Ausgewählte Kapitel der Parameterschätzung - Übung 4

Ausgabedatum: 08. June 2021 Abgabetermin: 22. June 2021, 18 Uhr

Wahrscheinlichkeit und der Satz von Bayes

A gambler in a casino is surprised by the outcomes of a repeated coin-tossing and would like to know if the coin is fair, that is, 50% of chance to observe a head and 50% to observe a tail. The gambler proceeds to a simple experiment by tossing the coin 200 times. The results are reported in the file cointoss.mat where 0 stands for tail and 1 for head.

- a) Following the frequentist approach, suggest a simple method to determine whether the coin is fair or not.
- b) To ascertain and quantify this conclusion, we will also follow a Bayesian approach. Let us note $H \in [0, 1]$ the bias-weighting of the coin. H = 0 represents a coin which produces a tail at every flip, H = 1 a head at every flip and H = 0.5 represents a fair coin. Intuitively, H can be interpreted as the probability to obtain a head by tossing this coin. We would like now to estimate the posterior pdf (probability density fonction) $prob(H|\{results\})$. Use Bayes' theorem to relate it to two other pdf, which are easier to assign. Recall which one is the likelihood function and which one is the prior pdf.
- c) Suppose *results* is the proposition "R heads in N tosses". What is the probability $prob(\{results\}|H)$?
- d) Since we do not have any a priori about whether the coin is fair or not, we will suppose that prob(H) = 1 if $0 \le H \le 1$ and prob(H) = 0 otherwise. Compute and plot $prob(H|\{results\})$ for N = 1, 2, 3 and 4. Comment the evolution of the pdf.
- e) Compute and plot $prob(H|\{results\})$ for N=200 and conclude.
- f) A possible question is the following: Can the posterior pdf computed for N = k be used as prior for N = k + 1, that is sequentially? If yes, compute the final pdf.
- g) Actually, the first question led us to think that the coin is not fair and rather biased toward heads. Therefore, we use another prior pdf, $prob(H) = 3H^2$ if $0 \le H \le 1$ and prob(H) = 0 otherwise. Follow question e) and compare your results with the ones obtain with a uniform prior.

Die Maximum-Likelihood-Methode

Maximum likelihood estimation is a common method of statistical inference to estimate the unknown parameters of a probability distribution. Suppose we observe a sample $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ of n random variables $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$. Let's call $f(\mathbf{X}|\theta)$ the joint pdf of X, which depends on a set of parameters $\theta = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_k) \subset \Theta$. The θ s can be parameters such as mean or variance of some pdf. The **likelihood function** \mathcal{L}_n for a given sample is the evaluation of the joint pdf at the observed sample:

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\theta) = f((x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)|\theta)$$
 (1)



We define also the log-likelihood function as

$$l_n(\theta) = \log \mathcal{L}_n(\theta) \tag{2}$$

The **maximum likelihood estimator** (abb. MLE) $\hat{\theta}_n$ of θ is, when it exists and is unique

$$\hat{\theta}_n = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathcal{L}_n(\theta). \tag{3}$$

Equivalently, it is also the parameter θ that maximize the log-likelihood function. In particular, if we assume that the X_i $i \in 1, ..., n$ are independent random variables of respective pdf $f_i(X_i, \theta)$ then

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i|\theta) \tag{4}$$

a) What is the maximum-likelihood estimation of H?