

date: monday, january 22, 2024

Jordan-Hölder Theorem

Recall: A subnormal series $\{H_i\}$ is a **composition series** of G if

$$G = H_n > H_{n-1} > \dots > H_1 > H_0 = \{e\}$$

if each H_i is normal in H_{i+1} , and all H_{i+1}/H_i is simple.

Also, $n = \text{length} = \#$ of inclusions.

Jordan-Hölder: Suppose $\{H_i\}$ and $\{K_j\}$ are two composition series of G . Then $\{H_i\}$ is isomorphic to $\{K_j\}$, i.e. there is a one-to-one correspondence between the sets $\{H_{i+1}/H_i\}$ and $\{K_{j+1}/K_j\}$.

Tools: (2nd Isomorphism Theorem) Let H be a subgroup of G (not necessarily normal) and let N be a normal subgroup of G . Then:

- $HN = \{hn \mid h \in H, n \in N\}$ is a subgroup of G
- $H \cap N$ is normal in H
- $H/H \cap N \cong HN/N$

Lemma 1: Suppose H is normal in K . For any subgroup L of G , $H \cap L$ is normal in $K \cap L$.

Proof

Let $t \in H \cap L$ and $s \in K \cap L$. Since $t \in L$ and $s \in L$, $sts^{-1} \in L$ (L is a group). Since $t \in H$ and $s \in K$, $sts^{-1} \in H$ since H is normal in K . So $sts^{-1} \in (H \cap L)$. So $sts^{-1} \in H \cap L$.

Let $s \in K \cap L$ and consider $sts^{-1} \in (H \cap L)$. So $t \in H$, so $sts^{-1} \in H$. So $t \in L$, so $sts^{-1} \in L$.

□

Lemma 2: If A is normal in B and N is normal in A and B , then A/N is normal in B/N .

Proof

Want to show that $bN(A/N)(bN)^{-1} \subseteq A/N$ for all $bN \in B/N$.

Take $\ell \in bN(A_N)(bN)^{-1} \Rightarrow \ell = bNaNb^{-1}N$ for some $a \in A$. So $\ell = bab^{-1}N$. But A is normal in B , so $bab^{-1}e bAb^{-1} \in A$. So $bab^{-1}N = aN \in A_N$. □

Proof of Jordan-Hölder

Do induction on length of the smallest composition series of G . If $n=1$, $G \cong \{e\}$. So $G/\{e\} \cong G$, and so G is simple. So this is the only possible composition series of G (note in composition series, H_{n-1} is normal in G , so this forces $H_{n-1} = H_0 = \{e\}$).

Suppose true for all $1 \leq k < n$. Consider two composition series:

$$G = H_n > H_{n-1} > H_{n-2} > \dots > H_1 > H_0$$

$$G = K_m > K_{m-1} > K_{m-2} > \dots > K_1 > K_0$$

By lemma 1) $H_i \cap K_{m-1}$ is normal in $H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1}$ for $i=0, \dots, n-2$

2) $H_{n-1} \cap K_j$ is normal in $H_{n-1} \cap K_{j+1}$ for $j=0, \dots, m-2$

So we have two new subnormal series

$$G = H_n > H_{n-1} > \boxed{H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-1}} > H_{n-2} \cap K_{m-1} > H_{n-3} \cap K_{m-1} > \dots > H_0 \cap K_{m-1} = \{e\}$$

$$G = K_m > K_{m-1} > \boxed{H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-1}} > H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-2} > H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-3} > \dots > H_{n-1} \cap K_0 = \{e\}$$

↑ same group

Want to show that these are new composition series, i.e. each quotient is simple. Clear that H_n/H_{n-1} and K_m/K_{m-1} are simple by the initial set-up.

Claim: $H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-1}$ is normal in H_{n-1} and $H_{n-1}/H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-1}$ is simple.

(We'll come back to this)

By the 2nd Isomorphism Theorem,

$$\frac{H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1}}{H_i \cap K_{m-1}} = \frac{H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1}}{H_i \cap (H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1})} \cong \frac{H_i(H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1})}{H_i}$$

for $i=0, \dots, n-2$.

Claim: $H_i(H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1})$ is normal in H_{i+1} .

Let $abe \in H_i(H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1})$ with $ae \in H_i$ and $be \in (H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1})$. Let $\ell e \in H_{i+1}$. Since H_i is normal in H_{i+1} , $\ell a \ell^{-1} e \in H_i$. Also, $\ell b \ell^{-1} e \in H_{i+1}$ since $b, \ell e \in H_{i+1}$. Finally since K_{m-1} is normal in G , $\ell b \ell^{-1} e \in K_{m-1}$. So

$$\ell a b \ell^{-1} e = \ell a e b \ell^{-1} e = (\ell a \ell^{-1} e)(\ell b \ell^{-1} e) \in H_i(H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1}).$$

Because H_i is normal in $H_i(H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1})$ and H_{i+1} and $H_i(H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1})$ is normal in H_{i+1} by lemma 2. $\frac{H_i(H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1})}{H_i}$ is normal in H_{i+1}/H_i . But H_{i+1}/H_i is simple, so

$$\frac{H_i(H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1})}{H_i} = \cancel{H_i} \text{ or } \cancel{H_{i+1}}_{H_i} \Leftrightarrow H_i(H_{i+1} \cap K_{m-1}) = H_i \text{ or } H_{i+1}.$$

So, by removing non-proper inclusions,

$$H_{n-1} > H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-1} > H_{n-2} \cap K_{m-1} > \dots > H_0 \cap K_{m-1} (*)$$

is a composition series.

By the same reasoning,

$$K_{m-1} > H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-1} > H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-2} > \dots > H_{n-1} \cap K_0$$

is a composition series.

By the induction hypothesis, this composition series (*) is the same as

$$H_{n-1} > H_{n-2} > \dots > H_0.$$

If $G = H_n > H_{n-1} > \dots$ and $G = K_m > K_{m-1} > \dots$, then if $H_{n-1} = K_{m-1}$, then the first quotients are the same, ie. $\cancel{H_n}_{H_{n-1}} \cong \cancel{K_m}_{K_{m-1}}$ and the rest are the same by induction.

If $H_{n-1} \neq K_{m-1}$, look at

$$\begin{aligned} G &= H_n > H_{n-1} > H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-1} > \dots \\ &= K_m > K_{m-1} > H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-1} > \dots \end{aligned}$$

Note $\cancel{K_{m-1}}_{H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-1}} \cong \frac{H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-1}}{H_{n-1}} = \frac{G}{H_{n-1}}$ by 2nd Isomorphism Theorem.

Similarly, $\cancel{H_{n-1}}_{H_{n-1} \cap K_{m-1}} \cong \frac{G}{K_{m-1}}$.