

date: wednesday, february 7, 2024

Third Sylow Theorem

Recall: If $|G| = p^r m$ with $p \nmid m$, then any subgroup P of G with $|P| = p^r$ is a Sylow p -subgroup.

First Sylow Theorem \Rightarrow a Sylow p -subgroup always exists

(Third Sylow Theorem) Let G be a group with $p \nmid |G|$ (p is a prime). If $n_p =$ number of distinct Sylow p -subgroups

- 1) $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$
- 2) $n_p \mid |G|$

eg. Show that any group G with $|G| = 45 = 3^2 \cdot 5$ has exactly one Sylow 5-subgroup.

By 3rd Sylow theorem,

1) $n_5 \in \{1, 6, 11, 16, 21, 26, 31, 36, 41\}$

2) $n_5 \in \{1, 3, 5, 9, 15, 45\}$

So $n_5 = 1$ (only number in both sets)

Last Class: If P is the only Sylow p -subgroup of G , then P is normal.

In any group G with $|G| = 45$, the Sylow 5-subgroup is normal.

Recall: G is simple if it has no normal subgroups.

Corollary: No simple groups of order 45.

"Ingredients"

Lemma: Let H and K be subgroups of G . The number of distinct H conjugates of K is $[H : N(K) \cap H]$.

Lemma ②: Suppose $|x| = p^a$ and $xPx^{-1} = P$ for some Sylow p -subgroup. Then $x \in P$.

Proof (Third Sylow Theorem)

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{P = P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$ be all the distinct Sylow p -subgroups. We want $np = k$. Can make \mathcal{S} into a P -set via action

$$\begin{aligned} P \times \mathcal{S} &\rightarrow \mathcal{S} \\ (x, P_i) &\mapsto xP_i x^{-1} \quad (*) \end{aligned}$$

Note $xP_i x^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}$ by the Second Sylow Theorem since any two Sylow subgroups related by conjugation. Since $(*)$ is a group action, \mathcal{S} is partitioned by the orbits.

If $P = P_1$, orbit of $P = \mathcal{O}_P = \{xPx^{-1} | x \in P\} = \{P\}$.

If $P_i \neq P_1$, orbit of $P_i = \mathcal{O}_{P_i} = \{xP_i x^{-1} | x \in P\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{O}_{P_i}| &= \text{number of distinct } P\text{-conjugates of } P_i \\ &= [P : N(P_i) \cap P] = \frac{|P|}{|N(P_i) \cap P|} = p^{a_i} \text{ with } a_i \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

In addition, if $P_i \neq P_1$, then $|\mathcal{O}_{P_i}| > 1$ because if $\{xP_i x^{-1} | x \in P\} = \{P_i\}$, i.e. $xP_i x^{-1} = P_i$ for all $x \in P$. But Lemma ② then forces $P_1 = P_i$ so

$$|\mathcal{O}_{P_i}| = p^{a_i} \text{ with } a_i \geq 1.$$

To summarize, we have partition

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{O}_P \cup \mathcal{O}_{P_2} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{O}_{P_k} \leftarrow \text{distinct orbits}$$

So

$$|\mathcal{S}| = |\mathcal{O}_P| + |\mathcal{O}_{P_2}| + \dots + |\mathcal{O}_{P_k}| = 1 + p^{a_2} + p^{a_3} + \dots + p^{a_k}.$$

So $np = |\mathcal{S}| \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

At the same time, \mathcal{S} is a G -set via action,

$$\begin{aligned} G \times \mathcal{S} &\rightarrow \mathcal{S} \\ (g, P_i) &\mapsto gP_i g^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

For any $P \in \mathcal{S}$, orbit under this action is

$$\mathcal{O}_P = \{gPg^{-1} | g \in G\} \leftarrow \text{using 2nd Sylow theorem}$$

So

$$|\mathcal{O}_P| = [G : N(P) \cap G] = [G : N(P)] = \frac{|G|}{|N(P)|}.$$

So $|N(P)| |\mathcal{O}_P| = |G|$.

But $np = |\mathcal{O}_P|$, so $np \mid |G|$.

□

Applications:

Recall: If $|G| = p$ (a prime), then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Theorem: Suppose $|G| = pq$ with p, q prime and $p < q$. Then G has a unique Sylow q -subgroup (so G is not simple). In addition, if $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$.

eg. $|G| = 77 = 7 \cdot 11$
 $11 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ so $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{77}$.

Proof

We need n_q = number of distinct Sylow p -subgroups. So $n_q \in \{1, p, q, pq\}$. Note $q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $pq \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q}$. And $p \equiv p \pmod{q}$ since $p < q$. So $n_q = 1$ \leftarrow only one Sylow q -subgroup.

Now count n_p = number of Sylow p -subgroup if $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. So $n_p \in \{1, q, p, pq\}$. Note $p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and $pq \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Given $q \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. So $n_p = 1$.

To summarize, we have a Sylow q -subgroup Q and a Sylow p -subgroup P with $|Q| = q$ and $|P| = p$.

Claim: G is the internal direct product of P and Q .

Need to check:

- $Q \cap P = \{e\}$
 - $QP = G$
 - $qp = pq$ for all $p \in P, q \in Q$ (use fact P and Q are normal)
- So $G \cong Q \times P \cong \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$.

□

eg. If $|G| = 15 = 3 \cdot 5$, we have $3 < 5$ and $5 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ so $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{15}$.