

# MODULAR BASICS

## VIDEO 1

August 13, 2020

In this video series I'll teach you, and myself, the basics of modular forms. Especially the geometric perspective. I do research in modular forms, but often find that I lack sufficient knowledge of the basics.

In this first video, I want to try and understand the structure of the quotient spaces  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}^*$  for  $\Gamma$  a Fuchsian group of the first kind, as Riemann surfaces. This is an important ingredient in what will begin doing in the next video—namely using the Riemann-Roch theorem to compute the dimension of various spaces of modular forms, and especially spaces of vector-valued modular forms.

The whole series will mainly be based on Miyake for the elliptic modular forms stuff. When it comes to vector-valued modular forms, it will be based on my own notes, a paper by Borchers, and every paper by Raum.

### 1 The Möbius action and the co-cycle $j$

Recall that we have the Möbius action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  on the Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d},$$

for  $z \neq \infty$ . Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{ait + b}{cit + d} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{ai + b/t}{ci + d/t} = a/c,$$

we define

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \infty = \frac{a}{c}.$$

Note that we use the convention  $a/0 = \infty$ .

We write for  $\alpha = (a, b; c, d) \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$

$$a(\alpha) = a, b(\alpha) = b, c(\alpha) = c, \text{ and } d(\alpha) = d.$$

For  $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  and  $z \in \mathbb{P}$  we define

$$j(\alpha, z) = c(\alpha)z + d(\alpha).$$

It is a fact that  $j$  is a co-cycle, by which I mean that it satisfies

$$j(\alpha\beta, z) = j(\alpha, \beta z)j(\beta, z),$$

for “appropriate”  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $z$ . What I mean by appropriate in this case is that

$$\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}.$$

It probably works even for  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  and  $z \in \mathbb{P}$ , but I want to avoid gnarly infinities and whatnot.<sup>1</sup>

Anyway, the way to see this, and to simultaneously show that the Möbius action is indeed an action is that

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} az + b \\ cz + d \end{pmatrix} = j(\alpha, z) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which yields that

$$\alpha\beta \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = j(\alpha\beta, z) \begin{pmatrix} (\alpha\beta)z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

but also

$$\alpha\beta \begin{pmatrix} z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = j(\alpha, \beta z) j(\beta, z) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha(\beta z) \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The aforementioned conditions on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and  $z$ , ensure that

$$j(\alpha\beta, z), j(\alpha, \beta z), j(\beta, z) \neq 0,$$

so that we can conclude that  $j$  is a co-cycle, and that the Möbius action is an action.

Before continuing with more interesting things, we move to the more familiar setting of the upper half-plane. Since for  $\alpha = (a, b; c, d) \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $z \in \mathbb{P}$  we have that

$$\frac{az + b}{cz + d} = \frac{(az + b)(c\bar{z} + d)}{|cz + d|^2} = \frac{ac|z|^2 + bd + x(ad + bc) + iy(ad - bc)}{|cz + d|^2},$$

where  $z = x + iy$ , it holds that

$$\mathrm{Im}(\alpha z) = \frac{\det(\alpha) \mathrm{Im}(z)}{|cz + d|^2}.$$

Hence, for  $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\det(\alpha) > 0$  and  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , we have that  $\alpha z \in \mathbb{H}$ .

We therefore define

$$\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R}) = \{\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) : \det(\alpha) > 0\},$$

and conclude that for  $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  the map

$$\mathbb{H} \ni z \mapsto \alpha z \in \mathbb{H},$$

is a complex analytic automorphism, or in other words, a biholomorphic map (if you prefer the categorical point of view).

## 2 Elliptic, parabolic, and hyperbolic elements

In order to give a geometric definition of a “cusp”, we first classify the elements in  $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  depending on how the square of the trace relates to the determinant.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  be non-scalar. If

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha)^2 < 4 \det(\alpha),$$

then  $\alpha$  is called **elliptic**, and if

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha)^2 = 4 \det(\alpha),$$

then  $\alpha$  is called **parabolic**, and if

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha)^2 > 4 \det(\alpha),$$

then  $\alpha$  is called **hyperbolic**.

---

<sup>1</sup>We want to let  $0 \cdot \infty$  be undefined on the Riemann sphere, so  $j(\alpha, \beta z)j(\beta, z)$  becomes problematic.

I frankly don't know where the terminology comes from, but I guess it will become clear as I mature. If  $\alpha \in \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  is scalar, then  $\alpha = aI$  and

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha)^2 = (2a)^2 = 4a^2 = 4\det(\alpha),$$

so we could argue that scalar elements should be called parabolic. But then the terminology clashes with the following theorem, I think.<sup>2</sup>

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\alpha \in \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  be non-scalar. Then

- $\alpha$  is elliptic iff  $\alpha$  has the fixed points  $z_0$  and  $\overline{z_0}$  for some  $z_0 \in \mathbb{H}$
- $\alpha$  is parabolic iff  $\alpha$  has a unique fixed point on  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$
- $\alpha$  is hyperbolic iff  $\alpha$  has two distinct fixed points on  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

*Proof.* Miyake uses an in-line proof, but I don't like that, so here goes my reformulation.

Say that  $\alpha = (a, b; c, d)$ . If  $c = 0$ , then  $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = a + d$  and  $\det(\alpha) = ad$ , so

$$\text{Tr}(\alpha)^2 - 4\det(\alpha) = (a + d)^2 - 4ad = (a - d)^2.$$

Hence  $\alpha$  is parabolic iff  $a = d$ , and hyperbolic iff  $a \neq d$ .

If  $\alpha$  is parabolic, we have that  $\alpha z = z$  iff

$$z + \frac{b}{a} = z.$$

This is evidently only the case for  $z = \infty$ .

If  $\alpha$  is hyperbolic, we have that  $\alpha z = z$  iff

$$\frac{a}{d}z + \frac{b}{d} = z.$$

This equation has both finite and non-finite solutions. Clearly  $z = \infty$  is a solution. The finite solution is

$$z = \frac{b}{d} \frac{d}{d - a} = \frac{b}{d - a}.$$

If  $c \neq 0$ , then  $\alpha\infty = a/c \neq \infty$ , so  $\infty$  cannot be a fixed point. We have that  $\alpha z = z$  iff

$$\frac{az + b}{cz + d} = z, \tag{1}$$

If  $z = -d/c$ , then the left-hand side is equal to  $\infty$ , so this cannot be a solution. Hence  $cz + d \neq 0$  and we obtain that (1) is equivalent to

$$z^2 + \frac{d - a}{c}z - \frac{b}{c} = 0.$$

□

---

<sup>2</sup>I will be honest with my own knowledge gaps in this series. It will be important to be able to refer to misunderstandings further on.