ALY6050 Module Six (Final) Project

Project: Two Optimization Problems:

- (i) A Transshipment Problem
- (ii) A Risk Minimizing Problem

The Module 6 Final Project consists of two parts. If using Excel, complete each part in a separate worksheet of the same Excel workbook (Name your worksheets: "Part I" and "Part 2"). If using R, complete each part in a separate R script file. The submission of this project will consist of an Excel workbook (or two R script files if R has been used) and a Word document. For each part, write a minimum of 1500 words in the Word document describing your methods and your findings. Furthermore, the Word document should be according to the APA standards, i.e., it consists of a title page (including student's name, assignment title, course number and title, the current academic term, instructor's name, and the assignment completion date), and a reference page. The Word submission of each project will consist of three sections:

- (i) Introduction
- (ii) Analysis
- (iii) Conclusion

Part 1: Rockhill Shipping & Transport Company

Allen, a manager of the South-Atlantic office of the Rockhill Shipping & Transport Company(RSTC) is negotiating a new shipping contract with Chimotoxic, a company that manufactures chemicals for industrial use. Chimotoxic wants Rockhill to pick up and transport waste products from its six plants to three waste disposal sites. Allen is very concerned about this proposal arrangement. The chemical wastes that will be hauled can be hazardous to humans or the environment if they leak. In addition, some of the communities in the regions where the plants are located may prohibit hazardous materials from being shipped through their municipal limits. Thus, not only the shipments have to be handled carefully and transported at reduced speeds, but they may also have to traverse in circuitous routes in some cases.

Allen has estimated the cost of shipping a barrel of waste from each of the six plants to each of the three waste disposal sites as shown in the following table.

| | <u> Waste Proposal Site</u> | | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------|----------|-------|--|
| <u>Plant:</u> | Orangeburg | Florence | Macon | |
| Denver | \$8 | \$14 | \$13 | |
| Morganton | 10 | 8 | 9 | |
| Morrisville | 13 | 15 | 10 | |
| Pineville | 12 | 16 | 14 | |
| Rockhill | 12 | 11 | 13 | |
| Statesville | 19 | 14 | 13 | |

Table 1: Shipping costs, per barrel of waste from six plants to three waste disposal sites

The plants generate the following amounts of waste products each week:

| <u>Plant:</u> | Waste per Week (bbl) |
|---------------|-------------------------|
| Denver | 65 |
| Morganton | 35 |
| Morrisville | 60 |
| Pineville | 50 |
| Rockhill | 40 |
| Statesville | 50 |

Table 2: Total Waste generated by each plant

The three waste disposal sites at Orangeburg, Florence, and Macon can respectively accommodate a maximum of 90, 120, and 110 barrels per week.

In addition to shipping directly from each of the six plants to one of the three waste disposal sites, Allen is also considering using each of the plants and the waste disposal sites as intermediate shipping points. In this case, trucks would be able to drop a load at a plant or a disposal site to be picked up and carried on to the final destination by another truck. Furthermore, RSTC would not incur any handling costs because Chimitoxic has agreed to take care of all the handling costs at the plants and at the disposal sites. In other words, RSTC's only cost will be the transportation cost. Therefore, Allen wants to be able to consider the possibility that it may be cheaper to drop and pick up loads at intermediate points rather than ship them directly.

Allen has estimated the shipping costs per barrel between each of the six plants to be as follows:

| | <u>Plant</u> | | | | | | |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|----------|-------------|--|
| <u>Plant:</u> | Denver | Morganton | Morrisville | Pineville | Rockhill | Statesville | |
| Denver | \$ | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | |
| Morganton | 2 | \$ | 4 | 6 | 5 | 3 | |
| Morrisville | 5 | 4 | \$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| Pineville | 3 | 6 | 3 | \$ | 4 | 7 | |
| Rockhill | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 | \$ | 4 | |
| Statesville | 4 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 4 | \$ | |

Table 3: Shipping costs, per barrel of waste from each plant to another plant

The estimated shipping cost per barrel between each of the waste disposal sites is as follows:

| | Waste Proposal Site | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------|-------|--|--|
| Waste Disposal Site: | Orangeburg | Florence | Macon | | |
| Orangeburg | \$ | 3 | 4 | | |
| Florence | 3 | | 5 | | |
| Macon | 4 | 5 | | | |

Table 4: Shipping costs, per barrel of waste between the three waste disposal sites

Allen wants to determine the shipping routes that will minimize RSTC's total cost in order to develop a contract proposal to submit to Chimotoxic for waste proposal. He particularly wants to know if it would be cheaper to ship directly prom the plants to the waste sites or if he should drop and pick up some loads at the various plants and waste sites.

Northeastern University ALY6050 2023 Winter A

In the word document, explain the details of the solutions obtained for the optimal routes and their respective optimal costs for both cases. In particular for the case when loads are dropped and picked up at various plants and waste sites, explain how many barrels, in total, will be transported each week from a source to a destination.

If Chimotoxic agrees to increase the capacity of each of three waste disposal sites by 10 barrels per week – Orangeburg(100), Florence(130), and Macon(120), what will happen to the shipping costs? Hint: please discuss under above two scenarios(direct shipping & transshipment).

Part 2: Investment Allocations

An investor has selected the following asset types in his portfolio. The expected return for each asset type has been estimated by using the historical data:

| | Expected Returns |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| Bonds | 7% |
| High tech stocks | 26% |
| Foreign stocks | 22% |
| Call options | 16% |
| Put options | 15% |
| Gold | 8% |

Table 5: Expected returns of Investments

The following table indicates the covariance matrix of the assets' returns. Each diagonal entry is the variance of an asset and non-diagonal entries are the covariances between any pairs of assets.

| | Bonds | High tech stocks | Foreign stocks | Call options | Put options | Gold |
|------------------|--------|------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Bonds | 0.0013 | -0.0006 | 0.0004 | 0.0007 | -0.0008 | 0.0015 |
| High tech stocks | | 0.08 | 0.0025 | 0.0015 | -0.006 | 0.005 |
| Foreign stocks | | | 0.06 | 0.0042 | -0.02 | -0.002 |
| Call options | | | | 0.04 | -0.002 | -0.0035 |
| Put options | | | | | 0.04 | -0.0035 |
| Gold | | | | | | 0.005 |

Table 6: The Covariance matrix of assets' returns

- (i) Suppose that our investor wishes to invest \$250,000 in this portfolio. Determine how we should allocate this investment to the individual assets in this portfolio in order to have a minimum baseline expected return of 15%, and at the same time, at a minimum risk.
- (ii) Let the solution pair be denoted by (r, e), where "r" denotes the minimized risk(variance) and "e" denotes the expected portfolio return after the problem is solved. Use the following values of **8%**, **11%**, **14%**, **17%**, **20%**, **23**% as the baseline return values to obtain six pairs of solutions (r, e). Plot "e" versus "r" ("r" should be the x-axis). Explain whether there exists a pattern in this plot. In other words, explain, in your opinion, the type of mathematical relationship that "r" and "e" may have.

Northeastern University ALY6050 2023 Winter A

Final Project Rubric

| Criteria | Ratings | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|--------|
| Excel (or R): Problem Modeling & Set- up | 48 pts Completely and concisely modeled the problem in Excel (or R) for each method | 38.4 pts Accurately modeled the problem in Excel (or R) for each method | curately deled the blem in Excel R) for each Correctly modeled the problem in Excel (or R) for each method, but the model lacks detailed insight into the | | | 12 pts Modeled the problem in Excel (or R) for each method, but there are some gaps in the problem modeling and setup | 48 pt: |
| Excel (or R): Problem Solution & Accuracy | 72 pts Efficiently obtained correct and accurate solutions in Excel (or R) by using the appropriate analytic tools of the software | 57.6 pts Obtained complete and accurate solutions in Excel (or R) by using the appropriate analytic tools of the software | | analytic tools of the software, but the | | 18 pts Obtained a solutions in Excel (or R) by using the appropriate analytic tools of the software, but the solution is not complete. | 72 pt: |
| Word/Report: Problem Description & Introduction | 8 pts Provides a thorough and concise summary of the problem descriptions and introduced the problem using rich and significant ideas | 6.4 pts Provides an accurate and succinct summary of the problem descriptions and problem introduction 4 pts Provides an accurate summary of the proble descriptions and problem introduction but the description is wordy or not succinct | | , too | 2 pts Provided a summary of the problem descriptions and problem introduction, but it is inaccurate or incomplete | 8 pts | |
| Word/Report: Description of Problem Analysis | 12 pts Provides a thorough and precise description of the analytic concepts and theories used in analyzing the problem | 9.6 pts Accurately describes the analytic concepts and theories used in analyzing the problem | | ets scribes the analytic ncepts and theories ed in analyzing the oblem, but scription lacks propriate detail or ecision | De cor in a but inc | 11 pts scribes the analytical ncepts and theories used analyzing the problem, t descriptions are orrect or the analytical ncepts and theories are orrect | 12 pts |
| Word/Report: Description of Conclusions | 12 pts Provides conclusions and results obtained in the project using a high level of critical thinking and reasoning | 9.6 pts Provides relevant conclusions and results obtained in the project that reflect critical thinking and reasoning | | o pts Provides conclusions are sults obtained in the project, but not all conclusions or results are levant to the problem not all conclusions reflegood reasoning | re or | 3.01 pts Provides conclusions and results obtained in the project, but they are irrelevant and reflect a lack of critical thinking | 12 pts |
| Word/Report: Writing Mechanics, Title Page, & References | 8 pts Completely free of errors in grammar, spelling, and punctuation; and completely correct usage of title page, citations, and references. The report contains a minimum of 1000 words | 6.4 pts There are no noticeable errors in grammar, spelling, a punctuation; and completely correct usage of title page, citations, and references. The rep- contains a minimum 1000 words | ort | 4 pts There are very few errors in grammar, spelling, and punctuation; and completely correct usage of title page, citations, and references. The repo contains a minimum 1000 words | | 2 pts There are more than five errors in grammar, spelling, and punctuation; or the usage of title page, citations, and references are incomplete; or the report contains less than 1000 words | 8 pts |