

SDE笔记

WangFangyikang

2023 年 10 月 12 日

目录

| | |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| 1 Motivation | 2 |
| 1.1 Approximating SGD | 2 |
| 1.2 Langevin Dynamics | 3 |

1 Motivation

In this section, we first illustrate the motivation of studying SDE from a machine learning perspective.

1.1 Approximating SGD

First, we look at the SGD process:

$$x^{n+1} = x^n - \eta_k \nabla \mathcal{L}(x^n; \xi^n), \quad (\text{SGD})$$

where the white noise ξ^n characterize the randomness of the surrogate gradient in SGD method. Denote $\Sigma(x) := \mathbb{E}_\xi \left[(\nabla \mathcal{L}(x; \xi) - \nabla \mathcal{L}(x)) (\nabla \mathcal{L}(x; \xi) - \nabla \mathcal{L}(x))^T \right]$ and **SGD** writes:

$$x^{n+1} = x^n - \eta_k \nabla \mathcal{L}(x^n) + \sqrt{\eta \Sigma} \sqrt{\eta} \mathcal{Z}^n, \mathcal{Z}^n \sim N(0, I_d).$$

If we take the limit $\eta \rightarrow 0$ and regard $\sqrt{\eta} \mathcal{Z}^n = dW_t$, the SDE form of SGD is:

$$dX(t) = -\nabla \mathcal{L}(X(t)) dt + \sqrt{\eta \Sigma} dW_t. \quad (\text{SDE-1})$$

Q:

- Is **SDE-1** a good approximation of **SGD**?
- Good in what sense?
- Is there a better one?

A:

- **SDE-1** is a first-order weak approximation of **SGD**.
- Good in sense of testing: $\forall |g(x)| < K(1 + |x|)^K, |\mathbb{E}g(X(n\eta)) - g(X^n)| < C\eta^\alpha$
- There are higher order approximations!

For example, the second-order approximation of **SGD** writes:

$$dX(t) = -\nabla \left(\mathcal{L}(X(t)) + \frac{\eta}{4} \|\nabla \mathcal{L}(X(t))\|^2 \right) dt + \sqrt{\eta \Sigma} dW_t. \quad (\text{SDE-2})$$

And another formulation (1-d Xiang) writes:

$$dX(t) = \frac{\log(1 - \eta \mathcal{L}''(x))}{\eta \mathcal{L}''(x)} \mathcal{L}'(x) dt + \sqrt{\frac{2\Sigma \cdot \log(1 - \mathcal{L}''(x)\eta)}{\mathcal{L}''(x)(\mathcal{L}''(x)\eta - 2)}} dW_t. \quad (\text{SDE-Xiang-1-dim})$$

The d-dimensional Xiang-Formulation is still under developing. Another class of questions is follows:

Q:

- How are these more advanced flows derived?
- Why would the SDE approximation be useful?

, which will be answered in the following.

1.2 Langevin Dynamics

Our goal of Langevin Dynamics is sampling from a Gibbs measure $\frac{e^{-\frac{\mathcal{L}(x)}{\sigma}}}{\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{L},\sigma}}$, where $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{L},\sigma}$ is the normalizing constant. The Langevin dynamics writes:

$$dX(t) = -\nabla\mathcal{L}(X(t))dt + \sqrt{2\sigma}dW_t. \quad (\text{LD})$$

Q:

- Why is this approach correct? I.e. why does LD have the correct equilibrium?
- How fast is the convergence?

The discrete-time version of **LD** writes:

$$X^{k+1} = X^k - \eta\nabla\mathcal{L}(X^k) + \sqrt{2\sigma}\sqrt{\eta}\mathcal{Z}^k, \mathcal{Z}^n \sim N(0, I_d) \quad (1)$$