

# RATIONAL DESIGNS

ZHEN CUI, JIACHENG XIA, AND ZIQUING XIANG

ABSTRACT. The existence of designs on a path-connected space was proved by Seymour and Zaslavsky. In this paper, under certain necessary conditions, we establish the existence of designs consisting of rational points on an algebraically path-connected space. Consequently, we show that there exist rational designs on rational convex polytopes and spherical designs consisting of points whose coordinates are rational numbers except possibly for the first coordinate.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Levelling spaces and designs	3
3. Hilbert-Kamke problem	7
4. Algebraic path-connectivity	10
5. Weighted rational designs	13
6. Total measures of integer-weighted designs	15
7. Proofs of the main result and its corollaries	17
References	19

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Designs are finite subsets of a given space that approximate the whole space nicely. There are primarily two classes of designs, combinatorial designs and geometric designs. The former class includes classical combinatorial  $t$ -designs [RCW75, Kee14], and their generalizations, for instance relative designs on association schemes [Del73, BBST15]. The latter class is also known as cubature formula [Möl79, GS81] and averaging sets [SZ84]. It was first introduced by Delsarte, Goethals and Seidel in [DGS77]. Typical examples of geometric designs are spherical designs and their variations, such as interval designs, Euclidean designs [DS89], designs on Grassmannians [BCN02, BBC04], complex spherical designs [RS14] and designs on polynomial spaces [God88]. In this paper we will focus on geometric designs. For simplicity, in the introduction we only give below a definition for designs on subsets of Euclidean spaces. This is the definition of designs used in [SZ84]. We will define and discuss designs in general in § 2.2.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $Z$  be a nonempty topological subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mu_Z$  a measure on  $Z$  such that every nonempty open set has positive measure and the total measure is finite. Let  $t$  be a natural number. A  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z} := (Z, \mu_Z)$  is a nonempty finite set  $X \subseteq Z$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{|X|} \sum_{x \in X} f(x) = \frac{1}{\mu_Z(Z)} \int_Z f d\mu_Z,$$

for every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  of degree at most  $t$ . When  $X \subseteq \mathbb{F}^d$  for some subfield  $\mathbb{F}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ , we call the  $t$ -design  $X$  a  $t$ -design over  $\mathbb{F}$ . A *rational  $t$ -design* is a  $t$ -design over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

There is a large body of literature on designs over  $\mathbb{R}$ . Seymour and Zaslavsky [SZ84] showed that for all natural number  $t$ ,  $t$ -designs on  $\mathcal{Z}$  always exist as long as  $Z$  is path-connected. In particular, *spherical  $t$ -designs*, that is,  $t$ -designs on the  $d$ -dimensional real unit sphere  $\mathcal{S}^d$  endowed with the spherical measure, always exist for all natural numbers  $t$  and  $d$ . There are many known constructions of spherical designs [RB91, Wag91]. The spherical designs whose sizes achieve certain lower bounds [DGS77] are classified

except for  $t \in \{4, 5, 7\}$  [BD79, BD80]. Small spherical designs on  $\mathcal{S}^2$  can be numerically constructed [HS96]. All possible asymptotic sizes of spherical designs are found in [BRV13]. We refer to the survey by Eiichi Bannai and Etsuko Bannai [BB09] for further information.

Kuperberg [Kup05] constructed interval designs over  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}} \cap \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{alg}}$  is the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . On the unit circle  $\mathcal{S}^1$ , a regular  $(t+1)$ -gon gives a spherical  $t$ -design over the field  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}} \cap \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}}$  is the abelian closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In a forthcoming paper, we show that we can lift a design on  $\mathcal{S}^1$  over  $\mathbb{Q}^{\text{ab}} \cap \mathbb{R}$  to a design on  $\mathcal{S}^d$  over the same field using a method that generalizes the one in [RB91, Wag91].

The following two famous examples of rational spherical designs were discovered by Venkov [Ven84].

**Example 1.2.** For an integral lattice  $\Lambda$  and a natural number  $m$ , let  $\Lambda_m := \{x \in \Lambda : \|x\|^2 = m\}$  be the shell of lattice points of norm  $m$ .

- (i) Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}^8$  be the  $E_8$ -lattice. For every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2m}\Lambda_{4m^2}$  is a rational spherical 7-design on  $\mathcal{S}^7$ .
- (ii) Let  $\Lambda \subseteq \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}}\mathbb{Z}^{24}$  be the Leech lattice. For every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}}\Lambda_{2m^2}$  is a rational spherical 11-design on  $\mathcal{S}^{23}$ .

Conditions 1.3 and 1.4 below give two natural necessary conditions on  $\mathcal{Z} = (Z, \mu_Z)$  for the existence of rational designs. The necessity is proved in Propositions 5.3 and 5.5.

**Condition 1.3.** The rational points  $Z \cap \mathbb{Q}^d$  in  $Z$  are dense in  $Z$ .

**Condition 1.4.** For every polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ ,  $\frac{1}{\mu_Z(Z)} \int_Z f d\mu_Z$  is a rational number.

Eiichi Bannai was the first to ask the question of the existence of rational  $t$ -designs on a given  $\mathcal{Z}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . The main result of the paper, Theorem 1.6 below, states that, assuming Conditions 1.3 and 1.4, if the space  $\mathcal{Z}$  has good algebraic path-connectivity defined in Definition 1.5, then there exist rational designs on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a subset and  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  a subfield. The set  $Z$  is called  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebraically path-connected provided that for every finite subset  $X \subseteq Z \cap \mathbb{F}^d$ , there exists a polynomial map  $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]^d$  such that  $X \subseteq p((0, 1) \cap \mathbb{F}) \subseteq Z \cap \mathbb{F}^d$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be as in Definition 1.1, and let  $t$  be a natural number. Assume that  $\mathcal{Z}$  satisfies Conditions 1.3 and 1.4. If  $\mathcal{Z}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraically path-connected, then there exists a constant  $n_0$  such that for every natural number  $n \geq n_0$ , there exist rational  $t$ -designs on  $\mathcal{Z}$  of size  $n$ .

Theorem 1.6 will follow from the stronger Theorem 7.1, where we provide in addition an asymptotic lower bound for the number of rational designs. Both Theorems 1.6 and 7.1 are proved in § 7.1.

Using approximation theory, we give a simple sufficient condition for  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebraic path-connectivity in Theorem 1.7 below, which is proved in § 4.1.

**Theorem 1.7.** Let  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be an open connected subset. For every subfield  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ ,  $Z$  is  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebraically path-connected.

Using Theorem 1.6, we show the existence of rational designs on rational convex polytopes in Theorem 1.8, which is proved in § 7.2.

**Theorem 1.8.** Let  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a  $d$ -dimensional convex polytope whose vertices are in  $\mathbb{Q}^d$ , and  $\mu_Z$  the Lebesgue measure. Let  $t$  be a natural number. Then, there exists a constant  $n_0$  such that for every natural number  $n \geq n_0$ , there exist rational  $t$ -designs on  $(Z, \mu_Z)$  of size  $n$ . In particular, there exist rational  $t$ -designs on the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ .

The existence of rational spherical designs is a long standing open question. Proposition 4.4 shows that  $\mathcal{S}^d$  is not  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebraically path-connected for any subfield  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , so our main result Theorem 1.6 does not apply directly. In the case of  $\mathcal{S}^d$ , we can only show the existence of “almost rational” spherical designs, as in our next theorem.

**Theorem 1.9.** Let  $t$  and  $d$  be natural numbers. There exists a constant  $n_0$  such that for every even natural number  $n \geq n_0$ , there exist spherical  $t$ -designs on  $\mathcal{S}^d$  of size  $n$  where for each point in the design, all its

coordinates are rational numbers except possibly for the first coordinate. In particular, there exist spherical  $t$ -designs of size  $n$  over the field  $\mathbb{Q}(\{\sqrt{q} : q \text{ prime}\})$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.9, presented in § 7.2, relies on Theorem 1.6. Note that Theorem 1.9 provides a new proof of the existence of spherical designs.

The paper is organized as follows. In § 2, we introduce the concept of *levelling space*, which provides a framework to talk about designs in general. We then discuss properties of designs and construct weighted designs using convex geometry. In § 3, the analytic number theoretic arguments we need in § 7 are given. § 4 is concerned with algebraic path-connectivity and contains a proof of Theorem 1.7 via approximation theory. In § 5, we prove the necessity of Conditions 1.3 and 1.4, and also prove that they are sufficient to construct certain weighted rational designs. In § 6, we analyze the possible total measures of integer-weighted designs. At the end, in § 7, we prove Theorem 7.1, from which the main result Theorem 1.6 follows, together with its corollaries.

*Notation.* For a subset  $S \subset \mathbb{R}$  and a real interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , let  $I_S := I \cap S$ . For instance, for  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(a, b)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  consists of all rational numbers in  $(a, b)$ . Another example is  $[0, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , which consists of all natural numbers no greater than  $t$ . The  $d$ -dimensional real unit sphere equipped with the spherical measure is denoted by  $\mathcal{S}^d$  and the unit open interval  $(0, 1)$  equipped with the Lebesgue measure is denoted by  $\mathcal{I}$ .

*Acknowledgment.* It is our great pleasure to thank Eiichi Bannai for motivating us to study the rational designs. We also thank Etsuko Bannai, Dino Lorenzini, Takayuki Okuda and Yaokun Wu for useful comments and discussions. Ziqing Xiang was partially supported by the Research and Training Group in Algebraic Geometry, Algebra and Number Theory, at the University of Georgia.

## 2. LEVELLING SPACES AND DESIGNS

In this section, we discuss topological spaces equipped with measures, and we call them *levelling spaces*. Some basic properties and constructions of levelling spaces are given in § 2.1. We define designs on a levelling space in § 2.2. In § 2.3, we use some results in convex geometry to construct weighted designs on arbitrary levelling spaces.

**2.1. Levelling spaces and their properties.** A *strictly positive measure space*  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mu_X)$  is a Hausdorff topological space  $X$  equipped with a measure  $\mu_X$  such that every nonempty open set has positive measure. In particular for a discrete space, it means that every point has positive measure. The set  $X$  is said to be the *support* of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A *levelling space* is a nonempty strictly positive measure space of finite total measure. The *size* of a levelling space is the cardinality of its support. A levelling space is called *finite* if its size is finite.

Throughout the whole paper, a levelling space  $\mathcal{X}$  is always written in calligraphic font  $\mathcal{X}$ , in order to be distinguished from its support, the topological space  $X$ . For two levelling spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}$ , by saying  $\mathcal{X}$  is a *subspace* of  $\mathcal{Z}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ , we only mean that the topological space  $X$  is a subspace of the topological space  $Z$  without assuming any relation between the measures  $\mu_X$  and  $\mu_Z$ . Similarly if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a levelling space and  $Z$  a topological space, we write  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq Z$  to mean that  $X$  is a topological subspace of  $Z$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $k \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a subset. A levelling space  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mu_X)$  is *k-weighted* if the image of  $\mu_X$  is in  $k$ .

Usually, we take  $k$  to be either  $\mathbb{Z}$ , or  $\mathbb{Q}$ , or  $\mathbb{R}$ , and call the levelling space to be *integer-weighted*, or *rational-weighted*, or *real-weighted*, respectively. Recall that, as a measure space, the image of  $\mu_X$  is always nonnegative. A finite levelling space  $\mathcal{X}$  is just a nonempty weighted set  $(X, \omega_X)$  where  $\omega_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . In particular, a finite integer-valued levelling space can be viewed as a nonempty finite multi-set.

Now, we introduce some operations on levelling spaces.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mu_X)$  and  $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, \mu_Y)$  be two levelling spaces whose subtopologies on the set intersection  $X \cap Y$  agree. The *sum* of  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{Y}$ , is defined to be  $(X \cup Y, \mu_X + \mu_Y)$ ,

where  $X \cup Y$  is the topological union of  $X$  and  $Y$ , and  $\mu_X + \mu_Y$  is the sum of the measures  $\mu_X$  and  $\mu_Y$ . More precisely, a subset  $E \subset X \cup Y$  is measurable in  $\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{Y}$  if and only if  $E \cap X$  and  $E \cap Y$  are measurable in  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  respectively, and  $(\mu_X + \mu_Y)(E) := \mu_X(E \cap X) + \mu_Y(E \cap Y)$  for such  $E$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map. For a levelling space  $\mathcal{W} = (W, \mu_W)$  with  $W \subseteq X$ , the *image of  $\mathcal{W}$  under  $f$*  is defined to be  $f(\mathcal{W}) := (f(W), f_*\mu_W)$ , where  $f(W)$  is the image of  $W$  as a topological space, and  $f_*\mu_W$  is the pushforward of the measure  $\mu_W$ . That is to say, a subset  $E \subseteq f(W)$  is measurable in  $f(\mathcal{W})$  if and only if  $f^{-1}(E) \cap W$  is measurable in  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $(f_*\mu_W)(E) := \mu_W(f^{-1}(E) \cap W)$  for such  $E$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mu_X)$  be a levelling space and let  $c$  be a positive real number. We set  $c\mathcal{X} := (X, c\mu_X)$ , where  $c\mu_X$  is a constant scalar of  $\mu_X$ .

Lemma 2.6 shows that the sums, images, and positive scalars of levelling spaces are still levelling spaces, and it also provides some constructions to be used later.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two topological spaces. The following statements hold.*

- (i) *Suppose that  $X$  and  $Y$  agree on  $X \cap Y$ . For levelling spaces  $\mathcal{X}$  with support  $X$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  with support  $Y$ , their sum  $\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{Y}$  is a levelling space.*
- (ii) *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a levelling space, and let  $c$  be a positive real number. Then,  $c\mathcal{X}$  is also a levelling space.*
- (iii) *Let  $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n$  be levelling spaces whose supports agree on pairwise intersections, and let  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  be nonnegative real numbers that are not all zeros. Then, the linear combination  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathcal{X}_i$  is a levelling space.*
- (iv) *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous function. For a levelling space  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq X$ , the image  $f(\mathcal{W})$  is a levelling space.*
- (v) *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map such that each fiber is finite. For a finite levelling space  $\mathcal{Z}$  with support  $Z \subseteq f(X)$ , there exists a levelling space  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})$  with support  $f^{-1}(Z)$  such that  $f(f^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})) = \mathcal{Z}$  and every points in a fiber have the same measure.*
- (vi) *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $n$ -fold covering. For a finite levelling space  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq Y$  equipped with the counting measure, the levelling space  $nf^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})$  is equipped with the counting measure.*

*Proof.* (i): Let  $E$  be an open set in  $X \cup Y$ . The restriction  $E \cap X$  and  $E \cap Y$  are open in  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Then, we have  $(\mu_X + \mu_Y)(E) = \mu_X(E \cap X) + \mu_Y(E \cap Y) > 0$ . Moreover, the total measure of  $\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{Y}$  is the sum of total measure of  $X$  and  $Y$ , hence finite. Therefore,  $\mathcal{X} + \mathcal{Y}$  is a levelling space.

(ii): A positive scalar of a finite totally positive measure is still a finite totally positive measure, and the result follows.

(ii): Corollary of (i) and (ii).

(iv): Let  $E$  be an open set in  $f(W)$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, the set  $f^{-1}(E) \cap W$  is open in  $W$ . Then,  $(f_*\mu_W)(E) = \mu_W(f^{-1}(E) \cap W) > 0$ . The total measure of  $f(\mathcal{W})$  is the same with the total measure of  $\mathcal{W}$ , hence finite. Therefore,  $f(\mathcal{W})$  is a levelling space.

(v) Let  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})$  be the levelling space with support space  $f^{-1}(Z)$  equipped with the measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu(x) := \frac{\mu_Z(f(x))}{|f^{-1}(f(x))|}$  for every point  $x \in f^{-1}(Z)$ . Since  $Z$  and  $f^{-1}(Z)$  are finite, this levelling space is well defined, and it is clear that  $f(f^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})) = \mathcal{Z}$ .

(vi): The result follows from the construction of  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{Z})$  in (v). □

**2.2. Designs and their properties.** We say that a function  $f$  is *integrable* on a levelling space  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mu_X)$  if  $f|_X$  is  $\mu_X$ -integrable on  $\mathcal{X}$ . In this section, we fix a levelling space  $\mathcal{Z}$  and a finite dimensional real vector space  $V$  of continuous integrable real-valued functions on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  be a levelling space such that all functions in  $V$  are integrable on  $\mathcal{X}$ . For each  $f \in V$ , the *centroid of  $f$  on  $\mathcal{X}$*  is

$$\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} f := \frac{1}{\mu_X(X)} \int_X f d\mu_X.$$

The *centroid of  $V$  on  $\mathcal{X}$* , which is an element in the *dual space*  $V^*$  and is denoted by  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} V$ , is defined to be the linear map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} V : V &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ f &\mapsto \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} f. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.8.** Two levelling spaces  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  are *V-equivalent*, provided that  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} V = \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Y}} V$ .

Clearly, *V-equivalence* is an equivalence relation of levelling spaces contained in  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

**Definition 2.9.** A levelling space  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  is a *weighted V-design on  $\mathcal{Z}$*  if  $\mathcal{X}$  is *V-equivalent* to  $\mathcal{Z}$ . An *unweighted V-design on  $\mathcal{Z}$* , or simply a *V-design on  $\mathcal{Z}$* , is a weighted *V-design*  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  where the associated measure  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}$  is the counting measure, namely  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}(x) = 1$  for every  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .

**Lemma 2.10.** Let  $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_n \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  be levelling spaces that are *V-equivalent* to a levelling space  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ , and let  $c_1, \dots, c_n$  be nonnegative real numbers that are not all zeros. Then, the linear combination  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathcal{X}_i$  is also *V-equivalent* to  $\mathcal{X}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{Y} := \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mathcal{X}_i$  be the linear combination. By 2.6(ii),  $\mathcal{Y}$  is also a levelling space. For every  $f \in V$ ,

$$\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Y}} f = \frac{1}{\mu_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{Y})} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} f d\mu_{\mathcal{Y}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \int_{\mathcal{X}_i} f d\mu_{\mathcal{X}_i}}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \mu_{\mathcal{X}_i}(\mathcal{X}_i)}.$$

For each  $i$ ,  $\frac{\int_{\mathcal{X}_i} f d\mu_{\mathcal{X}_i}}{\mu_{\mathcal{X}_i}(\mathcal{X}_i)} = \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}_i} f = \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} f$  by *V-equivalence* between  $\mathcal{X}_i$  and  $\mathcal{X}$ . Therefore,  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Y}} f = \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} f$ , hence  $\mathcal{Y}$  is *V-equivalent* to  $\mathcal{X}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.11.** Let  $p : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}'$  be a continuous map between levelling spaces  $\mathcal{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}'$ , and let  $V'$  be a vector space of continuous integrable function on  $\mathcal{Z}'$ . If  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{Y}$  are  $p^*V'$ -equivalent levelling spaces in  $\mathcal{Z}$ , where  $p^*V' := \{f \circ p : f \in V'\}$ , then  $p(\mathcal{X})$  and  $p(\mathcal{Y})$  are  $V'$ -equivalent levelling spaces in  $\mathcal{Z}'$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to check that  $\text{centroid}_{p(\mathcal{X})} f = \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} f \circ p$  for every  $f \in V'$ , hence the result follows.  $\square$

**2.3. Convex geometry and existence of weighted designs.** Recall that we have fixed a levelling space  $\mathcal{Z}$  and a finite dimensional real vector space  $V$  of continuous integrable real-valued functions on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . We equip  $V$  with the finest locally convex topology on  $V$ , and view  $V$  as a real topological vector space. The dual space  $V^*$  is then also a real topological vector space with  $\dim V^* = \dim V$ . If we choose a basis for  $V$  and use it and its dual basis to identify  $V$  and  $V^*$  with  $\mathbb{R}^{\dim V}$ , then the finest locally convex topologies on  $V$  and  $V^*$  are homeomorphic to the natural topology on  $\mathbb{R}^{\dim V}$ . The topological structure of  $V^*$  allows us to talk about limit, interior, and dimension of some subsets of  $V^*$ .

**Definition 2.12.** A subset  $X \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  is called *V-nondegenerate* provided that the restriction  $V|_X := \{f|_X : f \in V\}$  satisfies  $\dim V|_X = \dim V$ .

In other words,  $X$  is *V-nondegenerate* if and only if the natural epimorphism  $V \twoheadrightarrow V|_X$  is an isomorphism.

Let  $S$  be a subset of the real topological vector space  $V^*$ . The *convex cone of  $S$* , *convex hull of  $S$*  and the *affine space generated by  $S$*  are the sets of all nonnegative, convex and affine linear combinations of  $S$  and denoted by  $\text{cone } S$ ,  $\text{conv } S$  and  $\text{aff } S$ , respectively. Let  $\text{int } S$  be the *interior of  $S$  in  $V^*$* , and  $\text{reint } S$  be the *relative interior of  $S$* , that is, the interior of  $S$  in  $\text{aff } S$ .

For a subset  $X \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ , we define a subset  $\text{ev}_X V$  of  $V^*$  as follows:

$$\text{ev}_X V := \{\text{ev}_x V \in V^* : x \in X\},$$

where  $(\text{ev}_x V)(f) := f(x)$  for all  $f \in V$ .

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a subfield and  $V$  a real vector space. An  $\mathbb{F}$ -structure on  $V$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space  $W$  such that  $V \cong \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W$ .

An  $\mathbb{F}$ -structure  $W$  on  $V$  gives an  $\mathbb{F}$ -structure  $W^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(W, \mathbb{F})$  on  $V^*$  since  $V^* \cong \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} W^*$ . This isomorphism let us view  $W^*$  as an  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector subspace of  $V^*$ . An example of  $\mathbb{F}$ -structure comes from a choice of basis of the vector space. For instance, let  $\{f_i\}$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -basis of a finite dimensional vector space



$V$ . Then, the  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space  $W := \bigoplus_i \mathbb{F} f_i$  is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -structure of  $V$ . Moreover, let  $\{f_i^*\}$  be the dual basis on  $V^*$ , then the  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space  $W^* = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{F} f_i^*$  is the corresponding  $\mathbb{F}$ -structure on  $V^*$ .

Next, we explore some relations between the centroid of  $\mathcal{X}$  and the convex cone of  $\text{ev}_X$ .

**Proposition 2.14.** *Let  $X \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  be a subset. Assume that the constant function  $1_{\mathcal{Z}}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  is in the vector space  $V$ . The following statements hold:*

- (i) *Let  $A := \{f^* \in V^* : f^*(1_{\mathcal{Z}}) = 1\}$  be an affine subspace of  $V^*$ . We have  $(\text{cone ev}_X V) \cap A = \text{conv ev}_X V$ .*
- (ii) *The set  $X$  is  $V$ -nondegenerate if and only if  $\dim \text{cone ev}_X V = \dim V$ . In particular,  $\text{int cone ev}_X V = \emptyset$  if  $X$  is not  $V$ -nondegenerate, and  $\text{int cone ev}_X V = \text{reint cone ev}_X V$  if  $X$  is  $V$ -nondegenerate.*
- (iii) *If  $X$  is the support of a  $V$ -nondegenerate  $V$ -design  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ , then  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} V \in \text{int cone ev}_X V$ .*
- (iv) *Let  $W$  be an  $\mathbb{F}$ -structure on  $V$  and identify  $W^*$  with an  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector subspace of  $V^*$ . Assume that  $X$  is a finite set and let  $p \in V^*$ . If  $\text{ev}_X V \subseteq W^*$  and  $p \in (\text{int cone ev}_X V) \cap W^*$ , then  $X$  is the support of a  $V$ -nondegenerate  $\mathbb{F}$ -weighted levelling space  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} V = p$ .*
- (v) *Assume that  $X$  is a finite set. If  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} V \in \text{int cone ev}_X V$ , then  $X$  is the support of a finite  $V$ -nondegenerate  $V$ -design  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .*
- (vi) *If  $p \in \text{int cone ev}_X V$ , then  $p \in \text{int cone ev}_Y V$  for some finite set  $Y \subseteq X$ .*

*Proof.* (i) For every  $x \in X$ , we have  $(\text{ev}_x V)(1_{\mathcal{Z}}) = 1_{\mathcal{Z}}(x) = 1$ . Therefore,  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \text{ev}_x V \in A$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i = 1$ , hence the result follows.

(ii) Since  $V$  is finite dimensional,  $\dim \text{cone ev}_X V = \dim V|_X$ , hence the result follows.

(iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} V &= \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} V && \mathcal{X} \text{ is a } V\text{-design on } \mathcal{Z} \\
 &\in \text{reint conv ev}_X V && [\text{SZ84, Lemma 3.1}] \text{ applied to } \mathcal{X} \\
 &\subseteq \text{reint cone ev}_X V && \text{(i)} \\
 &= \text{int cone ev}_X V. && \text{(ii)}
 \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Since  $p \in \text{int cone ev}_X V$ , by (ii),  $X$  is  $V$ -nondegenerate. By (i) and (ii),  $p \in (\text{int cone ev}_X V) \cap A = \text{reint conv ev}_X V$ , hence  $p$  can be written as a positive convex linear combination of points in  $\text{ev}_X V$ , namely  $p = \sum_{x \in X} c_x \text{ev}_x V$  for some  $c_x \in (0, 1)$ . Since  $p$  and  $\text{ev}_X V$  are both in an  $\mathbb{F}$ -structure  $W^*$ , we may in addition assume that  $c_x \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{F}}$  for every  $x \in X$ . Then the levelling space  $\mathcal{X} := (X, \mu_X)$  where  $\mu_X(x) := c_x$  satisfies the requirement  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} V = p$ .

(v) The result follows by applying (iv) to  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $W = V$  and  $p = \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} V$ .

(vi) By (i),  $p$  is in the interior of  $\text{conv ev}_X V$  in  $A$ . Applying Steinitz's theorem [GW93, Part 2.1, Theorem 10.3], we find a finite set  $Y \subseteq X$  such that  $p$  is in the interior of  $\text{conv ev}_Y V$  in  $A$ . Therefore, by (i),  $p \in \text{int cone ev}_Y V$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.15.** *Assume that  $1_{\mathcal{Z}} \in V$ . Then, there exists a finite  $V$ -nondegenerate weighted  $V$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* The levelling space  $\mathcal{Z}$  is clearly a  $V$ -nondegenerate weighted  $V$ -design. By Proposition 2.14(iii) applied to  $\mathcal{Z}$ ,  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} V \in \text{int cone ev}_{\mathcal{Z}} V$ . By Proposition 2.14(vi), there exists a finite set  $X \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  such that  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} V \in \text{int cone ev}_X V$ . Therefore, by Proposition 2.14(v),  $X$  is the support of a finite  $V$ -nondegenerate weighted  $V$ -design.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.16.** *Assume that  $1_{\mathcal{Z}} \in V$ . Let  $X \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  be the support of a finite  $V$ -nondegenerate weighted  $V$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$ , and let  $S$  be a finite subset of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Then,  $X \cup S$  is the support of a finite  $V$ -nondegenerate weighted  $V$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a finite  $V$ -nondegenerate weighted  $V$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$  with support  $X$ . By Proposition 2.14(iii),  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} V \in \text{int cone ev}_X V \subseteq \text{int cone ev}_{X \cup S} V$ . The result follows from Proposition 2.14(v).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.17.** *Assume that  $1_{\mathcal{Z}} \in V$ . Let  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  be a finite  $V$ -nondegenerate levelling space. For each point  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , let  $(x^{(i)} \in \mathcal{Z})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of points such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{ev}_{x^{(i)}} V = \text{ev}_x V$  in  $V^*$ . Then, for*

all sufficiently large  $i$ ,  $X^{(i)} := \{x^{(i)} : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$  is the support of a finite  $V$ -nondegenerate levelling space  $\mathcal{X}^{(i)} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  that is equivalent to  $\mathcal{X}$ . In particular, if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a finite  $V$ -nondegenerate  $V$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$ , then for all sufficiently large  $i$ ,  $X^{(i)}$  is the support of a finite  $V$ -nondegenerate  $V$ -design  $\mathcal{X}^{(i)}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

*Proof.* We equip  $V^*$  with a metric that is compatible with the topology of  $V^*$ , say the metric in  $\mathbb{R}^{\dim V}$ . It is easy to see that  $\text{ev}_{X^{(i)}} V$  converges to  $\text{ev}_X V$  with respect to Hausdorff distance in  $V^*$ , hence  $\text{cone ev}_{X^{(i)}} V$  converges to  $\text{cone ev}_X V$  with respect to Hausdorff distance on compact supports in  $V^*$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $V$ -nondegenerate, for all sufficiently large  $i$ , by Proposition 2.14(ii),  $\dim \text{cone ev}_{X^{(i)}} V = \dim \text{cone ev}_X V = \dim V$  which shows that  $X^{(i)}$  is  $V$ -nondegenerate, hence  $\text{int cone ev}_{X^{(i)}} V$  converges to  $\text{int cone ev}_X V$  with respect to Hausdorff distance on compact supports in  $V^*$ . Applying Proposition 2.14(iii) to  $V$ -nondegenerate  $V$ -design  $\mathcal{Z}$ , we get  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} V \in \text{int cone ev}_X V$ . Therefore, for all sufficiently large  $i$ ,  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} V \in \text{int cone ev}_{X^{(i)}} V$ , and the result follows from Proposition 2.14(v).  $\square$

### 3. HILBERT-KAMKE PROBLEM

We present in this section some results on the Hilbert-Kamke problem. Our main result in this section is Theorem 3.3, which is used in the proof of Theorem 7.1.

Let  $t$  and  $n$  be fixed natural numbers and let  $\mathbf{c} := (c_1, \dots, c_t)$  be a fixed tuple of rational numbers. Consider the Hilbert-Kamke type system of  $t$  equations with variables  $x_i$ 's:

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k = c_k, \quad k \in [1, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

For a positive integer  $P$ , denote by  $J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)$  the set of all solutions of Eq. (3.1) such that  $x_i \in (0, 1)_{P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}}$  for all  $i \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $P^{-1}\mathbb{Z} := \{P^{-1}q : q \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a fractional ideal over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

*Remark 3.1.* Let  $P_{\mathbf{c}}$  be the smallest positive integer  $P$  such that  $c_k \in P^{-k}\mathbb{Z}$  for every integer  $k \in [1, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then  $J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P) = \emptyset$  unless  $P$  is a positive integer multiple of  $P_{\mathbf{c}}$ .

The study of  $J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)$  is a variation of the famous Hilbert-Kamke problem, which has been being studied over the last several decades [Mar53, Ark85, Woo12]. For our purpose, we need to show the existence of solutions in  $J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)$  satisfying some additional properties, which is obtained through an asymptotic formula for the size of  $J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)$  when  $P$  goes to infinity in  $P_{\mathbf{c}}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . We reformulate below in Theorem 3.2 the asymptotic results in [Ark85] to suit our purpose.

We use Vinogradov's notation for asymptotic formulas in this paper. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two functions in the variable  $x$  on a domain  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  be some objects. Denote by  $f \ll_{y_1, \dots, y_n} g$  the fact that there exists a positive constant  $c_{y_1, \dots, y_n}$  that only depends on  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq c_{y_1, \dots, y_n} |g(x)|$  for all sufficiently large  $x \in D$ . We write  $f \gg_{y_1, \dots, y_n} g$  if  $g \ll_{y_1, \dots, y_n} f$ , and write  $f \asymp_{y_1, \dots, y_n} g$  if  $f \ll_{y_1, \dots, y_n} g$  and  $g \ll_{y_1, \dots, y_n} f$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $t$ ,  $n$ ,  $\mathbf{c}$  and  $P_{\mathbf{c}}$  be fixed as above. Suppose that  $n \geq 3t^2 2^t - t$  and consider the domain  $D := \{P \in P_{\mathbf{c}}\mathbb{Z}_{>0} : P \geq n^{10}\}$ . Then there exist real-valued functions  $\sigma$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\theta$  of  $P$  such that the following statements hold.*

(i) *On the domain  $D$ ,*

$$|J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)| = \sigma \cdot \gamma \cdot (P - 1)^{n-t(t+1)/2} + \theta \cdot n^{30n^3} (P - 1)^{n-t(t+1)/2-1/30(2+\log t)}.$$

(ii) *For all  $P \geq 1$ ,*

$$|J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)| \leq n^{30n^3} (P - 1)^{n-t(t+1)/2}.$$

(iii) *On the domain  $D$ ,*

$$|\theta| < 1.$$

(iv) *If there exists a  $p$ -adic solution  $\mathbf{y} \in (P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p)^n \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p^n$  to Eq. (3.1) for each prime number  $p$ , then on the domain  $D$ ,*

$$\sigma \geq n^{-20n^4 2^n}.$$

- (v) If there exists a real solution  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in [P^{-1}, 1 - P^{-1}]^n$  to Eq. (3.1), then on the domain  $D$ ,

$$2^{2t(t-n)} n^{(t-n)} t^{-n-t} (\Delta \mathbf{y})^{t(n-t)} \leq \gamma \leq 2^{2t^2} n^{2t} t^{n-2t} (t+1)^{3t-n},$$

where

$$\Delta \mathbf{y} := \max_{z_0, \dots, z_{t+1} \in \{0, y_1, \dots, y_n, 1\}} \left( \min_{0 \leq i < j \leq t+1} |z_i - z_j| \right).$$

- (vi) If there exists a rational solution  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in (0, 1)_{\mathbb{Q}}^n$  to Eq. (3.1) such that the number of distinct elements of  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  is at least  $t$ , then

$$|J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)| \asymp_{t, n, \mathbf{y}} P^{n-t(t+1)/2}$$

as  $P \rightarrow \infty$  in the domain  $D$ .

*Proof.* Although [Ark85] assumes that  $t \geq 3$ , the proof there also works for  $t \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . So, when we refer to theorems in [Ark85], such restriction on  $t$  will be omitted.

Let  $N_k := c_k P^k$  for all  $k \in [1, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . It is clear that there exists a bijection sending a solution  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in (0, 1)_{P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}}^n$  of Eq. (3.1) to a solution  $(Py_1, \dots, Py_n) \in [1, P-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}^n$  of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^k = N_k, \quad k \in [1, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Now we consider the counting function  $J(t, n; N_1, \dots, N_t; P-1)$  appearing in [Ark85, Theorem 1]. Then, by definition, we have

$$|J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)| = J(t, n; N_1, \dots, N_t; P-1).$$

Let

$$\sigma := \sigma(t, n; P-1)$$

be the sum of the singular series defined in [Ark85, Theorem 1] and

$$\gamma := \gamma(t, n; N_1, \dots, N_t; P-1)$$

be the value of the singular integral defined in [Ark85, Theorem 1].

(i), (ii), (iii): The result follows from [Ark85, Theorem 1].

(iv): The result follows from [Ark85, Theorem 3, 4].

(v): The result follows from [Ark85, Theorem 5].

(vi): As discussed in Remark 3.1, the rational solution  $\mathbf{y}$  must be in  $(0, 1)_{P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}}^n$  for some  $P \in D$ . Then, the solution  $\mathbf{y}$  is automatically a  $p$ -adic solution in  $(P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p)^n$  for each prime  $p$  and a real solution in  $[P^{-1}, 1 - P^{-1}]^n$ . Since there exist at least  $t$  distinct elements in  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ , we know that  $\Delta \mathbf{y} > 0$ . Therefore by (iv) and (v),  $\sigma, \gamma \gg_{t, n, \mathbf{y}} 1$ . Combining the statements (i), (ii) and (iii) yields (vi).  $\square$

Using the asymptotic formula from Theorem 3.2, we count in Theorem 3.3 the number of solutions such that  $x_i$ 's are not the same in certain sense, and formulate the result in the language of levelling spaces.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $t$  be a natural number, and let  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq (0, 1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be an integer-weighted levelling space. Suppose that  $|\mathcal{X}| \geq t$  and  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X}) \geq 3t^2 2^t - t$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{Q}[x]^d$  be a nonconstant polynomial map  $\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^d$  for some natural number  $d$ . For a positive integer  $P$ , denote by  $J_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)$  and  $\tilde{J}_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)$  the set of all integer-weighted levelling spaces  $\mathcal{Y} = (Y, \mu_Y)$ , such that  $\mu_Y$  is the counting measure, and  $\mu_Y$  is not the counting measure, respectively, and satisfying the conditions:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq (0, 1)_{P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}}$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu_Y(\mathcal{Y}) = \mu_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X})$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Y}} f_k = \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} f_k$  for all  $k \in [0, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , where  $f_k(x) := x^k$  (centroid is introduced in Definition 2.7);
- (iv)  $p$  is injective on  $Y$ .



Then,

$$(3.2) \quad |J_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)| \asymp_{t, \mathcal{X}, p} P^{\mu_X(\mathcal{X}) - t(t+1)/2},$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad |\tilde{J}_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)| \ll_{t, \mathcal{X}, p} P^{\mu_X(\mathcal{X}) - 1 - t(t+1)/2},$$

as  $P \rightarrow \infty$  in the domain  $P_0 \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  for some positive integer  $P_0$  which depends only on  $t$  and  $\mathcal{X}$ . For  $P \notin P_0 \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , both  $J_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)$  and  $\tilde{J}_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)$  are empty.

*Proof.* Let  $n := \mu_X(\mathcal{X})$ , and let  $\mathbf{c} := (c_1, \dots, c_t)$  where  $c_k := n \cdot \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} f_k$  for  $k \in [1, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Let  $P_0 := P_{\mathbf{c}}$  be the positive integer defined in Remark 3.1. Then, both  $J_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)$  and  $\tilde{J}_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)$  are empty when  $P \notin P_0 \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . From now on,  $P$  is assumed to be in  $P_0 \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ .

In this proof, we identify an integer-weighted levelling space  $\mathcal{Y}$  with a tuple  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  with  $y_1 \leq \dots \leq y_n$ , where  $y_i$ 's are elements in  $\mathcal{Y}$  and each  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  appears  $\mu_Y(y)$  times in  $\mathbf{y}$ . Clearly, (iii) holds for the constant functions  $f_0$ . For each  $k \in [1, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , condition (iii) holds for a levelling space  $\mathcal{Y}$  satisfying conditions (i) and (ii), if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^k = c_k,$$

since  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Y}} f_k = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^k / n$  and  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} f_k = c_k / n$ . Let  $J(t; \mathcal{X}; P)$  be the set of levelling spaces  $\mathcal{Y}$  satisfying conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). Then, there exists a bijection between  $J(t; \mathcal{X}; P)$  and  $J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)$  up to permutations of elements of a solution  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ .

Denote by  $J_p(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)$  the set of all solutions  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  in  $J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)$  such that all  $p(y_i)$ 's are distinct for  $i \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and let  $\tilde{J}_p(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)$  be the complement  $J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P) \setminus J_p(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)$ .

A levelling space  $\mathcal{Y} \in J(t; \mathcal{X}; P)$  is in  $J_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)$  if and only if  $p(y_i)$ 's are distinct for  $i \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , hence there exists a bijection between  $J_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)$  and  $J_p(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)$  up to permutations of elements of a solution. Therefore,

$$(3.4) \quad |J_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)| \asymp_n |J_p(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)|.$$

For each levelling space  $\mathcal{Y} \in \tilde{J}_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)$ , we have  $y_i = y_j$  for some indexes  $i, j$  because  $\mu_Y$  is not the counting measure, hence  $\mathbf{y} \in \tilde{J}_p(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)$ . Therefore,

$$(3.5) \quad |\tilde{J}_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)| \leq |\tilde{J}_p(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)|.$$

By Eq. (3.4) and Theorem 3.2(ii), we have

$$|J_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)| \asymp_n |J_p(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)| \leq |J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)| \ll_n P^{n - t(t+1)/2},$$

which proves one direction of Eq. (3.2). On the other hand, for every solution  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  of Eq. (3.1) with  $p(y_u) = p(y_v)$  for some distinct  $u, v \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $(y_i : i \neq u, v)$  is a solution of the system of equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} x_i^k = (\mathbf{c}_{y_u, y_v})_k, \quad k \in [1, t]_{\mathbb{Z}},$$

where  $\mathbf{c}_{y_u, y_v} := (c_k - y_u^k - y_v^k : k \in [1, t]_{\mathbb{Z}})$ . Let

$$(0, 1)_{P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}} \times_p (0, 1)_{P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}} := \{(r, s) \in (0, 1)_{P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}} : p(r) = p(s)\}$$

be the fiber product. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad & |\tilde{J}_p(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)| \\
& \leq \sum_{1 \leq u < v \leq n} \sum_{\substack{x_u, x_v \in (0,1)_{P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}} \\ p(x_u) = p(x_v)}} |J(t, n-2; \mathbf{c}_{x_u, x_v}; P)| \\
& \ll_n |(0,1)_{P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}} \times_p (0,1)_{P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}}| \cdot |J(t, n-2; \mathbf{c}_{x_u, x_v}; P)| \\
& \ll_n |(0,1)_{P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}} \times_p (0,1)_{P^{-1}\mathbb{Z}}| P^{n-2-t(t+1)/2} \quad \text{Theorem 3.2(ii)} \\
& \ll_p P^{n-1-t(t+1)/2}. \quad p \text{ is nonconstant}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is in  $J(t; \mathcal{X}, P)$ , the tuple  $\mathbf{x}$  associated to  $\mathcal{X}$  is in the set  $J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.2(vi) and Eqs. (3.4) and (3.6),

$$|J_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)| \asymp_n |J_p(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)| = |J(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)| - |\tilde{J}_p(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)| \gg_{t,n,p} P^{n-t(t+1)/2},$$

which proves the other direction of Eq. (3.2), and by Eqs. (3.5) and (3.6),

$$|\tilde{J}_p(t; \mathcal{X}; P)| \leq |\tilde{J}_p(t, n; \mathbf{c}; P)| \ll_{t,\mathcal{X},p} P^{n-1-t(t+1)/2},$$

which proves Eq. (3.3).  $\square$

#### 4. ALGEBRAIC PATH-CONNECTIVITY

We focus in this section on the algebraic path-connectivity of a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In § 4.1, we use approximation theory to prove Theorem 1.7, which is used in the proof of Theorem 7.1 to construct a good path in an open connected subset of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . In § 4.2, we show in Proposition 4.4 that the real unit sphere is not  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebraically path-connected for any subfield  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . In Proposition 4.7, we show that the real unit sphere does not satisfy a property weaker than algebraic path-connectivity either. Note that if this weaker property were obtained for the real unit sphere, we could obtain from it the existence of rational spherical designs. Propositions 4.4 and 4.7 are not used in the remainder of the article.

**4.1. Algebraic path-connectivity of open connected sets.** For a uniformly continuous real-valued function  $f$  on a metric space, let  $\omega_f$  be the corresponding modulus of continuity, namely

$$\omega_f(\delta) := \sup_{\substack{x, y \in \text{domain } f \\ |x-y| \leq \delta}} |f(x) - f(y)|.$$

A function  $f$  is *Dini-Lipschitz continuous* provided that  $\omega_f(\delta) \log \delta$  converges to 0 as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the  $n$ -th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind, denoted by  $T_n$ , is the unique polynomial satisfying  $T_n(\cos x) = \cos nx$ . The roots of  $T_n$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad \boldsymbol{\alpha}_n := \{\alpha_{n,k} : k \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}\}, \text{ where } \alpha_{n,k} := \cos \frac{2k-1}{2n} \pi,$$

are called *Chebyshev nodes*. The Lagrange interpolation of a function  $f$  at a finite set  $\mathbf{n}$  of distinct nodes, denoted by  $L(f, \mathbf{n})$ , is given explicitly by

$$L(f, \mathbf{n})(x) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{n}} \left( f(\alpha) \prod_{\beta \in \mathbf{n} \setminus \{\alpha\}} \frac{x - \beta}{\alpha - \beta} \right).$$

Clearly, if  $\mathbf{n} \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  and  $f(\mathbf{n}) \subseteq \mathbb{F}$  for some field  $\mathbb{F}$ , then  $L(f, \mathbf{n}) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ . Proposition 4.1 is a classical result on Chebyshev interpolation  $L(f, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_n)$  of a real-valued function  $f$  on the interval  $[-1, 1]$ .

**Proposition 4.1** ([Riv69, Eq. 4.1.11, Theorem 4.5]). *Let  $f$  be a uniformly continuous real-valued function on the interval  $[-1, 1]$ , and let  $\omega_f$  be the modulus of continuity of  $f$ . For a positive integer  $n$ ,*

$$\|f - L(f, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{n+1})\|_{\infty} < 6 \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \log n + 5 \right) \omega_f(1/n).$$

*In particular, if  $f$  is Dini-Lipschitz continuous, then  $L(f, \boldsymbol{\alpha}_n)$  converges to  $f$  uniformly as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .  $\square$*

Corollary 4.2 is a high-dimensional version of Proposition 4.1.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be a Dini-Lipschitz continuous function where  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is equipped with the Euclidean norm  $L^2$ . Then  $L(f, \alpha_n)$  converges to  $f$  uniformly as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_d)$ . All  $f_i$ 's are Dini-Lipschitz continuous. The result follows from Proposition 4.1 and the observation:

$$\|f - L(f, \alpha_{n+1})\|_\infty^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \|f_i - L(f_i, \alpha_{n+1})\|_\infty^2. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $Z$  be a metric space equipped with the topology induced from the metric,  $Y \subseteq Z$  an open subset, and  $X \subseteq Y$  a compact subset. Then, there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $B_\epsilon(X) \subseteq Y$ , where  $B_\epsilon(X) := \{z \in Z : \text{dist}(z, X) < \epsilon\}$ .*

*Proof.* For every  $x \in X$ , let  $f(x) := \sup\{\epsilon : B_\epsilon(x) \subseteq Y\}$ . It is easy to see that  $f$  is a continuous function on compact set  $X$ , hence it admits a minimum at some  $x_0 \in X$ . Since  $Y$  is open, for every  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) \geq f(x_0) > 0$ . Choose an  $\epsilon \in (0, f(x_0))$ . Then,  $B_\epsilon(X) \subseteq Y$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.7.* In this proof, a collection of indexed objects is denoted by the same letter in bold. For instance, the collection of all  $y_i$ 's is denoted by  $\mathbf{y}$ .

Let  $X \subseteq Z \cap \mathbb{F}^d$  be a finite subset. It suffices to construct a polynomial path  $p : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  given by polynomials with coefficients in  $\mathbb{F}$ , namely  $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]^d$ , such that  $X \subseteq p((-1, 1)_{\mathbb{F}}) \subseteq Z$ .

Since  $Z$  is both open and connected in the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , for some natural number  $n$ , there exists a piecewise linear path in  $Z$  with  $(n+1)$ -pieces and passing through all points in  $X$  at the boundaries of the pieces. Let  $y_0 := -1$ ,  $y_{n+1} := 1$  and  $y_i := \alpha_{n,i}$  for  $i \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  (see Eq. (4.1)). Then, there exists a piecewise linear path  $\ell_{\mathbf{y}} : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $n+2$  nodes  $\mathbf{y}$  satisfying  $X \subseteq \{\ell_{\mathbf{y}}(y_i) : i \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}\}$ . More precisely,  $\ell_{\mathbf{y}}$  is a linear function on interval  $[y_i, y_{i+1}]$  for all  $i \in [0, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

Applying Lemma 4.3 to  $\text{Im } \ell_{\mathbf{y}}$ ,  $Z$  and  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we obtain an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that for every  $f : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying  $\|f - \ell_{\mathbf{y}}\|_\infty < \epsilon$ , we have  $\text{Im } f \subseteq Z$ .

The piecewise linear function  $\ell_{\mathbf{y}}$  is Dini-Lipschitz continuous, hence by Corollary 4.2, for some sufficiently large odd number  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|\ell_{\mathbf{y}} - L(\ell_{\mathbf{y}}, \alpha_{mn})\|_\infty < \epsilon/2.$$

Let  $v_j \in \mathbb{F}$  be a to-be-determined number near  $\alpha_{mn,j}$  for  $j \in [1, mn]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $u_i := v_{im-(m-1)/2} \in \mathbb{F}$  for  $i \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , and we further set  $u_0 := y_0 = -1 \in \mathbb{F}$  and  $u_{n+1} := y_{n+1} = 1 \in \mathbb{F}$ . Since  $Z$  is both open and connected, there exists a piecewise linear function  $\ell_{\mathbf{u}}$  with  $n+2$  nodes  $\mathbf{u}$  such that  $\ell_{\mathbf{u}}(u_i) = \ell_{\mathbf{y}}(y_i)$  for  $i \in [0, n+1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , hence  $\ell_{\mathbf{u}}$  also passes through all points in  $X$ . Now, for each  $j \in [1, mn]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , let  $v_j$  be sufficiently close to  $\alpha_{mn,j}$ . For every  $i \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , since  $\alpha_{n,i} = \alpha_{mn, im-(m-1)/2}$ ,  $u_i$  is sufficiently close to  $y_i = \alpha_{n,i}$  as well. Therefore, we choose a suitable  $\mathbf{v}$  such that

$$\|L(\ell_{\mathbf{y}}, \alpha_{mn}) - L(\ell_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v})\|_\infty < \epsilon/2.$$

By subadditivity of norms,

$$\|\ell_{\mathbf{y}} - L(\ell_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v})\|_\infty \leq \|\ell_{\mathbf{y}} - L(\ell_{\mathbf{y}}, \alpha_{mn})\|_\infty + \|L(\ell_{\mathbf{y}}, \alpha_{mn}) - L(\ell_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v})\|_\infty < \epsilon,$$

hence  $\text{Im } L(\ell_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) \subseteq Z$ .

Note that, for  $i \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , since  $u_i = v_{im-(m+1)/2}$ ,

$$L(\ell_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v})(u_i) = L(\ell_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v})(v_{im-(m+1)/2}) = \ell_{\mathbf{u}}(v_{im-(m+1)/2}) = \ell_{\mathbf{u}}(u_i) = \ell_{\mathbf{y}}(y_i),$$

which implies that  $X \subseteq \{L(\ell_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v})(u_i) : i \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}\} \subseteq L(\ell_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v})((-1, 1)_{\mathbb{F}})$ . Moreover, elements in  $\mathbf{v}$  are in  $\mathbb{F}$ , points in  $X$  have coordinates in  $\mathbb{F}$  and  $\ell_{\mathbf{u}}$  is piecewise  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear, so we have  $L(\ell_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{F}[x]^d$ . Thus,  $L(\ell_{\mathbf{u}}, \mathbf{v})$  is a desired path.  $\square$

## 4.2. Non-algebraic path-connectivity of the real spheres.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . There are no non-constant polynomial paths on  $\mathcal{S}^d$ . In particular, the real sphere  $\mathcal{S}^d$  is not  $\mathbb{F}$ -algebraically path-connected for any subfield  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a polynomial map  $p = (p_0, \dots, p_d) \in \mathbb{R}[x]^d$  such that  $p(I) \subseteq \mathcal{S}^d$  for some nontrivial interval  $I$ . Let  $n$  be the highest degree of  $p_i$ 's. The degree  $2n$  coefficient of every  $p_i^2$  is nonnegative, and the degree  $2n$  coefficient of at least one of  $p_i^2$  is positive. Therefore  $1 = \sum_{i=0}^d p_i^2$  is a degree  $2n$  polynomial, which forces  $n$  to be 0 and means that  $p$  is constant.  $\square$

Let  $\text{Int}(\mathbb{Z}) := \{f \in \mathbb{Q}[x] : f(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\}$  be the algebra of integer-valued polynomials. Let  $\Delta$  be the standard forward difference operator, namely  $(\Delta f)(x) := f(x+1) - f(x)$  for a function  $f$ , and  $D$  the differential operator, namely  $D := \frac{d}{dx}$ . Next, we present an elementary proof of a result on integer-valued polynomials. Note that this result can be essentially deduced from a stronger result in [DLS64], which is proved using algebraic number theory.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $f, g \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . If  $f(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{N})$ , then  $f = g \circ h$  for some  $h \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, the polynomial  $h$  is unique unless either  $f$  or  $g$  is a constant.*

*Proof.* The result holds trivially when either  $f$  or  $g$  is a constant. From now on, we assume that  $f$  and  $g$  are not constants. The polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  are strictly monotone on a neighborhood of  $+\infty$ . So, for sufficiently large  $x_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , the function  $\eta := g^{-1} \circ f : \mathbb{R}_{\geq x_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is well-defined, which has the order  $\eta(x) \asymp x^{\frac{\deg f}{\deg g}}$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Let  $k$  be a natural number. We first compute the  $k$ -th derivative of  $\eta$ . For a rational function  $r \in \mathbb{Q}(x)$  and a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ ,

$$D((r \circ \eta) \cdot p) = \left( \frac{Dr}{Dg} \circ \eta \right) \cdot (Df) \cdot p + (r \circ \eta) \cdot (Dp).$$

Analyzing the order of both sides the equation above, we have  $(D((r \circ \eta) \cdot p))(x) \ll x^{-1}((r \circ \eta) \cdot p)(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . By induction, it is easy to show that  $(D^k \eta)(x) = (D^k((x \circ \eta) \cdot 1)) \ll x^{-k} \eta(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . We now compute the  $k$ -th difference of  $\eta$  using the well-known relation

$$(\Delta^k \eta)(x) = (D^k \eta)(x + \theta_x),$$

for some  $\theta_x \in [0, k]$ . For  $k := \left\lceil \frac{\deg f}{\deg g} \right\rceil + 1$ , we have

$$(\Delta^k \eta)(x) \asymp (D^k \eta)(x) \ll x^{-k} \eta(x) \ll x^{-1},$$

as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . The condition  $f(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq g(\mathbb{N})$  forces  $\Delta^k \eta$  to take integer values on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq x_0}$ . So, there exists an  $x_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(\Delta^k \eta)(n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq x_1}$ , as a result, there exists a unique  $h \in \text{Int}(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $f$  and  $h$  agree on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq x_1}$ . Thus, the polynomials  $f = g \circ \eta$  and  $g \circ h$  agree on  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq x_1}$ , which implies that  $f = g \circ h$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous function on a real interval  $I$  such that  $f(I \cap \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  and  $f^2 = q$  on  $I$  for some polynomial  $q \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Then, there exists a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that either  $f = p$  or  $f = -p$  on each of the intervals  $I \cap (-\infty, x_1]$ ,  $I \cap [x_1, x_2]$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $I \cap [x_{n-1}, x_n]$ ,  $I \cap [x_n, +\infty)$  where  $x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n$  are the real zeros of  $q$ . Furthermore, if  $f$  is smooth, then  $f = p$  or  $f = -p$  on  $I$ .*

*Proof.* The result holds trivially when  $f$  is a constant or  $I$  is trivial. Now, we only consider nonconstant  $f$  and nontrivial  $I$ . By a linear change of variable with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , without loss of generality, we assume that  $[0, 1] \subseteq I$ .

Let  $c$  be a nonzero common multiple of the coefficients of the polynomial  $q$ , and let  $r := c^2(x+1)^{2\deg q} q(\frac{1}{x+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Since  $r = (c(x+1)^{\deg q} f(\frac{1}{x+1}))^2$  and  $f(\frac{1}{x+1}) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $r(\mathbb{N}) \subseteq \{n^2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . By Theorem 4.5, there exists a polynomial  $h \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that  $h^2 = r$ . So, there exists a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  such that  $q = p^2$ , hence  $|f| = |p|$  on  $I$ . By continuity of  $f$ , on each of  $I \cap (-\infty, x_1]$ ,  $I \cap [x_1, x_2]$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $I \cap [x_{n-1}, x_n]$ ,  $I \cap [x_n, +\infty)$ , either  $f = p$  or  $f = -p$ .

Now, suppose that  $f$  is smooth. Clearly  $p$  is smooth as well. Since  $|f| = |p|$  implies that  $|Df| = |Dp|$ , an induction shows that the absolute values of  $(\deg p + 1)$ -th derivative of  $f$  and  $p$  agree on  $I$ . So, the  $(\deg p + 1)$ -th derivative of  $f$  and  $p$  are both zero, which implies that  $f$  is a polynomial function. Since  $|f| = |p|$ , the polynomials  $f$  matches either  $p$  or  $-p$  on infinitely many points, hence the result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.7.** *There does not exist a non-constant continuous path  $p = (p_0, \dots, p_d) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^d$  such that for each  $i$ ,  $p_i^2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , and  $p$  maps rational points to rational points.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a desired path  $p$ . By Corollary 4.6, each  $p_i$  is a piecewise polynomial function, so  $p$  is a piecewise polynomial path. Thus, on each piece of  $p$ ,  $p$  is a constant path by Proposition 4.4. By the continuity of  $p$ ,  $p$  is a constant path on  $[0, 1]$ , which contradicts our assumption.  $\square$

## 5. WEIGHTED RATIONAL DESIGNS

In this section, we first define rational designs in Euclidean spaces. Then, we prove the necessity of Conditions 1.3 and 1.4 in Propositions 5.3 and 5.5, respectively. Conversely, in Lemmas 5.4 and 5.7 we prove that Conditions 1.3 and 1.4 each guarantees the existence of certain weighted designs. Both Lemmas 5.4 and 5.7 are used in the proof of Theorem 7.1.

**5.1. Designs in Euclidean space.** Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a levelling space. Define

$$\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{Z}] := \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]/I(\mathcal{Z}),$$

where  $I(\mathcal{Z})$  is the ideal of polynomials in  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  vanishing on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . We call elements in  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{Z}]$  *polynomials on  $\mathcal{Z}$* . For an ideal  $I$  in  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$ , let  $I_{\leq t}$  be the vector subspace of  $I$  consisting of polynomials of degree bounded above by  $t$ . Since the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  is a graded algebra (graded by degree), the ring  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{Z}]$  admits a filtration of vector spaces:

$$0 \subseteq \mathcal{P}^0[\mathcal{Z}] \subseteq \mathcal{P}^1[\mathcal{Z}] \subseteq \dots,$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}] := \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]_{\leq t}/I(\mathcal{Z})_{\leq t}$$

is a vector subspace of  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{Z}]$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$  is the vector subspace of  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{Z}]$  generated by polynomials of degree at most  $t$ .

In particular, when  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the real unit  $(d-1)$ -sphere  $\mathcal{S}^{d-1}$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{S}^{d-1}] = \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_d]_{\leq t}/(x_1^2 + \dots + x_d^2)_{\leq t}.$$

And when  $\mathcal{Z}$  is the unit open interval  $\mathcal{I}$ ,

$$\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{I}] = \mathbb{R}[x]_{\leq t}.$$

**Definition 5.1.** Suppose that all polynomials on  $\mathcal{Z}$  are integrable on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . A *weighted (resp. unweighted)  $t$ -design  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$*  is a weighted (resp. unweighted)  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$  (as introduced in Definition 2.9). A *weighted (resp. unweighted) rational  $t$ -design  $\mathcal{X}$*  is a weighted (resp. unweighted)  $t$ -design consisting of only rational points, namely  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}^d$ .

*Remark 5.2.* Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a levelling space. Let  $\mathcal{X} = (X, \mu_X) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  be a levelling space such that  $\mu_X$  is the counting measure. Then,  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$  in the sense of Definition 5.1 if and only if  $X$  is a  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$  in the sense of Definition 1.1.

We say that there are *enough designs on  $\mathcal{Z}$*  satisfying some given additional properties provided that there are  $t$ -designs on  $\mathcal{Z}$  satisfying the properties for each natural number  $t$ .



**5.2. Necessity and sufficiency of Condition 1.3.** Recall that in Definition 2.7 we define the *centroid of  $f$  on  $\mathcal{X}$*  of a function  $f$  to be  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} f := \frac{1}{\mu_X(X)} \int_X f d\mu_X$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a bounded levelling space, and  $Y \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  a subset. If there are enough weighted designs on  $\mathcal{Z}$  consisting of points in  $Y$ , then  $Y$  is dense in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . In particular, Condition 1.3 is a necessary condition for  $\mathcal{Z}$  to have enough rational designs.*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be an arbitrary point in  $\mathcal{Z}$ . For each  $e \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a weighted  $2e$ -design  $\mathcal{X}_{2e}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  consisting of points in  $Y$ . Since  $\mathcal{Z}$  is bounded, there exists a real number  $d$  that is larger than the diameter of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Then, the quadratic polynomial  $f_p$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  given by

$$f_p(x) := 1 - \frac{1}{d^2} \|x - p\|_2^2$$

has the property that  $f_p(\mathcal{Z}) \subseteq (0, 1]$  and  $f_p$  achieves maximal value 1 at the point  $p$ . For  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ , denote by  $p_\epsilon$  the preimage  $f_p^{-1}((1 - \epsilon, 1])$ , which is an open set in  $\mathcal{Z}$  and has positive measure.

Suppose that  $Y$  is not dense around  $p$ . Let  $\delta := 1 - \sup f_p(Y) > 0$ , and let  $\epsilon \in (0, \delta)$  be a fixed number. Since  $f_p^e$  is of degree  $2e$  and  $\mathcal{X}_{2e}$  is a weighted  $2e$ -design,

$$(1 - \delta)^e \geq \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}_{2e}} f_p^e = \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} f_p^e \geq \frac{\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}(p_\epsilon)}{\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}(\mathcal{Z})} (1 - \epsilon)^e,$$

where  $\mu_{\mathcal{Z}}(p_\epsilon) > 0$ . Taking  $e \rightarrow \infty$ , we get a contradiction. Therefore,  $Y$  is dense around arbitrary point  $p$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a levelling space where Condition 1.3 holds and polynomials are integrable on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Then, for every  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a finite  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate weighted rational  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Applying Proposition 2.15 with  $V = \mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ , we get a finite  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate weighted  $t$ -design  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . For each point  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , pick a sequence of points  $(x^{(i)} \in \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathbb{Q}^d)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  whose limit is  $x$ . By Lemma 2.17, we know that for some sufficiently large  $i$ ,  $X^{(i)} := \{x^{(i)} : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$  is the support of a finite  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate weighted rational  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .  $\square$

**5.3. Necessity and sufficiency of Condition 1.4.** Let  $k \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a subset. Recall that in Definition 2.2, we say that a levelling space  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $k$ -weighted if  $\mu_X$  takes values in  $k$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a subfield, and let  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a levelling space. If there are enough finite  $\mathbb{F}$ -weighted rational designs on  $\mathcal{Z}$ , then  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} f \in \mathbb{F}$  for every monic monomial  $f$  in  $\mathcal{P}[\mathcal{Z}]$ . In particular, Condition 1.4 is a necessary condition for having enough  $\mathbb{Q}$ -weighted rational designs on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t$  be a natural number and  $\mathcal{X}$  a finite  $\mathbb{F}$ -weighted rational  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . By the definition of weighted designs, for every monic monomial  $f$  in  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ ,

$$\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} f = \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} f = \frac{1}{\mu_X(X)} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \mu_X(x) f(x),$$

which is an  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear combination of rational numbers  $\{f(x) : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ . Therefore,  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} f \in \mathbb{F}$ . Since the choice of  $t$  is arbitrary, the result holds for all monic monomial  $f \in \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}] = \mathcal{P}[\mathcal{Z}]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be a subfield and let  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  a levelling space. Suppose that  $X \subseteq \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathbb{Q}^d$  is the support of a finite  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate weighted levelling space  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} f \in \mathbb{F}$  for all monic monomial  $f \in \mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ . Then,  $X$  is also the support of a finite  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate  $\mathbb{F}$ -weighted levelling space  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  that is  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -equivalent to  $\mathcal{X}$ . Moreover, when  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  can be taken to be integer-weighted.*

*Proof.* Choose a basis of  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$  consisting of monic monomials, and let  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$  be the  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space generated by the basis. Since the set  $X$  consists of rational points,  $p := \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}] \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^t[\mathcal{Z}]^*$ . By Proposition 2.14(iii),  $p \in \text{int cone ev}_X \mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ . Applying Proposition 2.14(iv) to  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ ,  $p$  and  $X$ , we get a finite  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate  $\mathbb{F}$ -weighted levelling space  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  with support  $X$ . When  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$ , a suitable integer scalar of  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  is an integer-weighted levelling space, which is  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -equivalent to  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  by Lemma 2.10.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  a levelling space satisfying Condition 1.4. Suppose that  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$  is a finite  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate weighted rational  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$  with support  $X$ . Then,  $X$  is the support of a finite  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate integer-weighted rational  $t$ -design  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}] = \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ , applying Lemma 5.6 to  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$ , we get a desired  $t$ -design  $\mathcal{X}'$ .  $\square$

The following proposition is stronger than Lemma 5.4. It will not be used in the remainder of this article as Lemma 5.4 suffices for our purpose.

**Proposition 5.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a levelling space satisfying Conditions 1.3 and 1.4. Assume that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is not finite. Then, for every  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and every sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate integer-weighted rational  $t$ -design  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  of size  $n$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.4, there exists a finite weighted  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate rational  $t$ -design  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $S \subseteq \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathbb{Q}^d$  be a finite subset such that  $|X \cup S| = n$ . Lemma 2.16 says that  $X \cup S$  is the support of a finite  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate weighted rational  $t$ -design of size  $n$ . Then, use Lemma 5.7 to convert the real weights into integer weights.  $\square$

## 6. TOTAL MEASURES OF INTEGER-WEIGHTED DESIGNS

The main result in this section is Theorem 6.5, which shows that for all sufficiently large total measures, we can find an integer-weighted levelling space that is equivalent to a given levelling space. Theorem 6.5 is used in § 7 to prove the main result.

For a prime number  $p$ , let  $\nu_p$  be the  $p$ -adic valuation. In other words, for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\nu_p(n) := \sup\{v \in \mathbb{N} : p^v | n\}$ , and for  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\nu_p(a/b) := \nu_p(a) - \nu_p(b)$ . For a matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , let  $\nu_p(\mathbf{A}) := \min\{\nu_p(a) : \text{entry } a \text{ of } \mathbf{A}\}$ . For two matrices  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}'$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we have  $\nu_p(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}') \geq \nu_p(\mathbf{A}) + \nu_p(\mathbf{A}')$  whenever the sizes of  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A}'$  are compatible.

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $t$  and  $n$  be two natural numbers, and let  $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be a tuple of rational numbers. The  $t$ -th Vandermonde matrix of  $\mathbf{a}$  is the matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  whose rows are indexed by  $[0, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , columns indexed by  $[1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $(i, j)$ -th entry defined to be  $\mathbf{A}_{i,j} := a_j^i$ , where  $i \in [0, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $j \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $n$  be a natural number,  $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  a tuple of rational numbers and  $\mathbf{A}$  the  $(n-1)$ -th Vandermonde matrix of  $\mathbf{a}$ . Suppose that  $p$  is a prime number such that  $\nu_p(a_i)$ 's are distinct negative integers where  $i$  runs over  $[1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Then, for  $i \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  and  $j \in [0, n-1]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , we have  $\nu_p((\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{i,j}) \geq -j\nu_p(a_i)$ . In particular,  $\nu_p((\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{i,j}) \geq j\nu_p(1/\mathbf{a})$ , where  $1/\mathbf{a} := (1/a_1, \dots, 1/a_n)$ .*

*Proof.* It is well known that the  $(i, j)$ -th entry of  $\mathbf{A}^{-1}$  is

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{i,j} = (-1)^j \frac{e_{n-j-1}(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_n)}{\prod_{\substack{k \in [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}} \\ k \neq i}} (a_k - a_i)},$$

where  $e_{n-j-1}(a_1, \dots, \hat{a}_i, \dots, a_n)$  is the  $(n-j-1)$ -th elementary symmetric polynomial. Since all  $\nu_p(a_i)$ 's are distinct, it is straightforward to calculate the  $p$ -adic valuation of numerators and denominators of  $(\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{i,j}$ , and verify that  $\nu_p((\mathbf{A}^{-1})_{i,j}) \geq -j\nu_p(a_i)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $t$  and  $n$  be two natural numbers such that  $n \geq t+1$ ,  $\mathbf{a} := (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  a tuple of positive rational numbers,  $\mathbf{A}$  the  $t$ -th Vandermonde matrix of  $\mathbf{a}$ , and  $\mathbf{b} := (b_0, \dots, b_t) \in \mathbb{Q}^{t+1}$  a column vector. Suppose that  $p$  is a prime number such that  $\nu_p(a_i)$ 's are distinct negative integers where  $i$  runs over  $[1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ . Assume that the linear system*

$$(6.1) \quad \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

*has a positive real solution  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^n$ . Then, Eq. (6.1) has a positive rational solution  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}^n$  such that  $\nu_p(\mathbf{y}) \geq \min\{j\nu_p(1/\mathbf{a}) + \nu_p(b_j) : j \in [0, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}\}$ . In particular, if  $\nu_p(1/\mathbf{a})$  is sufficiently large, then  $\nu_p(\mathbf{y}) \geq \nu_p(b_0)$ .*

*Proof.* For a subset  $S \subseteq [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$ , denote its complement by  $\bar{S} := [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}} \setminus S$ , and let  $\cdot|_S$  and  $\cdot|_{\bar{S}}$  be the restriction maps to  $S$  and  $\bar{S}$ , respectively.

Since Eq. (6.1) has a positive real solution, there exists a positive rational number  $c$  such that  $\nu_p(c)$  is sufficiently large and that the system

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \\ \mathbf{x} \geq c\mathbf{1}, \end{cases}$$

has a real solution with  $\mathbf{x} > c\mathbf{1}$ . Clearly, the dimension of the solutions of  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  is  $n - t - 1$ . Moreover, due to the existence of a solution  $\mathbf{x} > c\mathbf{1}$ , the convex polytope defined by Eq. (6.2) also has dimension  $n - t - 1$ . Let  $\mathbf{y}$  be an extreme point of this convex polytope. We then know that  $\mathbf{y}$  can be uniquely determined by the intersection of  $n$  hyperplanes,  $t + 1$  of which are given by  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , and the other  $n - t - 1$  are of the form  $x_i = c$  where  $i \in \bar{S}$  for some subset  $S \subseteq [1, n]_{\mathbb{Z}}$  of size  $t + 1$ . It is then easy to see from Eq. (6.2) that  $\mathbf{A}|_S \cdot \mathbf{y}|_S + \mathbf{A}|_{\bar{S}} \cdot \mathbf{y}|_{\bar{S}} = \mathbf{b}$ . Since  $\mathbf{y}$  is an extreme point,  $\mathbf{A}|_S$  is an invertible Vandermonde matrix and

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{cases} \mathbf{y}|_S = \mathbf{A}|_S^{-1} \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}|_{\bar{S}} \cdot \mathbf{y}|_{\bar{S}}), \\ \mathbf{y}|_{\bar{S}} = c\mathbf{1}. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_p(\mathbf{y}) &= \min\{\nu_p(\mathbf{y}|_S), \nu_p(\mathbf{y}|_{\bar{S}})\} \\ &\geq \min\{\nu_p(\mathbf{A}|_S^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{b}), \\ &\quad \nu_p(\mathbf{A}|_S^{-1}) + \nu_p(\mathbf{A}|_{\bar{S}}) + \nu_p(c), \nu_p(c)\} \quad \text{Eq. (6.3)} \\ &= \nu_p(\mathbf{A}|_S^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \quad \nu_p(c) \text{ is sufficiently large} \\ &\geq \min\{j\nu_p(1/\mathbf{a}|_S) + \nu_p(b_j) : j \in [0, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}\}, \quad \text{Lemma 6.2} \\ &\geq \min\{j\nu_p(1/\mathbf{a}) + \nu_p(b_j) : j \in [0, t]_{\mathbb{Z}}\}, \quad \nu_p(1/\mathbf{a}|_S) \geq \nu_p(1/\mathbf{a}) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.4.** *Let  $t$  be a natural number and let  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  be a finite  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{I}]$ -nondegenerate levelling space. For every prime number  $p$ , there exists an integer-weighted levelling space  $\mathcal{X}_p \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{I}]$ -equivalent to  $\mathcal{X}$  and the total measure of  $\mathcal{X}_p$  is not divisible by  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{b} := (b_j : j \in [0, t]_{\mathbb{Z}})$ , where  $b_j := \text{centroid}_{\mathcal{X}} f_j$  and  $f_j(x) := x^j$ . It is clear that  $b_0 = 1$ . For each  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , choose a point  $a_x \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  sufficiently close to  $x$  while  $\nu_p(1/a_x)$  is sufficiently large. Let  $\mathbf{a} := \{a_x : x \in \mathcal{X}\}$  and  $\mathbf{A}$  the  $t$ -th Vandermonde matrix of  $\mathbf{a}$ .

According to Lemma 2.17,  $\mathbf{a}$  is the support of a finite levelling space  $\mathcal{A}$  that is  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{I}]$ -equivalent to  $\mathcal{X}$ . So, Eq. (6.1) has a positive real solution  $\mathbf{u} := (\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(a_x)/\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) : x \in \mathcal{X})$ . Then, by Lemma 6.3, Eq. (6.1) also has a positive rational solution  $\mathbf{w} = (w_x : x \in \mathcal{X})$  such that  $\nu_p(\mathbf{w}) \geq \nu_p(b_0) = 0$ . The solution  $\mathbf{w}$  gives us a rational weighted levelling space  $\mathcal{W}$  with support  $\mathbf{a}$ , measure  $\mu_{\mathcal{W}}(a_x) = w_x$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$  and total measure  $b_0 = 1$ .

Let  $m$  be the least common multiple of the denominators of the rational coordinates of  $\mathbf{w}$ . Since  $\nu_p(\mathbf{w}) \geq 0$ ,  $m$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Therefore,  $m\mathcal{W}$  is an integer-weighted levelling space with total measure  $m$ , which is not divisible by  $p$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.5.** *Let  $t$  be a natural number and let  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  be a  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{I}]$ -nondegenerate integer-weighted levelling space. For every sufficiently large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists an integer-weighted levelling space  $\mathcal{X}_n \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_n$  is  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{I}]$ -equivalent to  $\mathcal{X}$  and the total measure of  $\mathcal{X}_n$  is  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be the set of all prime factors of  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X})$ . For each  $p \in P$ , apply Proposition 6.4 and get an integer-weighted levelling space  $\mathcal{X}_p \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  that is  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{I}]$ -equivalent to  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}_p}(\mathcal{X}_p)$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Therefore, the additive semigroup generated by  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $\mu_{\mathcal{X}_p}(\mathcal{X}_p)$  for all  $p \in P$ , which

is a numerical semigroup, contains all sufficiently large integers. Let  $n$  be an arbitrary sufficiently large integer. Then, it can be written as a finite linear combination

$$n = c_0 \mu_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X}) + \sum_{p \in P} c_p \mu_{\mathcal{X}_p}(\mathcal{X}_p)$$

for some natural numbers  $c_0$  and  $c_p$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.10,

$$\mathcal{X}_n := c_0 \mathcal{X} + \sum_{p \in P} c_p \mathcal{X}_p$$

is  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{I}]$ -equivalent to  $\mathcal{X}$  with total measure  $n$ .  $\square$

## 7. PROOFS OF THE MAIN RESULT AND ITS COROLLARIES

### 7.1. Main result.

**Theorem 7.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a levelling space satisfying Conditions 1.3 and 1.4, and let  $t$  be a natural number. Denote by  $J(t, n; \mathcal{Z}; P)$  the set of all rational  $t$ -designs  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  of size  $n$  satisfying  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{Z} \cap (P^{-1}\mathbb{Z})^d$ . If  $\mathcal{Z}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraically path-connected, then there exist positive integers  $d_0$  and  $n_0$  such that for every natural number  $n \geq n_0$ ,*

$$|J(t, n; \mathcal{Z}; P)| \gg_{t, n, \mathcal{Z}} P^{(n - td_0(td_0 + 1)/2)/d_0},$$

as  $P \rightarrow \infty$  in the domain  $P_0 \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  for some positive integer  $P_0$ .

The basic strategy for proving Theorem 7.1 and its corollary, Theorem 1.6, is as follows: In Step 1, we use convex geometry to construct a suitable weighted rational design on  $\mathcal{Z}$ , and apply algebraic path-connectivity of  $\mathcal{Z}$  to find a suitable path passing through all points in the design. In Step 2, we use the path to construct a good weighted levelling space in  $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ ; In Step 3, we convert the good weighted levelling space in  $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  to a good integer-weighted levelling space in  $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ ; In Step 4, we regard the good integer-weighted levelling space on  $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  as a levelling space with repeated points, and separate the repeated points using results on the Hilbert-Kamke problem. In Step 5, we construct good levelling spaces in  $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  with arbitrary large size. In Step 6, we lift the good levelling spaces in  $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  to levelling space in  $\mathcal{Z} \cap \mathbb{Q}^d$ , which is a rational design on  $\mathbb{Z}$ . At the end, in step 7, we analyze the number of rational designs on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .

*Proof.* We are going to construct several levelling spaces  $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}', \mathcal{X}_n \subseteq \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathbb{Q}^d$  and  $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}', \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n, \mathcal{Y}_n \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\mathcal{X}_n$  will be a rational  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$  of size  $n$ . In the following diagram, we summarize the sequence of constructions.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 5.4}} & \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 2.16}} & \mathcal{X}' & & \mathcal{X}_n \\
 \uparrow p & & \updownarrow p & & \updownarrow p & & \uparrow p \\
 \mathcal{I} & & \mathcal{Y} & \xrightarrow[\text{Lemma 2.6(v)}]{} & \mathcal{Y}' & \xrightarrow[\text{Lemma 5.6}]{} & \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \xrightarrow[\text{Theorem 6.5}]{} \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n \xrightarrow[\text{Theorem 3.3}]{} \mathcal{Y}_n
 \end{array}$$

**Step 1.** Since  $\mathcal{Z}$  satisfies Conditions 1.3 and 1.4, according to Lemma 5.4, there exists a finite  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate (see Definition 2.12) weighted rational  $t$ -design  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . By  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraic path-connectivity of  $\mathcal{Z}$  (see Definition 1.5 and § 5.1), there exists a polynomial path  $p \in \mathbb{Q}[x]^d$  such that  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq p(\mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathcal{Z} \cap \mathbb{Q}^d$ . Let  $t_0 := t \deg p$ .

**Step 2.** Let  $Y := p^{-1}(\mathcal{X}) \cap \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . Then, the map  $p$  induces a surjection  $p|_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ . Applying Lemma 2.6(v), we then get a levelling space  $\mathcal{Y} := (p|_Y)^{-1}(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  with support  $Y$  such that  $p(\mathcal{Y}) = \mathcal{X}$ .

Let  $S$  be a  $\mathcal{P}^{t_0}[\mathcal{I}]$ -nondegenerate subset of  $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $|S| \geq t_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}$  is  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -nondegenerate with support  $\mathcal{X}$ , according to Lemma 2.16,  $\mathcal{X}' := \mathcal{X} \cup p(S)$  is the support of some weighted rational  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -design  $\mathcal{X}'$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $Y' := Y \cup S$ . The map  $p$  induces a surjection  $p|_{Y'} : Y' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ , so by Lemma 2.6(v),  $Y'$  is the support of some levelling space  $\mathcal{Y}' \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $p(\mathcal{Y}') = \mathcal{X}'$ . Moreover,  $|Y'| \geq |S| \geq t_0$  and  $\mathcal{Y}'$  is  $\mathcal{P}^{t_0}[\mathcal{I}]$ -nondegenerate because  $S \subseteq Y'$  is  $\mathcal{P}^{t_0}[\mathcal{I}]$ -nondegenerate.

**Step 3.** Since  $\mathcal{Y}'$  is  $\mathcal{P}^{t_0}[\mathcal{I}]$ -nondegenerate, by Lemma 5.6, there exists an integer-weighted (see Definition 2.2) levelling space  $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  whose support coincides with the support of  $\mathcal{Y}'$  and which is  $\mathcal{P}^{t_0}[\mathcal{I}]$ -equivalent (see Definition 2.8) to  $\mathcal{Y}'$ .

**Step 4.** By Theorem 6.5, there exists a natural number  $n_0 \geq 3t_0^2 2_0^t - t_0$  such that for every  $n \geq n_0$ , there exists an integer-weighted levelling space  $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbb{Q}$  whose total measure is  $n$  and which is  $\mathcal{P}^{t_0}[\mathcal{I}]$ -equivalent to  $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ .

**Step 5.** Let  $J_p(t_0; \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n; Q)$  be the set of levelling spaces  $\mathcal{Y}_n \subseteq \mathcal{I} \cap Q^{-1}\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\mathcal{Y}_n$  has counting measure with total measure  $n$ ,  $p$  is injective on  $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n$ , and  $\mathcal{Y}_n$  is  $\mathcal{P}^{t_0}[\mathcal{I}]$ -equivalent to  $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n$ . By Theorem 3.3,

$$|J_p(t_0; \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n; Q)| \asymp_{t_0, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n, p} Q^{n-t_0(t_0+1)/2},$$

as  $Q \rightarrow \infty$  in the domain  $Q_0 \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  for some positive integer  $Q_0$  depending on  $t_0$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n$ .

**Step 6.** Let  $P := Q^{\deg p}$ . We will show that  $p$  induces an inclusion  $J_p(t_0; \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n; Q) \rightarrow J(t, n; \mathcal{Z}; P)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{Y}_n$  be an arbitrary element in  $J_p(t_0; \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n; Q)$  for some  $Q \in Q_0 \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . By transitivity of  $\mathcal{P}^{t_0}[\mathcal{I}]$ -equivalence,  $\mathcal{Y}_n$  is  $\mathcal{P}^{t_0}[\mathcal{I}]$ -equivalent to  $\mathcal{Y}'$ . Let  $\mathcal{X}_n := p(\mathcal{Y}_n) \subseteq \mathcal{Z} \cap (P^{-1}\mathbb{Z})^d$ . By injectivity of  $p$  on  $\mathcal{Y}_n$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_n$  has the counting measure with total measure  $n$ . Since  $\mathcal{Y}_n$  and  $\mathcal{Y}'$  are  $\mathcal{P}^{t_0}[\mathcal{I}]$ -equivalent and  $p^* \mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}] \subseteq \mathcal{P}^{t_0}[\mathcal{I}]$ , they are also  $p^* \mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -equivalent, where  $p^* \mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}] = \{f \circ p : f \in \mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]\}$  is the pullback of polynomials in  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.11,  $\mathcal{X}_n = p(\mathcal{Y}_n)$  and  $\mathcal{X}' = p(\mathcal{Y}')$  are  $\mathcal{P}^t[\mathcal{Z}]$ -equivalent. Furthermore, since the levelling space  $\mathcal{X}'$  is a weighted  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$ ,  $\mathcal{X}_n$  is a rational  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$  of size  $n$ . So,  $p$  induces an inclusion that maps  $\mathcal{Y}_n \in J_p(t_0; \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n; Q)$  to  $\mathcal{X}_n \in J(t, n; \mathcal{Z}; P)$ .

**Step 7.** Set  $d_0 := \deg p$  and  $P_0 := Q_0^{d_0}$ . Using Step 6 and then Step 5,

$$|J(t, n; \mathcal{Z}; P)| \geq |J_p(t_0; \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n; Q)| \asymp_{t_0, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n, p} Q^{n-t_0(t_0+1)/2} = P^{(n-t_0(t_0+1)/2)/d_0},$$

as  $P \rightarrow \infty$  in the domain  $P_0 \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , where  $d_0 := \deg p$ ,  $t_0$  and  $p$  are determined by  $t, n, \mathcal{Z}$  in Step 1, and  $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_n$  is determined by  $t, n, \mathcal{Z}$  in Step 4.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* By Theorem 7.1, there exists an  $n_0$  such that for all natural number  $n \geq n_0$ , the set  $J(t, n; \mathcal{Z}; P)$  is nonempty for some  $P$ , hence there exists a rational  $t$ -design  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $\mathcal{Z}$  of size  $n$  in the sense of Definition 5.1. According to Remark 5.2, the support of  $\mathcal{X}$  is a rational  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$  of size  $n$  in the sense of Definition 1.1.  $\square$

**7.2. Corollaries of the main result.** Combining Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7, we get an immediate corollary as follows.

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfy Conditions 1.3 and 1.4, and let  $t$  be a natural number. The following statements hold.*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{Z}$  is open and connected, then there exist rational  $t$ -designs on  $\mathcal{Z}$  of all sufficiently large sizes.*
- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a  $d$ -dimensional convex set equipped with the Lebesgue measure, then there exist rational  $t$ -designs on  $\mathcal{Z}$  of all sufficiently large sizes.*

*Proof.* (i) Since  $\mathcal{Z}$  is open, Condition 1.3 holds. According to Theorem 1.7, since we further have that  $\mathcal{Z}$  is connected, it is consequently  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebraically path-connected. The result then follows from Theorem 1.6. (ii) Let  $\text{int } \mathcal{Z}$  be the interior of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Since  $\dim \mathcal{Z} = d$ ,  $\text{int } \mathcal{Z}$  is nonempty. By the convexity of  $\mathcal{Z}$ ,  $\text{int } \mathcal{Z}$  is open and convex, hence open and connected. By (i), there exist rational  $t$ -designs on  $\text{int } \mathcal{Z}$ . Since the boundary of the convex set  $\mathcal{Z}$  has Lebesgue measure 0, a rational  $t$ -design on  $\text{int } \mathcal{Z}$  is automatically a rational  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{Z}$ .  $\square$

Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.9 are corollaries of Theorem 7.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.8.* It is easy to check that  $d$ -dimensional convex polytopes given by inequalities with rational coefficients satisfy Conditions 1.3 and 1.4. The result follows from Theorem 7.2(ii) applied to  $\mathcal{Z}$ .  $\square$



*Proof of Theorem 1.9.* Consider the  $(d-1)$ -sphere  $\mathcal{S}^{d-1}$  in  $\mathcal{S}^d$  given by  $\{(x_0, \dots, x_d) \in \mathcal{S}^d : x_0 = 0\}$ . The  $(d-1)$ -sphere is closed and has measure 0. So, we get a levelling space  $\mathcal{S}^d \setminus \mathcal{S}^{d-1}$ .

Let  $p : \mathcal{S}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  be the projection map given by  $(x_0, \dots, x_d) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}^d$  be the image of  $\mathcal{S}^d \setminus \mathcal{S}^{d-1}$  under  $p$ . As a topological space,  $\mathcal{B}^d$  is a  $d$ -dimensional real unit open ball, and it is equipped with the pushforward measure (see Definition 2.4). By Theorem 7.2(i), there exists a rational  $t$ -design  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $\mathcal{B}^d$ . Let  $\mathcal{Y} := p^{-1}(\mathcal{X})$  defined in Lemma 2.6(v). For each point in  $\mathcal{Y}$ , all its coordinates are rational except possibly the first coordinate. By Lemma 2.6(vi),  $2\mathcal{Y}$  is equipped with the counting measure. We claim that  $2\mathcal{Y}$  is a spherical  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{S}^d$ , hence the result.

It suffices to show that for every monic monomial  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{S}^d]$ , Eq. (1.1) holds for  $2\mathcal{Y}$ . Indeed, the vector space  $\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{S}^d]$  has a direct sum decomposition  $\mathbb{R}[\mathcal{B}^d] \oplus x_0 \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{B}^d]$ . For each  $f \in x_0 \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{B}^d]$ , it is easy to see by symmetry that both sides of Eq. (1.1) are 0, and for each  $f \in \mathbb{R}[\mathcal{B}^d]$ , Eq. (1.1) follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a  $t$ -design on  $\mathcal{B}^d$ . Therefore,  $2\mathcal{Y}$  is a spherical  $t$ -design.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [Ark85] G. I. ARKHIPOV, On the Hilbert-Kamke problem, *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya* **24** (1985), 1–47. <https://doi.org/10.1070/IM1985v024n01ABEH001210>.
- [BBC04] C. BACHOC, E. BANNAI, and R. COULANGEON, Codes and designs in Grassmannian spaces, *Discrete Mathematics* **277** (2004), 15–28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X\(03\)00151-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-365X(03)00151-1).
- [BCN02] C. BACHOC, R. COULANGEON, and G. NEBE, Designs in Grassmannian spaces and lattices, *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics* **16** (2002), 5–19. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020826329555>.
- [BB09] E. BANNAI and E. BANNAI, A survey on spherical designs and algebraic combinatorics on spheres, *European Journal of Combinatorics* **30** (2009), 1392–1425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2008.11.007>.
- [BBST15] E. BANNAI, E. BANNAI, S. SUDA, and H. TANAKA, On relative  $t$ -designs in polynomial association schemes, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* **22** (2015), P4.47. Available at <http://www.combinatorics.org/ojs/index.php/eljc/article/view/v22i4p47>.
- [BD79] E. BANNAI and R. M. DAMERELL, Tight spherical designs, I, *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan* **31** (1979), 199–207. <https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/03110199>.
- [BD80] E. BANNAI and R. M. DAMERELL, Tight spherical designs, II, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society* **s2-21** (1980), 13–30. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-21.1.13>.
- [BRV13] A. BONDARENKO, D. RADCHENKO, and M. VIAZOVSKA, Optimal asymptotic bounds for spherical designs, *Annals of Mathematics* **178** (2013), 443–452. <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2013.178.2.2>.
- [DLS64] H. DAVENPORT, D. J. LEWIS, and A. SCHINZEL, Polynomials of certain special types, *Acta Arithmetica* **9** (1964), 107–116.
- [DS89] P. DELSARTE and J. J. SEIDEL, Fisher type inequalities for Euclidean  $t$ -designs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **114** (1989), 213–230. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795\(89\)90462-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(89)90462-X).
- [Del73] P. DELSARTE, *An algebraic approach to the association schemes of coding theory*, *Philips Research Reports Supplements* no. 10, 1973.
- [DGS77] P. DELSARTE, J.-M. GOETHALS, and J. J. SEIDEL, Spherical codes and designs, *Geometriae Dedicata* **6** (1977), 363–388. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03187604>.
- [God88] C. D. GODSIL, Polynomial spaces, *Discrete Mathematics* **73** (1988), 71–88. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(88\)90134-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(88)90134-3).
- [GS81] J. M. GOETHALS and J. J. SEIDEL, *Cubature Formulae, Polytopes, and Spherical Designs*, pp. 203–218, 1981. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-5648-9\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-5648-9_13).
- [GW93] P. M. GRUBER and J. M. WILLS, *Handbook of Convex Geometry*, **A**, North Holland, 1993.
- [HS96] R. H. HARDIN and N. J. A. SLOANE, McLaren’s improved snub cube and other new spherical designs in three dimensions, *Discrete & Computational Geometry* **15** (1996), 429–441. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02711518>.
- [Kee14] P. KEEVASH, The existence of designs, (2014).
- [Kup05] G. KUPERBERG, Special moments, *Advances in Applied Mathematics* **34** (2005), 853–870. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aam.2004.11.005>.
- [Mar53] K. K. MARDZHANISHVILI, On some nonlinear systems of equations in integers, *Matematicheskii Sbornik* **75** (1953), 639–675. Available at <http://mi.mathnet.ru/eng/msb5399>.
- [Möl79] H. M. MÖLLER, *Lower Bounds for the Number of Nodes in Cubature Formulae*, pp. 221–230, 1979. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-6288-2\\_17](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-6288-2_17).
- [RB91] P. RABAU and B. BAJNOK, Bounds for the number of nodes in Chebyshev type quadrature formulas, *Journal of Approximation Theory* **67** (1991), 199–214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9045\(91\)90018-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9045(91)90018-6).
- [RCW75] D. K. RAY-CHAUDHURI and R. M. WILSON, On  $t$ -designs, *Osaka Journal of Mathematics* **12** (1975), 737–744. Available at <http://hdl.handle.net/11094/7296>.

- [Riv69] T. J. RIVLIN, *An introduction to the approximation of functions*, Blaisdell Publishing Company, 1969.
- [RS14] A. ROY and S. SUDA, Complex spherical designs and codes, *Journal of Combinatorial Designs* **22** (2014), 105–148. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcd.21379>.
- [SZ84] P. D. SEYMOUR and T. ZASLAVSKY, Averaging sets: A generalization of mean values and spherical designs, *Advances in Mathematics* **52** (1984), 213–240. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708\(84\)90022-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8708(84)90022-7).
- [Ven84] B. B. VENKOV, Even unimodular extremal lattices, *Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta imeni VA Steklova* **165** (1984), 43–48. Available at <http://mi.mathnet.ru/eng/tm2270>.
- [Wag91] G. WAGNER, On averaging sets, *Monatshefte für Mathematik* **111** (1991), 69–78. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01299278>.
- [Woo12] T. D. WOOLEY, Vinogradov’s mean value theorem via efficient congruencing, *Annals of Mathematics* **175** (2012), 1575–1627. <https://doi.org/10.4007/annals.2012.175.3.12>.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY

*E-mail address:* `zcui@sjtu.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

*E-mail address:* `jiacheng@chalmers.se`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA

*E-mail address:* `ziqing@uga.edu`