

The Grothendieck-Teichmüller Group and the Operad of Parenthesized Braids

Yaxin Li

Contents

Chapter 1. Introduction	1
Chapter 2. Algebras of PaB	2
1. Braided Monoidal Categories	2
2. The Operad PaB of Parenthesized Braids	3
 Bibliography	 7

CHAPTER 1

Introduction

$\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ is the moduli stack (i.e. 2-scheme) of genus- g curves over \mathbb{Q} with n marked points. In his Sketch of a Program [Gro97] from 1983, Grothendieck proposes that we study $G_{\mathbb{Q}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ through its action on the Teichmüller Tower — the collection of

$$\pi_1^{\text{geom}}(\mathcal{M}_{g,n}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pi_1^{\text{et}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \times_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{M}_{g,n})$$

for all $g, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, π_1^{et} takes the étale fundamental group, which is the inverse limit of the automorphism groups (analogous to the groups of deck transformations) of finite étale covers.

In genus-0, it is known that $\pi_1^{\text{geom}}(\mathcal{M}_{0,4}) \cong \widehat{F}_2$, the profinite completion of the free group on two generators. It follows from a theorem of Belyi's [Bel80] that $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ acts faithfully on $\pi_1^{\text{geom}}(\mathcal{M}_{0,4})$, hence also $\{\mathcal{M}_{0,n}\}_n$. In [Iha91], Ihara showed that the image of the action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ includes into the image of \widehat{GT} , the profinite completion of the inertia-preserving automorphisms of $\{\mathcal{M}_{0,n}\}_n$, i.e. the automorphisms ϕ such that on \widehat{F}_2 , ϕ maps the procyclic subgroups $\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle, \langle (xy)^{-1} \rangle$ to conjugate subgroups, where x and y are the generators of F_2 [Loc].

In [Dri91], Drinfeld constructs a pro-unipotent version of GT , and uses its action on braided monoidal categories (i.e. \widehat{PaB} -algebras) to prove a result about quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras. In [Pet14], Petersen uses the corresponding action on \widehat{PaB} to show that E_2 (the operad of little 2-disks) is formal.

CHAPTER 2

Algebras of *PaB*

1. Braided Monoidal Categories

DEFINITION 1 (monoidal category). A category \mathcal{C} with a bifunctor $- \otimes - : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ (the monoidal, or tensor product), unit object $\mathbf{1}$, and natural isomorphisms

$$(1) \quad \alpha : (- \otimes -) \otimes - \Rightarrow - \otimes (- \otimes -)$$

$$(2) \quad \lambda : \mathbf{1} \otimes - \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \qquad \rho : - \otimes \mathbf{1} \Rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$$

respectively called the associator, left unitor, right unitor, is *monoidal* if when we write \otimes to act componentwise on natural transformations,

- (1) the triangle identity is satisfied, i.e. $\rho \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \lambda) \circ \alpha$
- (2) the pentagon identity holds, i.e. for all $w, x, y, z \in \text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & (w \otimes x) \otimes (y \otimes z) & \\
 \nearrow & & \searrow \\
 ((w \otimes x) \otimes y) \otimes z & & w \otimes (x \otimes (y \otimes z)) \\
 \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 (w \otimes (x \otimes y)) \otimes z & \xrightarrow{\quad} & w \otimes ((x \otimes y) \otimes z)
 \end{array}$$

or as natural transformations, $\alpha \circ \alpha = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \alpha) \circ \alpha \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}})$

A monoidal category is *strict* if the components of the associator and unitors are identity morphisms.

DEFINITION 2 (braided monoidal category). If $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1}, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$ is a monoidal category with a natural isomorphism $\beta_{x,y} : x \otimes y \rightarrow y \otimes x$, then it is *braided* if it satisfies the hexagon identities, i.e. the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (x \otimes y) \otimes z & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & x \otimes (y \otimes z) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & (y \otimes z) \otimes x \\
 \downarrow \beta \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} & & & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 (y \otimes x) \otimes z & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & y \otimes (x \otimes z) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \beta} & y \otimes (z \otimes x)
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
x \otimes (y \otimes z) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^{-1}} & (x \otimes y) \otimes z \xrightarrow{\beta} z \otimes (x \otimes y) \\
\downarrow \text{id}_e \otimes \beta & & \downarrow \alpha^{-1} \\
x \otimes (z \otimes y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^{-1}} & (x \otimes z) \otimes y \xrightarrow{\beta \otimes \text{id}_e} (z \otimes x) \otimes y
\end{array}$$

We will now build up to Mac Lane's Coherence Theorem

DEFINITION 3 (monoidal functor, or strong monoidal functor at nLab). Suppose $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1}, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$, and $(\mathcal{C}', \otimes', \mathbf{1}', \alpha', \lambda', \rho')$, are monoidal categories, then a monoidal functor consists of a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ such that $\mathbf{1}' \cong F(\mathbf{1})$, and a natural isomorphism $m : F(-) \otimes F(-) \rightarrow F(- \otimes -)$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(F(X) \otimes F(Y)) \otimes F(Z) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & F(X) \otimes (F(Y) \otimes F(Z)) \\
\downarrow m \otimes \text{id}_e & & \downarrow \text{id}_e \otimes m \\
F(X \otimes Y) \otimes F(Z) & & F(X) \otimes F(Y \otimes Z) \\
\downarrow m & & \downarrow m \\
F((X \otimes Y) \otimes Z) & \xrightarrow{F(\alpha)} & F(X \otimes (Y \otimes Z))
\end{array}$$

A monoidal functor that happens to be an equivalence of categories is called an *equivalence of monoidal categories*.

THEOREM 4 (Mac Lane's Strictness Theorem). *For any monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1}, \alpha, \lambda, \rho)$, there exists a strict monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}', \otimes', \mathbf{1}', \alpha', \lambda', \rho')$ and an equivalence of monoidal categories $L : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$.*

PROOF. see p.20 of [Eti] from the 2009 lecture notes to "Topics in Lie Theory". \square

The next theorem is a corollary of Mac Lane's Strictness Theorem.

THEOREM 5 (Mac Lane's Coherence Theorem). *Suppose P and P' are parenthesizations of $X_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes X_n$ with arbitrary insertions of $\mathbf{1}$, and $f, g : P \rightarrow P'$ are isomorphisms built by composing associator and unitor components, then $f = g$.*

PROOF. see p.1 of [Eti09] \square

2. The Operad PaB of Parenthesized Braids

Operads are an unbiased way of keeping track of n -ary operations and how they compose. The "elements" of $P(n)$, we imagine to be corollas, i.e., rooted trees of depth 1 with $n+1$ half-edges. We can treat \otimes in this "unbiased" way (i.e. ignoring how products are parenthesized, and products with units) because of Mac Lane's Coherence Theorem 5.

DEFINITION 6 (planar operad, symmetric operad). A *planar operad* P in a monoidal category $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1}, a, l, r)$ is a collection of objects $\{P(n)\}_n$, with operadic composition

$$c_{m;n_1, \dots, n_m} : P(m) \otimes P(n_1) \otimes \dots \otimes P(n_m) \rightarrow P(n_1 + \dots + n_m)$$

such that 1. and 2. of following are satisfied. If each object $P(n)$ has a right S_n -action (S_n being the n th symmetric group), and 3. is satisfied, then P is a *symmetric operad*, which is what "operad" refers to from now on.

(1) Associativity: if

$$(a) \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} n_{i_j} = n_i \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq k,$$

$$(b) \sum_{i=1}^k n_i = l,$$

$$(c) \sum_{i=1}^k m_i = m,$$

then the following commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(k) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \left(P(m_i) \otimes \bigotimes_{j=1}^{m_i} P(n_{i_j}) \right) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \left(P(k) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k P(m_i) \right) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \bigotimes_{j=1}^{m_i} P(n_{i_j}) \right) \\ \downarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k c_{m_i; n_{i_1}, \dots, n_{i_{m_i}}} & & \downarrow \circ_{k; m_1, \dots, m_k} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes m} \\ P(k) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k P(n_i) & & P(m) \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k \bigotimes_{j=1}^{m_i} P(n_{i_j}) \right) \\ \downarrow \circ_{k; n_1, \dots, n_k} & & \downarrow \circ_{m; n_{1_1}, \dots, n_{k(m_k)}} \\ P(l) & \xrightarrow{=} & P(l) \end{array}$$

(2) Unit: there exists a map $e : \mathbf{1} \rightarrow P(1)$ such that the following hold for all n

$$P(n) \xrightarrow{\lambda^{-1}} \mathbf{1} \otimes P(n) \xrightarrow{e \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}} P(1) \otimes P(n) \xrightarrow{\circ_{1, n}} P(n)$$

=

$$P(n) \xrightarrow{(\rho^{-1})^{\text{on}}} P(n) \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes e^{\otimes n}} P(n) \otimes P(1)^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\circ_{n; 1, \dots, 1}} P(n)$$

=

(3) Equivariance: the right action $- \cdot \sigma : P(n) \rightarrow P(n)$ of $\sigma \in S_n$ on $P(n)$ is given by σ permuting the n inputs of each "element" of $P(n)$, so that on operadic composition maps, we have

$$\circ_{n; m_1, \dots, m_n} \circ (- \cdot \sigma) = \circ_{n; m_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, m_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}},$$

then equivariance is the condition that the following commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(n) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^n P(m_i) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes (-)_{\sigma}} & P(n) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^n P(m_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}) \\ \downarrow - \cdot \sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes n} & & \downarrow \circ_{n; m_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, m_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}} \\ P(n) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^n P(m_i) & \xrightarrow{\circ_{n; m_1, \dots, m_n}} & P(m_1 + \dots + m_n) \end{array}$$

(where $(-)\sigma$ is the isomorphism given by σ permuting the n factors of $\bigotimes_{i=1}^n P(m_i)$)

EXAMPLE 7 (the endofunctor operad). If \mathcal{C} is a category, then the endofunctor operad, defined by $\text{End}(\mathcal{C})(n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\times n}, \mathcal{C})$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$, is a symmetric operad in $(\mathbf{Cat}, \times, \{*\})$, with

- (1) $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ as the unit, and \times as the monoidal product.
- (2) the standard composition as the operadic composition,
- (3) $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n$ acting on each element $\theta \in \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\times n}, \mathcal{C})$ by permuting the inputs, i.e. $\theta \cdot \sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \theta(x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})$.

The endofunctor operad is a special case of the endomorphism operad ; it is an endomorphism operad in $(\mathbf{Cat}, \times, \{*\})$, a symmetric monoidal category with internal hom.

EXAMPLE 8 (the operad of parenthesized braids). This construction is similar to the one in pp.18-19 of [Fre18]. PaB is an operad in \mathbf{Grpd} (the category of groupoids).

The objects of $PaB(n)$ are parenthesized permutations of n elements, i.e.

$$\text{Obj}(PaB(n)) = \mathbf{S}_n \times M_n,$$

where M_n is the set of words of length n in the free magma generated by one element. If $(\sigma, w) \in PaB(n)$, then for $1 \leq i \leq n$, we label the i th factor of w (which must be the generating element) with $\sigma(n)$ to get the parenthesized permutation.

The morphisms of $PaB(n)$ are braids from (σ, w) to (τ, v) such that if the l factor of w and the m th factor of v are the ends of a strand, then $\sigma(l) = \tau(m)$.

PaB has the following operadic properties:

- (1) The unit, which happens to be the only morphism of $PaB(1)$, is the braid from $(1, x)$ to $(1, x)$.
- (2) The operadic composition is given by cabling:
- (3) The action of \mathbf{S}_n on $PaB(n)$ is given by $(\sigma, w) \mapsto (\tau\sigma, w)$ on objects.

DEFINITION 9 (operad morphism). A morphism $f : P \rightarrow Q$ of operads is a collection of maps $\{f_n : P(n) \rightarrow Q(n)\}_n$ such that

- (1) The unit is preserved, i.e. $f_1 : P(1) \rightarrow Q(1)$ satisfies $f_1 \circ e^P = e^Q$.
- (2) Composition is preserved, i.e. for all $k, n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ with $l = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i$, the following commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(k) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k P(n_i) & \xrightarrow{f_k \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k f_{n_i}} & Q(k) \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^k Q(n_i) \\ \downarrow \circ_{k; n_1, \dots, n_k}^P & & \downarrow \circ_{k; n_1, \dots, n_k}^Q \\ P(l) & \xrightarrow{f_l} & Q(l) \end{array}$$

- (3) The \mathbf{S}_n -actions are preserved, i.e. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, $\sigma \in \mathbf{S}_n$, $f \circ (- \cdot^P \sigma) = (- \cdot^Q \sigma) \circ f$.

DEFINITION 10 (operad algebra). For an operad P in $(\mathbf{Cat}, \times, \{*\})$, a morphism of operads $P \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{C})$ gives \mathcal{C} the structure of a P -algebra.

Bibliography

- [Bel80] G V Belyĭ. On galois extensions of a maximal cyclotomic field. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, 14(2):247–256, April 1980.
- [Dri91] Vladimir Gershonovich Drinfeld. On quasitriangular quasi-hopf algebras and on a group that is closely connected with $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. *Leningrad Mathematical Journal*, 2(4):829–860, 1991.
- [Eti] Pavel Etingof. Monoidal functors.
- [Eti09] Pavel Etingof. *MacLane Coherence Theorem, Tensor and Multitensor Categories*. 2009.
- [Fre18] Benoit Fresse. Little discs operads, graph complexes and grothendieck–teichmüller groups. (arXiv:1811.12536), November 2018. arXiv:1811.12536 [math].
- [Gro97] Alexandre Grothendieck. *Esquisse d’un Programme*, pages 7–48. Cambridge University Press, 1 edition, July 1997.
- [Iha91] Y. Ihara. *Braids, Galois Groups, and Some Arithmetic Functions*. Kyoto University. Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences [RIMS]. Kyoto University. Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences [RIMS], 1991.
- [Loc] P Lochak. Automorphism groups of profinite complexes of curves and the grothendieck-teichmüller group.
- [Pet14] Dan Petersen. Minimal models, gt-action and formality of the little disk operad. *Selecta Mathematica*, 20(3):817–822, July 2014.