Minimax lowerbounds

Eric Ziebell

May 6, 2025

General comments

- 1. Warning: This document is dedicated to my personal learning process, and might contain errors.
- 2. If you find any typos or errors, feel free to make a pull request or contact me directly.
- 3. If you would simply like to contribute, please reach out as well.

1 The essentials

Definition 1.1. Let $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta,n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$ be a statistical model and (Θ, d) a metric space¹. Suppose that $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a null sequence. Then, $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called optimal (minimax) convergence rate over Θ if

1. There exists an estimator $\hat{\vartheta}_n^*$ such that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} v_n^{-2} \sup_{\vartheta\in\Theta} \mathbb{E}_{\vartheta,n}[d(\hat{\vartheta}_n^*,\vartheta)^2] < \infty.$$

2. We have the uniform lowerbound

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} v_n^{-2} \inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n} \sup_{\vartheta\in\Theta} \mathbb{E}_{\vartheta,n}[d(\hat{\vartheta}_n,\vartheta)^2] > 0,$$

where the infimum is taken over all measurable functions (estimators) in model n.

The following reduction scheme can, for instance, be found in ? , Chapter 2].

Proposition 1.2 (Reduction scheme to a testing problem). Let $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta,n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$ be a statistical model and (Θ, d) a metric space. Suppose that $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a null sequence satisfying an upper bound (1) and

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{\psi_n} \max_{j=1,\dots,M} \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j,n}(\psi_n \neq j) > 0,$$

for parameters $\{\vartheta_1,\ldots,\vartheta_M\}\subset\Theta$ separated according to $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, see (A.1). Then, v_n is the optimal minimax convergence rate over Θ .

Let us first consider the situation where we consider only two hypotheses for the reduction from Proposition 1.2.

Lemma 1.3. Let $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta,n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$ be a statistical model and (Θ, d) be a metric space. Suppose that $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a null sequence satisfying an upper bound (1) and

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \|\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1,n} - \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1+2\alpha v_n,n}\|_{\mathrm{TV}}) > 0.$$

Then, v_n is the optimal minimax convergence rate over Θ .

 $^{^1\}mathrm{In}$ general $d:\Theta\times\Theta\to[0,\infty)$ is also allowed to be a semi-distance.

Now it is important to note that usually it does not matter which f-divergence we take here. To see this, we require a slight generalisation of Proposition 1.2. (The original result is recovered by setting $\tilde{\Theta} = \{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_M\}$ and π as the discrete uniform. Note also that many ideas from the proof of Proposition 1.2 and Lemma 1.3 are also visible in this result.)

Proposition 1.4. Let $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta,n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$ be a statistical model and (Θ, d) a metric space. Suppose $\tilde{\Theta} \subset \Theta$ and $((\tilde{\Theta}, d), \Pi, \pi)$ is a polish space. Then, the minimax risk is lower bounded by the Bayes risk according to π :

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{n \to \infty} v_n^{-2} \inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n} \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_{\vartheta,n} [d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta)^2] & \geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} v_n^{-2} \inf_{\psi_n} \int_{\tilde{\Theta}} \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta}(\psi_n \neq \vartheta) \mathrm{d}\pi(\vartheta) \\ & = 1 - \int_{\mathcal{X}} \max_{\vartheta \in \tilde{\Theta}} (\pi(\vartheta) p_{\vartheta}(x)) \mathrm{d}\mu(x). \end{split}$$

Definition 1.5. For a convex function $f:[0,\infty)\to\mathbb{R}$ satisfying f(1)=0 the f-divergence between two probability measures P and Q is given by

$$D_f(P|Q) := \int f\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}Q}\right) \mathrm{d}Q,$$

provided that P is absolutely continuous with respect to Q and ∞ otherwise.

The following result provides a lowerbound for the minimax risk, based on an f-divergence.

Proposition 1.6 (?]).

References

Potentially make a statistical model assumption at the beginning somewhere.

Check if later formulation is also true if π is non-discrete.

Add a proof.

A Proofs for Section 1 (The essentials)

Proposition 1.2 (Reduction scheme to a testing problem). Let $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta,n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$ be a statistical model and (Θ, d) a metric space. Suppose that $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a null sequence satisfying an upper bound (1) and

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\inf_{\psi_n}\max_{j=1,\dots,M}\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j,n}(\psi_n\neq j)>0,$$

for parameters $\{\vartheta_1,\ldots,\vartheta_M\}\subset\Theta$ separated according to $(v_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, see (A.1). Then, v_n is the optimal minimax convergence rate over Θ .

Proof of Proposition 1.2.

1. With Markov's inequality, we observe that for all $\alpha > 0$, we have

$$v_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}_{\vartheta,n}[d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta)^2] \ge \alpha^2 \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta,n}(d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta) \ge \alpha v_n),$$

such that

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} v_n^{-2} \inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n} \sup_{\vartheta\in\Theta} \mathbb{E}_{\vartheta,n}[d(\hat{\vartheta}_n,\vartheta)^2] \geq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n} \sup_{\vartheta\in\Theta} \alpha^2 \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta,n}(d(\hat{\vartheta}_n,\vartheta) \geq \alpha v_n).$$

2. For any subset $\{\vartheta_1,\ldots,\vartheta_M\}\subset\Theta$, we obtain the lowerbound

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n}\sup_{\vartheta\in\Theta}\alpha^2\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta,n}(d(\hat{\vartheta}_n,\vartheta)\geq\alpha v_n)\geq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n}\inf_{j=1,\dots,M}\alpha^2\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j,n}(d(\hat{\vartheta}_n,\vartheta_j)\geq\alpha v_n).$$

3. Suppose that $\{\vartheta_1,\ldots,\vartheta_M\}\subset\Theta$ are separated according to

$$d(\theta_i, \theta_i) > 2\alpha v_n = \gamma, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, M. \tag{A.1}$$

Let us now consider the minimum distance test $\psi^* : \mathcal{X}_n \to \{1, \dots, M\}$:

$$\psi^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{k=1} Md(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta_k).$$

Since the hypothesis are separated with radius γ , we observe that $\{\hat{\vartheta}_n \in B_{\gamma}(\vartheta_j)\} \subset \{\psi^* = j\}$. Thus, we clearly have the inclusion $\{\psi^* \neq j\} \subset \{\hat{\vartheta}_n \in B_{\gamma}(\vartheta_j)\}^c = \{\hat{\vartheta}_n \in B_{\gamma}(\vartheta_j)^c\}$. However, it is still possible that $\hat{\vartheta}_n$ is the closest to ϑ_j but not inside of a γ ball, so the inclusion might be strict. All in all, we obtain the bound

$$\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j,n}(d(\hat{\vartheta}_n,\vartheta_j) \ge \gamma) \ge \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j,n}(\psi^* \ne j), \quad j = 1,\dots,M.$$

4. Since for any $\hat{\vartheta}_n$, we can construct such a test, we may replace the infimum over all estimators with an infimum over all tests in model n, yielding the inequality

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n}\max_{j=1,\dots,M}\alpha^2\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j,n}(d(\hat{\vartheta}_n,\vartheta_j)\geq\alpha v_n)\geq\alpha^2\liminf_{n\to\infty}\inf\inf_{\psi_n}\max_{j=1,\dots,M}\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j,n}(\psi_n\neq j).$$

Thus, if the latter term is positive, we obtain the desired lower bound.

Lemma 1.3. Let $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta,n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$ be a statistical model and (Θ, d) be a metric space. Suppose that $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a null sequence satisfying an upper bound (1) and

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \|\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1, n} - \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1 + 2\alpha v_n, n}\|_{\text{TV}}) > 0.$$

Then, v_n is the optimal minimax convergence rate over Θ .

Proof. The result follows from Proposition 1.2 for M=2, by setting $\vartheta_2=\vartheta_1+2\alpha v_n$ and observing

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\inf_{\psi_n}\inf_{j=1,2}\mathbb{P}_{n,\vartheta_j}(\psi_n\neq j)\geq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\inf_{\psi_n}\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1,n}(\psi_n=2)+\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_2,n}(\psi_n=1)\right),$$

where we have used that $\max(a,b) \geq \frac{1}{2}(a+b)$. By going over to the complementary event, we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_{1},n}(\psi_{n}=2) + \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_{2},n}(\psi_{n}=1) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_{1},n}(\psi_{n}=1) + 1 - \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_{2},n}(\psi_{n}=2) \right) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_{1},n}(\psi_{n}=1) + \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_{2},n}(\psi_{n}=2) \right) \\ &= 1 - \int_{\mathcal{X}_{n}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbb{I}_{\{\psi_{n}(x)=1\}} p_{1}(x) + \mathbb{I}_{\{\psi_{n}(x)=2\}} p_{2}(x) \right) \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}_{n}} \max(p_{0}(x), p_{1}(x)) \mathrm{d}\mu(x). \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - \|\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_{1},n} - \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_{1}+2\alpha v_{n},n}\|_{\mathrm{TV}}), \end{split}$$

concluding the proof.

Proposition 1.4. Let $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta,n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$ be a statistical model and (Θ, d) a metric space. Suppose $\tilde{\Theta} \subset \Theta$ and $((\tilde{\Theta}, d), \Pi, \pi)$ is a polish space. Then, the minimax risk is lower bounded by the Bayes risk according to π :

$$\begin{split} \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} v_n^{-2} \inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n} \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_{\vartheta,n} [d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta)^2] &\geq \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} v_n^{-2} \inf_{\psi_n} \int_{\tilde{\Theta}} \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta}(\psi_n \neq \vartheta) \mathrm{d}\pi(\vartheta) \\ &= 1 - \int_{\mathcal{X}} \max_{\vartheta \in \tilde{\Theta}} (\pi(\vartheta) p_{\vartheta}(x)) \mathrm{d}\mu(x). \end{split}$$

Potentially make a statistical model assumption at the beginning somewhere.

Check if later formulation is also true if π is non-discrete.