

date: thursday, february 29, 2024

Polynomial Rings

Assumption: R is a commutative ring with 1_R .

Elements of the form

$$a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \quad \text{with } a_n \neq 0, a_0, \dots, a_n \in R$$

is called a polynomial over R with indeterminate X .

- a_0, \dots, a_n are coefficients
- a_n is the leading coefficient
- $p(x)$ is a monic polynomial if $a_n = 1$
- $\deg p(x) = n$ if $p(x) \neq 0$ or $\deg p(x) = -\infty$ if $p(x) = 0$

Defⁿ: $R[x] = \{\text{all polynomials with coefficients in } R\}$

Note: $p(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$ and $q(x) = b_m x^m + \dots + b_0$, then $p(x) = q(x)$ iff $n = m$ and $a_i = b_i$ for all i .

Theorem: $R[x]$ is a commutative ring with identity 1 with the operations

$$(a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0) + (b_n x^n + b_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + b_0) = (a_n + b_n) x^n + (a_{n-1} + b_{n-1}) x^{n-1} + \dots + (a_0 + b_0)$$
$$(a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0)(b_n x^n + b_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + b_0) = a_n b_m x^{n+m} + (a_{n-1} b_m + a_n b_{m-1}) x^{n+m-1} + \dots + a_0 b_0$$

eg. $R = \mathbb{Z}_3$. Count the number of polynomials of degree 2.

$$a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0 \leftarrow \text{arbitrary polynomial of degree 2}$$

\uparrow
2
 \uparrow
 $\{1, 2\}$

\uparrow
3
 \uparrow
 $\{0, 1, 2\}$

18 solutions

Q: If R has property P , does $R[x]$ have property P ?

A: It depends.

Theorem: If R is an integral domain, then $R[x]$ is also an integral domain.

Proof

Suppose $p(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0$ and $q(x) = b_m x^m + \dots + b_0$. Then,

$$p(x)q(x) = a_n b_m x^{m+n} + \dots$$

Since $a_n \neq 0$ and $b_m \neq 0$, and since R is an integral domain, $a_n b_m \neq 0$. So $p(x)q(x) \neq 0$. Thus, $R[x]$ is an integral domain. \square

Corollary: If R is an integral domain and $p(x)q(x) \in R[x]$, then $\deg p(x)q(x) = \deg p(x) + \deg q(x)$.

eg. If $R = \mathbb{Z}_4$, and if $p(x) = 2x^{100} + 1$ and $q(x) = 2x^{2024} + 1$, then $p(x)q(x) = 4x^{2124} + 2x^{100} + 2x^{2024} + 1 = 2x^{2024} + 2x^{100} + 1$.

eg. If F is a field, is $F[x]$ a field?

No, the element x has no inverse.

Suppose $q(x)x = 1$. Then $\deg q(x) + \deg x = 0$. This implies $\deg q(x) < 0$ which can't happen.

There are many maps $R[x]$ to R .

Theorem: Let $\alpha \in R$. Then the map $\varphi_\alpha: R[x] \rightarrow R$ given by

$$p(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_0 \mapsto p(\alpha) = a_n \alpha^n + \dots + a_0$$

is a homomorphism (called evaluation homomorphism at α).

Note: $R[x]$ is a commutative ring with identity 1. We can use this ring of coefficients to make a new polynomial ring.

$(R[x])[y] = \{\text{all polynomials over } R[x] \text{ with indeterminate } y\}$

$$g \in R[x][y] \Rightarrow g = f_n(x)y^n + f_{n-1}(x)y^{n-1} + \dots + f_0(x)$$

usually write $R[x, y]$.

In general, can make $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Division Algorithm in $F[x]$

High school stuff: polynomial division

$$\begin{array}{r} 2x + 7 \\ 3x^3 + 2x + 1 \overline{) 6x^3 + 25x^2 + 16x + 17} \\ \underline{-6x^3 + 4x^2 + 2x} \downarrow \\ 21x^2 + 14x + 17 \\ \underline{-21x^2 + 14x + 7} \\ 10 \end{array}$$

$$6x^3 + 25x^2 + 16x + 17 = (3x^3 + 2x + 1)(2x + 7) + 10$$

Can always do this if we assume our ring of coefficients is a field.

Theorem: (Division Algorithm for $F[x]$) If F is a field, and $a(x), b(x) \in F[x]$ and $b(x) \neq 0$, then there exists unique polynomials $q(x)$ and $r(x)$ such that $a(x) = b(x)q(x) + r(x)$ with $r(x) = 0$ or $\deg r(x) < \deg b(x)$.

eg. $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $a(x) = 2x^2 + 1$, $b(x) = 3x + 1$

$$(2x^2 + 1) = (3x + 1)(ax + b) + c$$

To make this work, $a = \frac{2}{3} \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

So we need the field property.

Proof: Next class issue

Recall: α is a root of $f(x)$ if $f(\alpha) = 0$

Corollary: Let F be a field. Then α is a root of $f(x) \in F[x]$ iff $f(x) = (x - \alpha)q(x)$.

Proof

" \Leftarrow " If $f(x) = (x - \alpha)q(x)$, then $f(\alpha) = (\alpha - \alpha)q(\alpha) = 0$.

" \Rightarrow " Apply the division algorithm to $f(x)$ and $(x - \alpha)$.

So $f(x) = q(x)(x - \alpha) + r(x)$ with $r(x) = 0$ or $\deg(r(x)) < 1$. Then,

$$0 = f(\alpha) = q(\alpha)(\alpha - \alpha) + r(\alpha) = r(\alpha).$$

But $r(x)$ is a constant, so $r(x) = 0$.

□