CMSC25025 HW1

Zihao Wang

April 10, 2018

1. (a)
$$\Pr(X < x) = \Pr(F^{-1}(U) < x) = \Pr(U < F(x)) = F(x)$$

(b) i.

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr(Z < z) \\ &= \Pr(X - Y < z) \\ &= \int_{x - y < z} f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{y} e^{-\mu y} \int_{x \le y + z} e^{-\lambda x} dx dy \\ &= \int_{y} e^{-\mu y} + e^{-(\mu + y)} * e^{-\lambda z} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{e^{-\lambda z}}{\mu + \lambda} \end{aligned}$$

ii.

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr(Z < z) \\ &= 1 - \Pr(Z \ge z) \\ &= 1 - \Pr(X \ge z, Y \ge z) \\ &= 1 - \Pr(X \ge z) \Pr(Y \ge z) \\ &= 1 - e^{-(\mu + \lambda)} \end{aligned}$$

(c)

$$E(Y) = E(e^X) = \int_R e^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx$$
$$= e^{1/2} \int_R e^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-1)^2}{2}} dx = e^{1/2}$$

For Var(y), first work out $E(Y^2)$

$$E(Y^{2}) = \int_{R} e^{2x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}} dx$$
$$= e^{2} \int_{R} e^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-2)^{2}}{2}} dx = e^{2}$$

Thus By $Var(Y) = E(Y^2) - E(Y)^2$, we have:

$$Var(Y) = e^2 - (e^{1/2})^2 = e^2 - e^2$$

(d) $\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}(Y) &= \operatorname{E}(Y^2) - \operatorname{E}(Y)^2 \\ &= \operatorname{E}(\operatorname{E}(Y^2 \mid X)) - (\operatorname{E}(\operatorname{E}(Y \mid X)))^2 \\ &= \operatorname{E}(\operatorname{E}(Y^2 \mid X)) - \operatorname{E}((\operatorname{E}(Y \mid X))^2) + \operatorname{E}((\operatorname{E}(Y \mid X))^2) - (\operatorname{E}(\operatorname{E}(Y \mid X)))^2 \\ &= \operatorname{Var}(Y \mid X) + \operatorname{E}(\operatorname{Var}(Y \mid X)) \end{aligned}$

- 2. From the nonsingularity of X^TX we can see meaning X is a full rank matrix, thus det(X)>0 and rank(X)=d
 - (a) The problem of finding the least square estimates can be stated in an optimization problem:

Goal: Minimize $g(\hat{y}) = (\hat{y} - y)^T (\hat{y} - y)$

s.t: $\hat{y} \in L = \{\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}; \beta \in R^n\}$

Then the goal can be changed into minimize $h(\hat{\beta})$ where $h(\hat{\beta}) = g(X\hat{\beta}), \hat{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\nabla h = 2X^T X - 2X^T y$$

Thus $\nabla h = 0$ when $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$ Since we have:

$$\nabla^2 h(\hat{\beta}) = 2det(X^T X) > 0$$

We can say the obtained is indeed the least square estimates.

(b)
$$HX = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^TX = X(X^TX)^{-1}(X^TX) = X$$

(c)
$$H^{T} = (X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T})^{T} = X(X^{T}X)^{-1}X^{T} = H$$

(d)
$$H^2 = (X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T)^2 = X(X^TX)^{-1}X^T = H$$

(e)

$$\hat{y} = Hy = X\hat{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \dots \\ b_d \end{bmatrix}$$

This is a linear combination of the columns in X, thus a projection of y into L.

(f) First, I show 1 is the only eigenvalue of H: For any nonzero vector $\gamma \in L$, we can find $\beta \in R^n s.t \gamma = X\beta$; then from

$$H\gamma = \lambda \gamma$$

we have

$$X\beta = \lambda X\beta$$

Then it is easy to show that $X\beta \neq 0$ since otherwise:

$$(X\beta)^T(X\beta) = 0 = > \beta^T X^T X \beta = 0$$

From the positive definite property of X^TX , we can get $\beta = 0$

Then it follows that $\lambda = 1$

Without 0 eigenvalue we can see H is a full rank matrix, thus rank(H) = d, which also shows H has d eigenvalues. Then by $tr(H) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i = d$. (rank(X) = d) has been at the front of the problem)

3. For convenience of notation, we denote the diagnol values of H to be σ_i with $\sigma_i=0,i>r$

(a)

$$XX^{T} = U \sum_{1} (\sum_{1})^{T} U^{T}$$

$$XX^{T} \begin{bmatrix} u_{1} & u_{2} & \dots & u_{m} \end{bmatrix} = U \sum_{1} (\sum_{1})^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} u_{1} & u_{2} & \dots & u_{m} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & \\ & & \sigma_{m}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus the columns of U are eigenvectors of XX^T , and the eigenvalues for u_i is σ_i^2 . In the same way we can show the columns of U are eigenvectors of X^TX , and the eigenvalues for v_i is σ_i^2

(b)
$$XV = X(v_1, v_2, ... v_n)$$

$$LHS = U \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & ... u_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \sigma_m \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 u_1 & \sigma_2 u_2 & ... \sigma_m u_m \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus we have $Xv_i = \sigma_i u_i$ In the same way we can show $X^T u_i = \sigma_i v_i$

(c)
$$LHS = \sqrt{tr(X^TX)} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sigma_i^2}$$

(d)
$$|X|^2 = |X^T| |X| = |X^T X| = \prod_{i=1}^r \sigma^2$$

Thus

$$|det(X)| = \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^r \sigma^2}$$

(e)
$$H = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T = (U \sum V^T) (U \sum (\sum)^T V^T)^{-1} (V \sum U^T) = U \Sigma (\Sigma^T \Sigma)^{-1} \Sigma^T U^T$$

(f) In this case $(\Sigma^{(k)})^T \Sigma^{(k)}$ may not be invertible. Therefore we use the psudoinverse of it. Then the hat function becomes:

$$H^{(k)} = U\Sigma^{(k)}((\Sigma^{(k)})^T\Sigma^{(k)})^+(\Sigma^{(k)})^TU^T$$

And the least square estimate becomes $\hat{y} = H^{(k)}y$