

Quant II

Lab 5: Inference

Junlong Aaron Zhou

March 04, 2021

Outline

- Asymptotics
- Bootstrap
- Finite Sample

- The frequentist perspective: $\mathbf{W}_i = (Y_i, D_i, \mathbf{X}_i)$ is drawn from some unknown distribution f .

- The frequentist perspective: $\mathbf{W}_i = (Y_i, D_i, \mathbf{X}_i)$ is drawn from some unknown distribution f .
- The estimate θ is a functional of f : $\theta = \theta(f)$.

- The frequentist perspective: $\mathbf{W}_i = (Y_i, D_i, \mathbf{X}_i)$ is drawn from some unknown distribution f .
- The estimate θ is a functional of f : $\theta = \theta(f)$.
- Yet f is unknown.

- The frequentist perspective: $\mathbf{W}_i = (Y_i, D_i, \mathbf{X}_i)$ is drawn from some unknown distribution f .
- The estimate θ is a functional of f : $\theta = \theta(f)$.
- Yet f is unknown.
- We either focus on the limit of $\theta(f)$, θ_0 , or use the empirical distribution function, \hat{f} , to approximate f .

- How do we derive the asymptotic distribution of an estimator?

Asymptotics

- How do we derive the asymptotic distribution of an estimator?
- Cornerstone: central limit theorem
- The estimator converges to the normal distribution with the speed \sqrt{N} when the error from each observation is relatively small and independent.

- Most estimators in causal inference have the following form:

$$\hat{\tau} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \phi(\mathbf{w}_i; \beta)$$

where β represents nuisance parameters.

Asymptotics

- Most estimators in causal inference have the following form:

$$\hat{\tau} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \phi(\mathbf{w}_i; \beta)$$

where β represents nuisance parameters.

- For example, in the Horvitz-Thompson estimator,
$$\phi(\mathbf{w}_i; \beta) = \frac{D_i Y_i}{p_i} - \frac{(1-D_i) Y_i}{1-p_i}.$$
- $\phi(\mathbf{w}_i; \beta)$ is called the influence function of the estimator $\hat{\tau}$.

- Most estimators in causal inference have the following form:

$$\hat{\tau} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \phi(\mathbf{w}_i; \beta)$$

where β represents nuisance parameters.

- For example, in the Horvitz-Thompson estimator,
$$\phi(\mathbf{w}_i; \beta) = \frac{D_i Y_i}{p_i} - \frac{(1-D_i) Y_i}{1-p_i}.$$
- $\phi(\mathbf{w}_i; \beta)$ is called the influence function of the estimator $\hat{\tau}$.
- When the value of β is known, $\hat{\tau} - \tau$ converges to the normal distribution under some regularity conditions.

Asymptotics

- When β is unknown, we have to take into account the uncertainty from its estimation.

Asymptotics

- When β is unknown, we have to take into account the uncertainty from its estimation.
- This part is more difficult.

Asymptotics

- When β is unknown, we have to take into account the uncertainty from its estimation.
- This part is more difficult.
- Usually we need the Delta method (Taylor expansion):
$$\phi(\mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\beta}) = \phi(\mathbf{W}_i; \beta) + \phi'(\hat{\beta} - \beta).$$

Asymptotics

- When β is unknown, we have to take into account the uncertainty from its estimation.
- This part is more difficult.
- Usually we need the Delta method (Taylor expansion):
$$\phi(\mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\beta}) = \phi(\mathbf{W}_i; \beta) + \phi'(\hat{\beta} - \beta).$$
- When the convergence rate of β is not too slow, the estimate is still asymptotically normal.

Asymptotics

- When β is unknown, we have to take into account the uncertainty from its estimation.
- This part is more difficult.
- Usually we need the Delta method (Taylor expansion):
$$\phi(\mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\beta}) = \phi(\mathbf{W}_i; \beta) + \phi'(\hat{\beta} - \beta).$$
- When the convergence rate of β is not too slow, the estimate is still asymptotically normal.
- What if the parameter is infinite-dimensional?

Asymptotics

- When β is unknown, we have to take into account the uncertainty from its estimation.
- This part is more difficult.
- Usually we need the Delta method (Taylor expansion):
$$\phi(\mathbf{W}_i; \hat{\beta}) = \phi(\mathbf{W}_i; \beta) + \phi'(\hat{\beta} - \beta).$$
- When the convergence rate of β is not too slow, the estimate is still asymptotically normal.
- What if the parameter is infinite-dimensional?
- What if the influence function is not smooth?

Asymptotics

- Or we can do honest inference.

Asymptotics

- Or we can do honest inference.
- Split the sample, use one subsample to estimate the nuisance parameters and the other to estimate the causal effect.

Asymptotics

- Or we can do honest inference.
- Split the sample, use one subsample to estimate the nuisance parameters and the other to estimate the causal effect.
- Honest inference is popular in techniques based on machine learning.

- Or we can do honest inference.
- Split the sample, use one subsample to estimate the nuisance parameters and the other to estimate the causal effect.
- Honest inference is popular in techniques based on machine learning.
- e.g. Ratkovic (2019)

Asymptotics

- There are other techniques for deriving the asymptotic distribution.
 - Moment generating function
 - Stein's method

- There are other techniques for deriving the asymptotic distribution.
 - Moment generating function
 - Stein's method
- It is more difficult to derive the asymptotic distribution when the dimension is high.
- Now the number of variables increases at the same speed as the number of observations.
- For example, the empirical covariance no longer converges.

Asymptotics

- The roadmap if you are interested. . .

Asymptotics

- The roadmap if you are interested...
- Van der Vaart: Asymptotic Statistics (1998)
- Van der Vaart and Wellner: Weak Convergence and Empirical Processes (1996)
- Newey and McFadden: Large sample estimation and hypothesis testing (1994)
- Wainwright: High-Dimensional Statistics: A Non-Asymptotic Viewpoint (2016)

- We estimate the distribution f with the estimated \hat{f} , a parameter with infinite dimension.

- We estimate the distribution f with the estimated \hat{f} , a parameter with infinite dimension.
- The confidence interval is actually a “plug-in” estimator, but we plug in a function rather than a value.

- We estimate the distribution f with the estimated \hat{f} , a parameter with infinite dimension.
- The confidence interval is actually a “plug-in” estimator, but we plug in a function rather than a value.
- There are different ways of plugging in the \hat{f} .
- How to resample?
- How to calculate the confidence interval?

Bootstrap: Motivation

- Where does it come from?
- $X \sim F(X|\theta) \rightarrow \{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$
- $\hat{\theta} = h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
- Different samples from $F(X|\theta)$ produce different $\hat{\theta}$ s that estimate the true θ
- Ideally: take different $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ from $F(X|\theta)$, compute $\hat{\theta}$ for each of them, and get $\hat{\sigma}_{\hat{\theta}}^2$
- But we often have just one sample. . .
- So, we simulate samples! Parametrically or non-parametrically

Resampling algorithms

- Vanilla bootstrap
- Wild bootstrap
- Cluster bootstrap
- Jackknife

Bootstrap: nonparametric

- Instead of $X \sim F(X|\theta)$, assume $X \sim \hat{F}(X|\theta)$
- Our previous sample becomes the new population from which we sample
- Algorithm:
 - Choose B , number of pseudo-samples
 - Sample $\{x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}\}, \dots, \{x_1^{(B)}, \dots, x_n^{(B)}\}$
 - Compute $\hat{\theta}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\theta}^{(B)}$
- $\hat{\sigma}^{*2} = \frac{1}{B-1} \sum_{j=1}^B (\hat{\theta}^{(j)} - \bar{\hat{\theta}})^2$, where $\bar{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{j=1}^B \hat{\theta}^{(j)}$
- $(1 - \alpha)\%$ CI: cut off $\frac{\alpha}{2}\%$ smallest and largest $\hat{\theta}^{(j)}$ values

Bootstrap: parametric

- Plug $\hat{\theta}$ into $F(X|\theta)$
- Simulate $X \sim F(X|\hat{\theta})$
- Algorithm:
 - Choose B, number of pseudo-samples
 - Sample $\{x_1^{(1)}, \dots, x_n^{(1)}\}, \dots, \{x_1^{(B)}, \dots, x_n^{(B)}\}$ from $F(X|\hat{\theta})$
 - Compute $\hat{\theta}^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\theta}^{(B)}$
- $\hat{\sigma}^{*2} = \frac{1}{B-1} \sum_{j=1}^B (\hat{\theta}^{(j)} - \bar{\hat{\theta}})^2$, where $\bar{\hat{\theta}} = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{j=1}^B \hat{\theta}^{(j)}$

Construct the confidence interval

- The percentile t-method: $\frac{\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}^*}{\hat{\delta}^*}$
- The percentile method: $\hat{\theta} - \hat{\theta}^*$
- The Efron method: $\hat{\theta}^*$

95% CI from the percentile t-method: 1.655086 3.756416

95% CI from the percentile method: 1.676614 3.733469

95% CI from the Efron method: 1.61101 3.667865

Finite Sample

- We might only have small sample (non-asymptotic)
- Example? Small number of cluster, small N in panel, etc.

Finite Sample

- We might only have small sample (non-asymptotic)
- Example? Small number of cluster, small N in panel, etc.
- t distribution
- t -statistics is so called “pivotal-statistics”, i.e. not depending on nuisance estimator.
- deal with finite sample problem better.

Finite Sample

- Bell-McCaffrey Solution moves the t-distribution to a χ^2 -distribution, and find the degree of freedom adjustment for the t distribution.
- Similar idea with cluster.

Comprison of Confidence Set

1.11 Definition. C_n is a finite sample $1 - \alpha$ confidence set if

$$\inf_{F \in \mathfrak{F}} \mathbb{P}_F(\theta \in C_n) \geq 1 - \alpha \quad \text{for all } n. \quad (1.12)$$

C_n is a uniform asymptotic $1 - \alpha$ confidence set if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{F \in \mathfrak{F}} \mathbb{P}_F(\theta \in C_n) \geq 1 - \alpha. \quad (1.13)$$

C_n is a pointwise asymptotic $1 - \alpha$ confidence set if,

$$\text{for every } F \in \mathfrak{F}, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_F(\theta \in C_n) \geq 1 - \alpha. \quad (1.14)$$

Clustering

- Use the cluster SE only when you have clustering in sampling or clustering in design
- Easy to implement using clubSandwich

```
robust.se <- function(model, cluster){  
  require(sandwich)  
  require(lmtest)  
  M <- length(unique(cluster))  
  N <- length(cluster)  
  K <- model$rank  
  dfc <- (M/(M - 1)) * ((N - 1)/(N - K))  
  uj <- apply(estfun(model), 2, function(x) tapply(x, cluster,  
  rcse.cov <- dfc * sandwich(model, meat = crossprod(uj)/N)  
  rcse.se <- coeftest(model, rcse.cov)  
  return(list(rcse.cov, rcse.se))  
}
```

- Example: Bertrand, Marianne, Esther Duflo, and Sendhil Mullainathan. “How much should we trust differences-in-differences estimates?.” The Quarterly journal of economics 119.1 (2004): 249-275.
- They claims serial correlation in panel data should be taken into account.

- Example: Bertrand, Marianne, Esther Duflo, and Sendhil Mullainathan. “How much should we trust differences-in-differences estimates?.” The Quarterly journal of economics 119.1 (2004): 249-275.
- They claims serial correlation in panel data should be taken into account.
- However, it doesn't solve problems like:
- AR(1) process: $Y_{it} = \alpha Y_{i,t-1} + \beta X$
- Interference: $Y_i(\vec{D}) = Y_i(d_1, d_2, \dots)$

Take-aways

- Know your data structure and sample.
- Deal with cluster carefully.
- Cluster doesn't solve every problems.
- Correct bootstrap works (most of time).