

# Equivalent definitions for the non-degeneracy of a braided fusion category II

Jiaheng Zhao

May 23, 2022

Last time we gave a brief proof of the following theorem:

### Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a braided fusion category. TFAE:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{C}$  is non-degenerate;*
- (2)  $\mathcal{C}$  is factorisable;*
- (3) The Müger center of  $\mathcal{C}$  is trivial;*
- (4)  $\mathcal{C}$  is weakly-factorisable.*

This time, we further show that any of the above conditions is verified if and only if  $\mathcal{C}$  is an invertible object in certain Morita category. The main reference is [\[Brochier-Jordan-Safronov-Snyder: Invertible braided tensor categories\]](#).

- All categories we mention in this presentation are  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear categories, where  $\mathbf{k}$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. All functors we use are  $\mathbf{k}$ -linear.
- We use  $(\mathcal{S}, \boxtimes)$  to denote a closed symmetric monoidal  $(\infty, 2)$ -category which admits geometric realizations. An  $E_n$ -algebra in  $\mathcal{S}$  is a symmetric monoidal functor  $\mathcal{A} : \mathrm{Disk}_n^{fr} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . The factorisation homology with coefficients in  $\mathcal{A}$  is the left Kan extension of  $\mathcal{A}$  along the embedding  $\mathrm{Disk}_n^{fr} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Mfld}_n^{fr}$ , and is denoted by  $\int_- \mathcal{A}$ . We use  $\mathrm{Alg}_n(\mathcal{S})$  to denote the collection  $E_n$ -algebras in  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- We use  $\mathbf{Pr}$  to denote the symmetric monoidal 2-category of locally presentable categories<sup>1</sup>, cocontinuous functors and natural transformations. The symmetric monoidal structure is given by the Deligne tensor product.

---

<sup>1</sup>Finite categories are not locally presentable, but their ind-completions are. We can study finite categories thorough their ind-completions.

- Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be an  $E_1$ -algebra. We use  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}}$  to denote the opposite  $E_1$ -algebra whose multiplication direction is reverse to  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $E_2$ -algebra, we can either reverse the multiplication in the  $x$ -direction or in the  $y$ -direction. The two  $E_2$ -algebras obtained in the two ways can be canonically identified and we denote it as  $\mathcal{A}^{\sigma \text{op}}$ .

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $E_n$ -algebra. Its **enveloping algebra** is the  $E_1$ -algebra

$$U_{\mathcal{A}}^n = \int_{S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}.$$

The enveloping algebra has a natural left action on  $\mathcal{A} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}$ .

If we take  $\mathcal{S} = \mathbf{Pr}$ , then:

- $n = 1$ :  $U_{\mathcal{A}}^1 = \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\otimes \text{op}}$ . We also denote this algebra by  $\mathcal{A}^e$ ;
- $n = 2$ :  $U_{\mathcal{A}}^2 = \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\sigma \text{op}}} \mathcal{A}^{\otimes \text{op}}$ . We also denote this algebra by  $\text{HC}(\mathcal{A})$ .

**Definition**

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an  $E_n$ -algebra. Its  $E_n$ -**center** is the object

$$\mathcal{Z}_n(\mathcal{A}) = \text{End}_{U_{\mathcal{A}}^n}(\mathcal{A}).$$

If we take  $\mathcal{S} = \mathbf{Pr}$ , then

- $n = 1$ :  $\mathcal{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{A}^e}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$  and is canonically equivalent to the Drinfeld center of  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- $n = 2$ :  $\mathcal{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Fun}_{\text{HC}(\mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ , which is canonically equivalent to the Müger center of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

The collection of  $E_n$ -algebras in a fixed  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $\text{Alg}_n(\mathcal{S})$ , carries a structure of a symmetric monoidal  $(\infty, n+2)$  category, called the Morita category of  $\mathcal{S}$ .

## Definition (Sketchy)

The  $(\infty, n+2)$ -category  $\text{Alg}_n(\mathcal{S})$  consists of

- Objects are  $E_n$  algebras, which we denote by  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}, \dots$
- 1-morphisms are  $E_{n-1}$ -algebra objects in  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ -bimodules ;
- ...
- $n+1$ -morphisms are bimodule 1-morphisms;
- $n+2$ -morphisms are bimodule 2-morphisms.

## Review: Dualizability of bimodules

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be  $E_1$ -algebras and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{D}$ -bimodule. We say that  $\mathcal{M}$  is **right dualizable** if there is a  $\mathcal{D}$ - $\mathcal{C}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{N}$  together with a evaluation bimodule map  $v : \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and a coevaluation bimodule map  $u : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M}$  such that the compositions

$$\mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes u} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{v \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M},$$

$$\mathcal{N} \simeq \mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{u \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{N}}} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes v} \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{N}.$$

are isomorphic to the identity maps. In this case we say that  $\mathcal{N}$  is the **right dual** of  $\mathcal{M}$ , or equivalently,  $\mathcal{M}$  is the **left dual** of  $\mathcal{N}$ .



Duality theory of bimodules can be regarded as a generalization of the usual duality theory in a monoidal category. Recall that in a monoidal category  $\mathcal{T}$ , the tensor unit  $\mathbb{1}$  is equipped with a canonical algebra structure, and the forgetful functor  $U : \text{BMod}_{\mathbb{1}|\mathbb{1}}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$  is obviously a monoidal equivalence. We can see that an object  $T$  is left (resp. right) dualizable as a  $\mathbb{1}$ - $\mathbb{1}$ -bimodule if and only if it is left (resp. right) dualizable as an object in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Through the obvious equivalences  $\text{BMod}_{\mathbb{1}|\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \text{RMod}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{T})$  and  $\text{BMod}_{\mathcal{A}|\mathbb{1}}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \text{LMod}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{T})$  one can also talk about the dualizability of a left/right module over some algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . For example, we say a left  $\mathcal{A}$ -module is dualizable if it is right dualizable as a  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathbb{1}$ -bimodule.

### Remark

Our convention of left/right duality follows [Kong-Zheng: The center functor is fully faithful] and maybe different from the conventions used by other authors.

## Restriction of scalars

Let  $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be  $E_1$ -algebras and  $\mathcal{M}$  a  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{D}$ -bimodule. A morphism of  $E_1$ -algebras  $f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  gives rise to a pull-back functor  $\mathrm{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathrm{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{B}}$ . It turns out that dualizability of a bimodule is preserved by the pull-back functor:

### Lemma

*Let  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathrm{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}$  be right dualizable with right dual  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathrm{BMod}_{\mathcal{D}|\mathcal{C}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}'$ , the image of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathrm{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{B}}$  is also right dualizable with right dual  $\mathcal{N}'$ , the image of  $\mathcal{N}$  in  $\mathrm{BMod}_{\mathcal{B}|\mathcal{C}}$ .*

### Remark

Note that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}'$ ,  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}'$  as objects in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Only the module structure changes when we change scalars.

In fact, the converse of the previous theorem is also true:

### **Theorem (J.Lurie)**

*Let  $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$  be  $E_1$ -algebras in some suitable monoidal  $\infty$ -category  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{D}$ -bimodule. TFAE:*

- (1)  $\mathcal{M}$  is right dualizable as a  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{D}$ -bimodule;*
- (2) For every algebra homomorphism  $f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , the image of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathrm{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{T})$  is right dualizable;*
- (3) There exists an algebra homomorphism  $f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that the image of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathrm{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{B}}$  is right dualizable.*
- (4) Let  $\mathbb{1}$  be the tensor unit of  $\mathcal{T}$ , then the image of  $\mathcal{M}$  in  $\mathrm{LMod}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \mathrm{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathbb{1}}(\mathcal{T})$  is right dualizable.*

For a complete proof we refer to [\[Lurie: Higher algebra, Theorem 4.6.2.13\]](#)

## Remark

The preceding theorem says that left (right) dualizability of a bimodule  $\mathcal{M} \in \text{BMod}_{\mathcal{C}|\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{T})$  depends only on the right (left) action. Now let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathcal{D}$ -bimodule. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is right dualizable, its right dual must have the form  $\mathcal{M}^R = \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$ . As an object of  $\mathcal{T}$ , the dual  $\mathcal{M}^R$  does not depend on the action on the right side.

## Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module. Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is right dualizable if and only if the canonical map*

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}), \quad F \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} n \mapsto F(-) \odot n$$

*is an equivalence for all left  $\mathcal{C}$ -module  $\mathcal{N}$ .*

**Proof.**

Let us view  $\mathcal{C}$  as a  $\mathcal{C}$ - $\mathbb{1}$ -bimodule. Then  $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C})$  is a right dual of  $\mathcal{C}$  if and only if  $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} -$  is a right adjoint of  $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes -$ , i.e.

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathbb{1}, \mathcal{N}) \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbb{1}, \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{C}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N})$$



# Dualizability of $E_n$ -algebra

It is a well-known fact that any  $E_n$  algebra is  $n$ -dualizable in the Morita category [\[Gwilliam-Scheibauer: Duals and adjoints in higher Morita categories\]](#).

## Example

Any  $E_1$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Alg}_1(\mathcal{S})$  is 1-dualizable with dual  $\mathcal{C}^\vee = \mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}}$ , with evaluation and co-evaluation map given by:

- $\text{ev}$  is  $\mathcal{C}$  as a  $(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}})$ -bimodule.
- $\text{coev}$  is  $\mathcal{C}$  as a  $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}})$ -bimodule.

## Example

Every  $E_2$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Alg}_2(\mathcal{S})$  is 2-dualizable with dual  $\mathcal{A}^\vee = \mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}}$ , and with evaluation and coevaluation given by the regular central algebra:

- $\text{ev}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$  as a  $(\mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}})$ ;
- $\text{coev}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$  as a  $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}})$ -algebra.

The right adjoints to evaluation and coevaluation are given by

- $\text{ev}^R$  is  $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes\text{op}}$  as a  $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A})$ -central algebra,
- $\text{coev}^R$  is  $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes\text{op}}$  as a  $(\mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}})$ -central algebra.

Higher unit and counit morphisms are omitted here.

It is natural to ask for higher dualizability an  $E_n$ -algebra. When is an  $E_n$ -algebra  $(n+1)$ -dualizable? A conjecture is proposed in [Brochier-Jordan-Safronov-Snyder: Invertible braided tensor categories] to answer this question:

### Conjecture

*An  $E_n$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $(n+1)$ -dualizable if and only if it is dualizable over the factorization homologies  $\int_{S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}} \mathcal{A}$  for all  $k = 0, \dots, n$ .*

The case  $n = 1$  has been proved in [Lurie: On the classification of topological field theories] and the case  $n = 2$  has been proved in [Brochier-Jordan-Safronov-Snyder: Invertible braided tensor categories].

### Question

How to view the action of  $\int_{S^{k-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}} \mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathcal{A} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{A}$  topologically?



## Example

An  $E_1$ -algebra  $\mathcal{C}$  is 2-dualizable if and only if it is dualizable as an object of  $\mathcal{S}$ , and as a left  $\mathcal{C}^e$ -module.

To see this recall that the evaluation and coevaluation maps witnessing the 1-dualizability of  $\mathcal{C}$  are given by:

- $\text{ev}$  is  $\mathcal{C}$  as a  $(\mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}})$ -bimodule.
- $\text{coev}$  is  $\mathcal{C}$  as a  $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}})$ -bimodule.

A theorem of Lurie [\[Lurie: On the classification of topological field theories, Proposition 4.2.3\]](#) says that, a 1-dualizable object  $\mathcal{C}$  of a symmetric monoidal 2-category is 2-dualizable if and only if the evaluation and coevaluation maps each admit a right adjoint. By our previous discussions on base changes, it suffices to require that  $\mathcal{C}$  is dualizable as an object of  $\mathcal{S}$ , and as a  $\mathcal{C}^e$ -module.

# Invertibility of $E_n$ -algebras

We know that, an equivalence between two categories can always be promoted to part of an adjoint equivalence. Conversely, a pair of adjunction  $F \dashv G$  are mutually inverse to each other if and only if the evaluation and coevaluation maps are isomorphisms.

These patterns still work for higher categories. We may conclude that

- Requiring a dualizable object to be invertible is equivalent to requiring the corresponding evaluation and coevaluation maps to be invertible;
- Requiring the evaluation and coevaluation maps to be invertible is equivalent to requiring these maps are dualizable and the corresponding higher (co)evaluations are isomorphisms;
- ...
- Invertibility is stronger than dualizability.

This implies us to study invertibility of  $E_n$ -algebras inductively.

Let's start from  $E_1$ -algebras:

### Theorem

*Let  $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Alg}_2(\mathcal{S})$  be an  $E_2$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{C}$  an  $\mathcal{B}$ -central algebra viewed as a 1-morphism  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is invertible if and only if  $\mathcal{C}$  is 2-dualizable as an  $E_1$ -algebra and the following maps are equivalences:*

1. *The evaluation map  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}^e}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^e) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e$ .*
2. *The map  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{C})$  given by the  $\mathcal{B}$ -central structure on  $\mathcal{C}$ .*
3. *The evaluation map  $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}$ .*
4. *The map  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$  given by the left and right action of  $\mathcal{C}$  on itself.*

As a matter of fact, the four maps are exactly the characteristic maps witnessing the 2-dualizability of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

We only look at the first two maps in detail.  $\mathcal{C}$  is 1-dualizable with right dual  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}}$ , which should be viewed as  $\mathbf{1}_S\text{-}\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule. The counit is given by  $\eta = \mathcal{C}$  viewed as a  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}}\text{-}\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule, the counit is given by  $\epsilon = \mathcal{C}$  viewed as a  $\mathbf{1}_S\text{-}\mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{C}$ -bimodule.

Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is 2-dualizable,  $\eta$  and  $\epsilon$  are both right dualizable, with right duals given by  $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}^e}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^e)$  and  $\text{hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{1}_S)$  respectively. The corresponding (co)evaluation maps are:

1.  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}^e}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^e) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^e;$
2.  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}^e}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}^e} \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{C}^e}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{C});$
3.  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}}} \text{hom}_S(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{1}_S) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_S.$
4.  $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes \text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{1}_S) \boxtimes \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}).$

## Theorem

*An  $E_2$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Alg}_2(\mathcal{S})$  is invertible if, and only if, it is 3-dualizable and the following maps are isomorphisms:*

$$1'. \text{ HC}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}).$$

$$2'. \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}).$$

$$3'. \text{ The inclusion of the unit } \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}).$$

## Fact

Taking  $\mathcal{S} = \text{Pr}$ , the conditions 1', 2' and 3' are equivalent.

### Proof.

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is invertible, then it is 3-dualizable. Moreover, the evaluation map  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}$  is an invertible 1-morphism. Then by the preceding theorem, the conditions 1,2,3,4 hold with  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}$ . The condition 2 is exactly condition 2', condition 4 is exactly condition 1' and condition 3 translates into condition 3' after applying the functor  $\text{hom}(-, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}})$ .

Now assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  is 3-dualizable and conditions 1', 2' and 3' hold. Then conditions 2 and 4 trivially hold. Condition 3 holds because  $\text{hom}(-, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}})$  is an equivalence due to the dualizability of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Finally, condition 1 is equivalent to condition 2 after applying the functor  $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}^e}(-, \mathcal{A}^e)$ , which is also an equivalence.  $\square$

1.  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}^e}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^e) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^e;$
2.  $\mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}^e}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^e) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}^e} \mathcal{A} \simeq \text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}^e}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A});$
3.  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^{\otimes\text{op}}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}.$
4.  $\mathcal{A}^{\otimes\text{op}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}) \boxtimes \mathcal{A} \simeq \text{hom}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}).$
- 1'.  $\text{HC}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}).$
- 2'.  $\mathcal{A} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}).$
- 3'. The inclusion of the unit  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Z}_2(\mathcal{A}).$

Apply the functor  $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(-, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}})$  to condition 3 we get

$$\text{hom}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}) \simeq \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \text{hom}(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}^{\otimes\text{op}}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}), \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{S}}) \simeq \text{hom}_{\text{HC}(\mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}).$$

Apply the functor  $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}^e}(-, \mathcal{A}^e)$  to condition 1 we get

$$\text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}^e}(\mathcal{A}^e, \mathcal{A}^e) \simeq \mathcal{A}^e \rightarrow \text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}^e}(\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{A}^{\sigma\text{op}} \boxtimes \mathcal{A}} \text{hom}_{\mathcal{A}^e}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^e), \mathcal{A}^e) \simeq \mathfrak{Z}_1(\mathcal{A}).$$