### **Human-Aware Work Systems**

# Final Vision, Roadmap & Safety Enablement Copilot Integration

Compiled • October 09, 2025

A research-backed, investor-ready plan: Safety Twin Lite MVP, phased roadmap, adoption drivers, barriers & mitigations, compliance guardrails, and the bundled Safety Enablement Copilot.

Venture Vision: Human-Aware Work Systems

\*A roadmap toward intelligent, empathetic, and safe workplaces.\*

\*\*Research standard:\*\* All factual statements below include source attributions (organization + year). Live links are provided in chat.

#### 0. Market Rationale & Problem Definition

#### The Core Problem

Construction and field-work industries remain high-risk and data-fragmented.

- \*\*Global burden:\*\* Nearly \*\*3 million workers die each year\*\* due to work-related accidents and diseases (International Labour Organization, 2023).
- \*\*U.S. construction risk:\*\* In \*\*2023, U.S. construction recorded 1,075 fatalities\*\*; falls alone accounted for \*\*421 deaths\*\* (BLS & OSHA Stand-Down, 2024).
- \*\*Economic drag:\*\* The \*\*total cost of work injuries in 2023 was \$176.5B\*\*; \*\*\$43,000\*\* per medically consulted injury (NSC Injury Facts, 2025).
- \*\*Operational reality:\*\* Mid-sized contractors juggle siloed portals and \*\*react\*\* to lagging indicators (NSC Work to Zero, 2024; Cagno et al., 2024).

#### The Unmet Need

Managers want predictive, unified visibility that merges workers, equipment, and environment to flag risks before incidents (Xu et al., 2023; ITcon 2024).

#### Why Now

- \*\*Mature enablers:\*\* Commodity sensors, open APIs, applied ML enable \*\*near-real-time anomaly detection\*\* (NSC Work to Zero, 2024).
- \*\*Compliance & cost pressure:\*\* Safety programs return \*\*~\$3 per \$1\*\* invested; insurers increasingly reward proactive programs (ASSP/Liberty; carriers & state credits, 2024–2025).
- \*\*Adoption intent is real but fragile:\*\* Predictors = \*\*usefulness, ease, pilotability, compatibility\*\*; blockers = privacy & training burden (Nnaji 2019; Chong 2023).

\*\*Strategic soundbite (safety):\*\* "Sites are data-rich but insight-poor. Safety Twin Lite turns fragmented feeds into \*\*predictive safety intelligence\*\* managers can act on."

## 1. Phase 0 (0–6 months): Safety Twin Lite — Tangible MVP Build

Objective: Ship a solo-built, API-powered real-time safety map that demonstrates predictive value with simulated or open feeds and validates user demand.

Primary users: Site Safety Managers; secondary: Ops/Project Managers; influencers: Insurance/Compliance.

#### Non-negotiable outcomes (research-aligned)

- \*\*Leading indicators over lagging\*\* (Xu 2023): \*\*proximity, heat stress, geofence\*\* risk scoring.
- \*\*Low friction, high pilotability\*\* (Nnaji 2019): hardware-free demo, setup wizard, \*\*explain alert\*\*.
- \*\*Compatibility\*\* (Nnaji 2019): open JSON/MQTT ingest; CSV/PDF export.
- \*\*Privacy by design\*\* (Chong 2023): team/role views; opt-in for individual signals.

#### MVP feature set

• \*\*Live Map\*\* (workers/equipment/zones + risk heatmap), \*\*Alert Feed\*\*, \*\*Incident Playback\*\*, \*\*KPI Cards\*\*, \*\*Data Simulation Layer\*\*.

#### Tech approach (solo-friendly)

Streamlit/Dash UI; FastAPI backend; WebSocket updates; risk rules (proximity/TTC, WBGT-lite, geofences); optional LLM summaries.

#### Validation plan & success criteria

3–5 managers + 1 ops leader; ≥70% improved situational awareness; ≥30% time saved vs. manual; ≥1 pilot LOI. Capture quotes & before/after flows.

#### 2. Product Role & Positioning (Who/Why/What)

Mission: Real-time, predictive safety intelligence for mid-market construction/industrial sites.

JTBD: "Show me where today's risks are and how to reduce them quickly."

Differentiation: Lightweight setup; leading indicators; privacy-first; exportable evidence for insurers/audits.

One-liner: \*"A lightweight safety twin that predicts danger before it happens."\*

#### 3. Research-Backed Adoption Drivers → Feature Mapping

Adoption driver	Product response
**Usefulness / ROI** (ASSP/Liberty; NSC)	KPI cards quantify avoided exposure; playback supports insurer/audit talks.
**Ease & pilotability** (Nnaji 2019)	Hardware-free demo; setup wizard; defaults.
**Compatibility** (Nnaji 2019)	Open ingest; CSV/PDF export.
**Leading indicators** (Xu 2023)	Proximity/TTC, WBGT-lite, geofence metrics.
**Trust & privacy** (Chong 2023)	Aggregation, opt-in, explainability.

**External incentives** (carriers/states)	Reports tuned for loss-control; credit templates.
---	---

#### 4. Barriers & Mitigations (Designing for Reality)

Barrier	Mitigation
Worker privacy / acceptance	Start with env/location; avoid biometrics; anonymize by role/team.
Training burden	15-min onboarding; inline help; demo data included.
Integration fatigue	Start stand-alone; add connectors after value proven.
Budget uncertainty	Month-to-month pilot; ROI worksheet using NSC costs.

#### 5. Roadmap Snapshot (Multi-Year)

```
0-6 mo : Safety Twin Lite (demo + pilots) \rightarrow LOIs & insurer conversations 6-18 mo : Safety Twin Foundation (live feeds, multi-site) 18-30 mo: Bio-Aware Workforce Analytics - Privacy-First & Outcomes-Driven 30-42 mo: Safety Enablement Copilot (bundled; no emotion recognition) 3+ years: Unified Human-Aware Work Intelligence Suite
```

### Phase 2 (18–30 months): Bio-Aware Workforce Analytics — Privacy-First & Outcomes-Driven

Focus: Team-only, opt-in exposure analytics that predict operational risk (fatigue, heat exposure) using standardized, low-intrusion signals.

Design principles: Team-level only; minimize raw biometrics; standardized HRV optional; outcome framing = leading indicators & operational results.

Milestones: Privacy-controls MVP; 1–2 pilots; consent/disclosure templates.

Output: Privacy-respecting team analytics to anticipate risk without surveillance.

## Phase 3 (30–42 months): Safety Enablement Copilot (Bundled; No Emotion Recognition)

Focus: A tightly scoped copilot that accelerates safety onboarding and just**■**in**■**time micro**■**training, triggered by Safety Twin risk signals. EU**■**compliant; no biometrics.

Core capabilities: Role

aware safety onboarding (30/60/90), SOP/Policy Q&A; (RAG) with citations, after

action checklists from Incident Playback.

Triggering logic (from Safety Twin):

\*\*New hire/role change\*\* → assemble role

specific onboarding & pre

shift brief.

- \*\*Incident / near
  miss in Zone X\*\* → push 3
  minute refresher to affected crew pre
  shift.
- \*\*Heat index/WBGT spike forecast\*\* → pre■shift work/rest + hydration plan; capture acknowledgments.
- \*\*Geofence violation trend\*\* → schedule 10■minute toolbox talk; log attendance.

KPIs: ↓ First■90■day near■miss rate; ↓ time in high■heat bands; ↓ onboarding days to safe proficiency; ↑ manager adherence; insurer evidence completeness.

Compliance: No emotion inference (EU AI Act 5(1)(f)); no biometrics; n≥5 cohort views; aggregation/banding; suppression under thresholds.

Milestones: Prototype wired to Safety Twin event bus + basic roster import; bundled 60–90 day pilot; LOI for rollout.

Output: Closed loop See risk  $\rightarrow$  Act  $\rightarrow$  Document  $\rightarrow$  Prove impact.

## Investor One Pager: Why the Safety Enablement Copilot Amplifies Safety Twin

Largest risk pool: ~35% of injuries/illnesses occur in a worker's first year (OSHA, 2023).

Mechanism: Leading indicators trigger Copilot micro■actions → behavior change (training improves safety behaviors in meta■reviews).

Insurer alignment: Risk signals + training evidence = stronger underwriting & credit discussions.

Procurement: Hard no∎emotion stance eases EU procurement.

#### **Compliance & Ethics Guardrails (applies across phases)**

- \*\*EU Al Act 5(1)(f):\*\* No workplace emotion recognition; EU mode enforced.
- \*\*Biometrics & consent:\*\* BIPA-aware templates; data minimization; retention/deletion clear.
- \*\*Privacy by design:\*\* n≥5 cohort views; aggregation & banding; \*\*"Why this alert?"\*\* explainability.