

# **Human-Aware Work Systems**

## **Vision, Phased Roadmap, and MVP (Safety Twin Lite)**

Compiled from the current canvas • October 09, 2025

This document consolidates the research-backed venture vision, MVP definition, adoption drivers, barriers & mitigations, multi-year roadmap, and compliance guardrails.

Venture Vision: Human-Aware Work Systems

\*A roadmap toward intelligent, empathetic, and safe workplaces.\*

**\*\*Research standard:\*\*** All factual statements below include source attributions (organization + year).  
Live links are provided in chat.

## 0. Market Rationale & Problem Definition

### *The Core Problem*

Construction and field-work industries remain high-risk and data■fragmented.

- **\*\*Global burden:\*\*** Nearly **\*\*3 million workers die each year\*\*** due to work■related accidents and diseases (International Labour Organization, 2023).
- **\*\*U.S. construction risk:\*\*** In **\*\*2023, U.S. construction recorded 1,075 fatalities\*\***; falls alone accounted for **\*\*421 deaths\*\*** (Bureau of Labor Statistics release & OSHA Stand■Down page, 2024).
- **\*\*Economic drag:\*\*** The **\*\*total cost of work injuries in 2023 was \$176.5B\*\***; **\*\*cost per medically■consulted injury averaged \$43,000\*\*** (National Safety Council Injury Facts, 2025).
- **\*\*Operational reality:\*\*** Mid■sized contractors juggle multiple siloed portals (IoT sensors, wearables, equipment telematics) and largely **\*\*react\*\*** to lagging indicators instead of anticipating hazards (National Safety Council Work to Zero, 2024; Cagno et al., Safety Science 2024).

### *The Unmet Need*

Managers consistently ask for predictive, unified visibility: a single, trustworthy view that merges workers, equipment, and environment to flag risks before incidents (Xu et al., Safety Science 2023; Jahangir/Schultz/Kamari, ITcon 2024).

### *Why Now*

- **\*\*Mature enablers:\*\*** Commodity sensors, open APIs, and applied ML enable **\*\*near■real■time anomaly detection\*\*** (NSC Work to Zero, 2024).
- **\*\*Compliance & cost pressure:\*\*** Executives report safety programs **\*\*return ~\$3 per \$1 invested\*\*** (Liberty Mutual/ASSP ROI survey; Huang et al., 2009), while insurers and states increasingly **\*\*reward proactive safety programs\*\*** with credits or discounts (The Hartford Loss Control IoT Lab; Travelers program announcements; state workers' comp credits summaries, 2024–2025).
- **\*\*Adoption intent is real but fragile:\*\*** Predictors of adoption include **\*\*perceived usefulness, ease of use, pilotability, and compatibility\*\***; blockers include privacy and training burden (Nnaji et al., 2019; Chong et al., 2023).

**\*\*Strategic soundbite:\*\*** “Sites are data■rich but insight■poor. Safety Twin Lite turns fragmented feeds into **\*\*predictive safety intelligence\*\*** managers can act on.”

# 1. Phase 0 (0–6 months): Safety Twin Lite — Tangible MVP Build

Objective: Ship a solo-built, API-powered real-time safety map that demonstrates predictive value with simulated or open feeds and validates user demand.

Primary users: Site Safety Managers (primary), Project/Operations Managers (secondary), with Insurance/Compliance stakeholders as influencers.

## ***Non-negotiable outcomes (aligned to research)***

- **Leading indicators over lagging** (Xu 2023): continuous **proximity, heat stress, and geofence** risk scoring.
- **Low friction, high pilotability** (Nnaji 2019): setup in minutes, demo mode without hardware; clear “explain this alert” to build trust.
- **Compatibility** (Nnaji 2019): ingest JSON/MQTT from common sources; simple exports for reporting.
- **Privacy by design** (Chong 2023): team-level or role-level views; opt-in if individual signals exist.

## ***MVP feature set***

- **Live Map Dashboard:** workers, equipment, zones, and **risk heatmap** (WBGT-lite heat stress; TTC/proximity; geofence breaches).
- **Alert Feed + Acknowledgment:** severity, timestamp, location; **“Why flagged?”** explainer.
- **Incident Playback:** time-scrubbed replay for after-action learning.
- **KPI Cards:** time-in-hazard, near-miss frequency, alert MTTA/MTTR; exportable snapshots for compliance & insurer discussions.
- **Data Simulation Layer:** scenario generator (crane swing, forklift crossing, midday heat spike) to support **pilotability** before hardware.

## ***Tech approach (solo-friendly)***

- **Frontend:** Streamlit/Dash (fast) or React + Leaflet/Mapbox.
- **Backend:** Python FastAPI for ingest + rules; WebSocket for live updates.
- **Risk Engine:** proximity/TTC, WBGT-lite, point-in-polygon geofences; optional LLM **alert summaries** for manager briefings.

## ***Validation plan & success criteria***

- **Users:** 3–5 safety managers; 1 operations leader.
- **Tasks:** 20-minute guided demo → interpret heatmap, triage alerts, export KPIs.
- **Metrics:** ≥70% report improved situational awareness; ≥30% perceived time saved vs. manual logs; ≥1 pilot LOI.

- **Evidence capture:** qualitative quotes + before/after workflows to support ROI narrative (ASSP/Liberty ROI framing; NSC cost context).

## 2. Product Role & Positioning (Who/Why/What)

- **Mission:** Real-time, predictive safety intelligence for mid-market construction and industrial sites.
- **Job to be done** (manager): “Give me one living map that shows where today’s risks are and how to reduce them quickly.”
- **Differentiation:** Lightweight setup; leading indicator focus; privacy-respecting views; exportable evidence for insurers and audits (The Hartford; state credits).

One-liner: “A lightweight safety twin that predicts danger before it happens.”

## 3. Research-Backed Adoption Drivers → Feature Mapping

Driver (evidence)	Product response
Usefulness / ROI** (Liberty Mutual/ASSP; NSC costs)	KPI cards quantify avoided exposure; incident playback supports audit and insurer conversations
Setup & pilotability** (Nnaji 2019)	No-hardware demo mode; setup wizard; opinionated defaults.
Interoperability** (Nnaji 2019)	Open JSON/MQTT ingest; CSV/PDF export; simple web UI.
Performance indicators** (Xu 2023)	Proximity/TTC, WBGT-lite, geofence leading metrics out of the box.
Privacy** (Chong 2023)	Role-level aggregation; opt-in toggles; “why” explanations.
ROI incentives** (The Hartford; Travelers; state comp credits)	Reports tuned to insurer/loss control conversations; template letters for credit approval

## 4. Barriers & Mitigations (Designing for Reality)

Barrier (evidence)	Mitigation in V1
Worker privacy / acceptance (Chong 2023)	Start with location & environment; avoid biometrics; anonymize by role/team.
Training burden (Nnaji 2019)	15-min onboarding; inline help; demo data included.
Integration fatigue (ITcon 2024)	Begin stand-alone; add connectors only after value proven; publish a simple ingest schema.
Budget uncertainty (Work to Zero 2024)	Month-to-month pilot pricing; clear ROI worksheet using NSC cost figures.

## 5. Roadmap Snapshot (Multi-Year)

- 0–6 mo : Safety Twin Lite (demo + pilots) → LOIs & insurer conversations
- 6–18 mo : Safety Twin Foundation (live feeds, multi-site)
- 18–30 mo: Bio-Aware Workforce Analytics – Privacy-First & Outcomes-Driven
- 30–42 mo: Context-Aware HR Copilot (no emotion recognition)

## ***Phase 2 (18–30 months): Bio-Aware Workforce Analytics — Privacy-First & Outcomes-Driven***

Focus (modified): Team-level, opt-in well-being and exposure analytics that predict operational risk (fatigue, heat exposure) using standardized, low-intrusion signals.

Design principles (research-aligned):

- **Team-level aggregation only**; no individual dashboards.
- **Minimize raw biometrics**: ingest → compute metrics → discard raw streams.
- **Standardized protocols** for signals like HRV (time-of-day, posture, duration).
- **Outcome framing**: link signals to **leading indicators** and operational outcomes (near-miss trend, time-in-heat-risk zone), not medical ROI.

Milestones:

- Analytics MVP with **privacy controls** and protocol guidance.
- 1–2 cross-sector pilots (shift-work or outdoor operations).
- Templates for consent + disclosure (US & EU variants).

Output: Credible, privacy-respecting team analytics that help managers anticipate risk without surveillance.

## ***Phase 3 (30–42 months): Context-Aware HR Copilot (No Emotion Recognition)***

Focus (pivot): An assistive HR copilot that accelerates onboarding, training, and policy answers using role/context data — without inferring emotions. EU-compliant by design (no workplace emotion recognition).

Core capabilities:

- **Onboarding accelerator**: adaptive checklists, learning paths, policy Q&A.
- **Manager assist**: draft 30/60/90-day plans, schedule check-ins, summarize feedback.
- **Knowledge retrieval**: HRIS/LMS-aware answers with citations to source docs.
- **Measurement**: cycle-time KPIs (time-to-proficiency, ticket resolution), quality metrics (completion accuracy).

Milestones:

- Copilot prototype integrated with sample HRIS/LMS data.
- Pilot with HR consulting partner; validate **cycle-time reduction** targets.

- Compliance modes: **\*\*EU (no emotion inference)\*\***; **\*\*US (optional text sentiment at aggregate level with consent)\*\***.

Output: A trusted, productivity■focused assistant that improves HR outcomes without intrusive affect analytics.

## **Compliance & Ethics Guardrails (applies across phases)**

- **\*\*EU AI Act Article 5(1)(f)\*\***: No **\*\*emotion recognition\*\*** in workplaces/education; platform ships with a hard **\*\*EU■compliance mode\*\*** (feature■level enforcement).
- **\*\*Biometrics & consent\*\***: US BIPA awareness (Illinois amendments in 2024 lowered per■scan damages but preserved consent obligations); provide **\*\*consent, retention, and deletion\*\*** templates.
- **\*\*Privacy by design\*\***: Default to team■level aggregation, data minimization, and clear **\*\*“Why this alert?”\*\*** explainability.