

date: monday, february 5, 2024

Second Sylow Theorem

Defⁿ: A Sylow p -subgroup P of G is a subgroup that is a maximal p -group in G . I.e. if $|G| = p^r m$ with $\gcd(p, m) = 1$, then any subgroup of order p^r is a Sylow p -subgroup.

Note: The First Sylow Theorem implies there always exists at least one Sylow p -group.

The proof of the First Sylow Theorem used the group action:

$$\begin{aligned} G \times G &\rightarrow G \\ (g, h) &\mapsto ghg^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the Second and Third Sylow Theorem use a different action.

Let $S = \{\text{all subgroups of } G\}$. We define a G -action on S by

$$\begin{aligned} G \times S &\rightarrow S \\ (g, K) &\mapsto gKg^{-1} = g \cdot K. \end{aligned}$$

Defⁿ: Say subgroups L and K are **congruent** if there exists $g \in G$ such that $L = gKg^{-1}$. If H is a subgroup of G , we say L and K are H -**congruent** if exists $h \in H$ such that $L = hKh^{-1}$.

Defⁿ: $N(H) = \{g \in G \mid gHg^{-1} = H\}$ is the **normalizer** of H .

↑ this plays the "role" of $C(x)$

Proposition: $N(H)$ is a subgroup of G (and $H \leq N(H)$)

H is normal in $N(H)$

$N(H)$ is the largest subgroup of G such that H is normal in it.

Second Sylow Theorem: Let G be a finite group and $p \mid |G|$ with p prime. If P_1, P_2 are two Sylow p -subgroups of G , then they are conjugates, i.e. exists $g \in G$ such that $P_2 = gP_1g^{-1}$.

Lemma ①: Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup and suppose $x \in G$ with $|x| = p^m$. If $xPx^{-1} = P$, then $x \in P$.

Note: $x \in N(P)$, and since P is normal in $N(P)$, $\langle xP \rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of $N(P)/P$.

Note: $|xP| = p^l$ for some l , since $(xP)^{p^m} = x^{p^m}P = eP = P$. So $|xP| \mid p^m$.

Proof

By the Correspondence Theorem, there exists a subgroup H such that $P \subseteq H \subseteq N(P)$ and $H/P = \langle xP \rangle$. So $|H| = |\langle xP \rangle| |P|$. I.e. $|H|$ is a power of p . But P is the largest subgroup that is a power of $p \Rightarrow H = P$. So $xP = P \Rightarrow x \in P$. □

Lemma ②: Let H and K be subgroups of G . The number of distinct H -conjugates of K is $[H : N(K) \cap H]$.

Proof (different from the book, uses group actions)

$$\begin{aligned} |\{hKh^{-1} \mid h \in H\}| &= |\mathcal{O}_K| \leftarrow \text{number of orbits of } K \text{ under the action } H \times S \rightarrow S \\ &= [H : H_K] \text{ where } H_K = \{h \in H \mid h \cdot K = K \Leftrightarrow hKh^{-1} = K\} \quad (h, K) \mapsto hKh^{-1} \\ &= [H : N(K) \cap H] = |N(K) \cap H| \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof (second Sylow)

Suppose $|G| = p^r m$ with $\gcd(p, m) = 1$. And let P be a Sylow p -subgroup with $|P| = p^r$.

Let $S = \{gPg^{-1} \mid g \in G\}$. By the previous result, the number of distinct conjugates given by

$$|S| = [G : N(P) \cap G] = [G : N(P)]$$

We have $|G| = [G : N(P)] |N(P)|$. Since $P \subseteq N(P)$, $p^r \mid |N(P)|$. This forces $p \nmid [G : N(P)] = |S|$.

Let Q be any other Sylow p -subgroup. Want to show $Q \in \mathcal{S}$. For each $P_i \in \mathcal{S}$, consider the Q -conjugates of P_i , ie. $\{gP_i g^{-1} \mid g \in Q\}$. This is a subset of \mathcal{S} .

Also, $|\{gP_i g^{-1} \mid g \in Q\}| = [Q : N(P_i) \cap Q] = p^r$, we have $p^\ell \mid [Q : N(P_i) \cap Q]$ for some ℓ . The sets

$$A_1 = \{gP_1 g^{-1} \mid g \in Q\}$$

$$A_2 = \{gP_2 g^{-1} \mid g \in Q\}$$

\vdots

partition the set \mathcal{S} , ie. $\mathcal{S} = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_t$ for some t .

Note $|A_i| = [Q : N(P_i) \cap Q] = p^{\ell_i}$ with $\ell_i \geq 0$.

If each $|A_i| \geq p$, this forces $p \mid |\mathcal{S}|$. But $p \nmid |\mathcal{S}|$. So some

$$|A_i| = 1 \iff \{gP_i g^{-1} \mid g \in Q\} = \{P_i\}.$$

But, $|g| = p^m$ for some m and $gP_i g^{-1} = P_i$. But by Lemma ①, this says $g \in P_i$. So for all $g \in Q$, $g \in P_i$. Ie. $Q \subseteq P_i$. But $|Q| = |P_i|$, so $Q = P_i$. □

IMPORTANT COROLLARY A Sylow p -subgroup is normal if and only if there is exactly one Sylow p -subgroup.

Proof

" \Rightarrow " Suppose P and Q are Sylow p -subgroups. So they are conjugates, ie. $gPg^{-1} = Q$ for all $g \in G$. But P is normal, so $P = gPg^{-1} = Q$.

" \Leftarrow " Suppose P is the only Sylow p -subgroup. Then for any $g \in G$,

$gPg^{-1} = P$ since $|gPg^{-1}| = |P|$, ie. gPg^{-1} is also a Sylow p -subgroup. So P is normal since true for all $g \in G$. □