SINGULAR PERTURBATIONS OF A GENERAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM*

K. W. CHANG†

Abstract. This paper treats the boundary problem

$$y' = A(t)y + B(t)z,$$

$$\varepsilon z' = C(t)y + D(t)z,$$

$$M(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} y(0,\varepsilon) \\ z(0,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} + N(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} y(1,\varepsilon) \\ z(1,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1(\varepsilon) \\ c_2(\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The main difference of our approach and that of earlier writers is that we are able to reduce the system to a purely diagonalized form under even less stringent assumptions.

1. Introduction. Consider the boundary value problem consisting of m + n equations

(1)
$$y' = A(t)y + B(t)z,$$
$$\varepsilon z' = C(t)y + D(t)z,$$

and m + n boundary conditions

(2)
$$M(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} y(0,\varepsilon) \\ z(0,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} + N(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} y(1,\varepsilon) \\ z(1,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1(\varepsilon) \\ c_2(\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix},$$

on the interval $0 \le t \le 1$. Here y, c_1 and z, c_2 are respectively real m-dimensional and n-dimensional vectors and A, B, C, D, M, N are square matrices of appropriate orders. We assume that A, B, C, D are continuous functions for $0 \le t \le 1$ and $M(\varepsilon) = M(0) + 0(\varepsilon)$, $N(\varepsilon) = N(0) + 0(\varepsilon)$, $c_i(\varepsilon) = c_i(0) + 0(\varepsilon)$, i = 1, 2, where $0(\varepsilon)$ is a standard order symbol referring to $\varepsilon \to 0+$.

Harris [4], [5] and, more recently, O'Malley [6] have analyzed similar boundary value problems involving powers of ε . Their approach is to reduce (1) to a simpler form:

$$v' = (A - BD^{-1}C + 0(\varepsilon))v + 0(\varepsilon)w,$$

$$\varepsilon w' = 0(\varepsilon)v + (Q^{-1}DQ + 0(\varepsilon))w,$$

by means of the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = U(t, \varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$U(t,\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & \varepsilon B D^{-1} \\ -Q D^{-1} C & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

^{*} Received by the editors September 8, 1971, and in revised form November 17, 1971.

[†] Department of Mathematics, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada. This research was supported by the National Research Council, Canada, under Grant 5593, and was completed at S.R.I., Edmonton.

and Q such that

$$Q^{-1}DQ = \operatorname{diag}[D_{-}, D_{+}],$$

where the eigenvalues of the matrices D_- and D_+ have, respectively, negative and positive real parts for $0 \le t \le 1$. To carry out this transformation, Harris and O'Malley assume that $U(t, \varepsilon)$ and hence BD^{-1} , $D^{-1}C$ and Q are continuously differentiable. Such a Q definitely exists if D is assumed continuously differentiable and its eigenvalues have nonzero real parts for $0 \le t \le 1$ (cf. [2]). However, as shown by the counterexample in [2], such a Q may not exist if D is continuous but not continuously differentiable.

The main purpose of this paper is to weaken the assumptions of Harris and O'Malley to:

(I) A, B, C, D are continuous and all eigenvalues of D have nonzero real part for $0 \le t \le 1$.

We shall show in the next section that under assumption (I) we can reduce (1) to a purely diagonalized form

$$v' = (A - BT)v,$$

 $\varepsilon w' = (D + \varepsilon TB)w,$

by using the transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & -\varepsilon S \\ -T & I_n + \varepsilon T S \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

in place of the transformation indicated above, where T, S are bounded solutions of

$$T' = \varepsilon^{-1}DT - TA + TBT - \varepsilon^{-1}C,$$

$$S' = [A - BT]S - \varepsilon^{-1}S[D + \varepsilon TB] - \varepsilon^{-1}B,$$

respectively.

The main result is given in the end as a theorem.

2. Reduction into block diagonalization. From our assumption on D(t) it follows that D(t) is invertible and has the constant number $p, 0 \le p \le n$, of eigenvalues with negative real part for $0 \le t \le 1$. Moreover, since the interval [0, 1] is compact, there exists $\mu > 0$ such that the real part of every eigenvalue of D(t) has absolute value $\ge 2\mu$. Therefore, by Lemma 1 in [2], the linear equation

$$\varepsilon z' = D(t)z$$

has a fundamental matrix $Z(t) = Z(t, \varepsilon)$ satisfying the inequalities

(4)
$$|Z(t)PZ^{-1}(s)| \le L \exp\left(-\mu(t-s)/\varepsilon\right) \text{ for } 1 \ge t \ge s \ge 0,$$

$$|Z(t)(I_n - P)Z^{-1}(s)| \le L \exp\left(-\mu(s-t)/\varepsilon\right) \text{ for } 1 \ge s \ge t \ge 0,$$

where L is a positive constant independent of ε and P is the projection

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_p is the unit $p \times p$ matrix.

Since A(t) is continuous and therefore bounded on [0, 1], there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that the norm $||A(t)|| \le \sigma$ and the equation

$$(5) y' = A(t)y$$

has a fundamental matrix Y(t) such that

(6)
$$|Y(t)Y^{-1}(s)| \le \exp(\sigma |t - s|) \text{ for } 0 \le t, s \le 1.$$

Then we have the following result.

Lemma. There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the equations

(7)
$$T' = \varepsilon^{-1} D(t) T - T A(t) + T B(t) T - \varepsilon^{-1} C(t),$$

(8)
$$S' = [A(t) - B(t)T(t, \varepsilon)]S - \varepsilon^{-1}S[D(t) + \varepsilon T(t, \varepsilon)B(t)] - \varepsilon^{-1}B(t),$$

have respectively solutions $T = T(t, \varepsilon)$, $S = S(t, \varepsilon)$ which are uniformly bounded for $0 \le t \le 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0$.

Moreover, for 0 < t < 1, $T(t, 0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} T(t, \varepsilon) = D^{-1}(t)C(t)$ and $S(t, 0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} S(t, \varepsilon) = -B(t)D^{-1}(t)$.

Furthermore, the change of variables

(9)
$$w = z + T(t, \varepsilon)y, \quad v = y + \varepsilon S(t, \varepsilon)w$$

transforms (1) into the block diagonal form:

(10)
$$v' = [A(t) - B(t)T(t, \varepsilon)]v,$$
$$\varepsilon w' = [D(t) + \varepsilon T(t, \varepsilon)B(t)]w.$$

Proof. The existence of a bounded solution $T(t, \varepsilon)$ of (7) follows from the theorem in [1]. Clearly, $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} T(t, \varepsilon) = D^{-1}(t)C$ for 0 < t < 1.

To obtain a bounded solution of (8), let $V(t, \varepsilon)$ be a fundamental matrix of the first equation of (10). Since [0, 1] is compact, there exists $\tilde{\sigma} > 0$ such that $||A(t) - B(t)T(t, \varepsilon)|| \leq \tilde{\sigma}$ which implies

$$|V(t,\varepsilon)V^{-1}(s,\varepsilon)| \le \exp{(\tilde{\sigma}|t-s|)}$$
 for $0 \le t, s \le 1$.

Also, by Theorem 2 in [3], the second equation of (10) has, for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$, a fundamental matrix $W(t, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$|W(t,\varepsilon)PW^{-1}(s,\varepsilon)| \leq \tilde{L} \exp\left(-\mu(t-s)/2\varepsilon\right) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \geq t \geq s \geq 0,$$

$$|W(t,\varepsilon)(I_n-P)W^{-1}(s,\varepsilon)| \leq \tilde{L} \exp\left(-\mu(s-t)/2\varepsilon\right) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \geq s \geq t \geq 0,$$

where \tilde{L} is a positive constant independent of ε .

It can easily be verified by differentiation that

$$S(t,\varepsilon) = \int_0^t V(t,\varepsilon)V^{-1}(s,\varepsilon)[-\varepsilon^{-1}B(s)]W(s,\varepsilon)(I_n - P)W^{-1}(t,\varepsilon) ds$$
$$-\int_t^1 V(t,\varepsilon)V^{-1}(s,\varepsilon)[-\varepsilon^{-1}B(s)]W(s,\varepsilon)PW^{-1}(t,\varepsilon) ds$$

is a solution of (8), and for $0 < \varepsilon < \mu/2\tilde{\sigma}$,

$$||S(t,\varepsilon)|| \leq \tilde{L}\varepsilon^{-1}||B|| \left\{ \int_0^t \exp\left[(\tilde{\sigma} - \mu/2\varepsilon)(t-s)\right] ds + \int_t^1 \exp\left[(\tilde{\sigma} - \mu/2\varepsilon)(s-t)\right] ds \right\}$$
$$\leq 2\tilde{L}||B|| (\mu - 2\varepsilon\tilde{\sigma})^{-1}.$$

Thus $S(t, \varepsilon)$ is bounded, and moreover, $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} S(t, \varepsilon) = -B(t)D^{-1}(t)$ for 0 < t < 1. Consequently, the change of variables (9) transforms the system (1) into (10).

3. Theorem and proof. Applying (9) now to the boundary conditions (2), we obtain

(11)
$$\widetilde{M}(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} v(0,\varepsilon) \\ w(0,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} + \widetilde{N}(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} v(1,\varepsilon) \\ w(1,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1(\varepsilon) \\ c_2(\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\widetilde{M}(\varepsilon) = M(\varepsilon)H(0,\varepsilon), \quad \widetilde{N}(\varepsilon) = N(\varepsilon)H(1,\varepsilon)$$

and

$$H(t,\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & -\varepsilon S(t,\varepsilon) \\ -T(t,\varepsilon) & I_n + \varepsilon T(t,\varepsilon) S(t,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $H(t, \varepsilon)$ is nonsingular for all small ε for which $S(t, \varepsilon)$ and $T(t, \varepsilon)$ exist.

We have now transformed the original problem (1), (2) into a more tractable problem (10), (11), which we treat in the same way as O'Malley, except for a modification due to D(t) not having block diagonal form. One can readily verify by differentiation that the functions

$$(12) \quad {v(t,\varepsilon) \choose w(t,\varepsilon)} = {V(t,\varepsilon) \choose 0} \quad {0 \choose w(t,\varepsilon)PW^{-1}(0,\varepsilon) + W(t,\varepsilon)(I_n - P)W^{-1}(1,\varepsilon)} {\alpha_1(\varepsilon) \choose \alpha_2(\varepsilon)}$$

are a solution of (10), where α_1, α_2 are arbitrary constant vectors. It only remains to choose α_1, α_2 to satisfy the boundary conditions (11). Substitution into (11) yields

$$\Delta(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(\varepsilon) \\ \alpha_2(\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1(\varepsilon) \\ c_2(\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix},$$

where

(13)
$$\Delta(\varepsilon) = \widetilde{M}(\varepsilon) \operatorname{diag} \left[V(0, \varepsilon), W(0, \varepsilon) P W^{-1}(0, \varepsilon) + W(0, \varepsilon) (I_n - P) W^{-1}(1, \varepsilon) \right] + \widetilde{N}(\varepsilon) \operatorname{diag} \left[V(1, \varepsilon), W(1, \varepsilon) P W^{-1}(0, \varepsilon) + W(1, \varepsilon) (I_n - P) W^{-1}(1, \varepsilon) \right].$$

If the inverse $\Delta^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ exists, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(\varepsilon) \\ \alpha_2(\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} = \Delta^{-1}(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} c_1(\varepsilon) \\ c_2(\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} v(t,\varepsilon) \\ w(t,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V(t,\varepsilon) & 0 \\ 0 & W(t,\varepsilon)PW^{-1}(0,\varepsilon) + W(t,\varepsilon)(I_n - P)W^{-1}(1,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cdot \Delta^{-1}(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} c_1(\varepsilon) \\ c_2(\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}$$

is a solution of the boundary value problem (10), (11).

Let us analyze $\Delta(\varepsilon)$. Since $W(1, \varepsilon)PW^{-1}(0, \varepsilon) = O(\varepsilon)$ and

$$W(0,\varepsilon)(I_n-P)W^{-1}(1,\varepsilon)=0(\varepsilon)$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we can express (13) as

$$\Delta(\varepsilon) = \tilde{M}(0) \operatorname{diag} [V(0), W(0)PW^{-1}(0)] + \tilde{N}(0) \operatorname{diag} [V(1), W(1)(I_n - P)W^{-1}(1)] + 0(\varepsilon),$$

where

$$V(0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} V(0, \varepsilon), \quad W(0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} W(0, \varepsilon), \quad \text{etc.}$$

This is equivalent to

$$\Delta(\varepsilon) = (\tilde{M}_1(0)V(0) + \tilde{N}_1(0)V(1):\tilde{M}_2(0)W(0)PW^{-1}(0) + \tilde{N}_2(0)W(1)(I_n - P)W^{-1}(1)) + O(\varepsilon)$$

if we partition \tilde{M} , \tilde{N} as

$$\tilde{M}(\varepsilon) = (\tilde{M}_1(\varepsilon) : \tilde{M}_2(\varepsilon)), \quad \tilde{N}(\varepsilon) = (\tilde{N}_1(\varepsilon) : \tilde{N}_2(\varepsilon)),$$

such that \tilde{M}_1 , \tilde{N}_1 and V have the same number m of columns, and \tilde{M}_2 , \tilde{N}_2 and W have the same number n of columns. Therefore, for all sufficiently small ε , the inverse $\Delta^{-1}(\varepsilon)$ exists if we make the following assumption:

(II) The matrix

$$\begin{split} \Delta(0) &= \tilde{M}(0) \operatorname{diag}\left[V(0), W(0)PW^{-1}(0)\right] + \tilde{N}(0) \operatorname{diag}\left[V(1), W(1)(I_n - P)W^{-1}(1)\right] \\ &= (\tilde{M}_1(0)V(0) + \tilde{N}_1(0)V(1): \tilde{M}_2(0)W(0)PW^{-1}(0) \\ &+ \tilde{N}_2(0)W(1)(I_n - P)W^{-1}(1)) \end{split}$$

is nonsingular.

We note that $\Delta(0)$ may be checked immediately since it depends only on the leading coefficients of the problem (1), (2). However, if it were singular, then a higher order analysis of $\Delta(\varepsilon)$ would be necessary to see if it could be nonsingular.

We next analyze the form of the solution within [0, 1] as $\varepsilon \to 0$. In view of (4') it follows from (12) that for 0 < t < 1,

$$x(t) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \begin{pmatrix} v(t,\varepsilon) \\ w(t,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} V(t,\varepsilon) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1(0) \\ \alpha_2(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} V(t,\varepsilon) & \alpha_1(0) \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

that is, x(t) satisfies the degenerate system of (10):

$$x_1' = [A(t) - B(t)T(t, 0)]x_1 = [A(t) - B(t)D^{-1}(t)C(t)]x_1,$$

$$0 = D(t)x_2.$$

Also, x(t) satisfies the first m boundary conditions of $\Delta^{-1}(0)\begin{pmatrix} c_1(0) \\ c_2(0) \end{pmatrix}$. In fact, on partitioning $\tilde{M}(0)$, $\tilde{N}(0)$, $\Delta(0)$, $\Delta^{-1}(0)$ after the first m rows and columns as

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{M}(0) &= \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{M}_{11} & \widetilde{M}_{12} \\ \widetilde{M}_{21} & \widetilde{M}_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \widetilde{N}(0) &= \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{N}_{11} & \widetilde{N}_{12} \\ \widetilde{N}_{21} & \widetilde{N}_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \Delta(0) &= \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{11} & \delta_{12} \\ \delta_{21} & \delta_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Delta^{-1}(0) &= \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

we find that the first m rows of $\Delta^{-1}(0)\tilde{M}(0)x(0) + \Delta^{-1}(0)\tilde{N}(0)x(1)$ are

$$[d_{11}(\widetilde{M}_{11}V(0) + \widetilde{N}_{11}V(1)) + d_{12}(\widetilde{M}_{21}V(0) + \widetilde{N}_{21}V(1))]\alpha_1(0)$$

= $(d_{11}\delta_{11} + d_{12}\delta_{12})\alpha_1(0) = \alpha_1(0),$

that is, they are the first m rows of $\Delta^{-1}(0)\begin{pmatrix} c_1(0) \\ c_2(0) \end{pmatrix}$.

To sum up, we have proved the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let assumptions (I), (II) hold. Then for all sufficiently small ε the boundary value problem (10), (11) has the solution

$$\begin{pmatrix} v(t,\varepsilon) \\ w(t,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} V(t,\varepsilon) & 0 \\ 0 & W(t,\varepsilon)PW^{-1}(0,\varepsilon) + W(t,\varepsilon)(I_n - P)W^{-1}(1,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} \Delta^{-1}(\varepsilon) \begin{pmatrix} c_1(\varepsilon) \\ c_2(\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$for \ 0 \le t \le 1, \ where \ \Delta(\varepsilon) \ is \ given \ by \ (13).$$

Moreover, as $\varepsilon \to 0$ this solution $(v(t, \varepsilon), w(t, \varepsilon)) \to (x_1(t), x_2(t))$ for 0 < t < 1, where $(x_1(t), x_2(t))$ is the solution of the degenerate system

$$x'_1 = [A(t) - B(t)D^{-1}(t)C(t)]x_1,$$

 $0 = D(t)x_2,$

and the first m equations of

$$\Delta^{-1}(0)\widetilde{M}(0) \begin{pmatrix} x_1(0) \\ x_2(0) \end{pmatrix} + \Delta^{-1}(0)\widetilde{N}(0) \begin{pmatrix} x_1(1) \\ x_2(1) \end{pmatrix} = \Delta^{-1}(0) \begin{pmatrix} c_1(0) \\ c_2(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Returning to the original variables, for all sufficiently small ε the boundary value problem (1), (2) has the solution

$$\begin{pmatrix} y(t,\varepsilon) \\ z(t,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_m & -\varepsilon S(t,\varepsilon) \\ -T(t,\varepsilon) & I_n + \varepsilon T(t,\varepsilon) S(t,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v(t,\varepsilon) \\ w(t,\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}$$

for $0 \le t \le 1$. Moreover, for 0 < t < 1, this solution tends, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, to the solution $(\bar{y}(t), \bar{z}(t))$ of the degenerate boundary value problem consisting of

$$\bar{y}' = A(t)\bar{y} + B(t)\bar{z},$$

 $0 = C(t)\bar{y} + D(t)\bar{z}$

and the first m equations of

$$\Delta^{-1}(0)M(0)\begin{pmatrix} \bar{y}(0) \\ \bar{z}(0) \end{pmatrix} + \Delta^{-1}(0)N(0)\begin{pmatrix} \bar{y}(1) \\ \bar{z}(1) \end{pmatrix} = \Delta^{-1}(0)\begin{pmatrix} c_1(0) \\ c_2(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] K. W. CHANG, Remarks on a certain hypothesis in singular perturbations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 23 (1969), pp. 41-45.
- [2] K. W. CHANG AND W. A. COPPEL, Singular perturbations of initial value problems over a finite interval, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 32 (1969), pp. 268–280.
- [3] W. A. COPPEL, Dichotomies and reducibility, J. Differential Equations, 3 (1967), pp. 500-521.
- [4] W. A. Harris, Jr., Singular perturbations of two-point boundary problems for systems of ordinary differential equations, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 5 (1960), pp. 212-225.
- [5] ——, Singular perturbations of two-point boundary problems, J. Math. Mech., 11 (1962), pp. 371–382.
- [6] R. E. O'MALLEY, JR., Boundary value problems for linear systems of ordinary differential equations involving many small parameters, Ibid., 18 (1969), pp. 835–855.