

Lecture 10: Linear Mixed Models (Linear Models with Random Effects)

Claudia Czado

TU München



Overview

West, Welch, and Galecki (2007)
Fahrmeir, Kneib, and Lang (2007) (Kapitel 6)

- Introduction
- Likelihood Inference for Linear Mixed Models
 - Parameter Estimation for known Covariance Structure
 - Parameter Estimation for unknown Covariance Structure
 - Confidence Intervals and Hypothesis Tests

Introduction

So far: independent response variables, but often

- Clustered Data

- response is measured for each subject
- each subject belongs to a group of subjects (cluster)

Ex.:

- math scores of student grouped by classrooms (class room forms cluster)
- birth weights of rats grouped by litter (litter forms cluster)

- Longitudinal Data

- response is measured at several time points
- number of time points is not too large (in contrast to time series)

Ex.: sales of a product at each month in a year (12 measurements)

Fixed and Random Factors/Effects

How can we extend the linear model to allow for such dependent data structures?

fixed factor = **qualitative** covariate (e.g. gender, agegroup)

fixed effect = **quantitative** covariate (e.g. age)

random factor = **qualitative** variable whose levels are randomly sampled from a population of levels being studied

Ex.: 20 supermarkets were selected and their number of cashiers were reported

10 supermarkets with 2 cashiers	}	observed levels of random factor “number of cashiers”
5 supermarkets with 1 cashier		
5 supermarkets with 5 cashiers		

random effect = **quantitative** variable whose levels are randomly sampled from a population of levels being studied

Ex.: 20 supermarkets were selected and their size reported. These size values are random samples from the population of size values of all supermarkets.

Modeling Clustered Data

- Y_{ij} = response of j -th member of cluster i , $i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n_i$
 m = number of clusters
 n_i = size of cluster i
 x_{ij} = covariate vector of j -th member of cluster i for fixed effects, $\in \mathbb{R}^p$
 β = fixed effects parameter, $\in \mathbb{R}^p$
 u_{ij} = covariate vector of j -th member of cluster i for random effects, $\in \mathbb{R}^q$
 γ_i = random effect parameter, $\in \mathbb{R}^q$

Model:

$$Y_{ij} = \underbrace{x_{ij}^t \beta}_{\text{fixed}} + \underbrace{u_{ij}^t \gamma_i}_{\text{random}} + \underbrace{\epsilon_{ij}}_{\text{random}}$$

$$i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n_i$$

Mixed Linear Model (LMM) I

Assumptions:

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i \sim N_q(\mathbf{0}, D), \quad D \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i := \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{in_i} \end{pmatrix} \sim N_{n_i}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_i), \quad \Sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n_i}$$

$\boldsymbol{\gamma}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_m, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_m$ independent

D = covariance matrix of random effects $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_i$

Σ_i = covariance matrix of error vector $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i$ in cluster i

Mixed Linear Model (LMM) II

Matrix Notation:

$$\mathbf{X}_i := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i1}^t \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{in_i}^t \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times p}, \quad \mathbf{U}_i := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{i1}^t \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{in_i}^t \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times q}, \quad \mathbf{Y}_i := \begin{pmatrix} Y_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ Y_{in_i} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \quad & \mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{U}_i \boldsymbol{\gamma}_i + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & \boldsymbol{\gamma}_i \sim N_q(\mathbf{0}, D) \quad \boldsymbol{\gamma}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_m, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_m \text{ independent} \\ & \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_i \sim N_{n_i}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_i) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Modeling Longitudinal Data

- Y_{ij} = response of **subject i at j-th measurement**, $i = 1, \dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n_i$
 n_i = number of measurements for subject i
 m = number of objects
 x_{ij} = covariate vector of i-th subject at j-th measurement
for fixed effects $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$
 u_{ij} = covariate vector of i-th subject at j-th measurement
for random effects $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}^q$

matrix notation
 \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} Y_i &= X_i \beta + U_i \gamma_i + \epsilon_i \\ \gamma_i &\sim N_q(\mathbf{0}, D) \\ \epsilon_i &\sim N_{n_i}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_i) \end{aligned} \quad \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m, \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m \text{ independent}$$

Remark: The general **form** of the **mixed linear model** is the **same** for **clustered** and **longitudinal** observations.

Matrix Formulation of the Linear Mixed Model

$$\mathbf{Y} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{Y}_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ where } n := \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$$

$$\mathbf{X} := \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}, \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$$

$$\mathcal{G} := \begin{pmatrix} D & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{mq \times mq}$$

$$\mathbf{U} := \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & 0_{n_1 \times q} & \cdots & 0_{n_1 \times q} \\ 0_{n_2 \times q} & U_2 & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0_{n_m \times q} & & & U_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (m \cdot q)}, \quad 0_{n_i \times q} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times q}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} := \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \cdot q}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} := \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_m \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{R} := \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \Sigma_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

Linear Mixed Model (LMM) in matrix formulation

With this, the linear mixed model (1) can be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{where } \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} \sim N_{mq+n} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G} & 0_{mq \times n} \\ 0_{n \times mq} & R \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Remarks:

- LMM (2) can be rewritten as **two level hierarchical model**

$$\mathbf{Y}|\boldsymbol{\gamma} \sim N_n(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma}, R) \quad (3)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \sim N_{mq}(\mathbf{0}, R) \quad (4)$$

- Let $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*$, where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* := \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \underbrace{(\mathbf{U} \quad \mathbf{I}_{n \times n})}_A \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}$

$\stackrel{(2)}{\Rightarrow} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, V)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \boxed{V} &= A \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & R \end{pmatrix} A^t = (\mathbf{U} \quad \mathbf{I}_{n \times n}) \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^t \\ \mathbf{I}_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (\mathbf{U}\mathcal{G} \quad R) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}^t \\ \mathbf{I}_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} = \boxed{\mathbf{U}\mathcal{G}\mathbf{U}^t + R} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (2) implies $\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, V) \end{array} \right\} \text{ (5) marginal model}$

- (2) or (3)+(4) implies (5), however (5) does not imply (3)+(4)
 \Rightarrow If one is only interested in estimating $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ one can use the ordinary linear model (5)
 If one is interested in estimating $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ one has to use model (3)+(4)

Likelihood Inference for LMM:

1) Estimation of β and γ for known \mathcal{G} and \mathbf{R}

Estimation of β : Using (5), we have as MLE or weighted LSE of β

$$\tilde{\beta} := (X^t V^{-1} X)^{-1} X^t V^{-1} \mathbf{Y} \quad (6)$$

Recall: $\mathbf{Y} = X\beta + \epsilon$, $\epsilon \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$, Σ known, $\Sigma = \Sigma^{1/2} (\Sigma^{1/2})^t$

$$\Rightarrow \Sigma^{-1/2} \mathbf{Y} = \Sigma^{-1/2} X\beta + \underbrace{\Sigma^{-1/2} \epsilon}_{\sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma^{-1/2} \Sigma \Sigma^{-1/2})} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{LSE of } \beta \text{ in (7): } \hat{\beta} &= \left(X^t \Sigma^{-1/2} \Sigma^{-1/2} X \right)^{-1} X \Sigma^{-1/2} \Sigma^{-1/2} \mathbf{Y} \\ &= (X^t \Sigma^{-1} X)^{-1} X^t \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{Y} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

This estimate is called the **weighted LSE**

Exercise: Show that (8) is the MLE in $\mathbf{Y} = X\beta + \epsilon$, $\epsilon \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$

Estimation of γ :

From (3) and (4) it follows that $\mathbf{Y} \sim N_n(X\boldsymbol{\beta}, V)$ $\gamma \sim N_{mq}(\mathbf{0}, \mathcal{G})$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\mathbf{Y}, \gamma) &= \text{Cov}(X\boldsymbol{\beta} + U\gamma + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \gamma) \\ &= \underbrace{\text{Cov}(X\boldsymbol{\beta}, \gamma)}_{=0} + U \underbrace{\text{Var}(\gamma, \gamma)}_{\mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{\text{Cov}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \gamma)}_{=0} = U\mathcal{G} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix} \sim N_{n+mq} \left(\begin{pmatrix} X\boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} V & U\mathcal{G} \\ \mathcal{G}U^t & \mathcal{G} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Recall: $\mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{Z} \end{pmatrix} \sim N_p \left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}_Y \\ \boldsymbol{\mu}_Z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_Y & \Sigma_{YZ} \\ \Sigma_{ZY} & \Sigma_Z \end{pmatrix} \right)$

$\Rightarrow \mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{Y}}, \Sigma_{\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{Y}})$ with

$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{Y}} = \boldsymbol{\mu}_Z + \Sigma_{ZY}\Sigma_Y^{-1}(\mathbf{Y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_Y), \Sigma_{\mathbf{Z}|\mathbf{Y}} = \Sigma_Z - \Sigma_{ZY}\Sigma_Y^{-1}\Sigma_{YZ}$

$$\boxed{E(\gamma|\mathbf{Y})} = \mathbf{0} + \mathcal{G}U^tV^{-1}(\mathbf{Y} - X\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \boxed{\mathcal{G}U^tV^{-1}(\mathbf{Y} - X\boldsymbol{\beta})} \quad (9)$$

is the **best linear unbiased predictor** of γ (BLUP)

Therefore $\tilde{\gamma} := \mathcal{G}U^tV^{-1}(\mathbf{Y} - X\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ is the **empirical BLUP** (EBLUP)

Joint maximization of log likelihood of $(Y^t, \gamma^t)^t$ with respect to (β, γ)

$$f(\mathbf{y}, \gamma) \stackrel{(3)+(4)}{=} f(\mathbf{y}|\gamma) \cdot f(\gamma) \propto \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - X\beta - U\gamma)^t R^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - X\beta - U\gamma)\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}\gamma^t \mathcal{G}^{-1}\gamma\right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \ln f(\mathbf{y}, \gamma) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - X\beta - U\gamma)^t R^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - X\beta - U\gamma) - \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\gamma^t \mathcal{G}^{-1}\gamma}_{\text{penalty term for } \gamma} + \text{constants ind. of } (\beta, \gamma)$$

So it is enough to minimize

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\beta, \gamma) &:= (\mathbf{y} - X\beta - U\gamma)^t R^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - X\beta - U\gamma) - \gamma^t \mathcal{G}^{-1}\gamma \\ &= \gamma^t R^{-1}\gamma - 2\beta^t X^t R^{-1}\mathbf{y} + 2\beta^t X^t R^{-1}U\gamma - 2\gamma^t U^t R^{-1}\mathbf{y} \\ &\quad + \beta^t X^t R^{-1}X\beta + \gamma^t U^t R^{-1}U\gamma + \gamma^t \mathcal{G}^{-1}\gamma \end{aligned}$$

Recall:

$$f(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) := \boldsymbol{\alpha}^t \mathbf{b} = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j b_j$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} f(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = b_j,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}} f(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \mathbf{b}$$

$$g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) := \boldsymbol{\alpha}^t A \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \sum_i \sum_j \alpha_i \alpha_j a_{ij}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = 2\alpha_i a_{ii} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \alpha_j a_{ij} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \alpha_j a_{ji} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j a_{ij} = 2A_i^t \boldsymbol{\alpha}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}} g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = 2 \begin{pmatrix} A_1^t \\ \vdots \\ A_n^t \end{pmatrix} = 2A\boldsymbol{\alpha} \quad A_i^t \text{ is } i\text{th row of } A$$

Mixed Model Equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = -2\boldsymbol{X}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} + 2\boldsymbol{X}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{\gamma} + 2\boldsymbol{X}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} \stackrel{\text{Set}}{=} 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}} Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = -2\boldsymbol{U}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} - 2\boldsymbol{U}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} + 2\boldsymbol{U}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{\gamma} + 2\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \stackrel{\text{Set}}{=} 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{X}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{X} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + \boldsymbol{X}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{U} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} &= \boldsymbol{X}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \boldsymbol{U}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{X} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + (\boldsymbol{U}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{U} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}) \tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} &= \boldsymbol{U}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \boxed{\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{X} & \boldsymbol{X}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{U} \\ \boldsymbol{U}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{X} & \boldsymbol{U}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{U} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{X}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} \\ \boldsymbol{U}^t \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} \end{pmatrix}} \quad (10)$$

Exercise: Show that $\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\gamma}$ defined by (8) and (9) respectively solve (10).

Define $\textcolor{red}{C} := \begin{pmatrix} X & U \end{pmatrix}, \textcolor{red}{B} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{G}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow C^t R^{-1} C &= \begin{pmatrix} X^t \\ U^t \end{pmatrix} R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X & U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X^t R^{-1} \\ U^t R^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & U \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} X^t R^{-1} X & X^t R^{-1} U \\ U^t R^{-1} X & U^t R^{-1} U \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (10) &\Leftrightarrow (C^t R^{-1} C + B) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\beta} \\ \tilde{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = C^t R^{-1} \mathbf{y} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \textcolor{red}{\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\beta} \\ \tilde{\gamma} \end{pmatrix}} = (C^t R^{-1} C + B)^{-1} C^t R^{-1} \mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

2) Estimation for unknown covariance structure

We assume now in the marginal model (5)

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*, \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, V)$$

with $V = U\mathcal{G}U^t + R$, that \mathcal{G} and R are only known up to the variance parameter $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$, i.e. we write

$$V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = U\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})U^t + R(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})$$

ML Estimation in extended marginal model

$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*$, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))$ with $V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = \mathbf{U}\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\mathbf{U}^t + R(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})$

loglikelihood for $(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta})$:

$$l(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = -\frac{1}{2}\{\ln |V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| + (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\} + \text{const. ind. of } \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta} \quad (11)$$

If we maximize (11) for fixed $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ with regard to $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, we get

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) := (\mathbf{X}^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \mathbf{y}$$

Then the **profile log likelihood** is

$$\begin{aligned} l_p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) &:= l(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}), \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\{\ln |V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| + (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))\} \end{aligned}$$

Maximizing $l_p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})$ wrt to $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ gives **MLE** $\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{ML}$. $\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{ML}$ is however biased; this is why one uses often **restricted ML estimation (REML)**

Restricted ML Estimation in extended marginal model

Here we use for the estimation of ϑ the **marginal log likelihood**:

$$l_R(\vartheta) := \ln\left(\int L(\beta, \vartheta) d\beta\right)$$

$$\int L(\beta, \vartheta) d\beta = \int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} |V(\vartheta)|^{-1/2} + \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - X\beta)^t V(\vartheta)^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - X\beta)\right\} d\beta$$

Consider:

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathbf{y} - X\beta)^t V(\vartheta)^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - X\beta) &= \beta^t \underbrace{X^t V(\vartheta)^{-1} X}_{A(\vartheta)} \beta - 2\mathbf{y}^t V(\vartheta)^{-1} X\beta + \mathbf{y}^t V(\vartheta)^{-1} \mathbf{y} \\ &= (\beta - B(\vartheta)\mathbf{y})^t A(\vartheta)(\beta - B(\vartheta)\mathbf{y}) + \mathbf{y}^t V(\vartheta)^{-1} - \mathbf{y}^t B(\vartheta)^t A(\vartheta) B(\vartheta) \mathbf{y}\end{aligned}$$

where $B(\vartheta) := A(\vartheta)^{-1} X^t V(\vartheta)^{-1}$

(Note that $B(\vartheta)^t A(\vartheta) = V(\vartheta)^{-1} X A(\vartheta)^{-1} A(\vartheta) = V(\vartheta)^{-1} X$)

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) d\boldsymbol{\beta} &= \frac{|V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}^t [V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} + B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^t A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})] \mathbf{y})\right\} \\ &\quad \cdot \underbrace{\int \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\mathbf{y})^t A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})(\boldsymbol{\beta} - B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\mathbf{y})\right\} d\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\frac{(2\pi)^{p/2}}{|A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}|^{-1/2}} \quad (\text{Variance is } A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}!)} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &\boxed{(\mathbf{y} - X\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - X\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))} \\ &= \mathbf{y}^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{y}^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^t \underbrace{X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X}_{A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \\ &= \mathbf{y}^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \mathbf{y} - 2\mathbf{y}^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{y}^t B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^t A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \mathbf{y} \\ &\boxed{= \mathbf{y}^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^t B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^t A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \mathbf{y}} \end{aligned}$$

Here we used:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X)^{-1} X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \mathbf{y} = A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \mathbf{y} = B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \mathbf{y}$$

and

$$B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^t A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})$$

Therefore we can rewrite (12) as

$$\int L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) d\boldsymbol{\beta} = \frac{|V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - X\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - X\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))\right\}$$

$$\cdot \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{|A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}|^{-1/2}} \quad |A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}| = \frac{1}{|A|}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow l_R(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= \ln\left(\int L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) d\boldsymbol{\beta}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\{\ln |V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| + (\mathbf{y} - X\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}(\mathbf{y} - X\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))\} \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2} \ln |A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| + C \\ &= l_p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| + C \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the **restricted ML (REML)** of $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ is given by $\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{REML}$ which maximizes

$$l_R(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = l_p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X|$$

Summary: Estimation in LMM with unknown cov.

For the linear mixed model

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \mathbf{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} \sim N_{mq+n} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) & 0_{mq \times n} \\ 0_{n \times mq} & R(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

with $V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = \mathbf{U}\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\mathbf{U}^t + R(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})$

the **covariance parameter** vector $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ is estimated by either

$\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{ML}$ which maximizes

$$l_p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = -\frac{1}{2} \{ \ln |V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| + (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})) \}$$

where $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\mathbf{X}^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \mathbf{Y}$

or by

$\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{REML}$ which maximizes $l_R(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = l_p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |\mathbf{X}^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \mathbf{X}|$

The fixed effects $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ and random effects $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ are estimated by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(\mathbf{X}^t \hat{\mathbf{V}}^{-1} \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t \hat{\mathbf{V}}^{-1} \mathbf{Y}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = \hat{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{U}^t \hat{\mathbf{V}}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \quad \text{where } \hat{\mathbf{V}} = V(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{ML}) \text{ or } V(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{REML})$$

Special Case

(Dependence on ϑ is ignored to ease notation)

$$\mathcal{G} = \begin{pmatrix} D & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & D \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & U_m \end{pmatrix}, R = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \Sigma_m \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_m \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ \vdots \\ Y_m \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow V = U\mathcal{G}U^t + R = \begin{pmatrix} U_1DU_1^t + \Sigma_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & U_mDU_m^t + \Sigma_m \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{blockdiagonal})$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & V_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } V_i := U_iDU_i^t + \Sigma_i$$

Define

$$\hat{V}_i := U_i D(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}) U_i^t + \Sigma_i(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}), \text{ where } \hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{ML} \text{ or } \hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{REML}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}} &= (X^t \hat{V}^{-1} X)^{-1} X^t \hat{V}^{-1} \mathbf{Y} \\ &= \boxed{\sum_{i=1}^m X_i^t \hat{V}_i^{-1} X_i)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m X_i^t \hat{V}_i^{-1} \mathbf{Y}_i \right)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = \hat{\mathcal{G}} U^t \hat{V}^{-1} (\mathbf{Y} - X \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \text{ has components}$$

$$\boxed{\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_i = D(\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) U_i \hat{V}_i^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - X_i \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})}$$

3) Confidence intervals and hypothesis tests

Since $\mathbf{Y} \sim N(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}, V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))$ holds, an approximation to the covariance of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(\mathbf{X}^t V^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}) \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^t V^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}) \mathbf{Y}$ is given by

$$A(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}) := \left(\mathbf{X}^t V^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}) \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1}$$

Note: here one assumes that $V(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}})$ is fixed and does not depend on \mathbf{Y} .
Therefore $\hat{\sigma}_j := \left(\mathbf{X}^t V^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}) \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1}_{jj}$ are considered as estimates of $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_j)$.
Therefore

$$\hat{\beta}_j \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{\left(\mathbf{X}^t V^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}) \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1}_{jj}}$$

gives an approximate $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CI for β_j .

It is expected that $\left(\mathbf{X}^t V^{-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}) \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1}_{jj}$ underestimates $\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_j)$ since the variation in $\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}$ is not taken into account.

A full Bayesian analysis using MCMC methods is preferable to these approximations.

Under the assumption that $\hat{\beta}$ is asymptotically normal with mean β and covariance matrix $A(\vartheta)$, then the usual hypothesis tests can be done; i.e. for

- $H_0 : \beta_j = 0$ versus $H_1 : \beta_j \neq 0$

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \Leftrightarrow |t_j| = \left| \frac{\hat{\beta}_j}{\hat{\sigma}_j} \right| > z_{1-\alpha/2}$$

- $H_0 : C\beta = d$ versus $H_1 : C\beta \neq d$ $\text{rank}(C) = r$

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \Leftrightarrow W := (C\hat{\beta} - d)^t (C^t A(\hat{\vartheta}) C)^{-1} (C\hat{\beta} - d) > \chi_{1-\alpha, r}^2$$

(Wald-Test)

or

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \Leftrightarrow -2[l(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}) - l(\hat{\beta}_R, \hat{\gamma}_R)] > \chi_{1-\alpha, r}^2$$

where $\hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}$ estimates in unrestricted model
 $\hat{\beta}_R, \hat{\gamma}_R$ estimates in restricted model ($C\beta = d$)
 (Likelihood Ratio Test)

References

- Fahrmeir, L., T. Kneib, and S. Lang (2007). *Regression: Modelle, Methoden und Anwendungen*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- West, B., K. E. Welch, and A. T. Galecki (2007). *Linear Mixed Models: a practical guide using statistical software*. Boca Raton: Chapman-Hall/CRC.