def f( wts, vals ):
    total_weight = 0
    total_value = 0
    for i in range( len( wts ) ):
        total_weight += wts[ i ]
        total value += vals[ i ]
    if total_weight >= 50:
        return 0
    else:
        return total value
```

Heuristic Optimization 18/33

Tracking cases
max_value = 0.0

```
max_set = None
lists = ∏
for i in range(n):
    for set in itertools.combinations(items,i):
        wts = []
        vals = []
        for item in set:
            wts.append( weights[ item ] )
            vals.append( values[ item ] )
        value = f( wts, vals )
        lists.append( ( wts, value ) )
        if value > 0:
            print( value, wts )
        if value > max_value:
            max value = value
            \max set = set
```

Heuristic Optimization 19/33

Tracking cases

```
array = np.array( lists )
plt.plot( array[:,1], 'b.' )
plt.xlim( ( 0, len(lists) ) )
plt.show()
```

Heuristic Optimization 20/33

Brute-force search

```
import itertools
max value = 0.0
max_set = None
for i in range(n):
    for set in itertools.combinations(items,i):
        wts = \Pi
        vals = []
        for item in set:
            wts.append( weights[ item ] )
            vals.append( values[ item ] )
        value = f( wts, vals )
        if value > max_value:
            max value = value
            max_set = set
```

Heuristic Optimization 21/33

Hill-climbing search

```
\max wt = 50.0
wts orig = wts[:]
vals orig = vals[ : ]
best vals = [ ]
best wts = []
best_vals.append( max( vals ) )
best_wts.append( wts[ vals.index( max( vals ) ) ] )
wts.remove( wts[ vals.index( max( vals ) ) ] )
vals.remove( max( vals ) )
```

Heuristic Optimization 22/3

Hill-climbing search

Heuristic Optimization 23/33

Random walk

```
# try a configuration at random
# alter it at random with small likelihood of getting worse
for t in range( 1000 ):
    # two possible moves: adding or removing
    if f( next_wts,next_vals ) > f( trial_wts,trial_vals )
        # if improvement, accept the change
    else:
        # if no improvement, *maybe* accept the change
    # if all-time best, track it
# (see random-walk.py)
```

Heuristic Optimization 24/33

In order to compare algorithms, we need a way to measure code run time (called "wallclock time").

- In order to compare algorithms, we need a way to measure code run time (called "wallclock time").
- ➤ The timeit module provides three ways to time your code:

- In order to compare algorithms, we need a way to measure code run time (called "wallclock time").
- ➤ The timeit module provides three ways to time your code:
 - Interpreter: timeit.timeit('func(n)',
 number=10000)

- In order to compare algorithms, we need a way to measure code run time (called "wallclock time").
- ➤ The timeit module provides three ways to time your code:
 - Interpreter: timeit.timeit('func(n)', number=10000)
 - Command line: python3 -m timeit 'code'

- In order to compare algorithms, we need a way to measure code run time (called "wallclock time").
- ➤ The timeit module provides three ways to time your code:
 - Interpreter: timeit.timeit('func(n)', number=10000)
 - Command line: python3 -m timeit 'code'
 - Notebook: %timeit func(n) (this is easiest)

- In order to compare algorithms, we need a way to measure code run time (called "wallclock time").
- ➤ The timeit module provides three ways to time your code:
 - Interpreter: timeit.timeit('func(n)',
 number=10000)
 - Command line: python3 -m timeit 'code'
 - Notebook: %timeit func(n) (this is easiest)
- ➤ These run your code many times and return an average time to completion.

Fibonacci sequence

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
 $F_1 = F_2 = 1$
 $11235813213455...$

Fibonacci sequence

$$F_n = F_{n-1} + F_{n-2}$$
 $F_1 = F_2 = 1$
 $11235813213455...$

$$F_n = \frac{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n + \left(\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^n}{\sqrt{5} + \frac{1}{2}}$$

Analytical Fibonacci

```
def fib_a( n ):
    sqrt_5 = 5**0.5;
    p = ( 1 + sqrt_5 ) / 2;
    q = 1 / p;
    return int( (p**n + q**n) / sqrt 5 + 0.5 )
```

Recursive Fibonacci

```
def fib_r( n ):
    if n == 1 or n == 2:
        return 1
    else:
        return fib_r( n-1 ) + fib_r( n-2 )
```

Comparison

```
%timeit fib_a( 12 )
%timeit fib_r( 12 )
```

Code Performance 30/33

Comparison

```
%timeit fib_a( 12 )
%timeit fib r( 12 )
```

On my machine, fib_a is 55 × faster than fib_r for n = 12. (Will this performance get better or worse for larger n?)

Code Performance 30/33

Comparing Results 31/33

arrays don't play nicely with comparisons:
 one = np.ones((5,))
 if one == 1:
 print('setup correct')

Comparing Results 32/33

arrays don't play nicely with comparisons:
 one = np.ones((5,))
 if one == 1:
 print('setup correct')

ValueError: The truth value of an array
 with more than one element is ambiguous.

Comparing Results 32/33

arrays don't play nicely with comparisons:

```
one = np.ones( ( 5, ) )
if one == 1:
    print( 'setup correct' )

ValueError: The truth value of an array
    with more than one element is ambiguous.
```

▶ Which element is compared? It's ambiguous.

Comparing Results 32/33

arrays have the built-in methods any and all: one = np.ones((5,)) if one.all() == 1: print('setup correct')

Comparing Results 33/33

arrays have the built-in methods any and all:
 one = np.ones((5,))
 if one.all() == 1:
 print('setup correct')

domain = np.linspace(0,10,11)
 if domain.any() == 1:
 print('setup contains one')

Comparing Results 33/3