SDE笔记

WangFangyikang

2023年10月24日

目录

1	Motivation		
	1.1	Approximating SGD	2
	1.2	Langevin Dynamics	3
2	Ordinary Differential Equations		4
	2.1	Numerical Solvers for ODE	5
	2.2	Existence and Uniqueness of the solution to the ODE	5
3	Heuristic Derivation of SDE		6
	3.1	Linear SDE	6
	3.2	Informal derivation of Xiang's approach	6

1 Motivation

In this section, we first illustrate the motivation of studying SDE from a machine learning perspective.

1.1 Approximating SGD

First, we look at the SGD process:

$$x^{n+1} = x^n - \eta_k \nabla \mathcal{L}(x^n; \xi^n), \tag{SGD}$$

where the white noise ξ^n characterize the randomness of the surrogate gradient in SGD method. Denote $\Sigma(x) := \mathbb{E}_{\xi} \left[(\nabla \mathcal{L}(x;\xi) - \nabla \mathcal{L}(x)) (\nabla \mathcal{L}(x;\xi) - \nabla \mathcal{L}(x))^T \right]$ and SGD writes:

$$x^{n+1} = x^n - \eta_k \nabla \mathcal{L}(x^n) + \sqrt{\eta \Sigma} \sqrt{\eta} \mathcal{Z}^n, \mathcal{Z}^n \sim N(0, I_d).$$

If we take the limit $\eta \to 0$ and regard $\sqrt{\eta} \mathcal{Z}^n = dW_t$, the SDE form of SGD is:

$$dX(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(X(t))dt + \sqrt{\eta \Sigma} dW_t.$$
 (SDE-1)

Q:

- Is SDE-1 a good approximation of SGD?
- Good in what sense?
- Is there a better one?

A:

- SDE-1 is a first-order weak approximation of SGD.
- Good in sense of testing: $\forall |g(x)| < K(1+|x|)^K, |\mathbb{E}g(X(n\eta)) g(X^n)| < C\eta^{\alpha}$
- There are higher order approximations!

For example, the second-order approximation of SGD writes:

$$dX(t) = -\nabla \left(\mathcal{L}(X(t)) + \frac{\eta}{4} \|\nabla \mathcal{L}(X(t))\|^{2} \right) dt + \sqrt{\eta \Sigma} dW_{t}.$$
 (SDE-2)

And another formulation (1-d Xiang) writes:

$$dX(t) = \frac{\log(1 - \eta \mathcal{L}''(x))}{\eta \mathcal{L}''(x)} \mathcal{L}'(x) dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\Sigma \cdot \log(1 - \mathcal{L}''(x)\eta)}{\mathcal{L}''(x)(\mathcal{L}''(x)\eta - 2)}} dW_t.$$
 (SDE-Xiang-1-dim)

The d-dimensional Xiang-Formulation is still under developing. Another class of questions is follows:

Q:

- How are these more advanced flows derived?
- Why would the SDE approximation be useful?

, which will be answered in the following.

1.2 Langevin Dynamics

Our goal of Langevin Dynamics is sampling from a Gibbs measure $\frac{e^{-\frac{\mathcal{L}(x)}{\sigma}}}{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{L},\sigma}}$, where $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{L},\sigma}$ is the normalizing constant. The Langevin dynamics writes:

$$dX(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(X(t))dt + \sqrt{2\sigma}dW_t.$$
 (LD)

Q:

- Why is this approach correct? I.e. why does LD have the correct equilibrium?
- How fast is the convergence?

The discrete-time version of LD writes:

$$X^{k+1} = X^k - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}(X^k) + \sqrt{2\sigma} \sqrt{\eta} \mathcal{Z}^k, \mathcal{Z}^n \sim N(0, I_d).$$
 (LD-discrete)

Q:

- What is the convergence property?
- Can we accelerate the convergence?

2 Ordinary Differential Equations

To better understand the behavior of SDE, we can first take a look at its non-random counterpart, i.e., ODEs (Ordinary Differential Equations).

$$dX(t) = f(t, X(t))dt, X(0) = X_0$$
(ODE)

Example: Linear ODE, i.e., f(t, X(t)) = LX(t)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}e^{-tL}X(t) = -e^{-tL}LX(t) + e^{-tL}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}X(t)$$

$$= e^{-tL}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}X(t) - LX(t)\right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{-tL}X(t) = e^{-tL}X(t)|_{t=0} = X_0$$

$$\Rightarrow X(t) = e^{tL}X_0$$
(1)

Next we look at the conception of Principle Flow proposed in Rosca et al. (2023), consider minimizing the quadratic objective $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T H x$, assuming that H is positive definite.

Consider the Gradient Descent Dynamic here:

$$X^{n+1} = X^n - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}(x) = X^n - \eta H X^n = (1 - \eta H) X^n$$

Therefore $X^n = (1 - \eta H)^n X_0$, if we want to have $X^n = X(n\eta)$ for all n, we should have:

$$(1 - \eta H)^n = e^{n\eta L} \Rightarrow L = \frac{\log(1 - \eta H)}{n}$$

We obtain $dX(t) = \frac{\log(1-\eta H)}{\eta}X(t)dt$, which is the Principle Flow in the quadratic case. This can be generalized to the non-linear case:

$$\mathrm{d}X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\log(1-\eta\lambda_i)}{\eta\lambda_i} \nabla \mathcal{L}(X(t))^T u_i \cdot u_i,$$

where $\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(X(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i u_i u_i^T$ is the SVD of $\nabla^2 \mathcal{L}(X(t))$. This generalization is derived in the sense of "backwards error analysis":

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\theta} = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta) + \eta f_1(\theta) + \dots + \eta^n f_n(\theta), \\ \theta^{n+1} = \theta^n - \eta \nabla \mathcal{L}(\theta^n), \end{cases}$$
 (2)

We want to have $\theta^{n+1} = \theta(n\eta + \eta)$ and $\theta^n = \theta(n\eta)$

2.1 Numerical Solvers for ODE

Write the ODE in the integral form:

$$X(t + \Delta t) = X(t) + \int_{t}^{t + \Delta t} f(X(\tau), \tau) d\tau$$

Explicit Euler method:

$$\overline{X}(t + \Delta t) = X(t) + \int_{t}^{t + \Delta t} f(X(t), t) d\tau$$

Implicit Euler method:

$$X(t + \Delta t) = X(t) + \int_{t}^{t + \Delta t} f(X(t + \Delta t), t + \Delta t) d\tau$$

Heun method:

$$X(t + \Delta t) = X(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{t + \Delta t} f(X(t), t) + f(\overline{X}(t + \Delta t), t + \Delta t) d\tau$$

Fourth order Runge-Kutta method:

$$\begin{split} \Delta X_k^1 &= f(\widehat{X}(t_k), t_k) \Delta t \\ \Delta X_k^2 &= f(\widehat{X}(t_k) + \Delta X_k^1/2, t_k + \Delta t/2) \Delta t \\ \Delta X_k^3 &= f(\widehat{X}(t_k) + \Delta X_k^2/2, t_k + \Delta t/2) \Delta t \\ \Delta X_k^4 &= f(\widehat{X}(t_k) + \Delta X_k^3, t_k + \Delta t) \Delta t \\ \widehat{X}(t_{k+1}) &= \widehat{X}(t_k) + \frac{1}{6} (\Delta X_k^1 + 2\Delta X_k^2 + 2\Delta X_k^3 + \Delta X_k^4) \end{split}$$

Order of approximation: $\left| \widehat{X}(t_M) - X(t_M) \le k\Delta t^P \right|, M = \frac{1}{\Delta t}$

2.2 Existence and Uniqueness of the solution to the ODE

Picard iteration: start from the initial guess $\varphi_0(t) = X_0$, recursively compute

$$\varphi_{n+1}(t) = X_0 + \int_{t_0}^t f(\varphi_n(\tau), \tau) d\tau.$$

If f is continuous in both x and t and Lipschitz continuous in x, then:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi_n(t) = X(t)$$

3 Heuristic Derivation of SDE

3.1 Linear SDE

For SDE, we assume $dW_t \sim N(0, dt)$ and SDE writes:

$$dX_t = FX_t dt + \sqrt{\widehat{\Sigma}} dW_t$$

Then,

$$d \exp(-Ft)X_t$$

$$= -F \cdot \exp(-Ft)X_t dt + \exp(-Ft) dX_t$$

$$= \exp(-Ft)\sqrt{\widehat{\Sigma}} dW_t$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp(-Ft)X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \exp(-F\tau)\sqrt{\widehat{\Sigma}} dW_\tau$$

$$\Rightarrow X_t = \exp(Ft)X_0 + \exp(F(t-\tau))\sqrt{\widehat{\Sigma}} dW_\tau$$

So we know that X_t remains Gaussian given $X_0 \sim N(m_0, P_0)$.

$$m_t = \mathbb{E}X_t = \exp(F_t)m_0$$

$$P_t = \mathbb{E}\left[(X_t - m_t)(X_t - m_t)^T\right]$$

$$= \exp(Ft)P_0 \exp(Ft)^T + \int_0^t \exp(F(t - \tau))\widehat{\Sigma}\exp(F(t - \tau))^T d\tau$$

, which reveals the property of OU process.

3.2 Informal derivation of Xiang's approach

Consider the stochastic dynamics (d = 1):

$$X^{n+1} = X^n - \eta \left(HX^n + \sqrt{\Sigma}Z^n \right), Z^n \sim N(0, I)$$

so, X^n remains Gaussian.

$$\begin{split} X^{n+1} &= \left(1 - \eta H\right) X^n - \eta \sqrt{\Sigma} Z^n \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{X^{n+1}}{\left(1 - \eta H\right)^{n+1}} = \frac{X^n}{\left(1 - \eta H\right)^n} - \frac{\eta \sqrt{\Sigma} Z^n}{\left(1 - \eta H\right)^{n+1}} \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{X^n}{\left(1 - \eta H\right)^n} = X^0 - \eta \sqrt{\Sigma} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{Z^i}{\left(1 - \eta H\right)^i} \\ \Rightarrow & X^n = \left(1 - \eta H\right)^n X^0 - \eta \sqrt{\Sigma} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \eta H\right)^{n-i} Z^i \end{split}$$

We can further calculate its mean and variance:

$$m^{n} = \mathbb{E}X^{n} = (1 - \eta H)^{n} \mathbb{E}X^{0}$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[(x^{n} - m^{n})(x^{n} - m^{n})^{T}\right] = \eta^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \eta H)^{2(n-i)}$$

$$= \eta^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - \eta H)^{2i}$$

$$= \eta^{2} \sum_{i=0}^{1 - (1 - \eta H)^{2n}} \frac{1 - (1 - \eta H)^{2n}}{1 - (1 - \eta H)^{2}}$$

参考文献

Rosca, M.; Wu, Y.; Qin, C.; and Dherin, B. 2023. On a continuous time model of gradient descent dynamics and instability in deep learning. *Transactions on Machine Learning Research*.