

NVIDIA®

Optimizing CUDA

Outline



- **Overview**
- **Hardware**
- **Memory Optimizations**
- **Execution Configuration Optimizations**
- **Instruction Optimizations**
- **Summary**

Optimize Algorithms for the GPU



- Maximize independent parallelism
- Maximize arithmetic intensity (math/bandwidth)
- Sometimes it's better to recompute than to cache
 - GPU spends its transistors on ALUs, not memory
- Do more computation on the GPU to avoid costly data transfers
 - Even low parallelism computations can sometimes be faster than transferring back and forth to host



Optimize Memory Access

- Coalesced vs. Non-coalesced = order of magnitude
 - Global/Local device memory
- Optimize for spatial locality in cached texture memory
- In shared memory, avoid high-degree bank conflicts
- Partition camping
 - When global memory access not evenly distributed amongst partitions
 - Problem-size dependent

Take Advantage of Shared Memory



- ➊ Hundreds of times faster than global memory
- ➋ Threads can cooperate via shared memory
- ➌ Use one / a few threads to load / compute data shared by all threads
- ➍ Use it to avoid non-coalesced access
 - ➎ Stage loads and stores in shared memory to re-order non-coalesceable addressing

Use Parallelism Efficiently



- Partition your computation to keep the GPU multiprocessors equally busy
 - Many threads, many thread blocks
- Keep resource usage low enough to support multiple active thread blocks per multiprocessor
 - Registers, shared memory




Outline

- Overview
- Hardware
- Memory Optimizations
- Execution Configuration Optimizations
- Instruction Optimizations
- Summary

10-Series Architecture




- 240 **thread processors** execute kernel threads
- 30 **multiprocessors**, each contains
 - 8 thread processors
 - One double-precision unit
 - **Shared memory** enables thread cooperation





Execution Model

Software



Hardware



Threads are executed by thread processors

Thread blocks are executed on multiprocessors


Thread blocks do not migrate

Several concurrent thread blocks can reside on one multiprocessor - limited by multiprocessor resources (shared memory and register file)

A kernel is launched as a grid of thread blocks


Only one kernel can execute on a device at one time

Warps and Half Warps




A thread block consists of 32-thread warps

A warp is executed physically in parallel (SIMD) on a multiprocessor



A half-warp of 16 threads can coordinate global memory accesses into a single transaction

Memory Architecture



Memory Architecture



Memory	Location	Cached	Access	Scope	Lifetime
Register	On-chip	N/A	R/W	One thread	Thread
Local	Off-chip	No	R/W	One thread	Thread
Shared	On-chip	N/A	R/W	All threads in a block	Block
Global	Off-chip	No	R/W	All threads + host	Application
Constant	Off-chip	Yes	R	All threads + host	Application
Texture	Off-chip	Yes	R	All threads + host	Application



Outline

- Overview
- Hardware
- **Memory Optimizations**
 - Data transfers between host and device
 - Device memory optimizations
- Execution Configuration Optimizations
- Instruction Optimizations
- Summary

Host-Device Data Transfers



- Device to host memory bandwidth much lower than device to device bandwidth
 - 8 GB/s peak (PCI-e x16 Gen 2) vs. 102 GB/s peak (Tesla C1060)
- Minimize transfers
 - Intermediate data can be allocated, operated on, and deallocated without ever copying them to host memory
- Group transfers
 - One large transfer much better than many small ones

Page-Locked Data Transfers



- cudaMallocHost() allows allocation of page-locked (“pinned”) host memory
- Enables highest cudaMemcpy performance
 - 3.2 GB/s on PCI-e x16 Gen1
 - 5.2 GB/s on PCI-e x16 Gen2
- See the “bandwidthTest” CUDA SDK sample
- Use with caution!!
 - Allocating too much page-locked memory can reduce overall system performance
 - Test your systems and apps to learn their limits



Overlapping Data Transfers and Computation

- **Async and Stream APIs allow overlap of H2D or D2H data transfers with computation**
 - CPU computation can overlap data transfers on all CUDA capable devices
 - Kernel computation can overlap data transfers on devices with “Concurrent copy and execution” (roughly compute capability ≥ 1.1)
- **Stream = sequence of operations that execute in order on GPU**
 - Operations from different streams can be interleaved
 - Stream ID used as argument to async calls and kernel launches

Asynchronous Data Transfers



- Asynchronous host-device memory copy returns control immediately to CPU
 - `cudaMemcpyAsync(dst, src, size, dir, stream);`
 - requires *pinned* host memory (allocated with “`cudaMallocHost`”)
- Overlap CPU computation with data transfer
 - 0 = default stream

```
cudaMemcpyAsync(a_d, a_h, size,  
               cudaMemcpyHostToDevice, 0); } overlapped  
cpuFunction();  
cudaThreadSynchronize();  
kernel<<<grid, block>>>(dst);
```



GPU/CPU Synchronization

- **Context based**
 - **cudaThreadSynchronize()**
 - Blocks until all previously issued CUDA calls from a CPU thread complete
- **Stream based**
 - **cudaStreamSynchronize(stream)**
 - Blocks until all CUDA calls issued to given stream complete
 - **cudaStreamQuery(stream)**
 - Indicates whether stream is idle
 - Returns `cudaSuccess`, `cudaErrorNotReady`, . . .
 - Does not block CPU thread



GPU/CPU Synchronization

- Stream based using events
 - Events can be inserted into streams:
`cudaEventRecord(event, stream)`
 - Event is recorded when GPU reaches it in a stream
 - Recorded = assigned a timestamp (GPU clocktick)
 - Useful for timing
 - `cudaEventSynchronize(event)`
 - Blocks until given event is recorded
 - `cudaEventQuery(event)`
 - Indicates whether event has recorded
 - Returns `cudaSuccess`, `cudaErrorNotReady`, . . .
 - Does not block CPU thread

Overlapping kernel and data transfer



- Requires:
 - “Concurrent copy and execute”
 - `deviceOverlap` field of a `cudaDeviceProp` variable
 - Kernel and transfer use different, *non-zero* streams
 - A CUDA call to stream-0 blocks until all previous calls complete and cannot be overlapped

- Example:

```
cudaStreamCreate(&stream1) ;  
cudaStreamCreate(&stream2) ;  
cudaMemcpyAsync(dst, src, size, dir, stream1) ;  
kernel<<<grid, block, 0, stream2>>>(...) ;  
cudaStreamSynchronize(stream2) ; }  
overlapped
```



Outline

- **Overview**
- **Hardware**
- **Memory Optimizations**
 - Data Transfers between host and device
 - Device memory optimizations
 - Matrix transpose study
 - Measuring performance - effective bandwidth
 - Coalescing
 - Shared memory bank conflicts
 - Partition camping
- **Execution Configuration Optimizations**
- **Instruction Optimizations**
- **Summary**



Matrix Transpose

- **Transpose 2048x2048 matrix of floats**
- **Performed out-of-place**
 - Separate input and output matrices
- **Use tile of 32x32 elements, block of 32x8 threads**
 - Each thread processes 4 matrix elements
 - In general tile and block size are fair game for optimization
- **Process**
 - Get the right answer
 - Measure effective bandwidth (relative to theoretical or reference case)
 - Address global memory coalescing, shared memory bank conflicts, and partition camping while repeating above steps



Theoretical Bandwidth

- Device Bandwidth of GTX 280

$$\text{DDR} \\ \downarrow \\ 1107 * 10^6 * (512 / 8) * 2 / 1024^3 = 131.9 \text{ GB/s}$$

$\underbrace{1107 * 10^6}_{\text{Memory clock (Hz)}} * \underbrace{(512 / 8)}_{\text{Memory interface (bytes)}} * 2 / 1024^3 = 131.9 \text{ GB/s}$

- Specs report 141 GB/s
 - Use 10^9 B/GB conversion rather than 1024^3
 - Whichever you use, be consistent



Effective Bandwidth

- **Transpose Effective Bandwidth**
- $$\frac{2048^2 * 4 \text{ B/element}}{\text{Matrix size (bytes)}} / 1024^3 * 2 / (\text{time in secs}) = \text{GB/s}$$

Read and write
- **Reference Case - Matrix Copy**
 - Transpose operates on tiles - need better comparison than raw device bandwidth
 - Look at effective bandwidth of copy that uses tiles


Matrix Copy Kernel

```

__global__ void copy(float *odata, float *idata, int width,
                     int height)
{
    int xIndex = blockIdx.x * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.x;
    int yIndex = blockIdx.y * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.y;
    int index  = xIndex + width*yIndex;

    for (int i = 0; i < TILE_DIM; i += BLOCK_ROWS) {
        odata[index+i*width] = idata[index+i*width];
    }
}

```



Elements copied by a half-warp of threads

TILE_DIM = 32
BLOCK_ROWS = 8

32x32 tile
32x8 thread block

idata and **odata**
in global memory



Matrix Copy Kernel Timing

- Measure elapsed time over loop
- Looping/timing done in two ways:
 - Over kernel launches (**nreps** = 1)
 - Includes launch/indexing overhead
 - Within the kernel over loads/stores (**nreps** > 1)
 - Amortizes launch/indexing overhead

```
__global__ void copy(float *odata, float* idata, int width,
                     int height, int nreps)
{
    int xIndex = blockIdx.x * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.x;
    int yIndex = blockIdx.y * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.y;
    int index  = xIndex + width*yIndex;

    for (int r = 0; r < nreps; r++) {
        for (int i = 0; i < TILE_DIM; i += BLOCK_ROWS) {
            odata[index+i*width] = idata[index+i*width];
        }
    }
}
```

Naïve Transpose


- Similar to copy
 - Input and output matrices have different indices

```
__global__ void transposeNaive(float *odata, float* idata, int width,
                               int height, int nreps)

{
    int xIndex = blockIdx.x * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.x;
    int yIndex = blockIdx.y * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.y;

    int index_in  = xIndex + width * yIndex;
    int index_out = yIndex + height * xIndex;

    for (int r=0; r < nreps; r++) {
        for (int i=0; i<TILE_DIM; i+=BLOCK_ROWS) {
            odata[index_out+i] = idata[index_in+i*width];
        }
    }
}
```



Effective Bandwidth



Effective Bandwidth (GB/s) 2048x2048, GTX 280		
	Loop over kernel	Loop in kernel
Simple Copy	96.9	81.6
Naïve Transpose	2.2	2.2



Outline


- **Overview**
- **Hardware**
- **Memory Optimizations**
 - **Data Transfers between host and device**
 - **Device memory optimizations**
 - **Matrix transpose study**
 - Measuring performance - effective bandwidth
 - Coalescing
 - Shared memory bank conflicts
 - Partition camping
- **Execution Configuration Optimizations**
- **Instruction Optimizations**
- **Summary**

Coalescing

- Global memory access of 32, 64, or 128-bit words by a half-warp of threads can result in as few as one (or two) transaction(s) if certain access requirements are met
- Depends on compute capability
 - 1.0 and 1.1 have stricter access requirements

Examples – float (32-bit) data

Global Memory




Half-warp of threads

Coalescing


Compute capability 1.0 and 1.1

- K-th thread must access k-th word in the segment (or k-th word in 2 contiguous 128B segments for 128-bit words), not all threads need to participate


Coalesces – 1 transaction



Out of sequence – 16 transactions




Misaligned – 16 transactions



Coalescing


Compute capability 1.2 and higher

- Coalescing is achieved for any pattern of addresses that fits into a segment of size: 32B for 8-bit words, 64B for 16-bit words, 128B for 32- and 64-bit words
- Smaller transactions may be issued to avoid wasted bandwidth due to unused words




1 transaction - 64B segment

2 transactions - 64B and 32B segments




1 transaction - 128B segment



Coalescing in Transpose



- Naïve transpose coalesces reads, but not writes



Shared Memory




- ➊ ~Hundred times faster than global memory
- ➋ Cache data to reduce global memory accesses
- ➌ Threads can cooperate via shared memory
- ➍ Use it to avoid non-coalesced access
 - ➎ Stage loads and stores in shared memory to re-order non-coalesceable addressing

Coalescing through shared memory



- Access columns of a tile in shared memory to write contiguous data to global memory
- Requires `__syncthreads()` since threads write data read by other threads



Elements transposed by a half-warp of threads

Coalescing through shared memory



```
__global__ void transposeCoalesced(float *odata, float *idata, int width,
                                   int height, int nreps)
{
    __shared__ float tile[TILE_DIM][TILE_DIM];

    int xIndex = blockIdx.x * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.x;
    int yIndex = blockIdx.y * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.y;
    int index_in = xIndex + (yIndex)*width;

    xIndex = blockIdx.y * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.x;
    yIndex = blockIdx.x * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.y;
    int index_out = xIndex + (yIndex)*height;

    for (int r=0; r < nreps; r++) {
        for (int i=0; i<TILE_DIM; i+=BLOCK_ROWS) {
            tile[threadIdx.y+i][threadIdx.x] = idata[index_in+i*width];
        }

        __syncthreads();

        for (int i=0; i<TILE_DIM; i+=BLOCK_ROWS) {
            odata[index_out+i*height] = tile[threadIdx.x][threadIdx.y+i];
        }
    }
}
```

Effective Bandwidth



	Effective Bandwidth (GB/s) 2048x2048, GTX 280	
	Loop over kernel	Loop in kernel
Simple Copy	96.9	81.6
Shared Memory Copy	80.9	81.1
Naïve Transpose	2.2	2.2
Coalesced Transpose	16.5	17.1

Uses shared
memory tile
and
`__syncthreads()`




Outline

- **Overview**
- **Hardware**
- **Memory Optimizations**
 - **Data transfers between host and device**
 - **Device memory optimizations**
 - **Matrix transpose study**
 - Measuring performance - effective bandwidth
 - Coalescing
 - **Shared memory bank conflicts**
 - Partition camping
- **Execution Configuration Optimizations**
- **Instruction Optimizations**
- **Summary**

Shared Memory Architecture



- Many threads accessing memory
 - Therefore, memory is divided into banks
 - Successive 32-bit words assigned to successive banks
- Each bank can service one address per cycle
 - A memory can service as many simultaneous accesses as it has banks
- Multiple simultaneous accesses to a bank result in a bank conflict
 - Conflicting accesses are serialized




Bank Addressing Examples




- No Bank Conflicts

- Linear addressing
stride == 1



- No Bank Conflicts


- Random 1:1 Permutation




Bank Addressing Examples



- **2-way Bank Conflicts**
 - Linear addressing
stride == 2



- **8-way Bank Conflicts**
 - Linear addressing
stride == 8






Shared memory bank conflicts

- Shared memory is ~ as fast as registers if there are no bank conflicts
- warp_serialize profiler signal reflects conflicts
- The fast case:
 - If all threads of a half-warp access different banks, there is no bank conflict
 - If all threads of a half-warp read the identical address, there is no bank conflict (broadcast)
- The slow case:
 - Bank Conflict: multiple threads in the same half-warp access the same bank
 - Must serialize the accesses
 - Cost = max # of simultaneous accesses to a single bank

Bank Conflicts in Transpose

- 32x32 shared memory tile of floats
 - Data in columns k and $k+16$ are in same bank
 - 16-way bank conflict reading half columns in tile
- Solution - pad shared memory array
 - `__shared__ float tile[TILE_DIM] [TILE_DIM+1];`
 - Data in anti-diagonals are in same bank



Effective Bandwidth



Effective Bandwidth (GB/s) 2048x2048, GTX 280		
	Loop over kernel	Loop in kernel
Simple Copy	96.9	81.6
Shared Memory Copy	80.9	81.1
Naïve Transpose	2.2	2.2
Coalesced Transpose	16.5	17.1
Bank Conflict Free Transpose	16.6	17.2



Outline

- **Overview**
- **Hardware**
- **Memory Optimizations**
 - **Data transfers between host and device**
 - **Device memory optimizations**
 - **Matrix transpose study**
 - Measuring performance - effective bandwidth
 - Coalescing
 - Shared memory bank conflicts
 - Partition camping
- **Execution Configuration Optimizations**
- **Instruction Optimizations**
- **Summary**




Partition Camping

- **Global memory accesses go through partitions**
 - 6 partitions on 8-series GPUs, 8 partitions on 10-series GPUs
 - Successive 256-byte regions of global memory are assigned to successive partitions
- **For best performance:**
 - Simultaneous global memory accesses GPU-wide should be distributed evenly amongst partitions
- **Partition Camping occurs when global memory accesses at an instant use a subset of partitions**
 - Directly analogous to shared memory bank conflicts, but on a larger scale

Partition Camping in Transpose


- Partition width = 256 bytes = 64 floats
 - Twice width of tile
- On GTX280 (8 partitions), data 2KB apart map to same partition
 - 2048 floats divides evenly by 2KB => columns of matrices map to same partition



Partition Camping Solutions



- Pad matrices (by two tiles)
 - In general might be expensive/prohibitive memory-wise
- Diagonally reorder blocks
 - Interpret `blockIdx.y` as different diagonal slices and `blockIdx.x` as distance along a diagonal





Diagonal Transpose

```
__global__ void transposeDiagonal(float *odata, float *idata, int width,
                                  int height, int nreps)
{
    __shared__ float tile[TILE_DIM][TILE_DIM+1];

    int blockIdx_y = blockIdx.x;
    int blockIdx_x = (blockIdx.x+blockIdx.y)%gridDim.x; } Add lines to map diagonal
                                                               to Cartesian coordinates

    int xIndex = blockIdx_x * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.x;
    int yIndex = blockIdx_y * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.y;
    int index_in = xIndex + (yIndex)*width;

    xIndex = blockIdx_y * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.x;
    yIndex = blockIdx_x * TILE_DIM + threadIdx.y;
    int index_out = xIndex + (yIndex)*height; }

    for (int r=0; r < nreps; r++) {
        for (int i=0; i<TILE_DIM; i+=BLOCK_ROWS) {
            tile[threadIdx.y+i][threadIdx.x] = idata[index_in+i*width];
        }
        __syncthreads();
        for (int i=0; i<TILE_DIM; i+=BLOCK_ROWS) {
            odata[index_out+i*height] = tile[threadIdx.x][threadIdx.y+i];
        }
    }
}
```

} Replace
blockIdx.x
with
blockIdx_x,
blockIdx.y
with
blockIdx_y

Diagonal Transpose



- Previous slide for square matrices (width == height)
- More generally:

```
if (width == height) {  
    blockIdx_y = blockIdx.x;  
    blockIdx_x = (blockIdx.x+blockIdx.y)%gridDim.x;  
} else {  
    int bid = blockIdx.x + gridDim.x*blockIdx.y;  
    blockIdx_y = bid%gridDim.y;  
    blockIdx_x = ((bid/gridDim.y)+blockIdx_y)%gridDim.x;  
}
```


Effective Bandwidth



Effective Bandwidth (GB/s) 2048x2048, GTX 280		
	Loop over kernel	Loop in kernel
Simple Copy	96.9	81.6
Shared Memory Copy	80.9	81.1
Naïve Transpose	2.2	2.2
Coalesced Transpose	16.5	17.1
Bank Conflict Free Transpose	16.6	17.2
Diagonal	69.5	78.3

Order of Optimizations

- Larger optimization issues can mask smaller ones
- Proper order of some optimization techniques in not known *a priori*
 - Eg. partition camping is problem-size dependent
- Don't dismiss an optimization technique as ineffective until you know it was applied at the right time





Transpose Summary

- Coalescing and shared memory bank conflicts are small-scale phenomena
 - Deal with memory access within half-warp
 - Problem-size independent
- Partition camping is a large-scale phenomenon
 - Deals with simultaneous memory accesses by warps on different multiprocessors
 - Problem size dependent
 - Wouldn't see in $(2048+32)^2$ matrix
- Coalescing is generally the most critical



Outline


- **Overview**
- **Hardware**
- **Memory Optimizations**
 - Data transfers between host and device
 - Device memory optimizations
 - Matrix transpose study
 - **Textures**
- **Execution Configuration Optimizations**
- **Instruction Optimizations**
- **Summary**

Textures in CUDA




- **Texture is an object for *reading* data**
- **Benefits:**
 - Data is cached (optimized for 2D locality)
 - Helpful when coalescing is a problem
 - Filtering
 - Linear / bilinear / trilinear
 - Dedicated hardware
 - Wrap modes (for “out-of-bounds” addresses)
 - Clamp to edge / repeat
 - Addressable in 1D, 2D, or 3D
 - Using integer or normalized coordinates
- **Usage:**
 - CPU code binds data to a texture object
 - Kernel reads data by calling a *fetch* function

Texture Addressing




Wrap

- Out-of-bounds coordinate is wrapped (modulo arithmetic)



Clamp

- Out-of-bounds coordinate is replaced with the closest boundary





Two CUDA Texture Types

- **Bound to linear memory**
 - Global memory address is bound to a texture
 - Only 1D
 - Integer addressing
 - No filtering, no addressing modes
- **Bound to CUDA arrays**
 - CUDA array is bound to a texture
 - 1D, 2D, or 3D
 - Float addressing (size-based or normalized)
 - Filtering
 - Addressing modes (clamping, repeat)
- **Both:**
 - Return either element type or normalized float



CUDA Texturing Steps

- **Host (CPU) code:**
 - Allocate/obtain memory (global linear, or CUDA array)
 - Create a texture reference object
 - Currently must be at file-scope
 - Bind the texture reference to memory/array
 - When done:
 - Unbind the texture reference, free resources
- **Device (kernel) code:**
 - Fetch using texture reference
 - Linear memory textures:
 - `tex1Dfetch()`
 - Array textures:
 - `tex1D()` or `tex2D()` or `tex3D()`



Outline

- Overview
- Hardware
- Memory Optimizations
- Execution Configuration Optimizations
- Instruction Optimizations
- Summary

Occupancy



- Thread instructions are executed sequentially, so executing other warps is the only way to hide latencies and keep the hardware busy
- Occupancy = Number of warps running concurrently on a multiprocessor divided by maximum number of warps that can run concurrently
- Limited by resource usage:
 - Registers
 - Shared memory

Grid/Block Size Heuristics



- **# of blocks > # of multiprocessors**
 - So all multiprocessors have at least one block to execute
- **# of blocks / # of multiprocessors > 2**
 - Multiple blocks can run concurrently in a multiprocessor
 - Blocks that aren't waiting at a `__syncthreads()` keep the hardware busy
 - Subject to resource availability – registers, shared memory
- **# of blocks > 100 to scale to future devices**
 - Blocks executed in pipeline fashion
 - 1000 blocks per grid will scale across multiple generations

Register Dependency



- **Read-after-write register dependency**
 - Instruction's result can be read ~24 cycles later
 - Scenarios:

CUDA:	PTX:
$x = y + 5;$	add.f32 \$f3, \$f1, \$f2
$z = x + 3;$	add.f32 \$f5, \$f3, \$f4
$s_data[0] += 3;$	ld.shared.f32 \$f3, [\$r31+0]
	add.f32 \$f3, \$f3, \$f4
- **To completely hide the latency:**
 - Run at least **192 threads** (6 warps) per multiprocessor
 - At least **25% occupancy** (1.0/1.1), **18.75%** (1.2/1.3)
 - Threads do not have to belong to the same thread block



Register Pressure

- Hide latency by using more threads per SM
- Limiting Factors:
 - Number of registers per kernel
 - 8K/16K per SM, partitioned among concurrent threads
 - Amount of shared memory
 - 16KB per SM, partitioned among concurrent threadblocks
- Compile with `-ptxas-options=-v` flag
- Use `-maxrregcount=N` flag to NVCC
 - N = desired maximum registers / kernel
 - At some point “spilling” into local memory may occur
 - Reduces performance – local memory is slow

Occupancy Calculator



Microsoft Excel - CUDA_Occupancy_calculator.xls

File Edit View Insert Format Tools Data Window Help

Type a question for help

MyRegCount 20

CUDA GPU Occupancy Calculator

[Click Here for detailed instructions on how to use this occupancy calculator](#)

[For more information on NVIDIA CUDA, visit <http://developer.nvidia.com/cuda>](http://developer.nvidia.com/cuda)

Just follow steps 1, 2, and 3 below! (or click here for help)

1.) Select a GPU from the list (click): G80 [\(Help\)](#)

2.) Enter your resource usage:

Threads Per Block	192	(Help)
Registers Per Thread	20	(Help)
Shared Memory Per Block (bytes)	68	

(Don't edit anything below this line)

3.) GPU Occupancy Data is displayed here and in the graphs:

Active Threads per Multiprocessor	384
Active Warps per Multiprocessor	12
Active Thread Blocks per Multiprocessor	2
Occupancy of each Multiprocessor	50%
Maximum Simultaneous Blocks per GPU	32

(Note: This assumes there are at least this many blocks)

Physical Limits for GPU: G80

Multiprocessors per GPU	16
Threads / Warp	32
Warp / Multiprocessor	24
Threads / Multiprocessor	768
Thread Blocks / Multiprocessor	8
Total # of 32-bit registers / Multiprocessor	8192
Shared Memory / Multiprocessor (bytes)	16384

Allocation Per Thread Block

Warps	6
Registers	3840
Shared Memory	512

These data are used in computing the occupancy data in blue

MAXIMUM THREAD BLOCKS PER MULTIPROCESSOR Blocks

Limited by Max Warps / Multiprocessor	4
Limited by Registers / Multiprocessor	2
Limited by Shared Memory / Multiprocessor	32

Thread Block Limit Per Multiprocessor is the minimum of these 3

CUDA Occupancy Calculator

Version: 1.1

[Copyright and License](#)

Varying Block Size

Varying Register Count

Varying Shared Memory Usage

Calculator Help GPU Data Copyright & License

Ready

Start Microsoft Excel - CUD...

9:34 AM



Optimizing threads per block

- Choose threads per block as a multiple of warp size
 - Avoid wasting computation on under-populated warps
- Want to run as many warps as possible per multiprocessor (hide latency)
- Multiprocessor can run up to 8 blocks at a time
- Heuristics
 - Minimum: 64 threads per block
 - Only if multiple concurrent blocks
 - 192 or 256 threads a better choice
 - Usually still enough regs to compile and invoke successfully
 - This all depends on your computation, so experiment!

Occupancy != Performance



- Increasing occupancy does not necessarily increase performance

BUT ...

- Low-occupancy multiprocessors cannot adequately hide latency on memory-bound kernels
 - (It all comes down to arithmetic intensity and available parallelism)

Parameterize Your Application



- ➊ Parameterization helps adaptation to different GPUs
- ➋ GPUs vary in many ways
 - ➌ # of multiprocessors
 - ➌ Memory bandwidth
 - ➌ Shared memory size
 - ➌ Register file size
 - ➌ Max. threads per block
- ➌ You can even make apps self-tuning (like FFTW and ATLAS)
 - ➍ “Experiment” mode discovers and saves optimal configuration



Outline

- Overview
- Hardware
- Memory Optimizations
- Execution Configuration Optimizations
- Instruction Optimizations
- Summary

CUDA Instruction Performance



- **Instruction cycles (per warp) = sum of**
 - Operand read cycles
 - Instruction execution cycles
 - Result update cycles
- **Therefore instruction throughput depends on**
 - Nominal instruction throughput
 - Memory latency
 - Memory bandwidth
- **“Cycle” refers to the multiprocessor clock rate**
 - 1.3 GHz on the Tesla C1060, for example

Maximizing Instruction Throughput



- **Maximize use of high-bandwidth memory**
 - Maximize use of shared memory
 - Minimize accesses to global memory
 - Maximize coalescing of global memory accesses
- **Optimize performance by overlapping memory accesses with HW computation**
 - High arithmetic intensity programs
 - i.e. high ratio of math to memory transactions
 - Many concurrent threads

Arithmetic Instruction Throughput



- **int and float add, shift, min, max and float mul, mad: 4 cycles per warp**
 - int multiply (*) is by default 32-bit
 - requires multiple cycles / warp
 - Use `__mul24()` / `__umul24()` intrinsics for 4-cycle 24-bit int multiply
- **Integer divide and modulo are more expensive**
 - Compiler will convert literal power-of-2 divides to shifts
 - But we have seen it miss some cases
 - Be explicit in cases where compiler can't tell that divisor is a power of 2!
 - Useful trick: `foo % n == foo & (n-1)` if n is a power of 2

Runtime Math Library



- There are two types of runtime math operations in single-precision
 - `__funcf()`: direct mapping to hardware ISA
 - Fast but lower accuracy (see prog. guide for details)
 - Examples: `sinf(x)`, `expf(x)`, `powf(x,y)`
 - `funcf()` : compile to multiple instructions
 - Slower but higher accuracy (5 ulp or less)
 - Examples: `sinf(x)`, `expf(x)`, `powf(x,y)`
- The `-use_fast_math` compiler option forces every `funcf()` to compile to `__funcf()`

GPU results may not match CPU



- Many variables: hardware, compiler, optimization settings
- CPU operations aren't strictly limited to 0.5 ulp
 - Sequences of operations can be more accurate due to 80-bit extended precision ALUs
- Floating-point arithmetic is not associative!

FP Math is Not Associative!



- In symbolic math, $(x+y)+z == x+(y+z)$
- This is not necessarily true for floating-point addition
 - Try $x = 10^{30}$, $y = -10^{30}$ and $z = 1$ in the above equation
- When you parallelize computations, you potentially change the order of operations
- Parallel results may not exactly match sequential results
 - This is not specific to GPU or CUDA – inherent part of parallel execution



Control Flow Instructions

- Main performance concern with branching is divergence
 - Threads within a single warp take different paths
 - Different execution paths must be serialized
- Avoid divergence when branch condition is a function of thread ID
 - Example with divergence:
 - `if (threadIdx.x > 2) { }`
 - Branch granularity < warp size
 - Example without divergence:
 - `if (threadIdx.x / WARP_SIZE > 2) { }`
 - Branch granularity is a whole multiple of warp size

Summary



- **GPU hardware can achieve great performance on data-parallel computations if you follow a few simple guidelines:**
 - Use parallelism efficiently
 - Coalesce memory accesses if possible
 - Take advantage of shared memory
 - Explore other memory spaces
 - Texture
 - Constant
 - Reduce bank conflicts
 - Avoid partition camping