

# Minimax lowerbounds

Eric Ziebell

May 6, 2025

## General comments

1. Warning: This document is dedicated to my personal learning process, and might contain errors.
2. If you find any typos or errors, feel free to make a pull request or contact me directly.
3. If you would simply like to contribute, please reach out as well.

## 1 The essentials

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta, n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$  be a statistical model and  $(\Theta, d)$  a metric space<sup>1</sup>. Suppose that  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a null sequence. Then,  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called optimal (minimax) convergence rate over  $\Theta$  if

1. There exists an estimator  $\hat{\vartheta}_n^*$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n^{-2} \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_{\vartheta, n}[d(\hat{\vartheta}_n^*, \vartheta)^2] < \infty.$$

2. We have the uniform lowerbound

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n^{-2} \inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n} \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_{\vartheta, n}[d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta)^2] > 0,$$

where the infimum is taken over all measurable functions (estimators) in model  $n$ .

The following reduction scheme can, for instance, be found in Tsybakov [2, Chapter 2].

**Proposition 1.2** (Reduction scheme to a testing problem). *Let  $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta, n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$  be a statistical model and  $(\Theta, d)$  a metric space. Suppose that  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a null sequence satisfying an upper bound (1) and*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\psi_n} \max_{j=1, \dots, M} \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j, n}(\psi_n \neq j) > 0,$$

for parameters  $\{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_M\} \subset \Theta$  separated according to  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , see (A.1). Then,  $v_n$  is the optimal minimax convergence rate over  $\Theta$ .

Let us first consider the situation where we consider only two hypotheses for the reduction from Proposition 1.2.

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta, n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$  be a statistical model and  $(\Theta, d)$  be a metric space. Suppose that  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a null sequence satisfying an upper bound (1) and*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \|\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1, n} - \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1 + 2\alpha v_n, n}\|_{\text{TV}}) > 0.$$

Then,  $v_n$  is the optimal minimax convergence rate over  $\Theta$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>In general  $d : \Theta \times \Theta \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is also allowed to be a semi-distance.

**Definition 1.4.**

1. *f*-divergence: For a convex function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $f(1) = 0$  the *f*-divergence between two probability measures  $P$  and  $Q$  is given by

$$D_f(P|Q) := \int f\left(\frac{dP}{dQ}\right) dQ,$$

provided that  $P$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $Q$  and  $\infty$  otherwise.

2. *f*-spread: Let  $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta, n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$  be a statistical model. Suppose that  $f$  induces a *f*-divergence. Then, we call

$$\mathcal{J}_f(\Theta, n) := \inf_Q \sum_{\vartheta \in \Theta} D_f(P_{\vartheta}|Q), \quad (1.1)$$

the *f*-spread over  $\Theta$ .<sup>2</sup>

Now it is important to note that usually it does not matter which *f*-divergence we take here. To see this, we require a slight generalisation of Proposition 1.2. (The original result is recovered by setting  $\Theta = \{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_M\}$  and  $\pi$  as the discrete uniform. Note also that many ideas from the proof of Proposition 1.2 and Lemma 1.3 are also visible in this result.) The following result provides a lowerbound for the minimax risk, based on an *f*-divergence and is proven in [1, II.2].

**Proposition 1.5.** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta, n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$  be a statistical model and  $(\Theta, d)$  a metric space. Suppose  $\tilde{\Theta} \subset \Theta$  and  $((\tilde{\Theta}, d), \Pi, \pi)$  is a polish space. Then, we have*

1. *Then, the minimax risk is lower bounded by the Bayes risk according to  $\pi$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n^{-2} \inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n} \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_{\vartheta, n}[d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta)^2] &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n^{-2} \inf_{\psi_n} \int_{\tilde{\Theta}} \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta, n}(\psi_n \neq \vartheta) d\pi(\vartheta) \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( 1 - \int_{\mathcal{X}} \max_{\vartheta \in \tilde{\Theta}} (\pi(\vartheta) p_{\vartheta, n}(x)) d\mu(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

2. *Suppose that  $|\tilde{\Theta}| < \infty$  and  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  induces an *f*-divergence according to Definition 1.4. If  $f$  is differentiable, we have for every  $a \in [0, 1 - 1/|\tilde{\Theta}|]$  a lowerbound for the minimax risk, based on the *f*-spread within the (reduced) statistical model  $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta, n})_{\vartheta \in \tilde{\Theta}})$ :*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( 1 - \int_{\mathcal{X}} \max_{\vartheta \in \tilde{\Theta}} (\pi(\vartheta) p_{\vartheta, n}(x)) d\mu(x) \right) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( a + \frac{\mathcal{J}_f(\tilde{\Theta}, n) - g_{\tilde{\Theta}}(a)}{g'_{\tilde{\Theta}}(a)} \right),$$

with

$$g(a) = f(|\tilde{\Theta}|(1-a)) + (|\tilde{\Theta}| - 1)f\left(\frac{\tilde{\Theta}a}{|\tilde{\Theta}| - 1}\right),$$

and  $\mathcal{J}_f(\tilde{\Theta}, n)$  defined according to (1.1).

**Remark 1.6.**

1. Usually, the *f*-spread  $\mathcal{J}_f$  cannot be computed exactly and also needs to be bounded.
2. If  $\tilde{\Theta} = \{1, 2\}$ , we have  $\mathcal{J}_f(\{1, 2\}, n) \geq f(1 + \|P_{1, n} - P_{2, n}\|_{\text{TV}}) + f(1 - \|P_{1, n} - P_{2, n}\|_{\text{TV}})$ .

## References

- [1] Adityanand Guntuboyina. Lower bounds for the minimax risk using  $f$ -divergences and applications, 2011. *Preprint*, arXiv: 1002.0042.
- [2] Alexandre B. Tsybakov. *Introduction to Nonparametric Estimation*. Springer Series in Statistics. Springer, 2009.

<sup>2</sup>Note that in this definition it might also be sensible to additionally normalize by  $|\Theta|$ .

what happens if we allow  $|\tilde{\Theta}| = \infty$ ?

## A Proofs for Section 1 (The essentials)

**Proposition 1.2** (Reduction scheme to a testing problem). *Let  $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta,n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$  be a statistical model and  $(\Theta, d)$  a metric space. Suppose that  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a null sequence satisfying an upper bound (1) and*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\psi_n} \max_{j=1, \dots, M} \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j, n}(\psi_n \neq j) > 0,$$

*for parameters  $\{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_M\} \subset \Theta$  separated according to  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , see (A.1). Then,  $v_n$  is the optimal minimax convergence rate over  $\Theta$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 1.2.*

1. With Markov's inequality, we observe that for all  $\alpha > 0$ , we have

$$v_n^{-2} \mathbb{E}_{\vartheta, n}[d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta)^2] \geq \alpha^2 \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta, n}(d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta) \geq \alpha v_n),$$

such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n^{-2} \inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n} \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \mathbb{E}_{\vartheta, n}[d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta)^2] \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n} \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \alpha^2 \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta, n}(d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta) \geq \alpha v_n).$$

2. For any subset  $\{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_M\} \subset \Theta$ , we obtain the lowerbound

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n} \sup_{\vartheta \in \Theta} \alpha^2 \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta, n}(d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta) \geq \alpha v_n) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n} \max_{j=1, \dots, M} \alpha^2 \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j, n}(d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta_j) \geq \alpha v_n).$$

3. Suppose that  $\{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_M\} \subset \Theta$  are separated according to

$$d(\vartheta_i, \vartheta_j) > 2\alpha v_n = \gamma, \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, M. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Let us now consider the minimum distance test  $\psi^* : \mathcal{X}_n \rightarrow \{1, \dots, M\}$ :

$$\psi^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{k=1, \dots, M} d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta_k).$$

Since the hypothesis are separated with radius  $\gamma$ , we observe that  $\{\hat{\vartheta}_n \in B_\gamma(\vartheta_j)\} \subset \{\psi^* = j\}$ . Thus, we clearly have the inclusion  $\{\psi^* \neq j\} \subset \{\hat{\vartheta}_n \in B_\gamma(\vartheta_j)\}^c = \{\hat{\vartheta}_n \in B_\gamma(\vartheta_j)^c\}$ . However, it is still possible that  $\hat{\vartheta}_n$  is the closest to  $\vartheta_j$  but not inside of a  $\gamma$  ball, so the inclusion might be strict. All in all, we obtain the bound

$$\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j, n}(d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta_j) \geq \gamma) \geq \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j, n}(\psi^* \neq j), \quad j = 1, \dots, M.$$

4. Since for any  $\hat{\vartheta}_n$ , we can construct such a test, we may replace the infimum over all estimators with an infimum over all tests in model  $n$ , yielding the inequality

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\hat{\vartheta}_n} \max_{j=1, \dots, M} \alpha^2 \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j, n}(d(\hat{\vartheta}_n, \vartheta_j) \geq \alpha v_n) \geq \alpha^2 \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\psi_n} \max_{j=1, \dots, M} \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_j, n}(\psi_n \neq j).$$

Thus, if the latter term is positive, we obtain the desired lower bound.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $(\mathcal{X}_n, \mathcal{F}_n, (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta,n})_{\vartheta \in \Theta})$  be a statistical model and  $(\Theta, d)$  be a metric space. Suppose that  $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a null sequence satisfying an upper bound (1) and*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \|\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1, n} - \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1 + 2\alpha v_n, n}\|_{\text{TV}}) > 0.$$

*Then,  $v_n$  is the optimal minimax convergence rate over  $\Theta$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows from Proposition 1.2 for  $M = 2$ , by setting  $\vartheta_2 = \vartheta_1 + 2\alpha v_n$  and observing

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\psi_n} \max_{j=1,2} \mathbb{P}_{n,\vartheta_j}(\psi_n \neq j) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\psi_n} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1,n}(\psi_n = 2) + \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_2,n}(\psi_n = 1)),$$

where we have used that  $\max(a, b) \geq \frac{1}{2}(a + b)$ . By going over to the complementary event, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1,n}(\psi_n = 2) + \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_2,n}(\psi_n = 1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1,n}(\psi_n = 1) + 1 - \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_2,n}(\psi_n = 2)) \\ &= 1 - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1,n}(\psi_n = 1) + \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_2,n}(\psi_n = 2)) \\ &= 1 - \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{1}_{\{\psi_n(x)=1\}} p_1(x) + \mathbb{1}_{\{\psi_n(x)=2\}} p_2(x)) d\mu(x) \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{X}_n} \max(p_0(x), p_1(x)) d\mu(x). \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - \|\mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1,n} - \mathbb{P}_{\vartheta_1+2\alpha v_n,n}\|_{\text{TV}}), \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof. □