

HW: Predictive Regression

May 21, 2021

In this HW we construct a 2-stage regression for predicting hedged CDS spread “returns” using boxcar and discounted least squares for the predictive phase, and compare the two.

1 Data

The class website contains 5 year CDS rates for debt from several companies over a multi-year range in `Liq5YCDS.delim`. Read this data, and load the corresponding adjusted close prices for the corresponding equity¹.

CDS spreads are not directly investable in the way equities are, but we can still learn a lot from treating them similar to how we treat asset prices. In particular, just like equity prices they are bounded below by zero and have no functional upper bound. Compute weekly Wednesday to Wednesday returns r^{Equity} on the adjusted equity close prices, and similar “returns” r^{CDS} on the CDS spreads. In addition, obtain “market equity returns” m as the weekly returns on adjusted prices of the SPY ETF.

2 Models

A *predictive* regression is aimed at predicting future behavior in some convenient way. For quantitative investment strategies, such a regression would typically be aimed at predicting asset returns. For predictive segments of the

¹Not all debt issuers *have* publicly traded equity. I have selected CDS rates from issuers that do.

following analysis you will compare boxcar OLS window size 16 against exponentially decaying regression weights with half life 12. Contemporaneous regressions should be boxcar OLS with window size 16.

Begin by forming a CDS “index return” r^{Index} as the arithmetic average of the r^{CDS} .

For each ticker $E = E_1, \dots, E_N$, you will be working with both contemporaneous and predictive models for its “spread returns”. The contemporaneous model is of the form

$$r_E^{\text{CDS}} \sim r_E^{\text{Equity}} + r^{\text{Index}} + \epsilon. \quad (1)$$

Starting from the $K + 1$ st week of available returns, define weekly calibration data for contemporaneous returns as the returns from the K previous weeks, where in our case we use $K = 16$.

Create one contemporaneous model of the (CAPM) form

$$r_E^{\text{Equity}} \sim m + \epsilon \quad (2)$$

using 16 week boxcar OLS and denote its weekly regression coefficients for the n -th data row as $\gamma_{E,n}$.

Also create a contemporaneous model² of the form

$$r_E^{\text{CDS}} \sim r_E^{\text{Equity}} + r^{\text{Index}} + \epsilon. \quad (3)$$

using 16 week boxcar OLS.

For the upcoming n -th data row, we attempt to predict its change in CDS spread *hedged by the contemporaneous predictors*. Define the *hedge portfolio return* as the returns on predictors in the contemporaneous model³

$$f_{E,n} = \beta_{E,\text{Equity}}^{(n)} r_{E,n}^{\text{Equity}} + \beta_{E,\text{Index}}^{(n)} r_n^{\text{Index}}. \quad (4)$$

As you see $f_{E,n}$ is just a prediction from our contemporaneous model for a single data row, so it is easy to obtain.

Now we will define the *residual return*⁴ as the residual error in this prediction

$$\rho_{E,n} = r_{E,n}^{\text{CDS}} - f_{E,n}. \quad (5)$$

²This is essentially the same as in your previous HW

³Remember that the β values depend on both the ticker and which data row n we are working with.

⁴Confusing fact: residual return is often also called “hedged return” which is dangerously similar-sounding to “hedge return”.

We also define residual equity return as

$$c_{E,n} = r_{E,n}^{\text{Equity}} - \gamma_{E,n} m_n \quad (6)$$

Once we have our data series of residual returns ρ for all equities and weeks, we will form predictive regression models in exponentially decaying and boxcar forms. Create new models of the form

$$\rho_{E,n} = c_{E,n-1} + \epsilon \quad (7)$$

that use the past week's equity return residual to predict novel changes (i.e. residuals) to CDS spread and call the regression coefficients $\mu_{E,n}$. The residuals of the predictive model itself are

$$q_{E,n} = \rho_{E,n} - \mu_{E,n} c_{E,n-1}. \quad (8)$$

3 Analysis

Compare performance of predictive regressions in exponentially decaying versus boxcar forms.