HW8

Zhuodiao Kuang

2023-11-18

Problem 1

Since $T_i \sim LN(\mu, \sigma^2)$, we have the p.d.f. of the log-normal:

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{t\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln t - \mu)}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

The log-likelihood of the sample $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ is:

$$LL = -\frac{n}{2}\ln(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2}\ln\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{i=1}^n(\ln t_i - \mu)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n\ln t_i$$

Taking the F.O.C. with respect to μ and σ , and set them to zero, we can get:

$$\frac{\partial LL}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \ln t_i - \mu \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial LL}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\ln t_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^4} = 0$$

We can solve them MLE $\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}^2$ from the above equations:

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln t_i$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\ln t_i - \hat{\mu})^2$$

Therefore, MLEs have closed form.

Problem 2

```
##
## Call:
  survreg(formula = Surv(futime, fustat) ~ ecog.ps, data = ovarian,
       dist = "exponential")
##
##
                Value Std. Error
                                      z
                            0.971 8.13 4.3e-16
## (Intercept)
               7.893
  ecog.ps
               -0.475
                           0.586 -0.81
##
##
```

```
## Scale fixed at 1
##
## Exponential distribution
## Loglik(model) = -97.7
                        Loglik(intercept only) = -98
## Chisq= 0.67 on 1 degrees of freedom, p= 0.41
## Number of Newton-Raphson Iterations: 4
## n= 26
##
## Call:
  survreg(formula = Surv(futime, fustat) ~ ecog.ps, data = ovarian,
      dist = "weibull")
##
              Value Std. Error
                         0.915 8.49 <2e-16
## (Intercept) 7.766
              -0.431
                         0.534 -0.81 0.42
## ecog.ps
##
## Scale= 0.897
##
## Weibull distribution
## Loglik(model) = -97.6
                        Loglik(intercept only) = -98
## Chisq= 0.69 on 1 degrees of freedom, p= 0.41
## Number of Newton-Raphson Iterations: 5
## n = 26
```

Because both tests of two distributions generate relatively high p-values, so the survival data, by ecog status, doesn't follow the exponential distribution or the Weibull distribution.

Problem 3

The likelihood of Weibull distribution is:

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} h(T_i \mid Z_i)^{\Delta_i} S(T_i)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} (h_0(T_i)e^{\beta Z_i})^{\Delta_i} e^{-\lambda T_i^{\alpha}}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda \alpha T_i^{\alpha - 1} e^{\beta Z_i})^{\Delta_i} e^{-\lambda T_i^{\alpha}}$$

$$= (\lambda \alpha \times 16^{\alpha - 1} e^{\beta})^1 e^{-\lambda \times 16^{\alpha}} \times e^{-\lambda \times 20^{\alpha}}$$

$$\times (\lambda \alpha \times 12^{\alpha - 1})^1 e^{-\lambda \times 12^{\alpha}} \times e^{-\lambda \times 14^{\alpha}}$$

$$\times (\lambda \alpha \times 11^{\alpha - 1})^1 e^{-\lambda \times 11^{\alpha}}$$

$$\times (\lambda \alpha \times 9^{\alpha - 1} e^{\beta})^1 e^{-\lambda \times 9^{\alpha}}$$

$$= (\lambda \alpha)^4 (16^{\alpha - 1} 12^{\alpha - 1} 11^{\alpha - 1} 9^{\alpha - 1}) e^{2\beta - \lambda (16^{\alpha} + 20^{\alpha} + 12^{\alpha} + 14^{\alpha} + 11^{\alpha} + 9^{\alpha})}$$

$$= (\lambda \alpha)^4 19008^{\alpha - 1} e^{2\beta - \lambda (16^{\alpha} + 20^{\alpha} + 12^{\alpha} + 14^{\alpha} + 11^{\alpha} + 9^{\alpha})}$$

Problem 4

##

```
## Call:
## survreg(formula = Surv(time, event == 1) ~ trt, data = leu_dat,
       dist = "loglogistic")
##
##
                Value Std. Error
## (Intercept) 1.893
                           0.208 9.12 < 2e-16
## trt6-MP
                1.265
                           0.326 3.89 1e-04
## Log(scale) -0.604
                           0.150 -4.02 5.7e-05
##
## Scale= 0.547
##
## Log logistic distribution
## Loglik(model) = -107.7
                         Loglik(intercept only) = -115.4
## Chisq= 15.38 on 1 degrees of freedom, p= 8.8e-05
## Number of Newton-Raphson Iterations: 4
## n= 42
```

From the AFT model summary, we can see that the coefficient corresponds to the treatment effect is significant, which means that the treatment 6-MP has a significant effect on the survival of patients with Acute Myelogenous Leukemia.

The corresponding model is:

$$\log T_i = 1.8927 + 1.2655 \times I(\text{trt}_i = 6\text{-MP})$$