

# Mathematics of Finance

## Exercises 1    Brownian Motions

- **Change in Office Hours** - 3.30 - 5pm on Mondays at CIWW 805 (in person only), or by appointment. The office hours on Wednesdays are cancelled.
- **Recommended Textbook** - Stochastic Calculus for Finance, Vol II, by S.E. Shreve; Springer Verlag.
- **Problems on the handout** are drawn from or inspired by the exercises in the book.

1. (Exercise 4.7 [Shreve] Calculations on Brownian Motions)

- (a) Compute  $dW^4$  and then write  $W^4$  as the sum of an ordinary (Lebesgue) integral.
- (b) Take expectations on both sides to derive the formula  $\mathbb{E}W^4(T) = 3T^2$ .
- (c) Deduce a formula for  $\mathbb{E}W^6$ .

2. (Exercise 4.19 [Shreve]) Let  $W(t)$  be a Brownian motion and define

$$B(t) = \int_0^t \text{sign}(W(s))dW(s),$$

where

$$\text{sign}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \geq 0, \\ -1 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

- (a) Show that  $(dB(t))^2 = dt$ . Hence  $B(t)$  is a Brownian motion by Levy's theorem.
  - (b) Show the *Itô's product rule*  $d(XY) = XdY + YdX + dXdY$  for stochastic process  $X(t), Y(t)$ .
  - (c) Use (b) to compute  $d(B(t)W(t))$ . Conclude that  $B(t)$  and  $W(t)$  are uncorrelated normal random variables by showing  $\mathbb{E}(B(t)W(t)) = 0$ .
  - (d) Compute  $dW^2(t)$  and conclude that  $B(t)$  and  $W(t)$  are not independent by showing  $\mathbb{E}[B(t)W^2(t)] \neq \mathbb{E}B(t) \cdot \mathbb{E}W^2(t)$ . Why does this happen to uncorrelated normal variables?
3. (Geometric Brownian Motions) Assume a stock price be a geometric Brownian motion

$$dS(t) = \alpha S(t)dt + \sigma S(t)dW(t)$$

- (a) Apply the Itô's lemma to solve for  $S$ .
- (b) Compute  $d(S^p(t))$ .

(Exercise 4.18 [Shreve]) Let  $X$  denote the value of an investor's portfolio with a hedging strategy of  $\Delta(t)$ .

- (c) Find  $dX$ .

Denote  $\theta = (\alpha - r)/\sigma$  as the *market price of risk*, where  $r$  denotes the interest rate. Define the *state price density process* as  $\zeta(t) = \exp\{-\theta W(t) - (r + \theta^2/2)t\}$ .

- (d) Find  $d\zeta$ . Hint: use two different ways to express  $d(e^{rt}\zeta)$
- (e) Show that  $\zeta(t)X(t)$  is a martingale. (i.e.  $d(\zeta(t)X(t))$  has no  $dt$ -terms).

From (c), the *present value* at  $t = 0$  of the random payment  $V(T)$  at  $t = T$  is  $X(0) = \mathbb{E}(\zeta(T)V(T))$ . Hence it is valid to call  $\zeta(t)$  the *state price density process*.

4. (Exercises 4.9-4.11 [Shreve] Black-Scholes-Merton Equation) For a European call with mature time  $T$  and strike price  $K$ , the BSM price at time  $t$  is

$$c(t, x) = xN(d_+) - Ke^{-r(T-t)}N(d_-),$$

where

$$d_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sigma_1 \sqrt{r}} \left( \log \frac{x}{K} + (r \pm \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2) r \right),$$

However, the underlying asset is indeed a geometric Brownian motion with volatility

$$\sigma_2 > \sigma_1 : dS(t) = \alpha S(t)dt + \sigma_2 S(t)dW(t).$$

We set up a portfolio with value denoted by  $X(t)$ .

We remove cash from this portfolio at a rate  $(\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2)S^2 c_{xx}/2 > 0$ . Hence,

$$dX = dc - c_x dS + r(X - c + S c_x)dt - (\sigma_2^2 - \sigma_1^2)S^2 c_{xx}/2$$

(a) Show that  $dX = rXdt$ .

(b) Write out the Itô's formula for  $d(e^{-rt}X(t))$ . Deduce  $dX = 0$ .

This implies the existence of an arbitrage opportunity.

5. (Exercise 4.20 [Shreve] Local Time) The Itô's Lemma in differential form says that

$$df(x, t) = f_t dt + f_x dx + \frac{1}{2} f_{xx} dx dx.$$

Plug in  $x = W(t)$  to get

$$df(W(t)) = f'(W(t))dW(t) + \frac{1}{2} f''(W(t))dt. \quad (1)$$

(a) Let  $K > 0$  a constant, and define  $f(x) = \max(x - K, 0)$ . Compute  $f'(x), f''(x)$ . Be careful about the points when either differential is not defined.

(b) Show that Equation 1 does not hold for  $f(x) = \max(x - K, 0)$ . Hint: Consider taking expected values and integrals on both sides.

To get some idea of what is going on here, we define a sequence of functions  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  by

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leq K_{n-} \\ \frac{n}{2}(x - K)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x - K) + \frac{1}{8n} & K_{n-} \leq x \leq K_{n+} \\ x - K & x \geq K_{n+} \end{cases}$$

where  $K_{n-} = K - 1/(2n), K_{n+} = K + 1/(2n)$ .

(c) Show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \max(x - K, 0),$$

and that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < K \\ 1/2 & x = K \\ 1 & x > K. \end{cases}$$

The value of  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x)$  at a single point will not matter when we integrate. We are constructing a continuous function  $f_n(x)$  and  $f'_n(x)$  is defined everywhere. Note further that  $f''_n(x)$  is defined for  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{K_+, K_-\}$ , and  $|f''_n(x)|$  is bounded above by  $n$ . Hence, the Itô's Lemma applies to the function  $f_n$  because the integrals are well defined.