Normalization

- 1. Given the relation shown below
 - a. List all functional dependencies satisfied by the relation shown below.
 - b. Give all the candidate keys of this relation.

A	В	C
a1	b1	c1
a1	b1	c2
a2	b1	c3
a2	b1	c4

Answer:

The relation satisfies the following functional dependencies and the ones can be derived from them: $A \rightarrow B$, $C \rightarrow A$

There is only one candidate key of this relation: {C}

2. Consider the following relation:

CAR_SALE(Car#, Date_sold, Salesman#, Commission%, Discount_amt) Assume that a car may be sold by multiple salesmen, and hence {Car#, Salesman#} is the primary key. Additional dependencies are

Date sold → Discount amt

Car# → Data sold

Salesman# → Commission%

- a) Based on the given primary key, is this relation in 1NF, 2NF, or 3NF? Why or why not?
- b) How would you successfully normalize it completely?

Answer:

- a) It is in 1NF since D1: Data# → Data_sold and D2: Salesman# → Commission% are both partial dependencies.
- b) To convert it to 2NF, we first decompose the relation to two relations to deal with D1. So we have

R1=(<u>Car#</u>, Data_sold, Discount_amt)

R2=(<u>Car#,Salesman#</u>,Comission%)

R1 is in 2NF. R2 is not due to D2. So we decompose R2 to deal with D2. So we

have:

R1=(<u>Car#</u>, Data_sold, Discount_amt) R21=(<u>Salesman</u>#, Comission%)

R22==(Car#,Salesman#)

Now R1, R21, R22 are all in 2NF.

R21 and R22 are both in 3NF and BCNF. R1 is not in 3NF since D3: Data_sold → Discount_amt is a transitive dependency. So to convert it to 3NF, we decompose R1:

```
R11=(<u>Data_sold</u>, Discount_amt)
R12=(<u>Car#</u>, Data_sold)
R21=(<u>Salesman</u>#, Comission%)
R22==(<u>Car#</u>,Salesman#)
```

3. Consider the relation R (A,B,C,D,E, F, G, H, I, J) with the following FDs:

$$AB \rightarrow C$$
, $BD \rightarrow EF$, $AD \rightarrow GH$, $A \rightarrow I$, $H \rightarrow J$

- a) What is the candidate key of R?
- b) Explain why the relation is not in 2NF.
- c) Normalize it to 2NF, 3NF, and BCNF.

Answer:

- a) The candidate key of R is {ABD}
- b) It is not in 2NF since D1: AB \rightarrow C, D2: BD \rightarrow EF, D3: AD \rightarrow GH, D4: A \rightarrow I are all partial dependencies.
- c) To convert to 2NF, we first decompose the relation to deal with D1. So we have:

```
R1=(<u>A,B,</u>C,I)
R2=(A,B,D,E,F,G,H,I)
```

Both R1 and R2 have partial dependencies, including D4 in R1, D2 and D3 in R2. We decompose R1 to deal with D4. So we have:

```
R11={<u>A</u>, I}
R12=(<u>A</u>,<u>B</u>,C)
R2=(<u>A</u>,<u>B</u>,D,E,F,G,H,J)
```

Now R11 and R12 are in 2NF. R2 is not due to D2 and D3. We decompose R2 to deal with D2 first. So we have:

```
R11={<u>A</u>, I}
R12=(<u>A</u>,<u>B</u>,C)
R21=(<u>B</u>,<u>D</u>,E,F)
R22=(A,B,D,G,H,J)
```

Now R11, R12, R21 are in 2NF. R22 is not due to D3. We decompose R22 to deal with D3. So we have:

```
R11={<u>A</u>, I}
R12=(<u>A</u>,<u>B</u>,C)
R21=(<u>B</u>,<u>D</u>,E,F)
R221=(<u>A</u>,<u>D</u>,G,H,J)
R222=(A,B,D)
```

Now all the relations are in 2NF.

R11, R12, R21, R222 are all in 3NF and BCNF. R221 is not in 3NF since D5: $H\rightarrow J$ is a transitive dependency. We decompose R221 to deal with D5. So we have:

```
R11={<u>A</u>, I}
R12=(<u>A</u>,<u>B</u>,C)
R21=(<u>B</u>,<u>D</u>,E,F)
R2211=(<u>H</u>, J)
```

R2212=(\underline{A} , \underline{D} ,G,H) R222=(\underline{A} , \underline{B} , \underline{D})

Now all the relations are in 3NF and BCNF.

4. Consider the following relation for published books:

BOOK (Book_title, Author_name, Book_type, List_price, Author_affil, Publisher).

Suppose the following dependencies exist:

Book_title→ Publisher, Book_type

Book_type → List_price

Author_name → Author_affil

- a) What normal form is the relation in? Explain your answer.
- b) Apply normalization until you cannot decompose the relations further. State the reasons behind each decomposition.

Answer:

- a) The key of the relation is (Book_title, Author_name). Therefore, the relation is in 1NF but not in 2NF since D1: Book_title→ Publisher, Book_type and D2: Author_name → Author_affil are partial dependencies.
- b) To convert the relation to 2NF, we first decompose the relation to deal with D1. So we have:

Book1=(Book_title, Publisher, Book_type, List_price)

Book2=(Book title, Author name, Author affil)

Now Book1 is in 2NF but Book2 is not due to D2. We decompose the Book2 to deal with D2 So we have:

Book1=(Book_title, Publisher, Book_type, List_price)

Book21=(Author name, Author affil)

Book22=(Book_title, Author_name)

Now all the relations are in 2NF.

Book21 and Book22 are in 3NF and BCNF. Book1 is not in 3NF since D3:

Book_type → List_price is a transitive dependency. We decompose R1 to deal with D3. So we have:

Book11=(Book_type, List_price)

Book12=(Book_title, Publisher, Book_type)

Book21=(<u>Author_name</u>, Author_affil)

Book22=(Book title, Author name)

Now all the relations are in 3NF and BCNF.