hw2p1

September 18, 2018

Meteo 515 – Assignment 2 – Parametric Distributions

Part 1 – Fitting dists to annual mean & max State College weather station data

```
In [1]: from __future__ import division, print_function
        #import datetime as dt
        from colorama import init, Fore
        #init(autoreset=True) #using init somehow turns off color output in the Spyder <math>IPyth
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        import numpy as np
        import pandas as pd
        import scipy.stats as ss
        #from statsmodels.graphics.gofplots import qqplot
        import statsmodels.api as sm
In [2]: plt.style.use('seaborn-darkgrid')
        %matplotlib notebook
1.1 Load the data
In [3]: convert_dateint = lambda d: pd.to_datetime(str(d))
```

```
df = pd.read_excel('./data/SC_data.xlsx',
    header=None,
    names=['date', 'Tmax', 'Tmin', 'PCP', '5', '6'],
    converters={0: convert_dateint},
df.set_index('date', inplace=True)
```

Some data cleanup 1.2

We replace the missing value tag with value np.nan and show the fraction of the data that is missing for each variable. We could also remove 2017 from the dataset, because 2017-11-20 is the last day, but since other years (especially for precip) have comparable missing fraction, I do not do that here. Especially with the low level of precision that we have in the data, the influence of the missing days on the 2017 mean and max is probably not significant.

```
In [4]: #> missing values
        varnames = ['Tmax', 'Tmin', 'PCP']
        #for varname in varnames: # probably can do without loop
             df.loc[df[varname] == -99.0, varname] = np.nan # the missing value tag is -99
        df.replace(-99.0, np.nan, inplace=True) # does the same as above loop
        df.loc[df.PCP < 0, 'PCP'] = np.nan # trace precip has the value -1 in the dataset. wi
        df_dropna = df.dropna() # this drops entire rows that have any nans in them...
In [5]: data = {} # here drop nans from columns individually
        for varname in varnames:
            data[varname] = df[varname].dropna() # qives SettinqWithCopyWarning?
             data[varname] = df.loc[:,varname].dropna() # apparently this is the preferred me
             data[varname].dropna(inplace=True)
                                                      # though maybe should also do .dropna
        #> analyze missing/trace data fraction
        #print('NaN counts:')
        #print(df.isna().apply(np.count_nonzero))
        fmt = \frac{c1:s}{{::>8s}}: {c2:s}{{::.2g}}'.format(c1=Fore.GREEN, c2=Fore.BLUE)
        print('\nMissing data fraction:')
        for k, v in data.items():
            print(fmt.format(k, 1-v.size/df.shape[0]))
        print(fmt.format('any var', 1-df_dropna.size/df.size))
        #> omit 2017?? to be fair in the annual means and such
        # but we do have all the way to Nov 20th, so think will leave it in...
Missing data fraction:
      Tmax: 0.00042
                        Tmin: 0.0019 PCP: 0.18 any var: 0.19
1.3 Plot time series for sanity check
In [6]: f0, aa = plt.subplots(3, 1, figsize=(9, 4.5), sharex=True, num='ts')
        for i, k in enumerate(varnames):
            df.plot(y=k, ax=aa.flat[i]) # this df has the raw data, but with missing values m
        f0.tight_layout(); # semicolon to suppress console output from this plotting cell
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
```

1.4 a) Gaussian fits to annual average Tmax and PCP

```
In [7]: #> compute annual averages
        \#df\_annual\_means = df.groupby(df.index.dt.year).transform('mean') \# does not reduce r
        df_annual_means = df.groupby(pd.Grouper(freq='A')).mean() # DataFrame.mean skips nans
        deg_symbol = u' u00B0'
        pdf_color = '#ea4800'
        f1, aa = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(7, 3.2), num='annual_mean')
        names = ['Tmax', 'PCP']
        for i, name in enumerate(names):
            ax = aa[i]
            ds = df_annual_means[name]
            xplot = np.linspace(ds.min()*0.98, ds.max()*1.02, 400)
            ax.hist(ds, bins=13, density=True, alpha=0.7, ec='0.35', lw=0.25, label=None)
            xbar = ds.mean()
            s = ds.std()
            ax.plot(xplot, ss.norm.pdf(xplot, xbar, s), '-', c=pdf_color, alpha=0.85, lw=2,
                    label='\infty\mathcal{{N}}(\{\dagger_2g}, \{\dagger_2g})\$'.format(xbar, s**2))
            ax.set_title('Annual *mean* daily '+name)
            ax.text(0.98, 0.98, '$N={:d}$'.format(ds.size), va='top', ha='right', transform=ax
            ax.legend(loc='upper left')
        aa[0].set_xlabel(deg_symbol+'F')
        aa[0].set_ylabel('density')
        aa[1].set_xlabel('inches')
        f1.tight_layout();
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
```

1.4.1 Discussion:

From the histograms, the distribution of annual means of daily Tmax in State College, PA seems slightly skewed left (just as when looking at the boxplots of all of the daily data in hw1). PCP is still skewed right, but not nearly as dramatically as in the case of the daily data. We expect the

means of subsamples should be normally distributed, and the Gaussian fits do indeed seem to match pretty well with the histograms.

1.5 b) Gumbel fits to annual maximum Tmax and PCP

```
In [8]: def myGumbel_pdf(x, loc=0, scale=1):
            """Calculate pdf for Gumbel dist at x
                   location/shift param zeta
            scale: scale param beta
            11 11 11
            return 1/scale * np.exp( -np.exp(-(x-loc)/scale) - (x-loc)/scale)
In [9]: df_annual_maxs = df.groupby(pd.Grouper(freq='A')).max()
        f2, aa = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(7, 3.2), num='annual_max')
        names = ['Tmax', 'PCP']
        for i, name in enumerate(names):
            ax = aa[i]
            ds = df_annual_maxs[name]
            xplot = np.linspace(ds.min(), ds.max(), 400)
            ax.hist(ds, bins=9, density=True, alpha=0.7, ec='0.35', lw=0.25, label=None)
            xbar = ds.mean()
            s = ds.std()
           beta_hat = s*np.sqrt(6)/np.pi
            zeta_hat = xbar - np.euler_gamma*beta_hat
            ssfit = ss.gumbel_r.pdf(xplot, loc=zeta_hat, scale=beta_hat)
           myfit = myGumbel_pdf(xplot, loc=zeta_hat, scale=beta_hat)
            assert( np.allclose(ssfit, myfit) ) # note: our Gumbel formula gives same results
            s = Gumbel\n{\c} = {:.2g},\n' + r'\beta = {:.2g},\
            ax.plot(xplot, myfit, '-', c=pdf_color, alpha=0.85, lw=2,
                    label=s.format(zeta_hat, beta_hat))
             ax.plot(xplot, myfit, '-', c='g', alpha=0.85, lw=2, label='my Gumbel')
        #
            ax.set_title('Annual *maximum* daily '+name)
            ax.text(0.98, 0.98, '$N={:d}$'.format(ds.size), va='top', ha='right', transform=ax
            ax.legend(loc='center right')
        aa[0].set_xlabel(deg_symbol+'F')
        aa[0].set_ylabel('density')
        aa[1].set_xlabel('inches')
```

```
f2.tight_layout();
<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>
<IPython.core.display.HTML object>
```

<IPython.core.display.Javascript object>

1.5.1 Discussion:

Since the temperatures in the dataset are only whole numbers, the histogram for annual maximum daily Tmax ends up looking somewhat jagged with smaller binwidth. However, with larger bins, the Gumbel distribution matches up quite well with both annual maximum daily Tmax and PCP. Compared to Tmax, for PCP the ratio of the scale parameter to loc parameter is much larger. A larger *N* combined with smaller binwidths would give us a nicer visualization.

1.6 c) Q-Q plots for Gumbel fits to annual maximum Tmax and PCP

Supposedly qqplot from StatsModels has the ability to pass loc and scale parameters to the theoretical distribution, but this was not working no matter what I tried. And normalizing the sample instead using fit=True isn't really what we want.

```
In [10]: f3, aa = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(6, 3), num='annual_max_q-q_gumbel')
         names = ['Tmax', 'PCP']
         for i, name in enumerate(names):
             ax = aa[i]
             ds = df_annual_maxs[name]
             xbar = ds.mean() # these are calculated in b) as well. could have saved them..
             s = ds.std()
             beta_hat = s*np.sqrt(6)/np.pi
             zeta_hat = xbar - np.euler_gamma*beta_hat
             rv = ss.gumbel_r(loc=zeta_hat, scale=beta_hat)
             ss.probplot(ds, dist=rv, plot=ax) # the "probability plot" is not necessarily th
             #sm.qqplot(ds, dist=ss.gumbel_r, line='r',
                    #fit=False, loc=zeta_hat, scale=beta_hat, # If fit is false, loc, scale,
                    #fit=True, # instead of passing loc and scale, `fit=True` normalizes the
                    \#ax=ax)
             ax.set_title('Annual *maximum* daily '+name)
         f3.tight_layout();
```

1.6.1 Discussion:

The Gumbel fit quantiles and data quantiles, when plotted against each another, fall nearly on a straight line, indicating that the fit is good. However, we see that at the edges, we see some deviations from the 1:1 line in both cases. For example, for PCP, at low values, the fitted Gumbel has PCP propability decreasing more quickly as we move leftward to smaller values than the observed, i.e., the left tail is too small.

1.7 Save figures locally

Probably a silly thing for a Jupyter Notebook to do but whatever Put the code in a cell and run it to save the figs to local dir 'figs'.