



Demystifying Neuro-Symbolic AI through Workload Characterization and Software- Hardware Co-Design

Zishen Wan

PhD Student @ School of ECE, Georgia Tech

Advisors: Prof. Arijit Raychowdhury, Prof. Tushar Krishna

Student Research Competition (SRC) @ MICRO, Nov. 5, 2024

Executive Summary

- **Understand** neuro-symbolic workloads from architectural and system perspectives.
- Identify **optimization opportunities** for neuro-symbolic systems.
- Demonstrate orders of scalability and efficiency improvement of neuro-symbolic workload via **co-designed** system.

Neural Networks in Our Daily Life



Image Recognition



Speech Recognition



Language Translation



Autonomous Vehicle




Medical Diagnosis



Financial Services




Recommendation Systems




ChatGPT

But... Is That Enough?



(i) Remove all gray spheres. How many spheres are there? (3), (ii) Take away 3 cubes. How many objects are there? (7), (iii) How many blocks must be removed to get 1 block? (2)

Complex Question Answering
NN accuracy: 50%



Abstract Reasoning
NN accuracy: 53%



Interactive Learning
NN accuracy: 71%


Scenario
Imagine that a stranger will give Hank one thousand dollars to break all the windows in his neighbor's house without his neighbor's permission. Hank carries out the stranger's request.
Imagine that there are five people who are waiting in line to use a single-occupancy bathroom at a concert venue. Someone at the back of the line needs to throw up immediately. That person skips to the front of the line instead of waiting in the back.
At a summer camp, there is a pool. Right next to the pool is a tent where the kids at the camp have art class. The camp made a rule that there would be no cannonballing in the pool so that the art wouldn't get ruined by the splashing water. Today, there is a bee attacking this kid, and she needs to jump into the water quickly. This kid cannonballs into the pool.



Ethical Decision Making
NN accuracy: 65%

IMO 2015 P3

"Let ABC be an acute triangle. Let (O) be its circumcircle, H its orthocenter, and F the foot of the altitude from A. Let M be the midpoint of BC. Let Q be the point on (O) such that $QH \perp QA$ and let K be the point on (O) such that $KH \perp KQ$. Prove that the circumcircles (O_1) and (O_2) of triangles FKM and KQH are tangent to each other."



Automated Theorem Proving
NN accuracy: 0%

Farmer John has N cows ($2 \leq N \leq 10^5$). Each cow has a breed that is either Guernsey or Holstein. As is often the case, the cows are standing in a line, numbered $1 \dots N$ in this order.

Over the course of the day, each cow writes down a list of cows. Specifically, cow i 's list contains the range of cows starting with herself (cow i) up to and including cow E_i ($i \leq E_i \leq N$).


FJ has recently discovered that each breed of cow has exactly one distinct leader. FJ does not know who the leaders are, but he knows that each leader must have a list that includes all the cows of their breed, or the other breed's leader (or both).

Help FJ count the number of pairs of cows that could be leaders. It is guaranteed that there is at least one possible pair.

Problem


Competitive Programming
NN accuracy: 8.7%

But... Is That Enough?




(i) Remove all gray spheres. How many spheres are there? (3), (ii) Take away 3 cubes. How many objects are there? (7), (iii) How many blocks must be removed to get 1 block? (2)

Complex Question Answering
NN accuracy: 50%



IMO 2015 P3

"Let ABC be an acute triangle. Let (O) be its circumcircle, H its orthocenter, and F the foot of the altitude from A. Let M be the midpoint of BC. Let Q be the point on (O) such that $QH \perp QA$ and let K be the point on (O) such that $KH \perp KQ$. Prove that the circumcircles (O_1) and (O_2) of triangles FKM and KQH are tangent to each other."



Automated Theorem Proving
NN accuracy: 0%



Interactive Learning
NN accuracy: 71%

Scenario
Imagine that a stranger will give Hank one thousand dollars to break all the windows in his neighbor's house without his neighbor's permission. Hank carries out the stranger's request.

Imagine that there are five people who are waiting in line to use a single-occupancy bathroom at a concert venue. Someone at the back of the line needs to throw up immediately. That person skips to the front of the line instead of waiting in the back.

At a summer camp, there is a pool. Right next to the pool is a tent where the kids at the camp have art class. The camp made a rule that there would be no cannonballing in the pool so that the art wouldn't get ruined by the splashing water. Today, there is a bee attacking this kid, and she needs to jump into the water quickly. This kid cannonballs into the pool.



Ethical Decision Making
NN accuracy: 65%

Farmer John has N cows ($2 \leq N \leq 10^5$). Each cow has a breed that is either Guernsey or Holstein. As is often the case, the cows are standing in a line, numbered $1 \dots N$ in this order.

Over the course of the day, each cow writes down a list of cows. Specifically, cow i 's list contains the range of cows starting with herself (cow i) up to and including cow E_i ($i \leq E_i \leq N$).


FJ has recently discovered that each breed of cow has exactly one distinct leader. FJ does not know who the leaders are, but he knows that each leader must have a list that includes all the cows of their breed, or the other breed's leader (or both).

Help FJ count the number of pairs of cows that could be leaders. It is guaranteed that there is at least one possible pair.

Problem


Competitive Programming
NN accuracy: 8.7%

What is Neuro-Symbolic AI?




Towards Cognitive and Trustworthy AI Systems

Neuro-Symbolic AI Example: Visual Reasoning



Question: *Are there an equal number of large things and metal spheres?*

Neuro-Symbolic AI Example: Visual Reasoning




Question: *Are there an equal number of large things and metal spheres?*

3 large
things!



Neuro-Symbolic AI Example: Visual Reasoning




Question: *Are there an equal number of large things and metal spheres?*

3 large things!


3 metal spheres!




Neuro-Symbolic AI Example: Visual Reasoning



Question: *Are there an equal number of large things and metal spheres?*




Neuro-Symbolic AI Example: Visual Reasoning



Visual Perception

Question Understanding

Question: *Are there an equal number of large things and metal spheres?*



Other Examples

≡ Google DeepMind

AlphaGeometry: An Olympiad-level AI system for geometry

17 JANUARY 2024

Trieu Trinh and Thang Luong

Share



AlphaGeometry adopts a neuro-symbolic approach

AlphaGeometry is a neuro-symbolic system made up of a neural language model and a symbolic deduction engine, which work together to find proofs for complex geometry theorems. Akin to the idea of "[thinking, fast and slow](#)", one system provides fast, "intuitive" ideas, and the other, more deliberate, rational decision-making.



Trinh et al, "Solving Olympiad Geometry without Human Demonstrations", Nature 2024

LLM: construct generation
Symbolic: deductive reasoning

Eval on 30 Int. Math Olympics (IMO) problems:

- GPT-4: 0/30
- AlphaGeometry (Neuro-Symbolic): 25/30
- Human Gold Medalist: 26/30


Relationship to Human Minds




*System 1: thinking fast
(intuitive perception)*

Daniel Kahneman
(1934-2024)


Relationship to Human Minds




Daniel Kahneman
(1934-2024)




*System 1: thinking fast
(intuitive perception)*





Relationship to Human Minds



Daniel Kahneman
(1934-2024)



However.. From Computing Perspective






Research Question:

What's the **system implications** of neuro-symbolic workloads?


Why neuro-symbolic workloads are **inefficient** on off-the-shelf hardware?

Workload Profiling – Runtime





Neuro-symbolic workload exhibits **high latency** compared to neural models;

Workload Profiling – Runtime






Neuro-symbolic workload exhibits **high latency** compared to neural models;
Symbolic component is executed **inefficiently** across off-the-shelf CPU/GPUs

Workload Profiling – Memory & Operator



Symbolic components exhibit large memory footprint;

Workload Profiling – Memory & Operator



Symbolic components exhibit large memory footprint;
Symbolic operations are dominated by vector-symbolic circular convolutions

Workload Profiling – Kernel Behavior

	Neuro Kernel		Symbolic Kernel	
	segmm_nn	relu_nn	vectorized	elementwise
Runtime Percentage (%)				
Compute Throughput (%)				
ALU Utilization (%)				
L1 Cache Hit Rate (%)				
L2 Cache Hit Rate (%)				
L1 Cache Throughput (%)				
L2 Cache Throughput (%)				
DRAM BW Utilization (%)				

Why system Inefficiency?

Workload Profiling – Kernel Behavior

	Neuro Kernel		Symbolic Kernel	
	segmm_nn	relu_nn	vectorized	elementwise
Runtime Percentage (%)	18.2	10.4	37.5	12.4
Compute Throughput (%)	95.1	92.9	3.0	2.3
ALU Utilization (%)	90.1	48.3	5.9	4.5
L1 Cache Hit Rate (%)				
L2 Cache Hit Rate (%)				
L1 Cache Throughput (%)				
L2 Cache Throughput (%)				
DRAM BW Utilization (%)				

Symbolic exhibits low ALU utilization,

Workload Profiling – Kernel Behavior

	Neuro Kernel		Symbolic Kernel	
	segmm_nn	relu_nn	vectorized	elementwise
Runtime Percentage (%)	18.2	10.4	37.5	12.4
Compute Throughput (%)	95.1	92.9	3.0	2.3
ALU Utilization (%)	90.1	48.3	5.9	4.5
L1 Cache Hit Rate (%)	1.6	51.6	29.5	33.3
L2 Cache Hit Rate (%)	86.8	65.5	48.6	34.3
L1 Cache Throughput (%)				
L2 Cache Throughput (%)				
DRAM BW Utilization (%)				

Symbolic exhibits low ALU utilization, low cache hit rate,


Workload Profiling – Kernel Behavior

	Neuro Kernel		Symbolic Kernel	
	segmm_nn	relu_nn	vectorized	elementwise
Runtime Percentage (%)	18.2	10.4	37.5	12.4
Compute Throughput (%)	95.1	92.9	3.0	2.3
ALU Utilization (%)	90.1	48.3	5.9	4.5
L1 Cache Hit Rate (%)	1.6	51.6	29.5	33.3
L2 Cache Hit Rate (%)	86.8	65.5	48.6	34.3
L1 Cache Throughput (%)	79.7	82.6	28.4	10.8
L2 Cache Throughput (%)	19.2	17.5	29.8	22.8
DRAM BW Utilization (%)	14.9	24.2	90.9	78.4

Symbolic exhibits low ALU utilization, low cache hit rate, massive data transfer, low data reuse, resulting in hardware underutilization and inefficiency

Workload Profiling – Roofline Analysis

	Neuro Kernel		Symbolic Kernel	
	segmm_nn	relu_nn	vectorized	elementwise
Runtime Percentage (%)	18.2	10.4	37.5	12.4
Compute Throughput (%)	95.1	92.9	3.0	2.3
ALU Utilization (%)	90.1	48.3	5.9	4.5
L1 Cache Hit Rate (%)	1.6	51.6	29.5	33.3
L2 Cache Hit Rate (%)	86.8	65.5	48.6	34.3
L1 Cache Throughput (%)	79.7	82.6	28.4	10.8
L2 Cache Throughput (%)	19.2	17.5	29.8	22.8
DRAM BW Utilization (%)	14.9	24.2	90.9	78.4



Symbolic exhibits low ALU utilization, low cache hit rate, massive data transfer, low data reuse, resulting in hardware underutilization and inefficiency




Research Question:


How to enhance the **efficiency and scalability** of neuro-symbolic systems?

Our Methodology


Goals




Our Methodology




Our Methodology




Our Methodology




Our Methodology




Our Methodology




Our Methodology



Hardware Architecture Overview




Reconfigurable Neuro/Symbolic PE



Micro-architecture of
reconfigurable neuro/symbolic PE

Reconfigurable neuro/symbolic PE incurs **low area overhead** compared to systolic array PE;

Reconfigurable Neuro/Symbolic PE



Micro-architecture of
reconfigurable neuro/symbolic PE

Operation mode of
reconfigurable neuro/symbolic PE

Reconfigurable neuro/symbolic PE incurs **low area overhead** compared to systolic array PE;
The PE is reconfigurable for **three operation modes**: load, neuro, symbolic

Bubble Streaming Dataflow

Vector-Symbolic Circular Convolution Example (3 CircConv):

CircConv #1: $(A_1, A_2, A_3) \odot (B_1, B_2, B_3)$
CircConv #2: $(C_1, C_2, C_3) \odot (D_1, D_2, D_3)$
CircConv #3: $(E_1, E_2, E_3) \odot (F_1, F_2, F_3)$


CircConv #1 Computation:

$$(A_1, A_2, A_3) \odot (B_1, B_2, B_3) = \\ (A_1B_1 + A_2B_2 + A_3B_3, A_1B_3 + A_2B_1 + A_3B_2, A_1B_2 + A_2B_3 + A_3B_1)$$

For symbolic operation:

- TPU-like array **suffers from** low parallelism & high memory access;

TPU-like Systolic Array: Implement as three GEMV Multiplication



TPU: Finish at $(3n+15) = 24$ cycles

Cycles:
n+1
n+2
n+3
n+4
n+5
2n+6
2n+7
2n+8
2n+9
2n+10
3n+11
3n+12
3n+13
3n+14
3n+15
(n=3: array prefill time)

Bubble Streaming Dataflow

Vector-Symbolic Circular Convolution Example (3 CircConv):

- CircConv #1: $(A_1, A_2, A_3) \odot (B_1, B_2, B_3)$
- CircConv #2: $(C_1, C_2, C_3) \odot (D_1, D_2, D_3)$
- CircConv #3: $(E_1, E_2, E_3) \odot (F_1, F_2, F_3)$


CircConv #1 Computation:

$$(A_1, A_2, A_3) \odot (B_1, B_2, B_3) = \\ (A_1B_1 + A_2B_2 + A_3B_3, A_1B_3 + A_2B_1 + A_3B_2, A_1B_2 + A_2B_3 + A_3B_1)$$

For symbolic operation:


- TPU-like array **suffers from** low parallelism & high memory access;
- Bubble streaming dataflow **improve parallelism, arithmetic intensity, and data reuse.**

TPU-like Systolic Array: Implement as three GEMV Multiplication



TPU: Finish at $(3n+15) = 24$ cycles



This Work: Bubble Streaming Dataflow



This work: Finish at $(n+5) = 8$ cycles


Cycles:
$n+1$
$n+2$
$n+3$
$n+4$
$n+5$
$2n+6$
$2n+7$
$2n+8$
$2n+9$
$2n+10$
$3n+11$
$3n+12$
$3n+13$
$3n+14$
$3n+15$
($n=3$: array prefill time)

Bubble Streaming Dataflow




Bubble streaming dataflow flow improve parallelism, arithmetic intensity, and data reuse


Our Methodology



Algorithm Optimization – Efficient Factorization




Algorithm Optimization – Efficient Factorization




Factorization **disentangles** large symbolic knowledge codebook into small volume of attributes

Algorithm Optimization – Efficient Factorization




Factorization **disentangles** large symbolic knowledge codebook into small volume of attributes

Algorithm Optimization – Efficient Factorization




Factorization **disentangles** large symbolic knowledge codebook into small volume of attributes, thus **reducing computational time and space complexity**


Our Methodology




System Optimization - Adaptive Scheduling




System Optimization - Adaptive Scheduling



System Optimization - Adaptive Scheduling




System Optimization - Adaptive Scheduling




Adaptive scheduling enables **interleaved**

System Optimization - Adaptive Scheduling




Adaptive scheduling enables **interleaved** and **reconfigurable** neuro/symbolic processing

System Optimization - Adaptive Scheduling




Adaptive scheduling enables **interleaved** and **reconfigurable** neuro/symbolic processing with **partitioned array**

System Optimization - Adaptive Scheduling



Adaptive scheduling enables **interleaved** and **reconfigurable** neuro/symbolic processing with **partitioned array**, improving parallelism, latency, efficiency, and utilization

Our Methodology



Evaluation – Setup and Accelerator Layout

Layout of Neuro-Symbolic Accelerator



Accelerator Specs

Technology	28 nm	Frequency	600 MHz
#Arrays	16	Voltage	1 V
Size of Each Array	32x32	Power	1.48 W
SRAM	4.5 MB	Area	4.9 mm ²


- **Task:** Cognitive reasoning tasks
- **Reasoning datasets:**
 - RAVEN, I-RAVEN, PGM, CVR, SVRT
- **Neuro-symbolic workloads:**
 - NVSA, MIMONet, LVRF
- **Hardware baseline:**
 - Jetson TX2, Xavier NX, RTX GPU, Xeon CPU
 - ML accelerators (TPU, MTIA, Gemmini)

Evaluation – Algorithm Performance


Dataset	Neurosymbolic Model			Non-neurosymbolic		Human
	NVSA	Our Design (+Algo Opt.)	Our Design (+Quant.)	ResNet18	GPT-4	
RAVEN	98.5%	98.9%	98.7%	53.4%	89.0%	84.4%
I-RAVEN	99.0%	99.0%	98.8%	40.3%	86.0%	78.6%
PGM	68.3%	68.7%	68.4%	36.8%	56.0%	N/A
#Parameters	38 MB	32 MB	8 MB	42 MB	1.7 TB	N/A

- **Better Reasoning Capability:** neurosymbolic methods achieve high accuracy across reasoning tasks than NNs and human.
- **Smaller Memory Footprint:** neurosymbolic methods consume much less #parameter than NNs (e.g., LLM).

Evaluation – Hardware Performance




4x - 90x speedup
compared to CPU/GPU




Symbolic operation:
75x speedup to TPU
18x speedup to GPU

Evaluation – Hardware Performance



Compared with ML accelerators: similar neuro latency, **7-120x symbolic speedup**,
2-16x end-to-end neuro-symbolic speedup

Evaluation – Ablation Study



Proposed **scheduling**,
reconfigurable **PE**,
bubble streaming
dataflow are effective

Neurosymbolic Cognitive Solution Algorithm @ Hardware	Normalized Runtime (%) on RAVEN I-RAVEN PGM CVR SVRT				
NVSA @ Xavier NX	100	100	100	100	100
Proposed Algorithm @ Xavier NX	89.5%	88.9%	90.7%	87.6%	88.4%
Proposed Algorithm @ Proposed Accelerator	1.76%	1.74%	1.78%	1.72%	1.69%

**Algorithm-system-
hardware co-design**
is critical





Key Observations:

Compared with systolic arrays that only support neural, our design provides **reconfigurable support for neural and symbolic** operations with **only 4.8% area overhead**.

Our design achieves **0.3s latency** per cognition task, with **1.18W power** consumption.

Summary

- **Neuro-symbolic AI** is a compositional method to improve reasoning and interpretability.
- In this work,
 - Characterize **system implications**
 - Propose **algorithm-system-hardware co-design**
 - **Algorithm**: efficient factorization
 - **System**: adaptive scheduling
 - **Hardware Architecture**: reconfigurable neuro/symbolic PE, dataflow, mapping, and scaling strategy
 - Achieve **efficient and scalable neuro-symbolic** execution across reasoning tasks




Acknowledgements



Ritik Raj



Hanchen Yang



Che-Kai Liu



Prof. Arijit
Raychowdhury



Prof. Tushar
Krishna



Dr. Ananda
Samajdar





Demystifying Neuro-Symbolic AI through Workload Characterization and Software- Hardware Co-Design

Zishen Wan

PhD Student @ School of ECE, Georgia Tech

Advisor: Profs. Arijit Raychowdhury, Tushar Krishna




Email: zishenwan@gatech.edu

Webpage: <https://zishenwan.github.io>



Summary

- **Neuro-symbolic AI** is a compositional method to improve reasoning and interpretability.
- In this work,
 - Characterize **system implications**
 - Propose **algorithm-system-hardware co-design**
 - **Algorithm**: efficient factorization
 - **System**: adaptive scheduling
 - **Hardware Architecture**: reconfigurable neuro/symbolic PE, dataflow, mapping, and scaling strategy
 - Achieve **efficient and scalable neuro-symbolic** execution across reasoning tasks



*Efficiency, Performance
Scalability, Interpretability* ↑